


1985 COLLEGE





By Jim Feist and Gary Austin





Over 250 Sure-Fire Theories And Techniques:



The Bowl Game Giveaway: Super 83% Winners!

Tar Heel Trauma: 78% Winners!

Starting Out The Season In Style: 69% Winners!

The Big-Ten
Betting Bonanza:
64% Winners!

Football Teams Are Humans, Too: 67% Winners!

Home Sweet Home: 69% Winners!

Lone Star Losers: 64% Winners!

3 Tests For Any Football System

SPECIAL REPORT: College Coaches Vs the Points—

A 12-Year Study



Mike Warren
The \$10 million man

I'm giving away a color TV

Absolutely Free! No strings attached!

I'm number one in the handicapping business for two reasons. One: I pick winners. Why else would 12,000 people call every weekend for my football selections? Two: I have good customers, people who know a good thing when they see it. This ad talks about a good thing, so I know you'll respond.

I'm giving away a color television and runner-up prizes to the public as a way of saying thanks for your past and future support. It's free! There's nothing to buy. Just mail the enclosed coupon. When the NFL kicks off September 8th, I'll hold a random drawing supervised by the executives of Bernard Dunn Advertising Agency. Winners will be announced in my weekly tabloid Football Forecast.

If you want to watch football games on a color television, mail in the coupon. If you want to win on football games, call me at 1-800-638-6890 and I'll be glad to set up a personal program for you.

Good luck.

Mile barren

Mike Warren

MIKE! A free TV so name in the drawi	ounds good to ng on Septer	me. Enter my mber 8th.
ADDRESS		
CITY	STATE	ZIP
SIGNATURE		
TELEPHONE		
MAIL TO: Mike Warre Warren Professional I 2601 Sisson Street, B	Building	1211






Eligibility and contest rules:

This contest is open to residents of the United States who are at least 18 years of age. Members of Mike Warren Sports and their families are not eligible. This contest is void in the states of Kansas, Texas and Missouri and any other areas prohibited by law. No substitution of prizes are permitted. All decisions are final.

The drawing will have a 19-inch color television given to the winner and five AM-FM radios given to the five runner-ups.

COLLEGE 1985 FOUR BALL

By Jim Feist and Gary Austin



Jim Feist and Gary Austin's College Football Preview

FOOTBALL

By Jim Feist and Gary Austin

Published annually by American Sports Advisors, Inc. 1 Commercial Avenue, Garden City, New York 11530 (516) 294-2680

Publisher Royce Kanofsky Associate Publisher Gary Austin Editor-in-Chief David Scott Editorial Consultant Jim Feist Associate Editor Ken Walters Copy Editor
Bruce Adelsohn
Advertising Director
Linda Kaars **Art Director** Ron Comeau Assistant Art Director
Diane Fassler
Typography Director
Carol Quibell Assistant Typography Director Geri Addamo Assistant Bookkeeper Barbara Cheshire Director of Computer Programming John Marciano **Director of Communications** Lisa Alison Credit Manager Joanne Dembinski
DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY:
Chairman of the Board & Chief Executive Officer Edward C. Horowitz President & Chief Operating Officer Royce Kanofsky Directors
Stephen B. Schneer, David Palgon
Vice President/Sales Marvin Kanofsky Vice President/Publishing Vice President/Editor-in-Chief David Scott
Vice President/Austin Edge Jack Stoberski Vice President/Horse Racing Division David Rosenthal Sales Manager Ben Dicker Senior Sports Consultant Howard Moyal Stock Listed:
NASDAQ (Symbol PIKS)
Transfer Agent:
Continental Stock Transfer Attorneys: Bandler & Kass Auditors: Mann Judd Landau

COLLEGE FOOTBALL '85 by JIM FEIST and GARY AUSTIN is published annually and is distributed by CCC at most newstands in the U.S.A. and Canada. Advertising rates on request. We encourage contributions but cannot be held responsible for unsolicited manuscripts unless accompanied by a stamped, self-addressed envelope. The entire contents of this publication are published as a matter of news and information and are not to be construed as either a suggestion or invitation to speculate. Although College Football '85 by Jim Feist and Gary Austin will do its utmost to protect the best interest of its readers, the publishers cannot be held responsible for any arrangement entered into between advertisers and readers.

Copyright 1985 by American Sports Advisors, Inc. All Right Reserved.
Single copy price \$3.50 (\$4.25 in Canada)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GENERAL HANDICAPPING FEATURES

Home Sweet Home by Jim Feist	24800
The Bookmaker Is Your Enemy by Mike Warren	4 8 0 0
The bookmaker is rout Enemy by winter transmitter in the second in the s	800
The Grack in the Liberty Bell by Jilli Felst	0
	0
A Dozen Granges by Mark Harris	75
King of the bungle by mark harrie	2
A Big Gap in the Big Ten by Gary Austin	
Between the Las Vegas Lines by Mike Warren 24	4
Everything Isn't BYU-tiful by Jim Feist	6
Starting Out in Style by Mark Harris	8
Lone Star Losers by Gary Austin	0
On the TV Tube by Rick Snider	4
An Ivy Education by Jim Feist	6
Football Teams Are Human, Too by Mark Harris	8
Money Management by Gary Austin 4	0
Sooner or Later by Ed "The Professor" Horowitz	4
Early Season Winners by Mark Harris4	6
The Faustian Fallacy by Bruce McClarren 4	8
The Laustian Lanacy by Bidoc Mochanich Titter Titter Titter	71
The bo bystem by La The Fronceson Therewitz Transfer	51
Conlege Couches to the Connection of the Connect	
Three Tests for Any System by Ernie Kaufman	91
Switch Hitters by Ed "The Professor" Horowitz 6	-
Pac Ten problems by Mark Harris 6	55
Hurricane Warnings by Ed "The Professor" Horowitz 6	4
The 10 Commandments of Betting by Ernie Kaufman 6	6
Computer Handicapping by Rick Snider 7	1

1985 COLLEGE INDEX

Air Force	68	lowa 100	Princeton 130
Alabama	69	Iowa St 101	Purdue 131
Arizona	70	Kansas 102	Rice 132
Arizona St	72	Kansas St 103	Rutgers 133
Arkansas	73	Kentucky 104	South Carolina 134
Army	74	LSU 105	SMU 135
Auburn	76	Louisville 106	Southern Miss 136
Baylor	77	Maryland 107	Stanford 137
Boston College	78	Memphis St 108	Syracuse 138
BYU	79	Miami 109	Temple 139
Brown	80	Michigan 110	
California	81	Michigan St 111	Texa 141
Cincinnati,	.82	Minnesota 112	Texas A&M 142
Clemson	83	Mississippi 113	TCU 143
Colgate	84	Mississippi St 114	Texas Tech 144
Colorado	85	Missouri 115	Tulane 145
Columbia	86	Navy 116	Tulsa 146
Cornell	87	Nebraska 117	UCLA 147
Dartmouth	88	North Carolina 118	USC 148
Duke	89	N.C. State 119	
East Carolina	90	Northwestern 120	Virginia 150
Florida	91	Notre Dame 121	Virginia Tech 151
Florida St	92	Ohio St 122	
Georgia	93	Oklahoma 123	
Georgia Tech	94	Oklahoma St 124	
Harvard	95	Oregon 125	
Holy Cross	96	Oregon St 126	
Houston	97	Penn 127	(T (T (T)) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T) (T)
Illinois	98	Penn St 128	
Indiana	99	Pittsburgh 129	

On the Cover: Rueben Mayes, Washington State. (Compliments of Washington State University Sports Information Department)



The Professor Is The Master Of Monday Night Football!

He'll prove it to you FREE!!

CALL HIM ANY MONDAY
BETWEEN 2PM AND 8PM E.S.T.
AND HE'LL GIVE YOU YOUR
1ST MONDAY NIGHT WINNER
ABSOLUTELY FREE!

Call Right Now... Toll Free

WATCH THE
PROFESSOR EVERY
SATURDAY AT 10:30 AM
E.S.T. AS HE PREVIEWS
THE FOOTBALL WEEKEND
ON USA NETWORK

"BEAT THE PRO'S SHOW"

1-800-645-7048

IN N.Y. 1 - 516 - 294 - 2680

THE PROFESSOR PICKS GARDEN CITY, NEW YORK 11530

The Professor Picks is a wholly owned division of American Sports Advisors Inc., a publicly owned corporation (NASDAQ SYMBOL: PIKS)



Meet Jim Feist

James J. (Jim) Feist, now in his second deacde of providing sports handicapping data and selections to clients coast-to-coast, obviously is here to stay. His 24-hour Scorephone service, direct from Las Vegas and Detroit, logs 50,000 calls a day. The Feist in-house printing plant churns out a million sports schedules annually, listing home team, visitor, game time in 4 time zones and provides the reader with space for quarter-by-quarter scores, advance injury information and weather notations. Sixty toll-free lines (at last count) link fans to Feist's sportsline service which updates pointspreads and overs/unders total quotes. Suffice it to say, Feist's private list of clients for his sports selections service is growing

It's a sports information empire. Feist has embraced new computer technology, manned by a staff of 50, to bring his highly-personalized "space-age" service instantly to his client network.

But the electronic hookups, computerized services and multiplex telephone line facilities will never replace the bottom line of Feist's success; WORK.

Feist, 40, says work is the magic key to success. Working harder than the next guy and never letting down in the continuing effort to get the "edge" that makes sure the Feist numbers are better (bettor?) and faster than the competition—numbers that are always accurate and more effective than those used by the company down the street.

Feist was a crackerjack salesman for a variety of enterprises before starting his own chemical company in Philadelphia some years ago. In five years, he sold the chemical concern for enough cash to back him in his dream venture: the launching of a first-class sports information service in Las Vegas, the gambling mecca.

Feist's interest in sports dates back to boyhood days when he bet on the outcome of games, using bottle caps, nickels, quarters and dollar bills. His competition was anyone in the neighborhood who liked to take a chance on the outcome of a sports event. Feist usually won his wagers with his young friends. Later, when he was betting real money he continued his earlier successes, which was fine, except that Feist wanted to know why he won-why he succeeded when others failed. So he analyzed himself and found that he simply outworked the others; he dredged up specialized information that gave him the edge in picking winners. He never bet in the blind. He found dollar-valued situations and acted upon them, following percentage-of-bankroll money management practices.

Feist has devoted his young lifetime to improving his knowledge of sports and games. He's a football fan, sure. A basketball fan. A baseball fan. He loves hockey. But he's also good at poker, gin and pool. At age 17 he won a handsome Mercury coupe in a Philadelphia pool game.

Sportswriter Pete Axthelm of NBC-TV sports and Inside Sports Magazine calls Feist the "Guru of Sports Totals." The Philadelphia Inquirer's Jay Searcy rated Jim Feist Sports "one of the nation's best handicapping services." Jim won The Inquirer's National Sports Handicapping Contest twice and was runner-up on a third occasion. Oui Magazine named Feist among America's "Best 10 handicappers." The accolades seem endless.

Feist, a native of Philadelphia, comes from a musician's background. His father played trumpet in the big bands and Little Jim got to traipse along. Jim met his petite blonde wife, JoAnn in Philadelphia. She is a former Bucks County, Pennsylvania schoolteacher. JoAnn is executive office manager of the Feist enterprises in Las Vegas and when he is on the sports trail (Feist jets more than 100,000 miles a year) she is at the business controls.

Feist believes sports wagering should be expanded to other states. Actually, but two states (Utah and Hawaii) have some kind of legalized gambling, be it horse racing, bingo, the lottery or what have you. "It makes business sense to let state and local government in on the action in the form of taxes," Feist emphasizes.

Anyone wishing to gamble, whatever the sport or game, should set aside a bankroll for that purpose. They should never bet the basic family funds—it always should be money the player can afford to lose. Bet a percentage of the bankroll—that way, you'll be increasing your bets when winning and cutting back when on the inevitable losing roll.


"Sometimes when I'm up at 3 a.m., working on my figures, I can't help but smile when I think of all the others who are sound asleep," Feist says. "I know I'll have the edge come sun-up."

Success is never a fluke," he counsels. "Success is hard work, discipline and a never-ending search for excellence."

Feist concluded:

"Put it this way—the computer and hard work are here to stay."

Jim Feist—poker player, grocery clerk, waiter in the Poconos, pool shooter, gin master, entrepreneur, sports handicapper par excellence, achiever, workaholic, good guy to lost dogs—lots of actionon a real small frame. He's the one the nation turns to for the edge—top handicapping based on superior numbers that are right as rain.



Your Source

Free Schedules

Half-Time Lines

Computerized Service

Hot Line

Up to the Minute Updates



For the latest line from all the Major Sports Books in Las Vegas



The complete Sports Service

Jim Feist monitors line movements both here in Las Vegas and around the country to provide you with the BEST possible numbers. Jim can provide you with changes BEFORE THEY HIT THE BOARD.

Weather Conditions

Injuries

Sunday
Outlaw Line

Personal Service

Time Changes



1-800-634-6891

1-702-873-3677

The information provided by JFS is for news matter only, and is not to be used for the purpose of betting or wagering, and is not to be used to violate any local, state or federal laws.

3110 Polaris Avenue Suite B-21 Las Vegas, NV 89102



Meet Gary Austin

At 4:30 on a Saturday morning, the gambling emporiums along Fremont Street in downtown Las Vegas are still neon bonfires devouring money. The rest of the city is sensibly in darkness. At least most of it is. To the south, past the blue taxiway lights of McCarran International Airport and not far from Wayne Newton's ranch, a pair of palm-lined roads wind through hushed estates of other Las Vegas headliners -Sergio Franchi, Bobbie Gentry, Jerry Vale, Robert Goulet. Among these entertainers' pied-a-terre is the rambling house that Gary Austin purchased in the summer of 1977. Lights are on in Austin's house. He has become a full-time bettor, a sports bettor. Gary has bet increasing amounts on sports since the beginning of the 1970's; now he wagers more than \$30 million a year on football, baseball, basketball and hockey -which is more than the GNP of many nations.

So the lights are on at 4:30 a.m. on a day late in the baseball season. early in the football season. Austin sits in an oversize leather chair at the desk in the office in his home. In the room are four television sets, turned off at this non-sports hour, and bookshelves containing best sellers, college textbooks and numberous trophies won in youthful golf and ping-pong competitions. Austin was the Michigan State ping-pong champion in his sophomore year. There are photographs of his wife, Judy and their children, and one of the 1968 World Champion Detroit Tigers. The rat-a-tat-tat of a teletype machine hammering out the UPI sports wire resounds from Austin's office through the otherwise darkened house. A ticker, the sort used at ball parks, is silent. It is, after all,

nearly dawn and there are no games in progress. Austin had gone to bed at 11, and risen at four, as is his custom.

In 1969, when Continental Can promoted him to West Coast manager of sales, service and distribution, it appeared he had settled into the life of a work-driven, career-minded member of corporate America. Then, one day in 1971, Austin was shooting a round of golf with a friend in Mission Viejo. As often happened, the talk drifted to other sports, to outcomes of games, to bets.

"Ever think about betting sports with a bookie?" His friend asked. "I don't know any bookies."

Austin bet, and the first week he won \$200. In his fifth week he won \$700. "I thought it was all the money in the world," he recalls. "I just wondered if I'd actually get paid that much money by the bookie."

He did get paid, and he kept betting, and he kept winning more often than he lost. Finally he quit his job to devote himself entirely to gambling. His wife, remarkably understanding, did not object.

"The thing about it was the instant reward," Austin says. "It didn't depend on whether the company did well. I didn't have to share the profits of my work with others who maybe weren't working as hard. If I did a good job, I won. Of course, if I did a bad job, I not only didn't get a paycheck, but I also had to give back last week's pay check."

Austin founded the Edge in the Spring of 1979 after gaining a measure of fame among wagerers by winning the first Castaways Pro Football Handicappers Championship in the Fall of 1978. Fifty-six of the biggest sports bettors paid \$1,000 apiece to enter, and Austin got the \$42,000 first prize by winning 62% of his bets, four percentage points better than the second-place finishers. This percentage has never been topped nor tied and is unlikely

to be bettered until Gary himself does it.

During the next four years Gary began to formulate plans for satisfying an ambition - he wanted to become the "Linemaker for the country." It became apparent that the only way to have his line accepted, was to allow people to wager into it. The only way that was possible, was for Gary to open a Sports Book. It was at this juncture in Gary's life when he had to decide between fulfilling his dream or continuing with his highly acclaimed Sports Service. Certainly he couldn't split himself in two. But destiny had a hand in molding Gary's life - a chance meeting brought Gary and Ed Horowitz together, a vertiable marriage made in heaven ensued. The rest is history, Gary joined forces with American Sports Advisors which freed him from the daily regimentation of overseeing his sports service. After months and months of



'The thing about it (betting) was the instant reward. If I did a good job, I won.'



searching for locations, and countless negotiations, it finally came to pass - the grand opening of the Gary Austin Race and Sports Book, known in Las Vegas as "where the numbers originate."

So the lights are still on at 4:30 a.m. at the Austin residence on a day in baseball, or basketball or football. The routine is the same, only the hats change.

SPORTS PLAYERS QUESTIONNA

A strictly confidential survey of the serious sports bettor open exclusively to those who wager a minimum of 100 dollars (or more) per play.

We're inviting readers of this annual to complete this page. Please check the appropriate boxes by supplying information from your personal point of view.

We assure you that your identity and all information will be kept in the strictest confidence. Information received will be used solely for the purpose of determining editorial content for future publications.

To participate you must wager \$100 per play or more, return this page (facsimiles will not be accepted) and return to us as soon as possible.

I □ Pro Football Weekly

R Wall St. Journal

In appreciation for taking the time to complete and return this survey, we will send to you a COMPLIMENTARY COPY OF Mike Warren & The Professor's

1985 College Football Guide to Winning DEADLINE: In order to receive your

complimentary gift, ASA must be in receipt of this survey by October 1, 1985. NO FACSIMILIE/COPIES WILL BE ACCEPTED.

1. Are you	525	9. What percentage of	f your	wagerin	g is			our TV viewing is
A□Male	B□Female	placed on				made u	p of sports (p	lease include
2. Age		A□NFL Football		-			age next to ea	ich sport viewed)?
A□18 to 21	D□40 to 49	B□College Football				6 A□NFL Foo		%
B□22 to 29	E□50 to 59	C□USFL				6 B□College	Football	%
C□30 to 39	F□60 and older	D□Pro Basketball		_	9	6 C□USFL		
OE130 to 39	r 🗆 oo and older	E□College Basketball			9	6 D□Pro Bask	ketball	%
3. Education		F□Baseball			9	6 E□College I	Basketball	%
A□High school graduate	D□Postgraduate	G□Hockey		_	9	√ F□ Baseball		%
B□Attended college	studies	H□Track (flats)				6 G□Hockey		
C□Graduated college	E□Vocational	I □Track (trotters)				6 H□Horse ra	cina	
	ELIVOGATIONAL	J□Jai Alai			9/	6 I □Golf tour	naments	%
4. Marital status	San	K□Casino Gambling				6 J□Boxing		%
A□Single	D□Separated	L□Boxing		-		6 K□Wrestlin	a	
B□Married	E□Widow/Widower	40 Ham affect de con-	2233			L□Car racin		%
C□Divorced		10. How often do you				M□0lympic		%
5. Annual income		sports wagering (N□Pro Bowi		%
A□Up to \$12,000	F□\$35,000-\$49,999		-	Weekly	Other			
		1. NFL			-	14. Which s	ports do you	participate in?
B□\$12,000-\$14,999	G□\$50,000-\$74,999	2. College				A□Baseball		I □Skating
C□\$15,000-\$19,999	H□\$75,000-\$99,999					B □ Basketba	all	J□Skiing
D□\$20,000-\$24,999	I □\$100,000 or over					C□Bowling		K□Soccer
E□\$25,000-\$34,000		1. Pro				D□Boxing		L□Softball
6. Occupation	A-4	2. College				E□Football		M□Swimming
A Professional/Technical	FEStudent	C Baseball				F□Golf		N□Tennis
B□Manager/Admin.	G□Military	1. National				G□Hockey		0□Wrestling
C□Sales	H□Self-employed	2. American				H□Running		
D□Craftsman	I □Other	D Track						
E□Civil servant	i moniei	1. Flats						of sports news is:
ELIGIVII SCIVAIII		2. Trotters			-	A□Televisio	in	D□Weekly/News
7. How do you rate your in	terest in sports	11. How often do you	anna	-	no of	B□Radio		Mags
betting?			Buñaf	le in tota	112 01	C□Newspap	pers	E□Scorephone
A□Very heavy-more than	\$1,000 per play	casino gambling?						F□Speedwire
B Heavy -\$500-\$1,000 p		A□28 or more days p	er yea	ar .		Thank you	for parti	cipating your
C□Serious-\$300-\$500 p	er play	B□20-27 days				opinions a	are respec	ted and appre-
D□ Moderate-\$100-\$300	per play	C□10-19 days				ciated.	and arrest	And the second of the second
E□Modest-Under \$100 p		D□1-9 days				NAME		
		E□Vacations only						
8. Which Publications do y		F□none				ADDRESS		
A□Coll. & Pro News Wkly		12. If you engage in c	asino	gambling	g, what do			
B□Esquire	K□Sail	you play most?				CITY	STATE	ZIP
C□Football News	L□Sport	A□roulette		D□poke	r			
D□Forbes	M□Sporting News	B□blackjack			nachines	PHONE NO		
E□Inside Sports	N□Sports Illustrated	C□craps		FDbacca			OCT	1
F□Newsweek	O□Tennis World	C. State C.			21.00	MAIL	LIA LIA	
G□Penthouse	P□USA Today					TO:	Sports Re	search Division
H□Playboy	Q□Time						112 Wave	rly Place

112 Waverly Place

New York, New York 10011

Handicapping Theories and Techniques



Vacations are fine, but it always feels good to be home. Take off your shoes, pull up a chair and relax. Hawaii was great, but Piscataway is better. After two weeks in a foreign land, there is no place like home.

Ralph Sampson was one of the most sought after college basketball players of all time and he stayed in college one more year because he enjoyed the surroundings. He felt like he was at home, he wanted to enjoy it. He was comfortable. I use him as an example of what college life can be. The football player is no different. The college fan, especially the football fan is also no different. If his team has been away for a while, he looks forward to its return.

I have checked the records of major football teams and discovered that there is a winning factor revolving around the first home game of the year. Generally speaking, we can expect the home team to be more enthusiastic than the visiting team on opening day. This is the game that the hell known as Spring Practice was leading up to. It all comes out. Weeks of preparation, sweat, bruises and anticipation come together on opening day.

All this emotion can go to waste on the road. I was curious about how long this emotion could be bottled and stored away. Research uncovered a winning factor that was very strong. Since 1973, any team that plays its first two games on the road and is finally at home the third game is a good bet, but only as a dog. It makes no difference how they did on the road, the fact they are at home against a stronger club makes them a good

The theory is simple: BET ANY HOME UNDERDOG IN THE THIRD GAME IF THE FIRST TWO WERE ON THE ROAD. The 11 year record for this system is a very profitable 18-8. Last year showed a 2-0 log against the spread.

It was a pair of unlikely heroes that provided the system with both victories. Northwestern, long the doormat of the Big Ten, began the festivities on September 1 by dropping a 24-16 decision to Illinois. The fact that the Wildcats kept it close (the Illini were a 28point favorite) perhaps was a harbinger of things to come. The following week the Cats lost to Washington, 26-0, this game also on the road. The spread (which is not a factor but is offered here merely for information) was 26. Having lost two straight up decisions on the road, the Wildcats returned home as a 14-point underdog to Syracuse, thus qualifying as a

play for the system. Northwestern lost, but the score was 13-12 and Wildcat backers collected.

Temple, a team more noted for its basketball prowess, gave the system its second winner. The Owls opened up their season on September 8 in East Carolina, getting 7 points from the Pirates. They didn't need the points, winning 17-0. On September 15 the Owls were on the road again, this time at Rutgers where they were beaten by a point (10-9). The Scarlet Knights had been a 2-point pick. The following week it was time for Owl rooters to get fat as Temple returned home to face an overrated Pittsburgh squad. The Panthers were a solid 8point favorite, but the Owl defense did the job and the attack was just potent enough to provide Temple with an outright 13-12 triumph.

Northwestern and Temple were the only plays last year so the system was a perfect 2-0. It seems that emotion will still run high even into the third game. It figures. The team is home and has been waiting to show the home crowd something and the crowd is cheering beause it has been a long vear plus two weeks since they could show the team how much they missed them. It is also the time my bookie tells me he would like to miss me.

GARY AUSTIN . . . HE MAKES THE LINE!

		William .			Sing
FAGLES	31/2	126	COLTS		- Late 1
COWBOYS	7ŧ		RAMS	74	
GIANTS		1	BENGALS	9	N
RAIDERS	5	MI	49'8s	5	
DOLPHINS	9		CHARGERS		- 10 6 10 1
BUCS			FALCONS	34	

Don't Settle For Second-Hand Information. If You're A Player Or A Dealer You'll Want The Best Information Possible, And That's All You'll Get From

THE AUSTIN LINE

"WHERE THE NUMBERS ORIGINATE"

- * PERSONAL SERVICE FOR EVERYONE (NO TAPES, ETC.)
- **★** OUTLAW LINES
- **#** UP TO THE MINUTE CHANGES
- * FREE SCHEDULES (AS MANY AS YOU NEED)
- * WEATHER CONDITIONS
- * INJURY REPORTS

FOOTBALL'S NO. 1 LINE SERVICE

1-800-821-2687 1-702-362-1120







4535 W. SAHARA • SUITE 105 • DEPT. 11J LAS VEGAS, NV 89102

The information provided by ALS is for news matter only, and is not to be used for the purpose of betting or wagering, and is not to be used to violate any local, state or federal laws.

Handicapping Theories and Techniques



Does North Carolina possess some mystical powers? Are there supernatural forces at work in Chapel Hill that allow for continued success year after year? What is it that makes the Tar Heels such a force in both basketball and football?

It is rare when a school constantly cracks the top ten in basketball. It is rare when a school constantly rises to the top in every football poll, year after year. Imagine how often it happens when a school is always near the top in both sports. Few colleges have athletic departments that are this successful. Texas and Oregon State are two who have completely opposite fortunes in the two sports.

The success at Chapel Hill can be attributed to the guidance of two strong and well prepared coaches. Dean Smith is one of the premier coaches in college basketball and his teams play aggressive defense and steady offense. They are never out of any game and are usually ahead when the buzzer sounds. Dick Crum is the football coach and his teams play aggressive defense. They are usually in every game until the end.

What this means is that pointspread victories can be garnered by following teams that win constantly. In the case of the North Carolina football team there are areas that abound with winning edges. One of the most prevalent is as an underdog. Since 1973, the Tar Heels have an awesome 28-8 mark against the spread as a dog. That is a 77.7% winning mark. This theory can be stated simply: BET ON NORTH CAROLINA ANY TIME THE TAR HEELS ARE AN UNDERDOG.

The record can be broken down into two categories. During regular season play, the Heels sport a winning mark of 24-7. There were five plays last year, the most in nearly a decade. North Carolina managed a 3-2 mark against the spread, down from the recent near-gaudy 80 percent success rate, but still a profitable 60 percent, nevertheless.

The first winning play occurred on October 6 when the Tar Heels were an uncharacteristic 14-point underdog to ACC rival Clemson. North Carolina kept the Tigers caged well enough to lose by eight (12-20) and reward their followers who gobbled up the excessive spread. Three weeks later, the Heels were a 7-point dog to Memphis State, but made the Tigers eat dog meat on their own turf when they won outright, 30-27. The third and final triumph took place on November 17 when North Carolina was a home underdog (+ 31/2) to upstart Virginia. The Tar Heels wound up in a 24-24 tie with the Cavaliers, but it was an outright victory for those who wagered on North Carolina.


The second part of the breakdown involves their bowl game record. It is 4-1 as a dog. Last year was a seldom-seen down season for the Heels and they were not invited to a bowl.

Coming off a dismal campaign, North Carolina can expect to be an underdog more than once again this season. The wise bettor will be waiting for these occasions with loaded wallet. Given the continuing success of this system, that seems like a pretty good idea.

WORKOUT FOR TAR HEEL TRAUMA

YEAR	SEASON	BOWL		7
1984	3-2	0-0		
1983	0-0	0-0		
1982	1-0	1-0		
1981	1-0	0-0		
1980	0-1	0-0		
1979	1-0	1-0		
1978	3-0	0-0		
1977	4-0	1-0		
1976	3-1	0-1		
1975	5-0	0-0		
1974	1-1	1-0		
1973	2-2	0-0		
TOTAL	24-7	4-1	28-8	.7

When the Summer Gets Hot, **Gary Austin's Even Hotter**



My July & Aug. daily major moves must be played or you should't be playing baseball

Stop Sweating! Start Winning!

Call Gary Right Now & He Will Give You Your First Major Move!

1-800-634-3472

or 1-702-733-0421

"When Gary Austin moves...Las Vegas shakes!"



HANDICAPPING THEORIES & TECHNIQUES

The Vegas Bookmaker is Your Enemy

By MIKE WARREN

There are a lot of people who believe the best friend they have in the world is their bookmaker. He is so nice to them. Nothing is out of the question—especially if one is a high roller. The bookmaker wants his clients smiling and happy. There is nothing worse to deal with then an unhappy bettor—and bookmakers work overtime to see that you're happy—even when you're losing.

Smart bettors have nothing but a business relationship with their bookmakers. That keeps them at arms-length distance they need to preserve a relationship in which

there is no con on either party's part.

The word may seem too strong, but in truth, the bookmaker is your **enemy**. He has one goal in mind — and that is to beat you and then collect when he does. How can an individual whose only goal in life is to take your money be your friend? He is the enemy and never forget it.

Take every edge that you can in beating your bookmaker. Shop around for lines, demand prompt payment when you win, call his hand when he offers you a bad line. Let him know that you're no chump—that you're a smart bettor who intends to take every edge that you can to beat him. This one thing alone will put your relationship on the same level at which he looks at you—and it becomes all business.

In addition to trying to be your friend, the bookmaker is forced to be a credit analyst, a bookkeeper, a student of odds, and master of debits and credits, a collection agen-

cy, a banker and, on occasion, an enforcer.

The friendly image portrayed by the bookmaker throws many a gambler for a loop. He calls his bookmaker in the same manner he would ask a priest to pray for him. This confidential relationship seems to make bettors drop their guards when they are dealing with their friendly bookmaker.

Think of how many times you, or someone you've known, has approached his bookmaker as if he were merely having a drink with his best friend. If you think about it, you will realize that what you have here is one party which is all business and another which acts as if he is in church. Is it any wonder the bookmaker drives the big cars and lives in the big houses?

Bookmakers use this friendly, outgoing demeanor to get you to drop your guard—and they then stick it to you. How, you might ask, can bookmakers beat players?

Doesn't everyone play by the same rules?

If you believe that, you're friendly bookmaker has wrapped you around his little finger. He has you right where he wants you. Most bookmakers are honest in that they will pay you if you beat them—so long as you don't beat them for too much.

Friends?

What about those bookmakers who charge you 6-5 instead of 10-11 vigorish on games? Jessie James wore a mask. These guys rape and plunder without shame. It's highway robbery in the fast lane and bookmakers are only able to do it because they believe you are a sick gambler who must have the action.

In case you are a novice and do not understand juice or vigorish, keep in mind the average bookmaker is not that at all. He is a refined accountant whose only job is to balance his book and get five percent fee for handling lost bets.

For instance, when you bet with a legitimate bookmaker, he will ask you to lay \$11 to win \$10. This means that, if you lose, you owe him \$11. If you win, he owes you \$10. The extra \$1 charge for losers is his commission for handling the bet. Good bookmakers work on that fee, too, and not by gambling on games by taking a disproportionate amount of action on any single team.

If Washington is playing Dallas, the good bookmaker would have an equal amount of money on both teams. If he had a total of \$10,000 bet on the game, he would want

\$5,000 on Washington and \$5,000 on Dallas.

When the game was over, he would owe the winners \$5,000 and would collect \$5,500 from the losers, ie 11-10. The \$500 would be his profit for handling the action. If he were asking 6-5, which is highway robbery, he would collect \$6,000 from the losers, and a lot of bookmakers do just that.

Friends?

The biggest trap any bookmaker can set for a bettor is that of following local teams. For instance, there is no greater sucker in the world than a University of Texas football fan living in Dallas. He lives and dies with those Longhorns and he'll pay almost any price to bet on them. The same could be said for almost any university in the country, whether it be Michigan, Notre Dame, Alabama, Georgia, Southern Cal, Ohio State or Kentucky. Fans like to bet on "their" teams.

Bookmakers know this and make fans pay ridiculous prices to wager on local favorites. Texas might be a two-point favorite over Oklahoma in Las Vegas, Minnesota, New York, Miami and Los Angeles and be a 5½-point favorite in Dallas and Houston. This is highway robbery in its most blatant form and makes those guys who charge 6-5 look like Sunday School teachers.

Betting lines fall with 1½ points of the number 87 percent of the time. What kind of chance do you have when you lay 5½ points in a game in which the actual line is 2?

Little and none. It is as simple as that.

But the friendly bookmakers keep sticking it to the locals and the locals love it. These same people wouldn't pay \$11,000 for a new car they could buy down the block for \$7,000, but gambling makes them put their brains into neutral.

Keep in mind: it is your "friendly' bookmaker who is ripping you off.

Our friend?

There is nothing in the world as low as the forgetful (Continued on Page 35)

WANT TO MAKE MONEY?

Then subscribe to Mike Warren's Football Forecast.

There are many good reasons why you should subscribe to Mike Warren's Football Forecast, but the biggest is making money. Every week, from opening day to the Super Bowl, Mike Warren sends his flagship publication to your door complete with all the information and selections to make you a big winner this football season.

You'll get his Best Bets, which won 73% last year, his Upset Specials that stunned Las Vegas and his Preferred Games in both the college and NFL ranks. The opening Las Vegas lines are printed for your comparison and more stats than you can digest.

But that's not all. Mike and his staff work hard all week providing updates from across the nation, from New York to Los Angeles, from Minnesota to Miami. Our network clues you in on stories not found in local newspapers. Our Las Vegas bureau lets you know what's happening in the gambling capitol of the world.

Plus, Mike Warren reveals his winning systems you can make money on.

Worth its weight in gold, the Football Forecast is just \$50 for a 20week season subscription. If you want to make money this football season, Football Forecast is the right publication for you.

Call toll free for an offer you can't miss.

1-800-638-6890



MIKE WARREN SPORTS

WARREN PROFESSIONAL BUILDING 2601 SISSON STREET BALTIMORE, MD 21211

MIKE! Sign me up today for my subscription to Football Forecast. I can't afford to let winning selections pass me by. Enclosed is my D check □ money order for a \$50 season subscription.

☐ Charge my ☐ Mastercard ☐ Visa ☐ Choice

Exp. Date

NAME

ADDRESS

CITY

STATE

SIGNATURE

EVERYBODY LOVES HIS WINNERS!

Subscribe before September 1 and we'll give you FREE a copy of Mike's celebrated book 'How To Kill Your Las Vegas Bookie". A \$20 value free for subscribing. another great reason not to pass this offer by.





America's #1
Football
Newsletter...
By America's #1
Oddsmaker

Gary Austin's Vegas Pipeline

Each of the 16 weekly issues include:

- Gary's "Monday Morning Moves" (5 to 7 early weekly (Pro and College selections). These moves have consistently hit 60%.
- Gary's personal team logs in a weekly match-up format including previous pointspread histories.
- 3. Gary's famous over/under (total) "Move of the Week."

- 4. Each Pro and College game is predicted and analyzed in detail by Gary and his staff.
- **5.** Pro and College Stats. Every relevant Handicapping Stat that Gary personally utilizes is printed out for you in an exclusive easy-to-read format.

Have The Austin Edge in your pocket this Football season.

Subscribe by 8/15/85 and receive a complimentary copy of Gary Austin & Jim Feist's 1985 College Football Annual. (For details see next page.)



"The Gambler's Pocket Library

Designed Specifically to Fold and Fit in Your Pocket

THE SPOT!

THIS WEEK'S NEW PITTSBURGH GAME IS HIS SPECIAL "MONDAY NIG MOVE OF TH

SAVE 50% ... **ONLY \$40**

WHEN YOU SUBSCRIBE **RIGHT NOW!**

HERE'S HOW:

CALL 1-800-634-3472 OR MAIL **COUPON**

- A 50% EARLY BIRD DISCOUNT AND FREE MAGAZINE FOR ONLY \$40.00 IF ORDERED BY 8/15/85.
- A 40% EARLY BIRD DISCOUNT! ONLY \$48.00 IF ORDERED BY 9/2/85.
- I MISSED THE SEPTEMBER 2nd DEADLINE, BUT I STILL LIKE YOUR \$80 DEAL.
- CHECK/MONEY ORDER
- ☐ CHARGE MY MASTER CARD
- ☐ CHARGE MY VISA CARD

THE AUSTIN EDGE LTD. 3376 South Eastern Avenue Suite 190 Las Vegas, Nevada 89109

AC

CARD NO.

EXPIRATION DATE

NAME_ PHONE _

ADDRESS

CITY_ STATE_

SIGNATURE

Handicapping Theories and Techniques

The Crack in the Liberty Bowl

By JIM FEIST

In 1959 the city of Philadelphia was picked as the site for the newly created Liberty Bowl game. It was perfectly fitting that the city that had the Liberty Bell should host the bowl game. A city so identified with our heritage should be the showcase for some of America's finer football teams. Many people were of the opinion that the sport that was beginning to nudge baseball as the country's favorite should be on display in Philadelphia and what better name than the Liberty Bowl.

This display of patriotism lasted through 1963. At that time free enterprise took over and a search was made for a more profitable site. Eventually the game came to rest in Memphis, Tennessee. Only in America can the Liberty Bell be in Philadelphia and the Liberty Bowl be in Mem-

No matter, what really counts to the professional bettor is how he can show a profit. This puts him into the camp of those in favor of free enterprise. It is only fitting that a trend that is exceptionally strong can be found when examining the results of the Liberty Bowl games since 1973.

The only favorites to cover in the Liberty Bowl game were Penn State in 1979 when a late field goal put them over the top against Tulane, barely overcoming the 2½ point handicap, and Auburn, which won last year. The system is ultra simple: BET THE UNDERDOG IN THE LIBERTY BOWL. Don't consider the spread, the teams, the weather or where it is. If it is the Liberty Bowl, play the dog. Since 1973, the record is 10-2 vs the points. That is a 83.3% winning factor. It is suitable for framing.

In 1983, Doug Flutie and Boston College took on the Irish. It makes no difference that B. C. outplayed Notre Dame, all that really counts is that Notre Dame won the game 19-18 and the favored Eagles flew home to Foxboro wondering how they could miss so many extra points. They were only favored by 1½ and if they had converted they would have won.



The year before, the Liberty Bowl marked the final game in the career of Paul "Bear" Bryant. His Crimson Tide managed to overcome a stubborn Illinois team under the expert guidance of coach Mike White. Unfortunately for the bettors who wagered on the "Bear" they had to lay too many points. Alabama won the game 21-15, but the line was seven. When the smoke had cleared, another dog had covered.

In 1981, powerful Ohio State was averaging 32 points a game with their powerful running and passing attack. They took on the Midshipmen from Navy who's main claim to fame was a tough defense allowing only 13 points a game. Their offense had managed just three points against Army in the final season game. If they were going to stay close, it would be the defense that would do it. The Buckeyes were favored by 14½ and figured to trounce the smaller Middies. Of course, the Buckeyes scored their 31 points, but were lucky to escape with a win as the anemic Navy offense put 28 points on the board. Underdog magic had struck again.

There is no logical reason that I can think of to explain the phenomenal luck the underdog has had in the Liberty Bowl the past 12 years but I have managed to be on the right side for most of these games. If something is working for you, go with it.

		WORKOUT FO	OR LIBERTY BOWL DOG		
YEAR	DOG	LINE	FAVORITE	SCORE	W/L
1984	Arkansas	+21/2	Auburn	15-21	£
1983	Notre Dame	+11/2	Boston College	19-18	W
1982	Illinois	+7	Alabama	15-21	W
1981	Navy	+ 141/2	Ohio State	28-31	W
1980	Purdue	+ 41/2	Missouri	28-25	W
1979	Tulane	+21/2	Penn State	6-9	L
1978	LSU	+7	Missouri	15-20	W
1977	N. Carolina	+61/2	Nebraska	17-21	W
1976	Alabama	+ 31/2	UCLA	36-6	W
1975	USC	+71/2	Texas A&M	20-0	W
1974	Tennessee	+7	Maryland	7-3	W
1973	N.C. State	+2	Kansas	31-18	W
TOTALS				10-2 .833	



The Nevada Sports Schedule Scorephones

ALL the scores ALL the time 702 368-2000

> FREE LINE SERVICE 702 368-1000

> > FREE PICKS 702 368-2040

Our New National "900" Service Lines & Scores . No busy signals 1-900-410-5000*

FREE REGIONAL SCOREPHONES

RICHMOND DETROIT

804 288-1666 313 540-3500

OUISVILLE* 502 976-3500

For your free copy of the Nevada Sports Schedule call 702 362-9910

Mon. - Fri., 8 am - 5 pm P.S.T.

or send this coupon:

Nevada Sp	orts Schedule to:
Name	
Address	, et al. (1)
City	State
I Zip	

HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES

A Dozen Oranges

By MARK HARRIS (All American Sports)

Secreted away in the annals of Syracuse football is winning tradition that goes beyond Jim Brown, Ernie Davis, Floyd little, Larry Csonka and legendary coach Ben Schwartzwalder.

The last twelve years have not been the best in Orange history, but last year's upset of No. 1 ranked Nebraska proved to more than just the Cornhuskers that football is alive and well in the Carrier Dome. There are other signs that winning football never really died in Syracuse, it just took on another form. There is a betting angle hidden that a sharp bettor can take advantage of if he is aware of it.

During the meat of the Schwartzwalder coaching years at Syracuse, there was an angle that had a 17-2 outright mark between the years 1955-72. Since that time, according to my records, there is a betting angle that had its beginning in that era. The Orangemen, for whatever reason have become adept at playing winning football immedi-

ately following any game with Penn State,

Since 1973, Syracuse had covered all twelve betting games following the Nittany Lions. In 1973, the once proud Orangemen were a horrendous 2-9 outright, but in the game following Penn State, they managed to cover the spread against Miami at home. They lost the game 34-23, but they were the recipients of a 17 point spot and the winning magic started. In 1974, the team was a disappointing 2-9 once more, but as a home dog of plus nine vs. Pittsburgh, they lost by eight 21-13. In 1978, the team was an awful 3-8 but managed to cover against Pitt at home as a 12 point dog, losing a heartbreaker 18-17. In 1980, they were favored by one vs Rutgers and managed to escape with a 17-9 victory. In 1982, the Orange was a three touchdown dog at home vs Dan Marino and his Pitt Panthers. They managed to stay close enough to cover, losing 14-0.

Last year was no exception, as the Magic continued. The Cadets from Army under the guidance of Jim Young were off to their best start since General Westmoreland was a private and brought their ground attack into the Carrier Dome. Just as Syracuse controlled the Nebraska ground game, it controlled the Army infantry, coasting to a 27-16 victory and a pointspread victory for some of the sharper bettors in all American sports circles, as the Orange easily covered the seven-point spot.

It seems that Joe Paterno and his charges bring out the best in the Orangemen and they maintain the edge into

the next game.

All things considered, one team I will not bet on this year is the Temple Owls, who have the misfortune of going to Syracuse after the Nittany Lions have gone there.

It will probably be raining inside the Carrier dome on October 26-raining dollars that is.

YEAR	SPREAD		OPPONENT	SCORE	W/L	
1984	SYRACUSE	-7	ARMY	27-16	W	
1983	SYRACUSE	+ 181/2	PITTSBURGH	10-13	W	
1982	SYRACUSE	+ 211/2	PITTSBURGH	0-14	W	
1981	SYRACUSE	+ 23	PITTSBURGH	10-23	W	
1980	SYRACUSE	-1	RUTGERS	17- 9	W	
1979	SYRACUSE	-4	MIAMI, FLA.	25-15	W	
1978	SYRACUSE	+12	PITTSBURGH	17-18	W	
1977	SYRACUSE	+ 18	PITTSBURGH	21-28	W	
1976	SYRACUSE	+ 25	PITTSBURGH	13-23	W	
1975	SYRACUSE	+61/2	BOSTON COL.	22-14	W	
1974	SYRACUSE	+9	PITTSBURGH	13-21	·W	
1973	SYRACUSE	+17	MIAMI, FLA.	23-34	W	
TOTAL		-			12-0	(1.000)

HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES

King of the Jungle

Success in college football is judged by National Championships, total victories and bowl game achievements. Penn State's representation in this triumverate ranks with the best in the country, but the Nittany Lions also have been a source of success for wise wagerers, as well.

Penn State's wagering success is in bowl games, It is there that the Nittany Lions have posted an incredible 9-2 record over the last dozen campaigns. And, breaking it down further, Penn State is a perfect 6-0 (7-0 if you count the pick) as a favorite.

Bettors were denied the chance to bet on Penn State in a post-season encounter for the first time since 1972, last year, but Joe Paterno should have his emerging Lion cubs back in the bowl game fray this season.

When it comes to bowl games, it's a jungle out there...and the Lions are the kings.

Harris


YEAR	1	OPPONENT	LINE	SCORE	W/L
1983	Penn State	Washington	-1	13-10	W
1982	Penn State	Georgia	-3	27-23	- W
1981	Penn State	USC	+11/2	26-10	W
1980	Penn State	Ohio State	Pk	31-19	W
1979	Penn State	Tulane	-21/2	9-6	W
1978	Penn State	Alabama	+1	7-14	L
1977	Penn State	Arizona State	-9	42-30	W
1976	Penn State	Notre Dame	+5	9-20	L
1975	Penn State	Alabama	+121/2	6-13	W
1974	Penn State	Baylor	-31/2	41-20	W
1973	Penn State	LSÚ	-51/2	16-9	W
TOTAL					9-2 82%

"I'm selective with my plays and with my money. That's why

I selected Winners Only."



Handicapping Theories and Techniques



While many still believe in the age old adage that "there's no place like home," let me be the first to inform you that this does not always apply, especially when it comes to betting college football.

In the Big-10, where home favorites traditionally have been strong-thanks largely to the overwhelming success of Michigan and Ohio State-there exists an area where visiting underdogs positively excel. It is in the 7-10 pointspread range. Home favorites in the Big-10 are only 21-38 since 1973 in this area. By betting the away underdog in this spread area, one would have realized 64.4 percent winners. This is a truly remarkable winning average and worthy of constant consideration.

It seems that the difference between the teams has narrowed over the years and the wise bettor is taking advantage of the disappearing disparity. The theory holds even when the team in question is playing an out of conference opponent. As the last dozen Rose Bowls have proven, the Big-10 is not as awe inspiring as it used to be. Their plodding ground control offense can no longer run over opponents because of the bigger and quicker linemen and linebackers all teams employ. They also suffer because of their reputation, because the flashier passing type quarterbacks look upon Big-10 schools as a bad place to display their skills for the pro scouts, so end up going to schools that cater to their abilities.

Colleges like Stanford and Brigham Young have gotten as much press and publicity from Plunkett, Elway, McMahon and Young as Michigan and Ohio State have gotten from Archie Griffin or Pete Johnson.

I am not suggesting that the Big-10 is going the way of the dinosaur, because surely it is not. It is just that its style of play does not allow for mistakes. If you play a ground control, close to the vest type offense and you fall behind, catching up becomes a problem. Fortunately it plays superior defense as a rule and seldom falls behind by that many points. A big team will get its share of victories, but the score will be close and 7-10 points has proven historically too much wood to lay.

The theory then is simple: BET AGAINST ALL BIG-10 HOME FAV-ORITES WHO GIVE AWAY BE-TWEEN 7 AND 10 POINTS.

Last year was not a typical year as the system was only 3-4. However, the three victories for the technique will help illustrate how success can be achieved via this method. Last year's initial play came early, on Septemer 15, when lowa hosted Penn State. The Hawkeyes were a 7-point choice and not only failed to cover, but lost outright

as well, 20-17. A week later (September 22) it was Michigan's turn to fail. The Wolverines were a 7½-point pick to beat Wisconsin but fell short, beating the Badgers but not the spread, 20-14. The third and final victory for the system occurred on October 22 when Minnesota spotted Northwestern 9½ points and barely survived, 31-28.

Last year's losing record was only the second in a dozen campaigns for the system and it would be short-sighted to disavow this method without further evidence of decline. On the contrary, I fully expect the system to bounce back wih a vengeance, with the astute wagerer being the beneficiary. That wagerer could be you.

WORKOUT FOR A BIG GAP IN THE BIG-10

1984		3-4	
1983		1-1	
1982		5-1	
1981		2-1	
1980		5-0	
1979		1-1	
1978	3	1-3	
1977		3-2	
1976		6-3	
1975		4-3	
1974		2-1	
1973		4-1	
TOTAL		38-21	64.


EVERYONE CLAIMS TO BE # 1 ...But What Have They Done To Prove It?


CA\$H WILL PUT ITS 11-YEAR RECORD ON THE LINE BY GIVING YOU THIS ENTIRE WEEKEND (MINIMUM 6 GAMES) ABSOLUTELY FREE!

WINNING IS THE ONLY SURE WAY THE EDUCATED PLAYER CAN PROVE TO HIMSELF "WHICH IS THE LEGITIMATE #1 SERVICE!"


FOR THE LAST 11 YEARS CA\$H HAS BEEN RELEASING ONE "LOCK" SELECTION A WEEK TO ITS CLIENTS. OVER THE ENTIRE 11 YEARS, CA\$H HAD COMPILED A DYNAMITE 75% RECORD (ALL AGAINST THE SPREAD, OF COURSE). IN FACT, OVER THE 11 YEARS, ONLY 3 TIMES HAVE WE EVER LOST TWO LOCK GAMES IN A ROW.

UNFORTUNATELY FOR THE GAMING PUBLIC, EVERY HANDICAPPING COM-PANY IN AMERICA NOW ALSO HAS A "LOCK" GAME WITH A CLAIMED WIN RECORD OF ALMOST 100%, BUT... Let's set the record straight!





Where the Famous Computer Games Originate





Mail Address 27 Bond Street—Room 203 WESTBURY, N.Y. 11590

Wire Address c/o Western Union JAMAICA, N.Y.

CASSHIR

Computer Aided Sports Handicapping. Inc

CA\$H'S FREE MONDAY LIFELINE NUMBER SAVE IT! IT'LL SAVE YOU!

(516) 944-6590

TO BE USED ON MONDAY'S
AFTER YOU'VE DESTROYED
YOURSELF WE'LL
BAIL YOU OUT
MONDAY NIGHT!

Call Today!

TOLL FREE [800]

645-CASH

OR (516) 333-7900

Weekdays 10:00 - 8:00 / Sat. 10:00 - 8:00 / Sun. 10:00 - 4:00

SERVING SPORTS BETTORS SINCE 1974

HANDICAPPING THEORIES & TECHNIQUES



By MIKE WARREN

Say all you want about betting sports, it all comes down to one thing: the line. That number telling what the favorite should win by determines all the action. Either you take it or give it, but you live with it.

Now everyone wants to know about this "golden number". Who makes it? How is it decided? Why is their bookie's number different?

First things first. The line seems baffling to even the serious sports bettors. Let me say one thing: the line is to ensure that action will be even on both teams so the bookie will make his 10 percent vigorish no matter who wins. The line is not what bookies think a team will win by, although it has some relationship.

You have to remember the line comes from humans just like you and me. They make mistakes, but are usually very good. It is made by men with years and years of experience in the business. But it's like Sonny Reizner, director of the Castaway's casino and sports book, says, "The line is not issued from a mountaintop, it is not engraved and it is not infallible."

So the lines are started right after the NFL games are completed and the "Outlaw" line comes out around 10 p.m. (EST). This line is usually given out to high-rollers, who take a shot at it. Sports book owners feel high-rollers will jump on mistakes, costing them less for high-rollers to bang away and then make corrections than to have the general public hit the numbers.

Between the Las Vegas Lines

Also, any late information can alter a line from Sunday night to the Monday morning posting for the general public. All sports books have also consulted with each other by then. Believe me, they do consult each other. No one wants to be real far out and let the punters kill him. There's safety in keeping numbers close. Post too many bad numbers and you're out of business.

Once the line is posted, the bettor is asked to lay 11-10 on either side.

What makes the line move? Usually large amounts bet on one side, but it can be smaller things like an injury or weather report. Sometimes it can be inside information like a player's wife left him Friday. Most sports books in Vegas won't move a line unless a high-roller plunks down amounts like \$10,000, which happens all the time.

Why are games sometimes off-the-board?

"Sometimes there is so much uncertainty about a game that it is taken off until unknown factors can be determined," said Reizner. "Games are taken off the board when both teams have no incentive to play...it occurs when playoff positions have been determined."

But if the Vegas line is three on a game, why does your bookie have it at four? There's nothing that says lines have to be the same and the bookie is working the line to his advantage. You take it or leave it. That's why it's best to have several bookies.

The one line that's relatively new is the overs/unders. Many players put smaller wagers on this. For example, if the overs/unders is 45, you bet whether the game's combined score will be under 45 or over.

Here's a simple method on betting overs/unders. Check whether the teams are offensive or defensive-minded. Then look for high numbers to see if you can find a reason to bet under. It's the oppositie when the overs/unders number is low. Look for reasons to bet high.

But the best advice I can give you on betting the lines is to do your homework. Keep a notebook on all the teams, writing in each week the opening and closing lines, overs/unders, scoring and notes. Then compare them over the season looking for trends. It's good to buy a book having past data too. You can find them in many book stores.

But if all that's too much, if you don't want to spend hours and hours a week like I do, then call me at 1-800-638-6890. I'll devise a program for your personal needs giving you my selections that you can play in confidence.

The Magic Word Is Winners

Lock of the Week Service

Pro Lock of the Year
KANSAS CITY (+4½) 34
SEATTLE 7

Playoff Lock of the Year SAN FRANCISCO (-9) 23 CHICAGO 0

No crystal ball, no abbracadabbra, just good hard work makes MIKE WARREN THE KING OF FOOTBALL LOCKS.

Memberships are now being accepted for the MIKE WARREN LOCK OF THE WEEK SERVICE, the week's best bet given by telephone after MIKE WARREN has given the go ahead.

Call Today Toll Free At Our Expense 1-800-638-6890

For information on the Guaranteed "LOCK OF THE WEEK" service

MIKE WARREN SPORTS

NO HOCUS-POCUS OR MAGIC POTIONS TO IT.
JUST GOOD, SOLID INFORMATION AND WINNERS.

Handicapping Theories and Techniques



Everything Isn't BYU-tiful

By JIM FEIST

In 1889, the Helms Athletic Foundation decided that Princeton was the cream of the college football crop. Thus began the scramble to be Number 1. Since 1950, both the Associated Press and United Press International have crowned their mythical college champions. Usually they agree, but occasionally the sports world is blessed with two college champions. In recent history, this was the case in 1973, when Notre Dame was the choice of the AP and Alabama was the UPI choice. The two major news services also disagreed in 1974 and 1978.

These mythical champions bear the responsibility for being Number 1, as every school gets ready for them the next year. Nothing like whipping Number 1 to feel good. A coach can prolong his career by beating the Number 1 team. I was interested in how the oddsmaker treats these champions in the following year.

In 1972, USC was the champion and since the 1973 season, some interesting pointspread breakdowns uncover some stronger than average plays.

It seems that before the 1984 season, the defending national champion was only 25-39 as an away favorite. The record includes games of both teams whenever the wire ser-

vices split the championship. Miami did not disappoint. They were only 2-3 ATS as a road favorite last year.

In the interests of good handicapping, the record was broken down further to get a better look at the pointspread variables. A favorite of three is considered in a different light than a favorite of 10 or 20.

Since 1973, the away favorite national champion was a very unprofitable but noteworthy 14-28 vs the points when the line as anywhere from minus 10 to minus 29½. When the line gets this high, you know the opponent is outclassed and after a weekly dose of inspired opposition, a weak sister like this is a welcome relief. The defending champ can be forgiven for coasting and not covering the big points, as long as your money is on the dog.

Miami opened the 1984 season on August 27 at the Meadowlands against powerful Auburn. They were a dog of five and responded with a hard earned victory of 20-18. Five days later, the Hurricanes blew into Tampa and played the Florida Gators. They were a six point favorite and managed to win 32-20, but the final score does not indicate the true intensity of the struggle. A fluke Miami score at the end of the game padded the final and made Miami backers a betting winner.

On September 8, Miami visited Michigan and ended up on the short end of a 22-14 score. Even as a one point dog, the Canes needed more to cover.

If anyone thinks Miami was not looking forward to playing Purdue, another thought is in order. The Boiler-makers were an improving lot, but had to play tough just to lose 28-17, but they were a 12 point home dog and supplied the initial 1984 win for the system.

On October 13, the Hurricanes were in Cincinnati and romped to a 49-25 victory. No letdown here, or was there? The spread was Miami minus 25 and the system chalked up another victory.

The system can be stated simply: PLAY AGAINST THE DEFENDING NATIONAL CHAMPION WHEN THE TEAM IS AN AWAY FAVORITE OF MINUS 10 TO MINUS 29½. The results have also been simple to understand: 28 winners and 14 losers since 1973. That is 66.7% and keeps your bookmaker wearing holey socks.

As the 1985 season gets closer, there is the possibility that the Brigham Young Cougars will soon be "dog" bait and the ready handicapper is looking forward to it.

No One Keeps The Public As Informed As...



Scores—Updated Every
15 Minutes
Selections—From The Nation's
Foremost Sports
Analysts.
Lines—The Official
Las Vegas Line

All In The Official Nevada Rotation -

HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES

Starting Out in Style



By MARK HARRIS All-American Sports)

As any respectable race handicapper knows, the start is critical. As soon as the gate flies open, the race has begun. Bumping by inferior horses can sometimes throw the greatest thoroughbreds off their game plan. Any good horse wants a clean break, with no snags and no surprises. A horse that has been on the farm for a rest usually is not at its best and needs a race to make sure the competitive spirit is still there and a proven stakes caliber horse will probably have a race against inferior allowance types as a warm up.

College football is no different. For years, the proven commodity has tried to schedule weak foes for opening games. The employed coach wants to make sure his possible Bowl-bound-club does not stub its toes on a border line team.

The game plan for beating a weak opponent is very simple: break on top and don't get caught. If the team in question can get off to a good start and score early, it can coast to an easy score. Handoffs and ground control offenses take over and the other team is beaten handily, and in fact will probably not see the ball for long periods of time.

By using this though I found a very useful point-spread angle. If you consider that a good team generally wins its opening game and if this team has done so for a length of time, it becomes a good control for any research or experiment. Checking the spread results of any team that had won outright at least its last three opening games bared the following results: Home Favorites on at least a three game opening game winning streak are only 7-16 vs the points the past 12 seasons in college football when the line is -20 to -291/2.

A spread of this size allows the superior team to prove it has offensive firepower and still not cover the spread. The other team, no matter how much less talented is still facing a defense that has little game experience and

will make a mistake from time to time. A score of 28-7 is a thorough beating, but will cover most spreads in the assigned spread range. So, even though there were no plays for this system in the opening plays of 1984, there is the possibility of plays this season and the bettor should be aware of the strength it has shown over the years.

The learning experience did not stop with only this one angle. It was found that there was another significant number that showed up. If this team, the one on the winning streak, does poorly against the spread as a big home favorite, and is good to bet against, it deserves some respect as an away dog.

It was found that during the last 12 years, teams on a three game or more opening week winning streak showed an 18-10 against the spread mark as away dogs. Last year, there record was 3-1 and with the trend in college football toward stronger opening game opponents, the bettor may see more of these plays on the board in opening week 1985.

Oklahoma State is becoming the third team in the Big Eight and from 1981 thru 1983, the team scored opening game victories. In 1984, it opened on the road vs Pac 10 powers Arizona State and the Cowboys were four point dogs. Oklahoma State made new coach Pat Jones a winner as the Sun Devils played dead 45-3, providing a win for the system.

A second play was attributed to the Mississippi State Bulldogs who opened the season at Tulane. The only recent opening game loss the Bulldogs have suffered was by one point to Memphis State in 1979, so they were a bargain at plus two and turned back the Green Wave 30-3, proving an easy winner for the system.

Just as a successful race handicapper always reads the past performance the sports handicapper also looks for clues in the teams past performance. When you are looking for that early season bet, don't forget to check how the teams in question start out of the gate. Just as the horse and the football team that break cleanly generally end up winning, so will the better who breaks on top.

28 Jim Feist and Gary Austin's College Football Preview

AADSS COLLEGE CHAMP

All American Sports has earned its reputation, and it's one that's carved in stone, out of pure, solid winnings! It's unparalleled and unmatched. It's the kind of earnings that took All American and its clients to the top of the college football heap.

And just to prove that All American is still as solid as ever, you can have your first rock solid college selection FREE of charge. It's a #1 play from the #1 service ABSOLUTELY FREE! Why not start your season with a solid **FREE** winner? Why not end your season with the service that makes you a winner? And that's All American Sports. Call toll free now.


1-800-645-5656 In New York 516-666-4500 MasterCard & VISA accepted.

Start your season with a rock solid winner FREE!

ALL ATTERICAN SECRETS
65 Park Avenue, Bayshore, New York 11706



Handicapping Theories and Techniques



The Southwest Conference is like every other in that there are strong teams, medium range teams and poor teams. Rice is the worst and has been for years. TCU and Texas Tech have had intermittent success through the last ten years, but nothing to brag about. The others have taken turns at the top of the pack or at least near it. It has always been a tough conference for the handicapper to get a line on because of the constant fluctuation of power.

The SWC is unlike any other major conference in that almost all of the member teams are from the same state. With the exception of Arkansas, every member of the SWC is located in Texas. State rivalries are inherent and occur almost every week. Every game is a big game. If Texas beats Houston 50-0 in football, don't be surprised to see Houston beat Texas 106-63 in basketball. These rivalries are a part of every day life. The only thing that will make them madder is an outsider like Arkansas horning in on

their territory.

A study of SWC game results for the past 11 years turns up some interesting spread results. There is money to be made betting against SWC home favorites of less than 10, but only in night games. Since 1973, this record is 23-13 vs the points. That is a winning edge of 63.9% and gives us a good area in which to look for profit.

The system is simple: BET AGAINST ANY HOME FAVORITE LESS THAN 10 IN ANY SWC NIGHT GAME. Last year the record was 2-1 and included some exciting Texas style football.

The system produced just three plays last year, but two of them were winners, and that's what counts. The first triumph took place on October 6 when Baylor played host to Houston in Waco. The Bears were a solid 7-point choice to upend the upstart Cougars under the lights, but Houston, which would go on to surprise a lot of people by winning the SWC crown, came out

on top, 27-17. The Cougars, thus, didn't even need the points.

A week later it was Houston who was on the losing end of the system. Based partially on the Cougars' defeat of Baylor, the Coogs were installed as a 3½-point favorite against Texas A&M. Houston escaped, but the 2-point victory (9-7) wasn't enough to cover the spread and another SWC home favorite got shorted out under the lights in Texas.

Texans take their football seriously and since Judge Roy Bean started hanging people, they take out their anger on the football field.

A lot of football is played at night in Texas because of the heat and because they have the whole day to get into the swing of things. When Texans have the whole day to get into the mood they generally respond with winning football, even at the expense of the host and if you play it right, at the expense of your bookie.

1-800-524-1238

1-609-346-2761 in NJ • Hawaii • Alaska

Edmund Q

The End of the Rainbow

1984 H & H SPORTING TIMES Handicapper of the Year Award to Edmund Slick

80%

POINTSPREAD WINNERS

88888888

EDMUND SLICK'S 10 ☼ Gold College Football Lifetime Record

Pct.

25 86%


Your first 10 \$\times \text{Gold Winner} ABSOLUTELY and POSITIVELY

Name, address and phone number is our only requirement from our



EDMUND SLICK can be seen on National S.P.N. Cable TV every Thursday evening (beginning Aug. 29, 1985) on VEGAS-SPORTSLINE

8 P.M. Eastern-7 P.M. Central 6 P.M. Mountain-5 P.M. Pacific



EVERYTHING IS GUARANTEED

1-800-524-1238

1-609-346-2761 in NJ . Hawaii . Alaska





Ed Cordially Invites You to Call His Sizzling DIAL-A-PRO HOTLINE 1-609-346-1767

OPEN 24 HOURS - TAPE RECORDED MESSAGE

FEATURING THE NATION'S MOST RESPECTED SPORTS ADVISORS

- RICHARD BOMZE (Sports Reporter
- DAVE COKIN SPORTS (Pawtuckett, RI)
- CHRIS CONNERS SPORTS . JOE GAVOZZI (Apple Valley, CA)
- DWIGHT DAVIS (Sportscaster)
- GERRY DIANTONIO (Baystate Sportswire)
- . JIM FEIST SPORTS (Las Vegas NV)
- GARY FINCH (Lone Star Sports)
- (Private Players)
- DENNIS HILL (King of the Hill Sports) (Northeast Sportsline)
- GARY LONDON (National Sports Wire)
- MOREY MOSEMAN (Doc's Enterprises)
- PETE PALMER SPORTS (Plymouth, MASS)
- . JIM PETTIT (Computer Facts)
- TOM PORSCHE
- BRUCE SCOTT (Okie Sportswire)
- TONY SALINAS (High Rollers Inc.)
- RIP ROSSI (Atlantic City Sports)
- SAM TARBANIA (Margin Sports)
- DONN WAGNER (Alleghenies Analysis)

Each and Every Day a Complimentary Selection Including the Edmund Slick Edges, Angles, Systems and Trends Weekend Report

\$45 \$36

BUYS YOU THE ONLY WEEKLY REPORT THAT WAS 60% AGAINST THE SPREAD FOR THE ENTIRE 1984 SEASON...

THE PROFESSOR'S WEEKLY REPORT INCLUDES EVERYTHING THE FOOTBALL BETTOR NEEDS TO KNOW...

- The Professor's Key Early Bird Releases...a guaranteed 60% winning record or you can have your money back.
- Side-by-side team match-ups and complete previous pointspread and records for each pro and college game in the up-coming week.
- The Professor's exclusive worksheet schedule in the nationally recognized format, which makes it easy for you to jot down several pointspread lines AND to keep an accurate log of wins and losses through the week-end.
- The Professor's point-spread prediction and analysis of every pro and college game.

- The most accurate "Early Bird Vegas Line" in the country on all pro and college games.
- How's the competition doing? The Professor's weekly report monitors the prediction accuracy of every major football publication and each week includes a chart with their up-fo-date won-lost percentages.
- E-Z fold features allows it to fit in your pocket.
- Comprehensive pro and college injury information.

FREE! Early Bird Bonus

If you subscribe by August 15, 1985, we'll send you, absolutely free, The Professor & Mike Warren's Guide to Winning Pro Football, a 162-page annual that no smart football fan can dare do without.

Rofessor's Picks One Commercial Avenue, Garden City, New York 11530

1-800-645-7048

516-294-2680

FOR THE 5th STRAIGHT YEAR
THE PROFESSOR'S
WEEKLY REPORT
was the Nation's #1 Football
Handicapping Newsletter
with a documented
60%
Winning Record

Marian State	OFESSOR'S EXCLUSIVE WORKS	HEET SCHEDULE
	DEESSOR'S EXCLUSIVE WORKS	TOTAL Mine Laudes Work (Lauf)
THE PRO	JFESSO(1 9	
SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5 - NAT'L. FOOTBALL LI	EAGUE Completers was florif	6 62 6
SATURDAY, SEPTEMBERS TIM FRED	Wine Leases Was (Lord	NAT'L. FOOTBALL LEAGUE
Teams (EET) Line VI NL		MAT'L. FOOTBALL LESOO 940
	L SUISE CITY CHIEFS . 38%	7/200
1. TAMPA BAY BUCS SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5 — COLLEGE FOOTBAL SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5 — COLLEGE FOOTBAL SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 5 — COLLEGE FOOTBAL SATURDAY 1. SEPTEMBER 1.30 THE MONEY MANAGEMER 1. SEPTEMBER 1.30 THE MONEY MANAGEMER 1. SEPTEMBER 1.30 KEEPS TRACK OF VERNEY 1. SEAS CHRISTIAN POINTS PREAD MOYETS ACT 1. SEAS CHRISTIAN ND SEASON PROFITS ACT 1.	THELERS OLES 100 STI 34	3/2 3/2 4 (220) 720
1 TENNESSEE 1:30 7	NT JES JEEKLY LEAS JOO 41	6 46/2 6.2
8. NO. TEXAS ST. 9. NO. TEXAS ST. 1.30 1.00 C.	BALLY, WELL TO THE TO T	3 2/2 3
8 KENTUCKY 7- ILLING 1- 100 8- PITTSBURGH 1- MISSESSEPP 1-	TS, LOSSES YER DOSKINS	13 -132013/2 200
MISSISSIPPI THE TRACK MOVETS A	TRALL PLA	OTBALL MATCHUPS
11 MEMPHIS 51 11 MEMPHIS 51 12 MISSISSUPILIST THAN 12 MISSISSUPILIST THAN 13 POINTS PRENT PROTY FOR	THE PO	OTBALL MATCHINE
13. TEXAS CHRISTIAN POND SEASOR EVEN ARGE	George Wright 6.3 HOW IT WO!	RKS MATCHUPS
11 MEMPHIS 31 12 MESSESSIPPI ST 12 TEAS CHRISTIAN 14 AUGUST 15 TEAS ANN 16 CALFORNIA 17 FLORIOA A MUST FOR EVERY FOR AND SEASON PROF AND SEAS	GOO JOS TOWN AT THE CORE	MOTE: Due to
	ATCHUPS A 1 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	near one
18 MANI IFLAT	NEW ORLEANS (00 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	A SIDE-BY-SIDE ANALYSIS
20. NO. GAROLINA ST NEL GRID NEL GRID 121 SOUTH CAROLINA NEL GRID	1881 School 100 1277 POINTSPREAD RECORD	A SIDE-BY-SIDE ANALYSIS OF THIS WEEK'S COLLEGE GAM OF THIS WEEK'S COLLEGE GAM
22 WAKE FORES COURSVILLE	20 H San Highester 100 Process Contract to Pro	OF THIS TO HANDICAP
22 WARE FORES 22 LOUISVILLE 24 FEORIDA ST. 25 RUTGERS	20 in process To show the control of the control o	IN EASY-10-TIME.
W SYRACIPE		MATOR
A SIDE-BY-SIDE ANALYSIS OF THIS WEEK'S NFL OPPONENTS OF THIS TO-HANDICAP	Charley Past CONCOL 74 Charley Past Concol Co	
OF THIS WEEK NOICAP	12 Farman (-24) [2-3] 3 Findle (-27) 12 (-27) 13 Findle (-27) 13 (100 Destroy 100 Destroy
MATCH-UP FORM.	15 Maryland 17 150 17 150 18 Maryland 17 17 19 18 Maryland 17 19 18 Mary	18 Marrie 21 (81) (32) 3 Married 21 (81) 120
MATCHE	Companies September Septem	
INTO OTES	1000 FC007700 10-10 (1) 171 17 18 1 19 1 19 1	24 Table (14 (34.20)
HANDIO: 14 1961 September	1 20 20 postero Action Record American	14 - Tudang (ML) (10-24) 16 at CSU (ML) (16-2)
THEOL	20 2 20 20 20 20 20 20	Achie Reine State Amy 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 1997 199
HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TE	C. 2 EASY WAYS TO SUBS	CDIDEI
	Z EAST WATS TO SUBS	
has been system		IN COUPON AND MAIL AL
grand ole pame	800-645-7048	THE PROFESSOR'S PICKS
OCTACY IN	One C	Commercial Ave., Garden City, NY 11530 CHECK/MONEY ORDER ENCLOSED
EVERY WELL THEON	□ A 20% EARLY BIRD DISCOUNT	
	AND FREE MAGAZINE ONLY \$36 IF	☐ CHARGE MY MASTER CARD
WINNING STOLENS OR TECHNIQUE IS OR TECHNIQUE IS OR TECHNIQUE IS EXAMINED AND PROVED EXAMINED AND PROVED Official Offici	1710	☐ CHARGE MY VISA CARD
EXAMINET PERFORMANDE Vice a lunder	1994 COR IE ODDEDED DV 0/2/05	EXPIRATION DATE
Ighte and Ighte		CARD NO.
hod which so Have developed FILL IN	BUT I STILL LIKE YOUR \$45. DEAL.	
of horse racing over a weak on THIS COLIDON	S.	
m in a county to a high train a county tr	NAME	
m in a couple of easy to a big of a couple of easy spots. "Hightener" and the same that a couple of easy spots. "Hightener" and the same that a couple of easy spots.	NAME	
Inforcephored reasy spots. "Illohener" and the same the		
•	CITY	STATE ZIP
	PHONE	1

HANDICAPPING THEORIES & TECHNIQUES

On the TV Tube

By RICK SNIDER

It is an effort in controlled madness, like any other television show. Unlike most, however, it is designed to make the viewer money.

Mike Warren's Inside & Outside Sports will return to cable television's Satellite Programming Network August 30th for its second season after finishing its first run with America's Number One Handicapper—Mike Warren—hitting 70 percent of his selections in football and basketball.

You would think 70 percent winners is a point of pleasure for Warren, knowing few handicappers can ever make that claim while no one has done it on national television. But to those who know Mike Warren, they know that the incredible 70 percent winners aren't enough. Warren is a madman when it comes to picking winners. If it's not 100 percent, he's not happy.

"Some people were really happy with 70 percent winners," said Warren, "but not me. I don't like losing. This year, I may not make it to 100 percent, but I'm going to try."

Warren is also expanding his show to two shows. On Fridays at 11 p.m. (est), a college show looking at the nation's top games will be handicapped by Warren with cohost Pat O'Malley adding data sure to make you a winner. Mike will also rank the nation's teams and explain which are the best for bettors while providing his exclusive lines

on top contests.

Saturdays at 11 p.m. (est), the NFL show will have Warren and special guest Billy Kilmer running down the Sunday card. kilmer, who played 18 years in the NFL and had one Super Bowl start, started his own handicapping service last year and hit a sound 60 percent service winners and over 80 percent parlays. His NFL insight will show viewers how he hit those high marks.

On both shows, Mike will reveal systems and secrets that have made him the nation's top handicapper.

"You can pretty well see we're really committed to making this show a big success this season," said Warren. "Having people like Billy Kilmer, who was on several times last year, brings even more insight and I think helps viewers. Billy and I probably won't agree all the time, but he'll have to settle for being wrong sometimes."

"No way," laughed Kilmer on the comment of his being America's Number Two handicapper. "Mike is number one right now, but I'm coming after him this fall. Tell the people to watch because I'll be the one giving out the winners."

The final word on who will be right starts August 30. With winners being the topic, can you afford not to tune in?

"Hey, if they can't stay up that late, tell them to call me at 1-800-638-6890 and I'll tell them how they can be winners," said Warren, referring to his money-making services.



TV Hosts Pat O'Malley (left), and Mike Warren.

(Continued From Page 14)

bookmaker.

How about those haunting words "You didn't bet on San Francisco. You bet on Cincinnati. You owe me. I don't owe you."

And where hardly walks a bettor who hasn't heard those words, especially if he has just rattled the bookmaker for a lot of money. And bettors have little or no recourse. The bookmaker can tell the entire community that the bettor is senile—that he's done this before—and that is the end of that.

One could go on and on about the negative side of bookmakers, but suffice it to say that the man on the corner is not a friend. He is the enemy. The biggest ENEMY as we bet a lot of money. While he gives us the impression that he is "our man" and "our friend" he is working overtime to beat us at every corner and to not pay us.

There are a lot of protections a bettor can have against unscrupulous bookmakers and we'll review a few of them

First of all, no one should just walk up and bet with any bookmaker. You should get references before you wager one thin dime. Ask a lot of questions. Things such as:

1. If I lose, when do I pay?

2. If I win, when do I get paid?

- 3. Are there any limits to how big a payoff I can get? (a friend of mine once found out the hard way there was no limit to how much action a bookmaker would take. There was only a limit on how much he would pay out on each bet. And this happened with a legal, legitimate bookmaker in Las Vegas.)
 - 4. Are there limits on how much I can bet?

THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION

OF DOCUMENTED SPORTS SERVICES
HANDICAPPING CHAMPIONSHIP

This certificate of achievement is awarded to

WALLY HARRIS & JD HAY

ul

TEXAS SPORTS BROKERS

for finishing in FIRST place in the

PERCENTAGE division of the

American Association of Documented Sports Services

NCAA FOOTBALL

Handicapping Championship

DECEMBER 11 1984

5. What about parlays?

6. How long has he been in business?

7. Are there any special rules or conditions as far as bets being on or off?

8. What does he charge — 11-10 or 6-5?

9. Can you play on credit or does he demand the money up front?

10. Do I bet in person or by telephone?

There are many things you can do to neutralize your bookmaker and get an even break and a fair shake when you bet. But, above all, never lose sight of the fact that the most important thing in betting is getting paid when you win. If that doesn't happen, all the planning and window dressing in the world doesn't mean a thing.

After you have obtained a good bookmaker—and by good we mean one who pays—try never to get into the trap of considering him your friend. It is nice to be friendly with him in just the manner that we're friendly with the postman, or the guy who services our car. But that's all.

Before calling your bookmaker each day, stop and think a minute and get yourself in the proper frame of mind. Think business, not friendship. Be all business on the telephone—pleasant but authoritative and firm. Don't ever give the impression you don't know what you're doing. Take command of the conversation.

Above all, don't play your hand in advance. If you like four particular games, ask the man his line on 12 or 15 games. Ask for them in the same manner that he is carrying them on his sheet—favorites first. That way you never give any indication of your intentions. You eliminate any chance the man has to play with the line.

After he has read you all the games, and you have made your bets, make them repeat them back to you, including how much you wagered on each. This will make him think

twice about being forgetful.

If you win, get paid as soon as possible. Most bookmakers have two days of settlement. If you lose you pay on Monday. If you win, you get paid on Tuesday. See how businesslike bookmakers are. They take the losing money on Monday and use it to pay the winners on Tuesday.



TEXAS SPORTS BROKERS

8401 WESTHEIMER #110 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77063

1984 RECORD

College 45 - 19 = 71%* N.F.L. 57 - 39 = 59%

102 - 58 = 61%**

* TEXAS SPORTS BROKERS was only the 7th documented sports service to have a 70% season in football history.

** TEXAS SPORTS BROKERS final overall percentage puts them in the top 5% of all football services

The above percentages can be confirmed by calling the A.A.D.S.S., Las Vegas, Nevada at 1-702-369-3556.

INTRODUCTORY OFFER ENTIRE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER (5 weekends)

only \$400.00!
SIGN UP BY AUG. 15 AND GET REMAINDER OF PRE-SEASON FREE


Master Card



1-800-354-0002 In Texas 1-713-785-7222



Handicapping Theories and Techniques



By JIM FEIST

If the average fan wanted to guess which college had the worst team, names like Northwestern, Rice and Oregon State would jump into his mind. Each one has its own following and all three are genuinely horrid. The argument could be endless and all for naught.

There is a Division I conference that may have eight teams worse than all three. As much as most bettors would like for it to go away, the Ivy League is here to stay. The games are on the board every week and most bookies take action on these games. The league is so unpredictable that most books look forward to the average Ivy League alumni placing bets to back their alma maters.

There is nothing more profitable for the bookmaker than a bettor that bets with his heart. Notre Dame punters have found this out over the years. When the player picks the popular team, he gets ripped off on the spread. Ivy Leaguers are no different. All of these enlightened business minds do not think twice before betting on sad sacks like Columbia or Cornell or which ever of the other six is having a bad year. The history is there. No matter what the records, Harvard will always play Princeton tough. All well and good as long as you don't want to show a constant profit.

The handicapper works and constantly searchs for new angles or

revitalized old ones. He does not allow his heart to do his thinking and he rebounds from adversity quickly or he doesn't survive. Everyone knows the lvy league teams are not comparable to other Division I schools. They are not even as strong as Colgate, Holy Cross or Vassar for that matter.

A study of Ivy results the past 12 years uncovered some strong numbers. Holy Cross will schedule anywhere from three to four Ivy teams each year and I was curious how the Crusaders influenced the play of the Ivy opponent.

It seems that since 1973, Ivy League teams do exceptionally bad in games following Holy Cross. Last year, if the bettor had bet against the Ivy teams that played Holy Cross in their following game, he would have collected on all three bets.

The rule is simple: BET AGAINST ANY IVY LEAGUE TEAM THAT PLAYED HOLY CROSS IN ITS PREVIOUS GAME. The 12 year record for this theory is 20-8. This is 71.4% and has been a constant money maker.

As stated last year was a successful 3-0, with Brown, Dartmouth and Harvard providing opportunities for winning plays.

Brown played Holy Cross on October 27. The Bruins were at home as an 18 point underdog and lost 38-17. The next week, Brown played Harvard and were six point dogs. The Crimson had little trouble holding off the lvy opponent and brought the bettor home a winner with a 24-10 win.

On October 6, Dartouth played at Holy Cross and managed to lose 30-20. As a 25 point dog, Big Green collected on the spread, but the following week lost to Yale 28-18. It was a win for the system because the Eli was favored by only three.

Harvard brought the bettor the other win by rolling over and playing dead against the Army ground machine on October 6. The previous week, the Crimson had played Holy Cross tough and lost 24-14, covering the spread as an 11½ point underdog. Against the Black Knights of the Hudson, Harvard was a doormat and fell 33-11, failing to cover, even as a 16 point dog. Not all Harvard supporters went home unhappy.

So you can see that even though the Ivy League does not play top flight football, there is money to be made following these teams. Check the schedules of all teams, not just the ones you like, and more opportunities will come to you.

Even though many handicappers and bettors think they are too good for the Ivy League, there is a profit wainting to be made for those of us who are not Ivy league snobs.

Jim Feist and Gary Austin's College Football Preview

Jim Feist







The "A" Play Show

ESPN

Pro Line Show

You've seen Jim for years on television. In fact, Jim is probably the most sought after sports analyst in the nation. No one can compare with the in-depth analyst and insight that he gives the viewers.

More importantly, no one produces as many winners or makes as much money as Jim does for his clients. If you've been impressed by what you've seen on TV, you'll be thrilled with the money you'll make with your first

WINNING SELECTION

ABSOLUTELY FREE

1-800-634-6995 1-702-362-7399

Be A Winner!









3110 Polaris Avenue Suite B-21 Las Vegas, NV 89102



HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES



By MARK HARRIS (All-American Sports)

Successful handicapping is a never ending search for trends and ideas. The handicappers who are most successful are the ones with the most fruitful imaginations. Concepts must be grasped quickly and researched thoroughly, because a haphazard chart of examples will result in a less than intelligent wager and that is always a losing proposition.

It can be disheartening to pour over past game results to try to justify a thought that is dancing in your head and come up with a theory that is only 50% accurate. Halfway through the alphabet, the record is 9-1 and you start to salivate. As you reach the P's, the record dips to 12-7 and the mouth turns a little dry. You want it to work because you like it. It doesn't hurt as much as when you realize that your favorite girl only likes you a lot and doesn't consider you Mister "Right." But when the record dips to 14-12 as you reach the W's you finally see the light. Reality sets in and it is on to the next idea, because to dwell over things that can not be will get you nowhere. You put that theory on hold, but during the course of the year you remember from time to time and a winning play can still come about, even though you know the long term result is not overwhelming. It's like going to dinner with the old flame from time to time. It's fun, it can be rewarding, but nothing permanent will ever develop.

I know how I react when I expect something and want it to work and have it blow up. I shake my head and start all over again, searching for new ideas or refining old ones. The goal is to find the system that stands the test of time. I was curious as to how a team that expects something different reacts when it doesn't work. The All American dream is to be a winner and the college football team that sweats its collective butt off training to upset a superior team must experience something the following week especially if the upset doesn't come off.

Many different results showed up during the course of this investigation. One of the more interesting angles that became apparent was the result of how home favorites under 10 performed. If the team was a home dog in the previous game. If this team was on the road in the third

game of the string a definite system developed.

Since 1974, if the team failed to cover as a home dog and was a favorite less than 10 in the second game, the spread record was only 10-21. The theory is more simple than it looks: BET AGAINST ANY HOME FAVORITE OF LESS THAN 10 THAT FAILED TO COVER AS A HOME DOG, IN THE PREVIOUS GAME IF THE THIRD GAME OF THE STRING IS ON THE ROAD.

By following these rules, the record would have been a consistent 21-10 since '74. Last year was a perfect 2-0 and listing the examples will help you better understand the theory.

On November 3 the Iowa State Cyclones were home dogs of 25½ to powerful Nebraska. The Cornhuskers squashed them 44-0 and the aware bettor was set for a play. Iowa State was a home favorite of 4½ the next week, November 10 vs Big Eight rival Kansas State. The game after that was scheduled at Oklahoma State, so our only concern became the Kansas State game. If the theory held up, Iowa State would not cover. The final score was 7-7 and the system came through.

On October 13, Stanford hosted Pac 10 monster Washington. The Cardinal was a nine point dog and came up short on a 37-15 count. The following game on October 20 would be a play if they were favored by less than 10, because the game after that was on the road at Oregon State. The linemaker responded by making Stanford a three point favorite over Washington State. Obviously still suffering from the previous game, Stanford came up short again as the Cougars won 49-42 in a shootout. If Stanford came up short, the magic did not, as another win was padded to the system results.

It seems that the failure to cover as a home dog vs the superior team causes a letdown that carries on to the next week. If you think about it, it makes sense. The desire for the upset can make the team go into the contest on a very high note and the medium range teams can not rebound from emotional downers as well as the better teams, so this leaves them ripe for the plucking the next week. The idea is to keep plucking dollars from your bookies, so this system should give you an edge. Watch the schedule and you will be ready as the plays develop in 1985.

Jim Feist and Gary Austin's College Football Preview

MAKE MONEY BREDE

It's easy! Just call **900-410-WINS*** for the hottest selections from the hottest sports service around—selections that normally cost hundreds of dollars—yours **FREE**!

And there's more—there's the line, weather and injury reports, scores, and the kind of sports information that will make you \$\$\$\$. And don't forget those selections—a wealth of information FREE—all yours in just one easy phone call . . .



Handicapping Theories and Techniques



Money Management

By GARY AUSTIN

Money management is perhaps one of the most misused and misinterpreted terms in sports wagering. Loosely translated, money management means that you "never go broke," you always have a turn at bat. It's like driving a car, when the road is narrow, winding, and bumpy, you reduce speed, but when it's wide, straight and smooth you speed up.

If you are going to be a player, then quite simply, you need something to play with—it's called a bankroll. The base concept of sports wagering is to end with a larger bankroll than you originally started with. Let's consider the case of a player who starts with a \$10,000 bankroll. A good guide to follow would be wager 2-3% on an average play and **no more** than 4-5% on a strong play. Translated to dollars, \$200-\$300 on a regular play and \$400-\$500 on a strong play. Come settlement day with your man, hopefully you have won and let's say your bankroll is now \$12,000 It is imperative, repeat, **imperative** that you retain the same percentages on your next week's wagers. However, notice that your 2-3% play now jumps to \$240-\$360 play, while your 4-5% strong play increases to a range of \$480-\$600.

Having spent 13 years in the business, I can safely conclude that the single most devastating factor in taking out a player is bad money management, it is not bad handicapping. John Q. Public encounters a winning week and feels his oats. The following week he doubles and triples his wager amounts, winds up picking more losers than winners and he is busted—he is out of action.

The opposite occurs in losing streaks, with the same devastating results. A player loses a few games and starts to chase or double his wagers with the thought of getting even. Win or lose, you must never employ more than 5% of your available funds. I will never forget the case of a customer walking into our office during football and purchasing our selection service. We gave him three moderate plays for Saturday and he unloaded his entire roll on those plays. Low and behold, all three games went down and our customer left town, broke and disgruntled. On Sunday we swept the board and followed that with a

double dipper win on Monday (won the game and the total). Our weekend record was a strong 7-3 and yet our customer took himself out of the action.

Money management is the key to successful sports gambling. Remember, no one can win every day, nor every week, nor every month. Gambling is a full time business and must be treated as such. You can't win if you don't play, you can't play if you have blown your bankroll. Use our guidelines, when you are out of step, your play becomes proportionately smaller. When you are in step with your selections, the amounts you play will increase and so will your wallet size!

SOUTHERN NEVADA	LIMIT	TOTALS	1
Gary Austin's Race & Sports Book, 3235 Las Vegas Blvd. Sc	. \$ 3,000	\$ 1,000	P
Barbary Coast Sports Book, 3595 Las Vegas Blvd. So. Bingo Palace Sports Book, 2411 W. Sahara	\$ 5,000	\$ 1,000	P-C
Caesars Palace Sports Book, 3570 Las Vegas Blvd. So.	\$ 5,000	\$ 1,000	P
Castaway's Sports Book, 3320 Las Vegas Blvd. So.	\$ 2,000	\$ 500	P
Churchill Downs Sports Book, 3665 Las Vegas Blvd. So.	\$ 5,000	\$ 1,000	
Del Mar Sports Book, 2210 Las Vegas Blvd. No.	\$ 500	\$ 300	
Dunes Sports Book, 3650 Las Vegas Blvd. So.			
El Cortez Sports Book, 600 E. Fremont	\$ 2,000		
Fremont Sports Book, 200 E. Fremont	\$ 1,000	\$ 300	P
King 8 Gambling Saloon, 3330 W. Tropicana Ave.	\$ 1,000	\$ 500	P
Las Vegas Club, 18 E. Fremont St.	\$ 2,000	\$ 500	
LeRoy's Sports Book, 114 S. 1st St.	\$ 1,000	\$ 300	
Poker Palace, 2757 Las Vegas Blvd. No.	\$ 55		
Rainbow Club Sports Book, 122 Water St. (Henderson)	\$ 500	\$ 300	
Sam's Town Sports Book, 5111 Boulder Hwy.	\$ 2,000	\$ 2,000	
Santa Anita Sports Book, 3207 Las Vegas Blvd. So.	\$ 3,000	\$ 500	
Showboat Sports Book, 2800 E. Fremont	\$ 1,000	\$ 300	P-C
Stardust Sports Book, 3000 Las Vegas Blvd. So.	\$ 3,000		P-T
Union Plaza Sports Book, 1 Main Street	\$ 1,000		

NORTHERN NEVADA				
Club Cal Neva (Reno) 38 E. 2nd St.	\$ 5,500	\$	550	
Carson City Victory Club, 401 N. Carson St.	\$ 500	\$	200	P
Harrah's (Reno), 219 N. Center Street	Unlisted	Unlis	sted	
Harrah's (Tahoe), Hwy. 50 at Stateline, NV	Unlisted	Unlis	sted	
Karl's Silver Club (Sparks), 1040 B Street	\$ 2,000	\$	500	P-T
MGM (Reno), 2500 East 2nd St.	\$ 2,200			
John Ascuaga's Nugget (Sparks), 1100 Nugget Ave.	\$ 2,200	\$	500	
Reno Turf Club, 280 N. Center Street	\$ 1,100	\$	500	P
Gem Sports Center, 287 Ross St. (Winnemucca)	\$ 1.100	\$	550	P

Nevada Crossing, Old Hwy. 80 (W. Wendover)


No Action

The above limits may change without notice at the discretion of the individual Sports

Books.

*-Unavailable at press time.

P - Parlay Card T - Teaser Card C - Conte



and you will beat FOOTBALL

3 Years of Undisputed Winning PROVES OUR POINT:

1984 16-5 **76%** (Winning our Last 7 in a Row)

1983 12-2 85% (Almost as good as 1985 will be)

1982 11-1 91%

(Nobody missed the Pros...more than us)

Learn How: The Odds are 1000 to 1 against you -

when you play 10 games a day

Learn How: The sharpest players today -

Don't lay 6 to 5/11 to 10 on football games

Learn Why: 98% of our customers play our releases exclusively -

year after year

Call Toll-Free:

1-800-238-2424



LINE SERVICE AVAILABLE

OUR GUARANTEE

If you can prove to us there is a higher percentage play than 1&0, a 1985 football is yours

FREE!

A-Play Handicapping Show Rates an A+



Rating System

- Required viewing, don't miss it at any cost.
- Top-notch show, should be seen.
 - Worth a look.
 - Go out and play touch-football.

By ROGER L. TREVANIAN

Lovers of drama, comedy, art, music, sports and news have been able to turn on the television and indulge themselves for over three decades, now, but it wasn't until recently that some innovative programming addressed the needs of the countless millions who wager on sporting events. The show, which began on Manhattan cable six years ago with a sparse 300,000 subscribers, and last year reached 13 million homes, is called The A-Play Show. It is the brainchild of Chipper Chirimbes, an accomplished prognosticator of sporting events--notably football and basketball--who first saw the need for such programming. Enlisting the aid of Ed "The Professor" Horowitz, one of the most revered and respected figures in the field, Chirimbes and company have turned the regular half-hour show (Fridays at 11:30 PM and Saturdays at 11:30 AM, on the SPN Network) into required viewing for the sports gambler.

What makes the show unique is its format. There are no instant replays of remarkable catches or gyrating cheerleaders. There are no self-serving interviews with players or coaches. You'll see no plays diagrammed, no meaningless statistics quoted. What the show does provide is expert analysis and opinion by the program's hosts, Chirimbes and Horowitz. Additionally, Jim Feist, another stalwart in the industry, files on-the-spot reports from Las Vegas, the nation's wagering capital. The A-Play Handicapping Show also features guest appearances by well-known handicappers like Gary Austin and Mike Warren, as well as spokespeople from com-

panies like Cash, All-American Sports and The Captain. Each week, four or five college games are studied, along with all 14 NFL contests. The handicapping pro-

fessionals review and interpret the pointspread line (with Feist quoting the latest changes and developments), implement the applicable theories, trends, methods and techniques (a Horowitz specialty), and evaluate the teams on a wagering basis. Selections are

then made as to which team to bet and why.

Besides the expertise delivered, the show's timeliness also proves beneficial to the sports gambler. Because it directly precedes regular TV (either CBS or ABC) coverage of the week's major college games, the show's late-breaking format becomes an invaluable tool for the bettor. Last-minute injuries are diligently noted; line changes are explored; weather conditions are updated and their affect on the game are added into the equation which, more often than not, results in a winning prediction.

The show's premise is a sound one: provide the sports wagerer with enough pertinent and meaningful information to give him an edge over those who do not watch the program. In this regard, The A-Play Handicapping Show is a major success. It does not pander or pursue, but teaches and trains the armchair quarterback


how to call his own plays.

The show will reach upwards of 25 million homes this year. If you wager on sports, your home should be one of them.



Ed "The Professor" Horowitz (left) and Chipper Chirimbes are co-hosts for the A-Play Handicapping Show.

Watch My Show Once & You're "Hooked" For the Season!



That's Right...You'll tune in every Friday Nite (11:30 PM EST) or Sat AM (11:30 AM EST) and hear America's Top Football Handicappers discuss how & why they've selected their weekend plays!!

My weekend pigskin prophets include, in addition to myself:

- ☐ Gary Austin (Austin Edge)
- ☐ Bobby Cash (CA\$H, Inc.)
- ☐ Ben Dicker (Big Apple Sports)
- ☐ Jim Feist (Jim Feist Sports)
- ☐ Ed "The Professor" Horowitz (The Professor's Picks)
- ☐ Tom Kennedy (All American Sports)
- ☐ Mike Warren (Mike Warren Sports)

Just watch our show once...you won't miss it again...



If you're willing to pay reasonable rates in winning college & pro selections, call us right now & we'll give you a free trial weekend. Call us right now 1-800-228-1151

or mail coupon



3376 S. Eastern Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89109 Yes, I'd like to try Chipper's College & Pro
Selections—Tell me how I can do it

Name

Address

City

State

Zip

Phone No.

HANDICAPPING THEORIES & TECHNIQUES

Sooner or Later

By ED "The Professor" HOROWITZ



It isn't very often that you run across a more ferocious and devastating team than the Oklahoma Sooners. Barry Switzer is one of the few college coaches that can traditionally turn out Top Ten teams.

When teams are as consistently good as this one, I usually search for trends around that team.

As a successful handicapper, I recognize durability and consistency as the two most trustworthy tools for developing winning plays. Associating with and being involved with winners makes winning that much more attainable.

In the Big 8 Conference, Oklahoma and Nebraska are the Big Brothers and the rest take a pummelling.

I have discoverd an incredibly strong play involving teams that play Oklahoma. The physical beating seems to last and carry over to the next game. It is especially strong if the team that plays the Sooners is an away underdog the following week.

The play is a simple and easy one to follow: BET AGAINST ANY AWAY UNDERDOG IN THE BIG 8 THAT PLAYED OKLAHOMA THE WEEK BEFORE.

The play is 18-2 since 1973. That is

a 90.0 win percent. I can think of no appropriate adjective to describe it. It must be understood that it makes no difference whether the team in question covered vs Oklahoma or not. It seems that the very act of being on the same field leaves lasting scars.

Last year was a typically gratifying one for the system as it provided a 3-1 log for wise wagerers. The first play of the season took place on September 29 when the Kansas Jayhawks came up with one of the biggest and most surprising wins in that school's history by beating the Sooners in Norman, 24-6. But KSU must have still been celebrating the following week as an away underdog (+14) against Oklahoma State. The Cowboys coasted to a 49-17 victory.

The only loss for the system occurred near the end of October when lowa State was able to beat the spread against Missouri. A week earlier the Cyclones had given Oklahoma a stiff contest (10-12) on national TV and they obviously kept it rolling against the Tigers.

But the following two plays more than compensated for the lone defeat. After losing to Oklahoma on November 10, Colorado was a 3-point underdog at Kansas State. The Wildcats never gave their backers an anxious moment, winning easily, 38-6.

The final victory of the season actually came the week before the Colorado collapse. Missouri lost to Oklahoma State, 31-13. The 9-point spread wasn't enough for the Tigers, who had lost the previous Saturday to Oklahoma, 49-7.

Although the \$ooner \$ystem only provides a limited number of plays each year, it is a play worth remembering as Big-8 conference play begins.

WORKOUT FOR SOONER OR LATER 984 3-1 983 1-0

1984	3-1	
1983	1-0	
1982	2-0	
1981	1-0	
1980	0-0	
1979	.0-1	
1978	2-0	
1977	3-0	
1976	1-0	
1975	2-0	
1974	2-0	
1973	1-0	
TOTAL	18-2	(.900)

Jim Feist and Gary Austin's College Football Preview



ANNOUNCING THE PROFESSOR'S 1985 FOOTBALL HANDICAPPING CONTEST

MATCH YOUR HANDICAPPING SKILLS WITH THE PROFESSOR AND WIN BIG CASH PRIZES!!!

CAN YOU SELECT WINNERS LIKE THE PROFESSOR? IF SO, DON'T HESITATE, COMPLETE THE COUPON AND YOU CAN BE ON YOUR WAY TO WINNING THE GRAND PRIZE OF \$1,000!

TO RECEIVE YOUR FREE SET OF WEEKLY ENTRY BLANKS AND COMPLETE CONTEST RULES, MAIL COUPON TODAY OR CALL

1-800-645-7048

516-294-2680

THE PROFESSOR IS OFFERING \$1,000 TO THE NON-PROFESSIONAL HANDICAPPER WHO SELECTS THE MOST WINNERS DURING THE 1985 SEASON. THERE ARE 16 WEEKLY PRIZES OF \$100 LEADING UP TO THE GRAND PRIZE OF \$1,000.

WATCH THE
PROFESSOR EVERY
SATURDAY AT 10:30 AM
E.S.T. AS HE PREVIEWS
THE FOOTBALL WEEKEND
ON USA NETWORK
"BEAT THE PRO'S SHOW"

Dear Professor:

THE PROFESSOR AMERICAN SPORTS ADVISORS, INC. One Commercial Avenue Garden City, New York 11530

I'm a nonprofessional handicapper and I'm over 21 years old. I think that I can match my skills with yours and win your \$ Grand Prize. Please send me a set of weekly entry blanks and contest rules. I am under no obligation.
THIS CONTEST IS FREE!

ADDRESS________
CITY STATE ZIP______

AC

HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES

Early Season Winners



By MARK HARRIS All-American Sports

Winning is contagious. It works something like the "Domino Theory" of world conquest. The first step is the first win in the first game. The second step is logically, the second win. This leads to the third step, the third game.

The past six years has seen a curious development in college football betting patterns. There have not been many plays, but the record is 8-1 since 1979. It is as easy as one, two, three.

The stronger teams have long known that it is better for the development of their squads to schedule as many lesser talented teams as possible in the early going. The reasoning is quite simple. The school with the reputation needs some time to get its team working together as a cohesive unit and the early games serve as the proving grounds for the team. It takes time for the team to jell because by its very nature, college football is a constantly changing phenomena. A student will graduate every four years and the team is constantly being rebuilt.

This constant turnover of players makes practice a very important necessity for development. The players and coaches must learn the best abilities of the individuals and tune the machine up like a racing car.

The 1984 Ohio State Buckeyes are a perfect example of the strength of the system. The team had a budding superstar in Keith Byars and was entertaining ideas of the Rose Bowl from the beginning. The University always has a budding super athlete and always entertains ideas of going to Pasadena. The schedules are designed to make sure the team does not stumble in the early going. The past season was typical big team thinking. The opening game was at home, naturally, vs one of the weakest teams from the Pac 10. Ohio State hosted Oregon State and won convincingly, if not overwhelmingly, by the score of 22-14. The next game was against another Pac 10 team. The Buckeyes played Washington State and once again, were at home. The Bucks whalloped the Cougars, proving they were ready for the season. The 44-0 score was a warning, that the linemaker either failed to see or discounted. After the two opening game victories, Ohio State was set for a play.

The rules for this play are very simple: BET ANY HOME FAVORITE OF LESS THAN FOUR THAT HAS WON ITS FIRST TWO GAMES OUT-RIGHT. Since 1979, the record has

been 8-1 and the Buckeyes are responsible for one of those wins.

On September 22, Ohio State hosted fellow Big 10 power lowa. The Buckeyes were favored by only three. This was the game the other two served as warm ups for. Buckeyes and Hawkeyes went at it tooth and nail and the final tally was Ohio State 45, lowa 26. The system had a winner in its only 1984 opportunity.

The Ohio State-Iowa game was an important Big 10 game and could have had a hand determing the conference champion. It seems that the early season schedules are designed to help make a team a winner in its first meaningful game. It works many times over and the bettor can be a winner, too, if he is paying attention to previous results.

WORKOUT FOR EARLY SEASON WINNERS THEORY

1984	1-0
1983	3-1
1982	1-0
1981	0-0
1980	2-0
1979	1-0
TOTAL	8-1
1979	1-0

Jim Feist and Gary Austin's College Football Preview

FOOTBALL THIS WEEK READ "EARLY ACTION"

FOOTBALL 85 and EARLY ACTION LOOKS AHEAD, NOT BEHIND— TO THIS WEEK. NOT LAST!

EARLY ACTION is America's newest weekly football digest—the best information available. Its top notch, concentrated attention on all of "THIS WEEKS GAMES"

What will, might or can happen this week in tootball? Read EARLY ACTION and find out.

You saw the games last week on television. You got the highlights and big plays from the newspapers. So why subscribe to a magazine that brings you warmed over, week old news? Subscribe instead to "EARLY ACTION", the digest that focuses on "this weeks games".

Is timing important to you?

With early actions scouting report. Get leam by leam analysis and key game match-up reports. Every week throughout the entire NFL and NCAA season.



Read about it.

FREE

What's the news? Who's up? Who's down? Weather reports and playing conditions!

It's all there, concise and to the point, in each and every weekly issue of "Early Action".

Pre-Season through the Super Bowl Subscribe to "EARLY ACTION" today!

Don't miss a single edition! Only \$19.95 for the entire football season—20 fat issues, Pre-season through the Super Bow!! CALL 1-800-431-3180 to order your subscription to "Early Action", or send \$19.95 to P.O. DRAWER FM, Bayshore, New York 11706.

Still not convinced? No Problem!

The publishers of Early Action will send your first issue ABSOLUTELY FREE. Examine it carefully—no obligation. Just call 1-800-431-3180 and ask for your FREE SAMPLE of "EARLY ACTION", America's newest football digest.

1-800-431-3180

HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES

The Faustian Fallacy



By BRUCE MCCLARREN

Gerry Faust is not a bad football coach.

Now there's a comment designed to elicit a response from legions of Notre Dame backers. Some members of that group would be pleased if Faust was suddenly spirited away by extraterrestials to a far distant galaxy.

To them, I say, take it easy. Faust, sitting in the hottest coaching seat in America has what amounts to be a nowin situation. Unless the Irish go undefeated and emerge as national champions, the season hasn't been a successful one.

Hogwash. Notre Dame's a target, no matter where they travel. To some teams, a win over the mighty squad from South Bend, Indiana is tantamount to a good season, no matter what transpires during the remainder of that year's games.

Faust, like all new coaches, had to feel his way and this season I honestly feel that many of his moves—especially those which relate to the revamping of the assistant staff—will come to fruition. But then, that's not the thrust of this article. What is concerns the problems that always beset the Notre Dame team, from a handicapping standpoint: they were, are and probably will always be America's most overbet team.

FOUR YEARS UNDER FAUST

Let me be the first to add that Faust's pointspread mark during the past four seasons is a dismal one. Here's what it looks like:

IL IOOKS I	ing.
YEAR	SPREAD MARK WHEN FAVORED AT HOME
1984	2-3
1983	1-4
1982	1-4
1981	3-3

When you add those marks, you come up with an overall pointspread, at-home mark of 7-14. Now you know why some members of the Notre Dame alumni were walking around with ropes and looking for tall trees whenever the name "Faust" was mentioned.

But wait a minute. Let's see how successful this Notre Dame team was when playing at home and favored during the three years prior to the Faustian Era.

YEAR	SPREAD MARK WHEN FAVORED AT HOME
1980	2-2-1
1979	1-5
1978	2-4

Guess what? When you compare that record, 5-11-1, with Faust's overall record, 7-14, you learn that Gerry actually slightly increased the club's pointspread percentage at South Bend. It was hardly an award-winning performance but, if nothing else, a move in a more profitable direction for handicappers.

AN IMPOSSIBLE TASK

I'm beginning to suspect that no Notre Dame coach will ever be able to come up with a good pointspread mark when playing in the friendly confines of the South Bend stadium. As we've already said, this team is invariably overbet. But profits in Vegas await those who are aware of certain percentages which have evolved throughout the years. Just imagine, if a handicapper had done nothing more than wager against Notre Dame each time it was favored at home over the last 7 seasons, their won/loss mark would have been an outstanding 25-12-1. Not too shabby, right?

But if that percentage isn't high enough for you (I can't understand why, because by now you'd probably be the owner of a ranch in Tahiti), I have an even juicier proposition. Ready? Here goes:

THE THEORY: BET AGAINST NOTRE DAME WHENEVER IT IS A DOUBLE-DIGIT OR HIGHER FAVORITE AND PLAYING AT HOME.

And what to our wondering eyes would have appeared if we had followed that simple scheme since the 1978 season? Well, that ranch in Tahiti could now be supplemented with a couple of condos on Maui, some valuable gold mines in South Africa, along with a few other niceties.

During that span, my handicapping friend, you would have made a total of 21 wagers and cashed in on 15 of them. Let's get giddy for a second and assume that we are well-heeled types to whom a \$10,000 wager at a Vegas betting parlor isn't the type of bet to turn us into spastics. Add it up. On the 6 losing bets, we'd be down \$66,000. Now for the good new; on our wins, we would have grossed \$150,000. Our net (aren't we dreamers, but isn't this fun?) would be a rather nice-sounding figure of \$84,000.

So quit putting the knock on Gerry Faust. If we had planed off to Vegas every time the Irish were double-digit favorites at home, he'd have been one of the catalysts behind our becoming rich, rich, handicappers.

FREE OFFER TOP PLAY SPORTS

will give you your first Vegas move



ABSOLUTELY FREE

There's nothing to buy . . . just make a free selection

Call Today 1-800-621-7640 1-702-362-5289

Name, address and phone number required.

Top Play Sports is the only sports service that releases only 85% probability plays or higher.





TOP PLAY SPORTS 953 E. Sahara #E-15A Las Vegas, NV 89104 Be sure to ask about TOP PLAY SPORTS two-for-one offer.

Western Union Address: Top Play Sports c/o Main Branch Western Union Las Vegas, NV

HANDICAPPING THEORIES & TECHNIQUES



By ED "The Professor" HOROWITZ

I know a lady whose vital statistics are 38-91-52. Before you laugh yourself into infinity, hear this. She's prettier than you think and has a heart of gold. Her name is Lady Luck and the numbers are the combination to the safe.

The 38 refers to the number of points a team must score to be eligible for our play. The 91 is how many wins it has accumulated since 1973. The 52 is the number of losses in the same period. Another number I should have used is 63. That is the win percentage.

If you have been fighting the linemaker as long and successfully as I have, statistics like those increase profits.

There is no such thing as parity in college football. The rich get richer and the poor get beat up.

A smart football coach will use this lack of equality to his team's advantage. It never hurts to dot your agenda with a couple of no name squads, which can be used to bolster the football fortunes of your own outfit.

I have discovered and refined a system that allows me to bolster my own fortunes by taking advantage of these mismatches.

It seems that scoring 38 points while defeating a decidedly weaker opponent is a tipoff to the coach

The '38' System

and the bettor that better things are in the immediate future.

What constitutes an inferior opponent and when should the team be bet?

My rule of 38 is quite simply: PLAY ANY AWAY FAVORITE THAT SCORED 38 POINTS LAST GAME WHILE DEFEATING A TEAM WHEN NO LINE WAS POSTED OR WHEN THE POSTED LINE EXCEDED 24.

The theory being that if the team had a big, high scoring win it is ready to play good football. Also, when a team dominates another, and scoring more than five touchdowns is domination, the psychological factors tend to keep the unit on an emotional high. Winning is contagious and self supporting.

Last year's numbers were right on the button as the system checked in at 10-4, a slight rise over the 12-year performance rate of nearly 4 percent.

Some blowouts will demonstrate how a team can use a creampuff to polish up its act for a regular game that is on the board the following week. The season began on September 1 with Clemson defeating Appalachian State, 40-7. Of course, there was no line on the game but there was the following week when the Tigers visited Virginia as a 131/2-point favorite. No problem. The Tigers destroyed the Cavaliers, 55-0, easily beating the spread. That same weekend (September 8), Kentucky was busy thrashing Kent State in a no-line game, 42-0. The Wildcats hit the road the following week, a 6-point away favorite against Indiana, Again, it was a blowout as the Cats took no prisoners in a 48-14 rout. Meanwhile, another play was materializing for the following weekend.

Nebraska was a 37-point choice at home against Minnesota. It's worth noting that the Huskers didn't cover (they won, 38-7), but that fact has no relation in the 38 System. It's the following week that counts! And boy did the Cornhuskers make it count. They travelled to Los Angeles to face the Bruins of UCLA as 10-point favorites and came away with an easy 42-3 triumph.

The 38 System even works for favorites playing on neutral sites. Case in point: last year's Army-Navy game in Pasadena. The Cadets' previous game had been a no-line affair against Montana in which Army won, 45-31. Based on Navy's recent superiority in this rivalry, Army, despite a superior team, was a mere 1-point favorite. Followers of the 38 System jumped all over this one and the Black Knights didn't disappoint, winning 28-11.

I have always found strength in numbers and the numbers in my 38 system have produced results any player could easily appreciate.

WORKOUT FOR THE 38 SYSTEM

10-4
7-7
7-5
7-2
9-3
6-5
3-7
6-1
8-4
6-7
6-5
16-3
91-52 (63.6%)

EVERYONE SAYS THEY CAN BEAT PRESEASON FOOTBALL!

ONLY THE PROFESSOR CAN PROVE IT!!



Find Out for Yourself!!!

The Professor Won \$5,000 and Was Acclaimed the World Champion of NFL Preseason Handicapping When He Captured the Prestigious Contest Sponsored By The Dunes Hotel of Las Vegas

CALL ANY SATURDAY DURING THE NFL PRESEASON BETWEEN 1 PM AND 8 PM (EST) AND YOU'LL RECEIVE THE PROFESSOR'S "T.O.P. PRESEASON PLAY OF THE WEEK" **ABSOLUTELY FREE!**

CALL: -800-645-7048 R

IN NY: 516 - 294-2680

COLLEGE COACHES VS THE POINTS

The list is out and there is dancing in the streets in Pittsburgh. When the list originally hit the newstands in 1983, "Smokey Joe" Salem of Minnesota was far and away the worst college coach vs the pointspread. The Gophers saw Joe's shadow disappear into the sunset as Lou Holtz brought his fabled one-liners to the frozen Northland to battle pointspread mavens everywhere. In 1984, Joe Avezzano of Oregon State vaulted to the head of the list. The Beavers chomped right through his pole and Avezzano crashed to earth, joining

the list of ex-college coaches.
Serafino "Foge" Fazio is probably a very nice man and an excellent recruiter, but he is quickly proving himself to be one of the worst coaches of all time. In three short years, Fazio has managed to send megabucks of Pittsburgh steel money in the direction of bookmakers everywhere. His 11-21 mark against the points is a startling .344. He has gotten a vote of confidence from the athletic director, but these votes tend to be recast quickly when results are not forthcoming. The only thing that will save Fazio from the guillotine is a quick start, but a look at the 1985 Panther schedule should scare romantics back into reality. The first five games are Purdue, Ohio State, Boston College, West Virginia and South Carolina.

The team could very easily go 0-5 to start the season. This will assure Fazio of an early exit. If the linemakers is not generous, the bettor can go broke this season backing the "Foge" Express. The coach has proven himself capable of losing the big one every time and blood samples should be taken of the Penn State players that got stomped against Pitt last year. It has been the only highlight of his career. One highlight out of thirty five games is not a good way of gaining job security.

If Fazio stumbles and Pitt covers a few games, there are members of the coaching elite breathing down his back. David Green is number two and his 15-24 spread record is truly indicative of the inability of the Northwestern football program. The Wildcats play more like skunks. Green seems to have coaching ability, because he gets more mileage out of nothing than Fazio got out of Marino and Fralic. Pitt can do worse than hire Green when "Foge" finally fizzles.

Just behind Green on the list is Jim Dickey of Kansas State. Dickey is not going anywhere with State. He still has to play Nebraska, Oklahoma and Oklahoma State every year. It is difficult to see how any other coach can hope to survive in the Big 8 until Osborne and Switzer go pro. The coach will either be fired soon or die from frustration. He is there to win and he is not doing that. His spread record is



Maryland Head Coach Bobby Ross has hardly been going at a Terrapin's pace when it comes to beating the spread.

The Big Gamble



Last year Jim Feist won 68% of his best bet selections (sides) in both college and pro. For the past 11 years Jim has consistently won close to 70% of his top-rated plays.

Jim is so confident that his best bets will win for you that he's ready to gamble on them if you are. If you take the big gamble and sign up for Jim's best bet of the day and the play fails to cover, you'll receive 4 full weeks of selections

ABSOLUTELY FREE!!

If you're ready to gamble call 1-800-634-6995 1-702-362-7399

Name, address and telephone number required.











a steady 26-41. Money can be made betting against this stalwart in the

right situation.

As you probably know, the mascot for Kansas State is a Wildcat. You will notice that the list is headed by a Panther and two Wildcats. A quick look through any NCAA guide shows many ferocious mascots. There are Lions, Tigers, Bears, Gators, Raiders, Badgers, Wolverines and a multitude more. If the average bettor were to face a quiz to guess the mascot of the best coach againt the points, would any nominate a Terp?

A Terp is a turtle. One of nature's few mistakes, turtles are ugly, slow moving plodders. Their only redeeming feature is the ability to get where they are going, no matter how long it takes. It seems to be fast enough to create many winning bets for Maryland backers everywhere. Bobby Ross has a sharp 23-10 spread record, which is good for a .697 winning percentage. Last year, the team started very slowly losing the first two games of 1984 outright and to the spread. Ross regrouped and reeled off nine spread wins in a row, before coming up short against Tennessee in the Sun Bowl. He won the game 28-27, but fell short as a seven point favorite. After nine in a row, something had to give. It will be tough for Bobby Ross to stay at the top of the list, because Maryland's schedule is one of the toughest in the country for 1985, but as Miami learned last year, nothing is impossible for a Terp. Down and out at the half, Ross urged his team to 42 second half points to surge past the Hurricanes and win by the score of 42-20 as seven point dogs. It was the biggest comeback in division I history and the bettor need only remember that game when

counting Maryland out of anything this year. The great ones rise to the challenge and Ross has shown himself to be a man not to be bet against.

If he trips over the schedule, Pat Dye is waiting in the wings to reclaim his spot at the top. The Tigers had a successful year last season, but were only 7-6 vs the points. With the jump made by Ross, this was enough to bump Dye to number two. His spread record is still a strong 31-15, good for .674, a winner under any circumstances. His reputation was tarnished only a little by the brainless touchdown attempt that led to a loss vs Alabama last year. On fourth and goal inside the five, the proper play is to take the lead with a field goal, not try for the touchdown. The field goal would have put them up and almost assured a win and a trip to the Sugar Bowl. Hey, even the great ones make mistakes. Ask Joe Paterno how he lost to "Foge" Fazio and Gerry Faust in successive games in 1984. Dye will have his Tigers poised to pounce on the SEC again and if Ross falters, he may regain first place.

Quakers are pacifists. They abhor violence and are famous for turning the other cheek. They are humble and have simple needs. They are also coached by Jerry Berndt. This fact renders all other thoughts regarding

Quakers meaningless. There is apparently no truth that Berndt is the reason Frank Navarro packed it in at Princeton nor is he the sole reason Bob Naso took it on the chin at Columbia, but if Joe Yukica of Dartmouth, Joe Restic of Harvard and Carmen Cozza of Yale are seen read-

ing the want ads this season, the average lvy Leaguer will begin to wonder.

Berndt is quickly making Penn the

strongest force in the league and has had a piece of the last three league titles. A fourth is a distinct possibility. The coach has a sterling 20-11 spread mark and has been constantly good every year. If he manages to steer Penn to the top of the lvy League for a fourth time, he may pick up enough spread victories to steer himself to the top of this list, too.

For the upcoming season, there are no less than eight schools with new coaches. The most newsworthy new coach is Howard Schnellenberger at Louisville. Howard S. will lead the Cardinals to greatness, because he is Howard S. What he did for Miami, he will do for Louisville. You heard it here. Follow him, bet him and you will win. Once the program starts rolling, this guy will not let it stop. He accumulated a 41-16 outright record at Miami. He enamoured himself forever to the betting public by posting a 30-18 spread record. That is .625 and a welcome addition to Louisville football. He also probably earned a zillion dollars last year while being unemployed. Welcome back, Howard Schnellenberger.

John Cooper has switched from Tulsa to Arizona State. Woody Widenhofer has switched from the Oklahoma Outlaws of the USFL to the Missouri Tigers. Mack Brown has gone from assistant with the Oklahoma Sooners to head man at Tulane and Jim Garrett, one time assistant of the New York Giants has returned to the New York area to try and lead the Columbia Lions to greatness, at least competitiveness.

Art Baker at East Carolina, Dave Kragthorpe at Oregon State, Ron Rogerson at Princeton and Don Morton have surfaced from obscurity to try their hands at big time college coach-

	BAKERS DO	ZE	N: 1	THE	13	BE	BT			
			OVER			200000000000000000000000000000000000000	CHOOL		VER.	ALL
СОАСН	SCHOOL	W	L	PCT	w	L	PCT	w	L	PCT
BOBBY ROSS	MARYLAND	49	42	.538	23	10	.697	23	10	.697
PAT DYE	AUBURN	88	37	.704	31	15	.674	31	15	.674
JERRY BERNOT	PENNSYLVANIA	31	25	.554	20	11	.645	20	11	.645
H. SCHNELLENBERGER	LOUISVILLE	41	16	.719	0	0	.000	30	18	.625
BOBBY BOWDEN	FLORIDA STATE	146	62	.709	54	30	.643	68	42	.618
LAVELL EDWARDS	BYU	118	37	.761	23	16	.590	23	16	.590
HAYDEN FRY	IOWA	131	117	.528	40	28	.588	40	28	.588
DON JAMES	WASHINGTON	109	52	.677	64	46	.582	64	46	.582
KEN HATFIELD	ARKANSAS	34	33	.507	7	5	.583	29	21	.580
JIM WALDEN	WASHINGTON STATE	36	39	.480	38	28	.576	38	28	.576
JACKIE SHERILL	TEXAS A&M	69	34	.670	16	12	.571	52	40	.565
"BO" SCHEMBECHLER	MICHIGAN	186	54	.775	79	61	.564	79	61	.564
DICK MAC PHERSON	SYRACUSE	64	51	.557	23	18	.561	23	18	.561

HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES

Three Tests for Any Betting System

By ERNIE KAUFMAN

My articles-during the past ten years-have dealt with a wide variety of handicapping systems. I'm often asked what methods I use to test these systems for reliability.

The following three very simple tests that any system, in my opinion, must pass in order to be considered reliable or significant are as follows.

The first test is to ask, "Is the system logical?" That is, does it make sense? For example, if someone told you that teams wearing blue socks on a Thursday always covered the spread (although that may be so), you would have to consider the system illogical. There is no concrete reason for the system to be winning.

An example of a logical system would be that the Monday night home teams covered the pointspread better than the Sunday home team. This occurs because of national television exposure coupled with the fact that the Monday night home crowd is a lively, very-much-into-the-game-type audience.

The second test a system must pass is to avoid any period of erratic fluctuation. Obviously, any system will swing back and forth between winning and losing. Even a system that's 65% correct still loses 35% of the time.

This means that any system can lose-say-five in a row, eight out of nine, or even 11 out of 15. What it should not do is-say-a three and 20 or...heaven forbid...even worse.

There should be some noticeable level of consistency in winning; a good handicapping system never allows the bettor to get badly hurt by playing the system's selections.

Finally, any good system must have a large sample of plays to go along with its high winning percentage.

There are literally hundreds of systems available where there have not been enough games played involving the method.


For example: some systems will

three losses over a ten-year period...or perhaps it had eight wins and two losses in one season. Any system's significance and reliability do not become fully demonstrated until it has maintained a winning percentage over at least 100 to 150 wa-

Your next move, if you're so in-

clined, is to put your current systems to these tests and see if they hold up.

Who knows-you may have dozens of solid systems that will demonstrate sports gambling profits over extended periods of time. If you do...please feel free to share the wealth!! Send me a copy of the system and I'll run it in a future col-





DIRTY DOZEN: THE 12 WORST OVERALL PRESENT SCHOOL OVERALL SPREAD RECORD RECORD SPREAD RECORD COACH SCHOOL PCT W L PCT L PCT SERAFINO FAZIO PITTSBURGH 11 21 .344 20 13 .606 11 21 .344 **DAVID GREEN** NORTHWESTERN 7 37 24 .385 15 24 .385 .159 15 41 .388 JIM DICKEY KANSAS STATE 26 41 .388 26 24 52 .316 AL GROH **WAKE FOREST** 17 27 .386 14 20 .412 14 20 .412 **JERRY MOORE TEXAS TECH** 17 24 .415 17 24 .415 23 31 .359 COLORADO 19 .424 **BILL MC CARTNEY** 7 25 .219 14 19 .424 14 25 .432 NOTRE DAME 25 .432 19 **GERRY FAUST** 25 20 19 .556 .500 28 35 .444 **BILL MALLORY** INDIANA 99 63 .611 5 5 STEVE SLOAN DUKE 60 72 .455 8 13 .381 57 70 .449

20

36

91

394

.321

.630

13

17

155

15

22 26

56

18

64

.455

.458

.467

ing. Good luck, guys.

BILL YEOMAN

BILL CURRY

LEON BURTNETT

In any college year, anything can happen. Who expected Miami to be national champ in 1983 and who expected BYU to surprise the sports world last year. The unexpected can hurt the bettor, so it is always good to have old reliables around to pull the sticks out of the fire.

PURDUE

HOUSTON

GEORGIA TECH

It is good to look at the best coaches list and see perennials like Bobby Bowden of Florida State, "Bo" Schembechler at Michigan and Don James of Washington still hanging in there well above the winning mark. Bowden constantly has a strong team with the Seminoles and he should keep on winning. He will probably not reach the top spot but at 68-42 he will be in the higher echelon for a while. Schembechler had an injury plagued year at Michigan. The Wolverines almost made his year by bumping off BYU in the Holiday Bowl, but Robbie Bosco proved he is a real quarterback and a gamer by leading the Cougars to victory. Look for the Wolverines to rebound this year and be in the hunt for the Roses and the pointspread dollar. Don James never seems to win the real big game until the bettor least expects it. If he had beaten USC, the Rose Bowl berth would have been his. He failed and went to the Orange Bowl. Barry Switzer and the Sooners wish he had gone to Pasadena because James led the Huskies in an upset of the Sooners. ending any chance the Big 8 champs had of overtaking the BYU Cougars in the polls.

Hayden Fry of Iowa, Ken Hatfield of Arkansas and Dick McPherson of Syracuse are also repeaters from last year's top thirteen and are proving themselves to be very adept at winning. Fry has led the Hawkeyes from

the bottom of the Big 10 to a place of power. The team will constantly challenge for the title and the strangehold of Michigan and Ohio State as the top two seems to be broken. The season started with more promise than ever developed, but Fry finished in style by leading his team to a 55-17 pasting of Texas in the inaugural Freedom Bowl. The Hawkeyes more than covered the small dog role and sent lowa backers home a winner. Hatfield replaced a superior coach at Arkansas in the person of Lou Holtz. He had big shoes to fill and Arkansas may have ended as the best team in the SWC. The Razorbacks gave Auburn all it could handle in the Liberty Bowl and 1985 promises to be an exciting year. Ask Tom Osborne why Nebraska lost to Syracuse and he won't have an answer. One need only know McPherson is a winner to know he is a coach capable of pulling off a few surprises. His 23-18 spread record is consistent. He will always put a competitive team on the field and that is one of the things a bettor is looking for.

Not enough credit is given to the coach at BYU. Lavell Edwards has been there for it all. He has coached Nielsen, Wilson, McMahon, Young and now Bosco. His quarterbacks are well known, he is not. His career coaching record is 118 wins and only 37 losses. That is a 76% winning average and he is a welcome addition to the list of top coaches against the spread. There have been few betting opportunities involving BYU, but he has managed to bring a 23-16 spread record into this season. There will be more opportunities this season and the bettor should be aware that there will be worse coaches to back.

Another coach busting into the top

thirteen was Jim Walden, the coach at Washington State. His record indicates a slow start and a fast finish. Last season, the Cougars covered six of their last seven. In 1983, they covered the last five in a row. In 1982, State covered three of last five and in 1981 five of the last seven. Walden gets the team going and it is always in the hunt. If he can get them out of gear a little quicker, a Rose Bowl berth is not impossible. The bettor is happy with the 38-28 spread record and is looking forward to a few more upsets in 1985.

15

22

56

18 .455

26 .458

64 .467

People were beginning to doubt the coaching ability of Jackie Sherill, but the bettor knows better. The more the linemaker loses faith, the more profit the faithful bettor will make. Sherill has been a proven winner and Texas A&M is now a competitive football team. The team is not great, but it was good enough to put Sherill back on the list of top pointspread coaches as A&M has covered 12 of the last 16 spread decisions to bring his record back to 52-40. Jackie may have turned the corner and the eyes of Texas may be upon his this year.

College football is a constantly changing sport and with over 30 coaching changes the last three years, it takes a lot to stay employed. You will notice that winners stay employed. If you follow coaches who have years of experience you will most usually show a profit. If you follow coaches that turn a program around dramatically, you will show a profit. If you bet against poor coaches, you will show a profit. If you bet against programs that Houdini could not win with, you will show a profit. The chart gives you a good idea of the good and the bad of college football.

Jim Feist and Gary Austin's College Football Preview

ARE YOU BURIED? LET TOP PLAY SPORTS DIG YOU OUT

Call Top Play Sports any Saturday (1:00 p.m. - 4:00 p.m. PST) and the analysts at Top Play Sports will give you their

"GET OUT" SPECIAL ABSOLUTELY FREE

1-800-621-7640

Name, address and phone number required.









TOP PLAY SPORTS

953 E. Sahara #E-15A Las Vegas, NV 89104

> Western Union Address: Top Play Sports c/o Main Branch Western Union Las Vegas, NV

COLLEGE COACHES

ARIZONA LARRY SMITH 49 4 ARIZONA STATE *JOHN COOPER 57 3 ARKANSAS KEN HATFIELD 34 3 ARMY JIM YOUNG 79 4 AUBURN PAT DYE 88 3 BAYLOR GRANT TEAFF 114 11 BOSTON COLLEGE JACK BICKNELL 50 4 BRIGHAM YOUNG LAVELL EDWARDS 118 3 BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 1 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	VERALL	PRESENT SCHOOL SPREAD RECORD	OVERALL SPREAD RECORD
ALABAMA RAY PERKINS 13 1 ARIZONA LARRY SMITH 49 4 ARIZONA STATE *JOHN COOPER 57 3 ARKANSAS KEN HATFIELD 34 3 ARMY JIM YOUNG 79 4 AUBURN PAT DYE 88 3 BAYLOR GRANT TEAFF 114 11 BOSTON COLLEGE JACK BICKNELL 50 4 BRIGHAM YOUNG LAVELL EDWARDS 118 3 BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 1 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	L PCT	W L PCT	W L PCT
ALABAMA RAY PERKINS 13 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	4 .667	3 2 .600	3 2 .600
ARIZONA LARRY SMITH 49 4 ARIZONA STATE *JOHN COOPER 57 3 ARKANSAS KEN HATFIELD 34 3 ARMY JIM YOUNG 79 4 AUBURN PAT DYE 88 3 BAYLOR GRANT TEAFF 114 11 BOSTON COLLEGE JACK BICKNELL 50 4 BRIGHAM YOUNG LAVELL EDWARDS 118 3 BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 1 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	10 .565	10 12 .455	10 12 .455
ARIZONA STATE *JOHN COOPER 57 33 ARKANSAS KEN HATFIELD 34 33 ARMY JIM YOUNG 79 4 AUBURN PAT DYE 88 33 BAYLOR GRANT TEAFF 114 115 BOSTON COLLEGE JACK BICKNELL 50 4 BRIGHAM YOUNG LAVELL EDWARDS 118 33 BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 11 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 42 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 43 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 93 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 93 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 11 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 11 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 83	49 .500	27 17 .614	47 38 .553
ARMY AUBURN PAT DYE 88 3 BAYLOR GRANT TEAFF 114 11 BOSTON COLLEGE BRIGHAM YOUNG LAVELL EDWARDS BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA CINCINNATI CLEMSON DANNY FORD COLGATE FRED DUNLAP COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY COLUMBIA TORNIA SIM GARRETT SI	31 .648	0 0 .000	18 14 .563
AUBURN PAT DYE 88 3 BAYLOR GRANT TEAFF 114 11 BOSTON COLLEGE JACK BICKNELL 50 4 BRIGHAM YOUNG LAVELL EDWARDS 118 3 BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 1 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	33 .507	7 5 .585	29 21 .580
BAYLOR GRANT TEAFF 114 11 BOSTON COLLEGE JACK BICKNELL 50 4 BRIGHAM YOUNG LAVELL EDWARDS 118 3 BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 1 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	44 .642	12 7 .632	51 55 .481
BOSTON COLLEGE JACK BICKNELL 50 4 BRIGHAM YOUNG LAVELL EDWARDS 118 3 BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 1 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	37 .704	31 15 .674	31 15 .674
BRIGHAM YOUNG LAVELL EDWARDS 118 3 BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 1 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	16 .496	62 61 .504	62 61 .504
BROWN JOHN ROSENBERG 4 CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 1 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	49 .505	18 20 .474	18 20 .474
CALIFORNIA JOE KAPP 14 1 CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	37 .761	23 16 .590	23 16 .590
CINCINNATI DAVE CURREY 42 4 CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	5 .444	3 5 .375	3 5 .375
CLEMSON DANNY FORD 51 1 COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	18 .438	15 15 .500	15 15 .500
COLGATE FRED DUNLAP 108 9 COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	45 .483	3 5 .375	3 5 .375
COLORADO BILL MC CARTNEY 7 2 COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	16 .761	33 27 .550	33 27 .550
COLUMBIA *JIM GARRETT 39 1 CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	96 .529	25 25 .500	25 25 .500
CORNELL MAXIE BAUGHN 5 1 DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	25 .219	14 19 .424	14 19 .424
DARTMOUTH JOE YUKICA 108 8	11 .780	0 0 .000	0 0 .000
	13 .278	9 7 .563	9 7 .563
DILLE STEVE CLOAN	81 .571	33 34 .493	33 34 .493
DUKE STEVE SLOAN 60 7	72 .455	8 13 .381	57 70 .449
EAST CAROLINA *ART BAKER 57 4	48 .543	0 0 .000	0 0 .000
FLORIDA GALEN HALL 8	0 1.000	6 1 .857	6 1 .857
FLORIDA STATE BOBBY BOWDEN 146 6	62 .709	54 30 .643	68 42 .618
GEORGIA VINCE DOOLEY 168 6	64 .724	70 64 .522	70 64 .522
GEORGIA TECH BILL CURRY 17 3	36 .321	22 26 .458	22 26 .458
HARVARD JOE RESTIC 77 4	48 .616	56 44 .560	56 44 .560
HOLY CROSS RICK CARTER 132 5	52 .717	12 12 .500	12 12 .500
HOUSTON BILL YEOMAN 155 9	91 .630	56 64 .467	56 64 .467
ILLINOIS MIKE WHITE 71 5	51 .582	31 22 .585	58 49 .542
INDIANA BILL MALLORY 99 6	63 .611	5 5 .500	28 35 .444
IOWA HAYDEN FRY 131 11	17 .528	40 28 .588	40 28 .588
IOWA STATE BILL CRINER 65 3	35 .650	8 11 .421	8 11 .421
KANSAS MIKE GOTTFRIED 43 3	33 .566	9 10 .474	9 10 .474
KANSAS STATE JIM DICKEY 24 5	52 .316	26 41 .388	26 41 .388
KENTUCKY JERRY CLAIBORNE 153 9	94 .619	16 16 .500	72 57 .558
LSU BILL ARNSPARGER 8	3 .727	3 6 .333	3 6 .333
LOUISVILLE *H. SCHNELLENBERGER 41 1	16 .719	0 0 .000	30 18 .625
MARYLAND BOBBY ROSS 48 4	42 .527	23 10 .697	23 10 .697
MEMPHIS STATE REY DEMPSEY 71 5	50 .587	5 4 .556	5 4 .556
MIAMI JIMMY JOHNSON 37 3	30 .552	5 8 .385	32 29 .523
	54 .775	79 61 .564	79 61 .564
	12 .455	11 12 .478	11 12 .478
- 1000 PROBLEM - 1000	60 .645	6 5 .545	68 55 .553
MISSISSIPPI BILLY BREWER 67 5	51 .568	11 10 .524	11 10 .524

VS THE POINTS

	3		OVER				CHOOL		OVER	RALL
SCHOOL	COACH	W	L	PCT	w	L	PCT	w	L	PCT
MISS. STATE	EMORY BELLORD	80	63	.559	26	33	.441	60	64	.484
MISSOURI	*WOODY WIDENHOFER	0	0	.000	0	0	.000	0	0	.000
NAVY	GARY TRANQUILL	13	19	.406	14	14	.500	15	15	.500
NEBRASKA	TOM OSBORNE	118	27	.814	75	60	.556	75	60	.556
NORTH CAROLINA	DICK CRUM	89	35	.718	38	31	.551	38	31	.551
N.C. STATE	TOM REED	40	35	.533	6	11	.353	6	11	.353
NORTHWESTERN	DAVID GREEN	7	37	.159	15	24	.385	15	24	.385
NOTRE DAME	GERRY FAUST	25	20	.556	19	25	.432	19	25	.432
OHIO STATE	EARLE BRUCE	102.	50	.671	37	34	.521	70	61	.534
OKLAHOMA	BARRY SWITZER	115	22	.839	68	57	.544	68	57	.544
OKLAHOMA STATE	PAT JONES	10	2	.833	6	4	600	6	4	.600
OREGON	RICH BROOKS	30	55	.353	45	44	.506	45	44	.506
OREGON STATE	*DAVE KRAGTHORPE	25	20	.556	0	0	.000	0	0	.000
PENNSYLVANIA	JERRY BERNDT	31	25	.554	20	11	.645	20	11	.645
PENN STATE	JOE PATERNO	176	42	.807	77	61	.558	77	61	.558
PITTSBURGH	SERAFINO FAZIO	20	13	.606	11	21	.344	11	21	.344
PRINCETON	*RON ROGERSON	19	23	.452	0	0	.000	0	0	.000
PURDUE	LEON BURTNETT	13	20	.394	15	18	.455	15	18	.455
RICE	*WATSON BROWN	19	24	.442	5	5	.500	5	5	.500
RUTGERS	DICK ANDERSON	7	3	.700	5	5	.500	5	5	.500
SOUTH CAROLINA	JOE MORRISON	77	52	.597	12	9	.571	12	9	.571
SMU	BOBBY COLLINS	79	34	.699	15	14	.517	15	14	.517
SOUTHERN MISS.	JIM CARMODY	18	15	.545	10	10	.500	10	10	.500
STANFORD	JACK ELWAY	60	37	.619	5	5	.500	12	10	.545
SYRACUSE	DICK McPHERSON	64	51	.557	23	18	.561	23	18	.561
TEMPLE	BRUCE ARIANS	10	12	.455	12	2	.857	12	2	.857
TENNESSEE	JOHNNY MAJORS	109	84	.568	43	43	.500	70	59	.543
TEXAS	FRED AKERS	83	34	.709	50	52	.490	50	52	.490
TEXAS A&M	JACKIE SHERRILL	69	34	.670	16	12	.571	52	40	.565
TCU	JIM WACKER	112	46	.709	11	10	.524	11	10	.524
TEXAS TECH	JERRY MOORE	23	31	.359	17	24	.415	17	24	.415
TULANE '	*MACK BROWN	6	5	.545	0	0	.000	0	0	.000
TULSA	*DON MORTON	57	15	.792	0	0.	.000	0	0	.000
UCLA	TERRY DONAHUE	71	29	.710	57	45	.559	57	45	.559
USC	TED TOLLNER	13	10	.565	9	12	.428	9		.428
VANDERBILT	GEORGE MACINTYRE	40	59	.404	30	28	.517	30	28	.517
VIRGINIA	GEORGE WELSH	71	62	.534	18	11	.621	63	50	.558
VIRGINIA TECH	BILL DOOLEY	117	83	.585	31	24	.564	60	48	.556
WAKE FOREST	AL GROH	17	27	.386	14	20	.412	14	20	.412
WASHINGTON	DON JAMES	109	52	.677	64	46	.582	64	46	.582
WASHINGTON ST.	JIM WALDEN	36	39	.480	38	28	.576	38	28	.576
WEST VIRGINIA	DON NEHLEN	94	54	.635	26	21	.553	26	21	.553
WISCONSIN	DAVE MC CLAIN	87	-61	.588	36	37	.493	36	37	.493
YALE	CARMEN COZZA	125	56	.691	51	42	.548	51	42	.548
FIRST SEASON AT SCHOOL			-		,					

HANDICAPPING THEORIES & TECHNIQUES



Switch Hitters

By ED "The Professor" HOROWITZ

The collegiate game of football differs from the professional game not only in terms of talent and money, but in terms of emotion, as well. Professionals are, afterall, playing for money. Collegians—except those very few who will command big contracts from the NFL or USFL—play for fun and glory. Hence, the emotional level is higher than in a college contest than in a professional one. Even the term "professional" has been used to mean "cool, calm, poised, void of emotion."

The fact that the college game runs more on emotion has helped me develop a theory I call "Switch Hitter." This theory takes into account the ups and downs of the collegiate game and goes as follows: TAKE ANY TEAM THAT TIED OR WON ITS LAST GAME AS A DOU-BLE DIGIT UNDERDOG AND IS AT HOME THIS WEEK AS A FAVORITE GIVING NO MORE THAN 14 POINTS. But this is a double-edged theory for you must GO AGAINST THIS TEAM IF IT IS ON THE ROAD THIS WEEK, REGARDLESS OF THE POINTSPREAD.

The theory is that a team which comes home after a big upset will be supported by its fans and carry its momentum into another contest. However, a team that hits the road will not have the benefit of a friendly throng and will have a tough time getting "up" for a second week inarow. It seems that momentum increases when a team plays at home

after a big upset but decreases when it's forced on the road a second consecutive weekend.

If the "Switch Hitter" theory is anything, it is consistent. Last year's 10-5 mark was right on the decadelong overall win percentage of 66 percent and the system has never had a losing campaign.

I'll provide four examples--two in each category--as to how the system worked in 1984. One did not have to wait long to try the system, a play developing on the second week of the season. It began when eventual National Champion BYU defeated Pittsburgh in its opener on September 1, 20-14, hardly needing the +10 the Panthers were giving the Cougars. The following weekend, September 8, BYU was a 6-point home favorite against Baylor and wound up crushing the Bears, 47-13.

On September 22, Maryland was a 10-point underdog at West Virginia and came away as an outright winner with a 20-17 upset. A week later the Terrapins were a 7-point home favorite against fellow ACC member Wake Forest and had little difficulty covering in a 38-17 romp.

The system also can work the other way. On September 29, Syracuse, a prohibitive 14-point underdog at home against Nebraska, sprang the upset of the year with a 17-9 outright victory. The Orangemen were on the road the following week, and, as dictated by the rules (Part II) of the system, were

to be bet against. The opponent was Florida and the Gators covered the 12-point spread with a 16-0 shutout. It was a case of the mighty underdog not being able to rise to the occasion two weeks in-a-row, a factor the system considers.

My final example involves Notre Dame. On October 27 the Irish, as a 10-point underdog, beat LSU in Baton Rouge, 30-22. Notre Dame was on the road the following week, against Navy. Despite being a 10½-point favorite, the Irish required a last-second field goal to just gain the victory, 18-17, while failing to cover the spread.

So by taking into account emotional factors, as well as spreads and the site of the game, we can make successful use of the "Switch Hitter" theory. When you're a "Switch Hitter" you won't get thrown for a curve.

WORKOUT FOR THE "SWITCH HITTER" THEORY

1984	10-5	
1983	10-4	
1982	6-6	
1981	10-6	
1980	9-2	
1979	4-2	
1978	4-1	
1977	2-1	
1976	5-3	
1975	3-2	
TOTAL	63-32	(.663%)

Now Available to Every Sports Fan... The U.P.I. Telesports 100... For only 62 cents per hour!

That's right...62 cents per hour buys you the fastest scores available in all major sports, in-depth comprehensive sports reporting and information that U.P.I. has been providing to the nation's leading newspapers, radio and T.V. stations for over 75 years.



Here's exactly what your 62 cents per hour buys:

- The fastest, and most reliable, in-progress scores and final results for college, NFL and USFL football majorleague baseball, college and NBA basketball, NHL hockey, as well as every other Las Vegas posted sporting event.
- The opening Las Vegas line, as well as line changes throughout the day.
- Latest available injury information in all major sports including this U.P.I. Telesports 100 special feature: pregame line-ups available directly from ballparks and sports arenas minutes before game time.
- Special injury bulletins when late breaking situations arise.
- Complete major-league baseball and comprehensive NBA box scores available within minutes after conclusion of games.

- More than 300 computer files of handicapping information and statistics produce daily trends and techniques invaluable to the sports enthusiast.
- Weather information directly from the U.S. National Weather Bureau, including wind directions, and precipitation probabilities.
- Special Weather bulletins when warranted due to rapid change in temperature or precipitation levels.
- A daily handicapping preview of every Las Vegas posted sporting event focusing on vital factors such as statistical trends and techniques, an analysis of both teams' recent play, an evaluation of the affect of players out or returning to the line-up, as well as the affect of changing weather to the outcome of the contest.

U.P.I.'s worldwide sports news gathering resources have never been offered directly to individuals before in their 75 years of operation.

WHY WAIT ANOTHER DAY?

Call right now and you'll be on-line within 72 hours... for only 62 cents per hour!

1-800-362-8675

(in N.Y. 1-516-742-3255)

or fill in this coupon

"Tommorows Sports News Today"

	TELESPORTS COMMUNICATIONS CORP. ONE COMMERCIAL AVENUE GARDEN CITY, NY 11530
☐ Please rush me mor	re information on the U.P.I. Telesports 10
Name	
Address	
Phone (home)	
Phone (business)	

HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES



By MARK HARRIS All-American Sports

Doug Flutie put Boston College football on the map. There are so many teams and the talent is spread so thin that a star such as Flutie can be an overwhelming force. Players such as Herschel Walker, Dan Marino and Flutie can have whole teams built around them. While they are in college the team experiences a higher degree of publicity and success than at any time previous or since. When the team is built around one or two players, that team can be neutralized by a good, sound defense. Even though the player is great, the team can be overrated and the bettor can make money following teams like this.

Situations where the bettor can take a beating involve conferences where the teams are highly competitive. In the SEC, Alabama used to be the perennial champion, until other teams, by necessity for survival, began to catch up through heavy recruiting. When a team starts to recruit a number of good atheletes, as opposed to just the superstar, the team becomes deeper in talent and it becomes harder for the opposition to defense them. This has happened in most major conferences. Parity is not just a Pete Rozelle dream. Every conference likes to think the teams that form its membership are better than those in other conferences. The Big 8 likes to think it plays a better brand of football than the Pac 10. The ACC likes to think the SEC has no advantage when member teams meet in early season or bowl games.

There is one major bowl game that always has the same criteria for selecting its competitors. The Rose Bowl always has the Big 10 champion play the Pac 10 champ. If the handicapper looks at the results of the last seven Rose Bowls, it is obvious that the Pac 10 is taking charge of the game.

At the end of the 1978 season, USC beat powerful Michigan by the score of 17-10. The following year, the Trojans beat Ohio State by the score of 17-16. The last Big

10 team to win a Rose Bowl was Michigan which beat Washington 23-6 at the end of the 1980 season. Since January 1, 1979 the Pac 10 holds a 6-1 edge.

Does this mean the Pac 10 is becoming a powerful conference? Or does it just mean the home atmosphere is an unfair advantage?

With these thoughts as a starting point, I uncovered some interesting stats. While working under the hypothesis that the Pac 10 was a strong conference, I discovered a situation where teams from the Pac 10 are a very risky proposition.

The theory is simple: BET AGAINST ANY PAC 10 TEAM AS A HOME FAVORITE OF LESS THAN 10 VS ANY NON-CONFERENCE TEAM. Since 1978, the record has been 16-6 and 1984 was no different. Last year the record was 2-1.

Arizona State opened the season at home vs Oklahoma State. The Sun Devils were installed as a four point favorite and the Cowboys buried them by the socre of 45-3. The opening of the 1984 season was a profitable one for those aware of the past history.

The ending of the season was just as profitable. The USC Trojans hosted Notre Dame on November 24. The Fighting Irish were closing strongly but the linemaker paid that no heed. The Trojans were the home team and favored by a mere two points. Gerry Faust saved his job for another year by beating the Rose bowl bound Trojans by the score of 19-7.

In gambling, the most important bet you make is sometimes the first one. Getting off on the right foot is very important. The system had done its work. The most memorable bet the bettor makes is the last one. The system did its job once again.

Maybe the Pac 10 has the Big 10's number. Maybe the Pac 10 is the strongest conference. I can not answer those questions now. The only thing I know is which team is the smarter bet when the Pac 10 is favored at home by less than 10 vs a non-conference team.

Jim Feist and Gary Austin's College Football Preview

Our man took his lumps last year...



and this year it's more of the same!

Last year, Bookbuster's clients terrorized their books—one winner after another, racking up a record heretofore unmatched in sports service history. And what of the poor book? That "robber of the poor to pay himself?" Well, he took his lumps.

And this year it's gonna be more of the same.

FREE

Start your season with our gamehunting Bookbasher special, ABSOLUTELY FREE. Treat your man to his first lump. It's free—just for calling the Bookbuster, 1-800-423-2350. But hurry.

Act before the ASPCDB (American Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Dumb Books) has a chance to take the action that will stop this offer!

1-800-423-2350 In New York 516-968-5040

BOOK BUSTERS!


Warning: The General Surgeon Has Determined That Bookbusters Is Dangerous to Your Book's Health

MasterCard & VISA accepted.



Hurricane Warnings

By ED "The Professor" HOROWITZ



One of the biggest tourist drawing points of Florida is the fact that its coast is littered with the debris of sunken Spanish gold ships. The waters around Florida are full of gold doubloons, sharks and, one suspects, a Cuban infiltrator or two who never made it ashore.

The ships were put there after running into the superior English forces and the hurricanes that continually cause havoc in the area.

Anyone who has ever seen the damage hurricanes leave behind can readily understand why so many areas are eligible for disaster relief.

One of Florida's natural resources is the Miami football team. The Miami Hurricanes were there before the Dolphins and the Orange Bowl belonged to them. Their football history is rich and they have long been a sought-after opponent, especially for home-and-home series.

As I studied the results of what happens when a team plays Miami, I was amazed at the figures. There is just as much gold available from your bookmaker as there is from old ships.

The system is simple and profitable. It is a two-pronged attack on the bookmakers of America and the results are phenomenal no matter which avenue is open. The 12-year won-lost record of the techniques is 49-21. This is an unbelievable 70.0%. Imagine cashing seven out of every ten bets.

The first part is: PLAY AGAINST ANY TEAM AT HOME THAT PLAYED MIAMI ANYWHERE THE WEEK BEFORE. This play is 23-11 since 1973. This is 67.6%, not too shabby.

The second part is: PLAY ANY TEAM THAT IS ON THE ROAD, BE THEY FAVORITE OR DOG, IF THEY PLAYED MIAMI AT HOME THE WEEK BEFORE. This play is 26-10 since 1973. This part of the theory had no plays last year.

As it did in 1983, Florida opened its season with Miami, losing 32-20 in Tampa. The following week the Gators were a 3-point favorite at home against LSU and salvaged a 21-21 tie, failing to cover. The system was off to a good start.

The following week it was much the same story as Michigan, a 1½-point favorite, beat the Hurricanes in Ann Arbor, 22-14. But on September 15, the Wolverines were 5½-point home favorites to Washington. Final score: Huskies 20, Wolves 11.

It was Florida State's turn on September 22 when the Seminoles beat Miami, 38-3. But the seemingly easy victory took a toll on FSU bettors the following week (September 29) who layed 21½ points against Temple. The Owls kept it close enough (27-44) to beat the highpriced spread in Tallahassee.

The plays kept coming. On October 6 it was Notre Dame losing to Miami, 13-31. The next week the Irish were at home so the play was to be on their opponents. Sure enough, Air Force, a 9-point underdog, won outright, 21-7.

The fifth and final win (there were two losses) took place on October 27. A week earlier (October 20), Pittsurgh had lost a 27-7 decision to the Hurricanes. Now the Panthers were at home against Navy as a 6½-point favorite. Pitt managed a 28-28 tie against the Middies but it was of little value to those people who wagered on the Panthers.

This year Miami has another bigtime schedule and the opportunities should again be there for some outstanding plays. So, let the Hurricanes creat a major windfall profit for you!

WORKOUT FOR THE UNEARTHING BIG BUCKS THEORY

PART ONE	
HOME FAVORITE	9-17
HOME UNDERDOG	2- 6
TOTAL	11-23
PART TWO	
AWAY FAVORITE	10-4
AWAY UNDERDOG	16-6
TOTAL	26-10



ABSOLUTELY FREE!

JUST CALL US ANY MONDAY NITE DURING THE FOOTBALL SEASON 5PM TO 8PM E.S.T AND MENTION WHERE YOU SAW THIS AD!

CALL TOLL FREE


1-800-645-4724

IN NEW YORK CALL: (516) 694-3800


BIG APPLE SPORTS

One Commerical Ave. Garden City, N.Y. 11530

HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES



DO YOU MAKE THESE "7" MISTAKES IN PLAYING GAMES?



WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW
TO CONSISTENTLY BEAT THE SPREAD

• YOUR SPREAD• EVERY TIME•
WHEN TO HIT A GAME HARD • AND WHEN TO LEAVE IT ALONE

(1~800) 448~3535



METRO SPORTS ... YOUR ONLY REAL SOURCE!

AIR FORCE **Falcons**



Falcon accomplishments were numerous last year -- a third consecutive bowl appearance, the nation's second most productive ground game and a third straight triumph over Notre Dame--but Air Force has yet to win a Western Athletic Conference crown, the main goal of Fisher DeBerry and his staff this season. But to accomplish that, the Flyboys probably would have to defeat defending National Champion BYU, no easy task, especially in Provo, where this year's game will be played. A more realistic goal is the recapturing of the Commander in Chief Trophy. The Falcons can do that by beating Army at home and Navy in Annapolis.

Once again, the formula for success will rely on a mix of a running attack and a sturdy defense. The former will be directed by Bart Weiss, or Brian Knorr, or both. Knorr had the starting QB job last year until an injury ended his season in game No. 4. Weiss came on to direct the attack and wound up being selected the MVP in Air Force's 23-7 victory over Virginia Tech in the Independence Bowl. Pat

Evans, who ran for 1,015 yards in 1984, will be the principal ball-carrier as DeBerry breaks in a new set of halfbacks for his wishbone. The offensive line, meanwhile, will be spearheaded by returning tackles Kraig Evenson and Dave Sutton. Ken Carpenter and Hugh Brennan will be the main targets on those rare occasions when Air Force decides to throw the football.

The Air Force defense returns eight-of-11 starters from a year ago. including the entire secondary and all three linebackers. Terry Maki, who led the Falcons in tackles last year as a sophomore, is the man to watch at linebacker while Scott Thomas (sixth nationally in kickoff returns, 10th in punt returns) is an All-America candidate at safety. DeBerry feels this could be Air Force's strongest defensive unit in Academy history.

The Falcons have all the ingredients for another bowl-bound team and could regain the Commander in Chief Trophy, but a WAC title remains a difficult assignment.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

П	A.	31		UTEP
П	S.	14	at	Wyoming
ш	177	21		Rice
п	10.1	28	at	New Mexico
П	0.	5		Notre Dame
		12	at	Navy
		19	at	
		26		Utah
	N.	2		San Diego St.
3		# 9		Army
d		16	at	BYU
		23	at	Hawaii

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	19-15	12-21-1
Combined Spread Record	7-8-1	11-7
Favorite Spread Record	2-3-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	5-5	9-6

Austin's Edges

- The favorite is 8-3 in the Air Force-Army rivalry.
- Air Force is 4-8 vs the pointspread as a home favorite from -6 to -15 since 1973.
- The Cadets are only 5-10 vs the mark as an away underdog of less than 10 the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	San Die. St.	(NL)		34-16
	8	No. Colorado	(NL)		75-7
	15 a	t Wyoming	(NL)		20-26
	22 a	t Utah	(NL)		17-28
	29	Colo. St.	(NL)		52-10
0.	6	Navy	(-12)	L	29-22
	13 a	t Notre Dame	(+9)	W	21-7
	20	BYU	(+61/2)	W	25-30
N.	3 8	t Army	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	12-24
	10 a	t New Mexico	(NL)		23-9
	24 a	t UTEP	(NL)		38-12
	1	984 POINTSP	READ	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Actual Record				4-1	3-3
Combined Spread Record			rd	1-1	1-1
F	avori	ite Spread Reco	rd	0-1	0-1
T	nder	dog Spread Rec	ord	1-0	1-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 at	Colorado St.	(NL)		34-13
	10	Texas Tech	(-2)	W	28-13
	17 at	Wyoming	(NL)		7-14
	24	BYU	(+21/2)	L	28-46
0.	8 at	Navy	(NL)		44-17
	15	Tex. El Paso	(NL)		37-25
	22	Utah	(NL)		33-31
	29	Army	(-21)	P	41-20
N.	5 .	Hawaii	(NL)		45-10
	19 at	Notre Dame	(+14)	W	23-22
	26 at	San Diego St.	(NL)		38-7

Home Away Actual Record 5-1 4-1 Combined Spread Record 1-1-1 2-0 Favorite Spread Record 1-0 1-0-1 Underdog Spread Record

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 at	Tulsa	(NL)		17-35
	11	San Die. St.	(NL)		44-32
	18 at	t Texas Tech	(+71/2)	W	30-31
	25 al	BYU	(NL)		39-38
0.	2	New Mexico	(NL)		37-49
	9	Navy	(+2)	W	24-21
	16	Colo. St.	(NL)		11-21
	23 at	Tex. El Paso	(NL)		35-7
	30	Wyoming	(NL)		44-34
N.	6 at	Army	(-51/2)	W	27-9
	20	Notre Dame	(+11)	W	30-17
	27 at	Hawaii	(NL)		21-45
	i	982 POINTSP	READ RE	CORL)
			H	ome	Away

Actual Record

Combined Spread Record

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

1981 RESILTS

S.	12 at	BYU	(+261/2)	W	21-45
	19	Wyoming	(NL)	3	10-17
	26 at	New Mexico	(NL)		10-27
0.	3	Colorado St.	(NL)		28-14
	10 at	Navy	(+151/2)	L	13-30
	17	Tulane	(+61/2)	L	13-31
	24 at	Oregon	(+17)	W	20-10
	31	Army	(-6)	L	7-3
N.	14	Notre Dame	(+25)	L	7-35
	21	Nev. Las Vegas	(NL)		21-24
	28	S. Die. St. (T)	(NL)		21-16
	15	81 POINTSPI	READ I	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Ac	tual F	Record		2-4	2-3
Co	mbine	ed Spread Recor	d	0-3	2-1

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

	400		Taraba C		1 2 22
S.	6 at	Colorado St.	(NL)		9-21
	13 at	Washington	(+25)	L	7-50
	20	San Diego St.	(NL)		10-13
	27 at	Illinois	(+18)	W	20-20
0.	4 at	Yale	(+21/2)	W	16-17
	11	Navy	(+13)	W	21-20
	18 at	Tulane	(+15)	L	7-28
N.	1	Boston Coll.	(+61/2)	L	0-23
	8 at	Army	(+2)	L	24-47
	15	Wyoming	(NL)		25-7
	22 at	Notre Dame	(NL)		10-24
	29	Hawaii	(NL)		12-20
	19	80 POINTSP		CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	0-6-1
Combined Spread Record	1-1	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-3

1979 RESULTS

2-0

0-0

2-0

1-0

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(+25) W 9-13 (+26) W 13-38 (+20) W 9-17 (NL) 6-20 (-1) W 28-7 (+13½) L 0-21
(+26) W 13-38 (+20) W 9-17 (NL) 6-20 (-1) W 28-7 (+13½) L 0-21
(+20) W 9-17 (NL) 6-20 (-1) W 28-7 (+13½) L 0-21
(NL) 6-20 (-1) W 28-7 (+13½) L 0-21
(-1) W 28-7 (+13½) L 0-21
(+13½) L 0-21
(-7) L 30-29
SPR

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	0-5
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-2

0-2

0-0

ALABAMA Crimson Tide



Offensive miscues ruined the Tide last year, but Bama may be ready to bounce back this season. Alabama finished last in the Southeastern Conference in total offense in 1984 after finishing first in the same category in 1983. Inexperience and injuries played a part in the reversal and Head Coach Ray Perkins is looking for yet another turnabout this season. The quarterback position, which was green a year ago, now has two battletested performers. David Shula, who threw for six touchdowns in as many starts, and Vince Sutton, who tossed for four scores in the other five starting assignments, will vie for the regular signal-caller's job. Greg Richardson, who topped Alabama with 22 receptions last year, will be the main pass catcher, along with Greg Payne and tight ends Thornton Chandler and Ed Pugh. The running backs will be new-... but not so new. Craig Turner missed last season because of academic difficulties but has two letters and will be the starting fullback. Kerry Goode

kickoff 99 yards for a third score. Then he was injured and missed the remainder of the season. If his knee is rehabilitated, the Tide will have an outstanding running back again. Wes Neighbors, a Sophomore All-America, heads an offensive line that will derive leadership from senior tackle Gary Otten.

Nine starters return to the defensive platoon that ranked second in the SEC last year and that unit should be able to hold the fort in the early going while Goode tests his knee and Perkins decides on a quarterback. Hit man for the bunch will be All-America linebacker Cornelius Bennett, called "the Lawrence Taylor of college football," by Perkins (who coached them both). Consensus All-SEC tackle Jon Hand, along with noseguard Curtis Jarvis, should keep the enemy at bay up front while Wayne Davis helps out Bennett at linebacker. Freddie Robinson, Vernon Wilkinson and Ricky Thomas should lead an improved secondary. Van Tiffin, who beat Penn State with a 53-yard field goal last year and has kicked 63 straight extra points for the Tide, is an excellent placekicker.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

ı	S.	14		Texas A&M
ı		. 21		Cincinnati
ı		28	at	Vanderbilt
ı	0.	5	at	Georgia
ı	600	• 12	at	Penn St.
		19		Tennessee
		26	at	Memphis
	N.	2		Miss. St.
		9	at	Louisiana St.
		16		So. Mississippi
		30	at	Auburn (Birm.)

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 29-10-1	Away 19-8
Combined Spread Record	12-19	13-13
Favorite Spread Record	10-19	10-13
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	3-0

Feist's Factors

- Alabama is 26 as a home favorite of -28 or more, since 1973.
- Tide is 0-6 as an away favorite vs independents.
- Crimson Tide has yet to cover vs Georgia, 0-4 ATS since 1973.

strike and returned the second-half

will be at halfback. Goode ran for a

25-yard TD in the opener against Bos-

ton College, caught an 18-yard TD

S.	8	Boston Col.	(-51/2)	L	31-38
	15 at	Ga. Tech	(-10)	L	6-16
	22	S.W. La.	(NL)		37-14
	29	Vanderbilt	(-6)	L	21-30
0.	6	Georgia	(-1)	L	14-24
	13	Penn St.	(+1)	W	6-0
	20 at	Tennessee	(-2)	L	27-28
N.	3 at	Miss. St.	(-3)	W	24-20
	10	LSU	(+3)	W	14-16
	17 at	Cincinnati	(-23)	L	29-7
D.	1	Auburn (Birm.)	(+7)	W	17-15

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Georgia Tech	$(-18\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-7
	17	Missssippi	(-19)	W	40-0
	24 at	Vanderbilt	(-12)	W	44-24
0.	1	Memphis St.	(NL)		44-13
	8 at	Penn St.	(-8)	L	28-34
	15	Tennessee	(-12)	L	34-41
	29	Miss. St.	$(-19^{1/2})$	L	35-18
N.	5 at	LSU	(-7)	L	32-26
	12	Southern Miss.	(-11)	W	28-16
	25 at	Boston College	$(-2^{1/2})$	L	13-20
D.	3	Auburn (Bir.)	(+6)	W	20-23

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	1-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 a	t Ga. Tech	(-20)	W	45-7
	18 a	t Mississippi	(-14)	W	42-14
	25	Vanderbilt	(-29)	L	24-21
0.	2	Arkansas St.	(NL)		34-7
	9	Penn St.	(-4)	W	42-21
	16 a	t Tennessee	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-35
	23	Cincinnati	(NL)		21-3
	30 a	t Miss. St.	(-14)	L	20-12
N.	6	Louisiana St.	(-8)	L	10-20
	13	South. Miss.	(-12)	L	29-38
	27	Auburn	(-41/2)	L	22-23
	1	982 POINTSP	READ RE	COR	D
			He	ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	3-1
Combined Spread Record	1-4	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-4	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 at	LSU	(-7)	W	24-7
	12	Georgia Tech	$(-23\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-24
	19 at	Kentucky	(-13)	L	19-10
	26 at	Vanderbilt	(-24)	L	28-7
0.	3	Mississippi	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-7
	10	So. Miss.	(-18)	L	13-13
	17	Tennessee	(-17)	W	38-19
	24	Rutgers	(NL)		31-7
	31	Miss. St.	(-8)	L	13-10
N.	14 at	Penn St.	(+4)	W	31-16
	28	Auburn (Birm)	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-17
	1	81 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD)

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Georgia Tech	(-20)	W	26-3
	20 at	Mississippi	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	59-35
	27	Vanderbilt	(NL)		41-0
0.	4	Kentucky	(-22)	W	45-0
	11 at	Rutgers	(-19)	L	17-13
	18 at	Tennessee	(-71/2)	W	27-0
	25	S. Mississippi	(NL)		42-7
N.	1 at	Miss. St.	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-6
	8	LSU	(-20)	W	28-7
	15	Notre Dame	(-7)	L	0-7
	29	Auburn (Bir.)	(-17)	L	34-18
	19	980 POINTSP		ECORI	A

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-1	3-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	3-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1979 RESULTS

Baylor t Vanderblt Wichita t Florida Tennessee	(-18) (NL) (NL) (-19½) (-20)	W	45-0 66-3 38-0 40-0
Wichita t Florida	(NL) (-19½)	100	38-0 40-0
t Florida	$(-19\frac{1}{2})$	100	40-0
		100	
Tennessee	(-20)	T.	-
		a.d.	27-17
Va. Tech	(NL)		31-7
Miss. St.	(-23)	L	24-7
t LSU	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-0
Miami	(-24)	W	30-3
Auburn (Bir.)	(-15)	L	25-18
	Miami Auburn (Bir.)	Miami (-24) Auburn (Bir.) (-15)	Miami (-24) W

1979 POINTSPREA	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	7-0	4-0
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

ARIZONA Wildcats



The cloud of NCAA sanction has finally passed over Tucson (although Arizona cannot appear on television in 1985, it is eligible for a bowl appearance) and Head Coach Larry Smith believes that will have a positive effect on the Wildcats.

The morale of our program is the best it has been in the terms that the probation is now lifted," Smith said. "This program is definitely on the upswing."

The Wildcats should continue to display the form that saw them finish at 7-4 last year, 5-2 in the rugged Pac-10. And while defense carried the club much of last season, it should be the attack troops which get the ink this campaign. Nine starters are back on that side of the ball, topped by quarterback Alfred Jenkins, the Pac-10's passing leader. Jenkins' favorite receiver, split end Jon Horton, also returns. Horton caught 45 passes for 880 yards and six TDs in 1984 and his 19.8-yards per catch average led the Pac-10. The running back corps also is well represented thanks to the presence of diminutive (5-6, 165) David Adams, a second-team All-Pac-10

1984 RESULTS

(-31/2)

(-10)

(+91/2)

(NL)

(-8)

(+2)

(+7)

(NL)

(-8)

(+1)1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

W

4-0

3-0

22-27

23-13

27-8

26-27

31-24

28-14

14-17

12-28

45-10

28-14 16-10

Away 1-3

2-2

1-0

Fresno State

Long Bea. St.

California

15 at Oregon St.

27 at Washington

Stanford

Combined Spread Record

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

Arizona St.

Utah St.

22 at LSU

20 at USC

6 Oregon

1

29

10

Actual Record

N. 3 honoree who rushed for 750 yards last fall. The RB contingent is further strengthened with a couple of transfers--Colorado's Chris McLemore and Al Lewis from Nebraska. They join fullbacks Charles Webb and Joe Prior to form a deep and talented group. The offensive line loses second-team All-Conference tackle Charlie Dickey and Smith plans some personnel changes to compensate for the defection. Joe Tofflemire will switch from outside linebacker to center and Doug Penner will move from center to guard. Holdovers are Brian Denton and Val Bichekas.

While the offense appears in good shape, several holes must be plugged on defense if the Cats are to approach the accomplishment of last year's unit which led the Pac-10 in sacks and was ranked third in the nation against the run. The entire front three must be replaced and the Wildcats also need someone at strong linebacker who can play like Ricky and Lamonte Hunley have the last halfdecade. The secondary, with more experienced hands available, could be the strength of the defense.

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Oregon St.	(-24)	W	50-6
	10	Utah	(NL)		38-0
	17 at	Wash. St.	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-6
	24	Cal. St. Ful.	(NL)		37-10
0.	1 at	California	(-11)	L	33-33
	8	Colorado St.	(NL)		52-21
1	16	Oregon	(-22)	L	10-19
	22 at	Stanford	(-12)	L	22-31
N.	5	Washington	(-1)	L	22-23
1	12	UCLA	(+1)	W	27-24
	26 at	Arizona St.	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-15
					A

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Actual Record	5-2	2-1-1
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-0

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Toledo
	+ 14		Wash. St.
	28		Colorado
0.	5	at	California
	12		UTEP
	19		San Jose St.
	26	at	Stanford
N.	2	at	Oregon St.
	9		UCLA
	16		Oregon
	. 23	at	Arizona St.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	23-17-1	14-19-2
Combined Spread Record	11-15-1	17-17
Favorite Spread Record	7-9-1	6-3
Underdog Spread Record	4-6	11-4

Austin's Edges

- Wildcats are 17-8 vs the points on the road the last six years.
- Dog is 8-3 ATS in Arizona-Arizona St. rivalry since
- The road team has won all six meetings vs the points in Washington St.-Arizona series.

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Oregon St.	(-28)	L	38-12
	18	Washington	(+9)	L	13-23
	25	Iowa	(-81/2)	L	14-17
0.	9 at	UCLA	(+161/2)	W	24-24
	16 at	Notre Dame	(+11)	W	16-13
	23	Pacific	(NL)		55-7
	30 at	Wash. St.	(-61/2)	W	34-17
N.	6 at	Stanford	(+3)	W	41-27
	13	USC	(+41/2)	L	41-48
	20 at	Oregon	(-12)	L	7-13
	27	Arizona St.	(+51/2)	W	28-18
	11	DOD DODING	DEADDE	COPD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	3-1-1
Combined Spread Record	1-4	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	3-0

1981 RESULTS

12	UCLA	(+81/2)	L	18-35
19	California	(-5)	L	13-14
26	Calif. St. Ful.	(NL)		37-16
3	Stanford	(PK)	W	17-13
10 at	USC	$(+21\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-10
17 at	Oregon	(+1)	W	18-14
24	Wash. St.	(-4)	L	19-34
31	UTEP	(NL)		48-15
14 at	Oregon St.	$(-20\frac{1}{2})$	W	40-7
21	Fresno St.	(NL)		17-23
28 at	Arizona St.	(+14)	W	24-28
	19 26 3 10 at 17 at 24 31 14 at 21	19 California 26 Calif. St. Ful. 3 Stanford 10 at USC 17 at Oregon 24 Wash. St. 31 UTEP 14 at Oregon St.	19 California (-5) 26 Calif. St. Ful. (NL) 3 Stanford (PK) 10 at USC (+21½) 17 at Oregon (+1) 24 Wash. St. (-4) 31 UTEP (NL) 14 at Oregon St. (-20½) 21 Fresno St. (NL)	19 California (-5) L 26 Calif. St. Ful. (NL) 3 Stanford (PK) W 10 at USC (+21½) W 17 at Oregon (+1) W 24 Wash. St. (-4) L 31 UTEP (NL) 14 at Oregon St. (-20½) W 21 Fresno St. (NL)

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-4	3-1
Combined Spread Record	1-3	4-0
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	3-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	20	Colorado St.	(NL)		13-15
	27 a	t California	(+6)	W	31-24
0.	· 4a	t Iowa	(+51/2)	W	5-3
	11	USC	(+11)	L	10-27
	18	Wash. St.	(-6)	L	14-38
	25	Notre Dame	(+12)	L	3-20
N.	1	UCLA	(+16)	W	23-17
	8 a	t Washington	(+13)	L	22-45
	15	Pacific	(NL)		63-35
	22 a	t Oregon St.	(-14)	W	24-7
	29	Arizona St.	(+3)	L	7-44
	-	oce DOINTER	DEAD DE	CODE	

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-5	3-1
Combined Spread Record	1-4	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	2-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	1	Colorado St.	(NL)		33-17
	8 at	Wash. St.	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	22-7
	15	California	(-71/2)	L	7-10
	22	Texas Tech	(PK)	P	14-14
	29	San Jose St.	(NL)		38-18
0.	13	Oregon	(-5)	W	24-13
	20	Stanford	(-51/2)	L	10-30
N.	3 at	USC	(+21)	L	7-34
	10 at	San Diego St.	(NL)		10-42
	17	Oregon St.	(-17)	W	42-18
	24 at	Arizona St.	(-14)	W	27-24

1979 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Home	Away
4-2-1	2-2
2-2-1	2-1
2-2-1	1-0
0-0	1-1
	4-2-1 2-2-1 2-2-1

HANDICAPPING THEORIES AND TECHNIQUES

Computer Handicapping

By RICK SNIDER

If not for anything else, the 80s will be remembered as the decade of computers. Elementary school children use them, newspaper writers traded their old manuals for VDTs. You can even have computer sex!

In fact, one in every five Americans now has a home computer, a rate sure to rise.

So it comes as no surprise that football handicapping now involves computers. Mike Warren has been doing it for years, one reason why he's considered America's Number One Handicapper. No more pouring over endless pages of notes. The computer handles data that would otherwise consume hours and deciphers the results for the user. What's the name of this wonder machine? The Mike Warren Pro Football Analyzer.

Mike Warren spotted the computer trend coming in 1979 and teamed with a Johns Hopkins University mathematician to develop a computer capable of beating the Las Vegas spread every week.


After two years of research, Warren unleashed his Analyzer, a handsized computer that anyone can use.

"This computer is not a toy," said Warren, the nation's foremost analyst. "It is a refined, sophisticated instrument that works and will make pro football bettors a lot of money."

In developing the computer, the Warren crew fed thousands of pages of stats into a computer trying to determine the importance of each. Needless to say, there were plenty of deadends. Progress was painstakingly slow.

"Everybody has an idea of what he thinks is important in handicapping," said Warren. "Some people say the game of football is all defense. Others swear by offense. For every handicapper, there's 50 systems."

Nothing earth-shattering happened for a long time. The computer was picking winners and losers like everyone else.



Then the break came. The staff decided to break the game down into minutes. The computer was soon picking winners 10 minutes into the contest. Big deal! You have to bet before the kickoff so that wasn't good enough.

Then the staff looked into variables and found ways to discount lesser stats and finally found their dream — a computer that picks games before kickoff

In analyzing the first season, 1981, the Analyzer consistently beat the Las Vegas line. In games in which it projected a point spread difference of four points or more against the line, it was 56-16 (77.8%). In 71 games difference of the constant of the

ing from the Vegas line by three points, the Analyzer was 69 percent. Thus, the big money came on those games of four points or more.

The 1982 season was harder to analyze because of the strike. 1983 had a slight drop to the mid-60s, but 1984 rebounded with winning percentages hitting the 70s.

Of course, the most important factor in using the Analyzer is getting the right statistics. Stats can be found in many newspapers, but Mike Warren suggests players get stats from him personally.

For more information, call Mike Warren at 1-800-638-6890.

Sportsman's 4½ ft. BLOW GUN Silent! Powerful! Accurate! Fantastically effective! 100 DARTS More accurate than the famed weapons of the Jivaro Indians of the Amazon jungle. Deadly against you can use over snakes, pests, varmints, fish and game birds from amazing distances. The STEEL DARTS and over again pierce 1/2" plywood. Military jungle camouflaged hard-drawn aluminium tubing for feather lightness. Great for driving off larger animals by just barely piercing the skin. But instantly kills squirrels, rabbits, quail, rats, crows, lizards DEER CREEK PRODS., Dept. 81 - T . with a force up to 300 ft. per second (200 miles per hour) with no more effort than a puff of your breath. Serves as a reliable means of survival for hikers, campers, backpackers, P.O. Box 754, Pompano Bch, FL 33061 Please send ☐ one BLOW GUN at \$24.75 or ☐ 2 for \$45.00 and \$19.75 for each additional one. hunters, in emergency situations. Try it out in your back yard if it doesn't amaze you with its accuracy and power, return it for full refund. Sold with a I am adding \$2.00 each for postage and handling. I understand I can return my purchase for full refund hin 30 days unless I am completely satisfied. MONEY-BACK

ARIZONA STATE



The Sun Devils have enough talent to beat anyone, but they've got to prove it on the field. That's now John Cooper's job, he having taken over the coaching reins from Darryl Rogers, the latter now with the Detroit Lions. Cooper inherits a club that was both very good and very bad last year, one with great potential that failed to live up to great expectations in 1984.

Experience, certainly, won't be a problem. There are 17 Sun Devils who started last year, seven on offense and 10 on defense.

'We haven't made many changes in the offense, except for the terminology," said Cooper, who directed Tulsa to 21 straight Missouri Valley Conference triumphs.

That means the Sun Devils will have a good balance between running and throwing the football. And, why not? ASU has a first-team All-Pac 10 running back in Darryl Clack and an experienced and accurate passer in Jeff Van Raaphorst. Clack ran for 1,052 yards last season while Van Raaphorst threw for 2,062 and 17 touchdowns in just seven outings. And, while top receiver Doug Allen has graduated, the next seven pass-

catchers return, led by tight end Stein Koss. The running crew also is deep with Mike Crawford (469 yards), Darryl Harris and regular fullback Vince Amoia also returning. The offensive line will be built around returning starters Randall McDaniel and David Fonoti, plus part-time regular Kevin

Defensively, there are almost no holes to be filled, although better play all around is expected. The gem of the platoon is strong safety David Fulcher, who earned first-team All-America honors last fall as a sophomore after leading the Sun Devils in tackles. He'll be the cornerstone of a veteran secondary. Dan Saleaumua, a second-team All-Conference choice at noseguard last year, will anchor a defensive front that also includes Frank Rudolph and Taleni Wright while Gregg Battle, a three-time letter winner and honorable mention All-Pac 10 pick, heads up the linebacking contingent.

The kicking game will miss Luis Zendejas and Jim Meyer, but Cooper has enough talent that if he can get the most out of this group Arizona State could turn things around in a hurry.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

١	S.	14	at	Michigan St.
	1	21		Pacific
ĺ		28	at	USC
l	0.	5	at	UCLA
ı		12		Utah
ì		19		Utah St.
ı		* 26	at	Wash. St.
d	N.	2	at	California
1		9		Washington

16

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Stanford

at Arizona

	Home	Away
Actual Record	31-12	11-12-1
Combined Spread Record	13-17	14-9
Favorite Spread Record	9-15	10-5
Underdog Spread Record	4-2	4-4

Feist's Factors

- Sun Devils are 6-2 as a home underdog (since 1973).
- Arizona State is 22-15 as a favorite vs non-conference teams.
- Devils are 0-6 ATS vs Washington State since

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Okla. St.	(-41/2)	L	3-45
	15	San Jose St.	(NL)		48-0
	22	USC	(PK)	L	3-6
	29 at	Stanford	(-2)	W	28-10
0.	6	California	(-15)	L	14-19
	20	Oregon St.	(-17)	W	45-10
	27	UCLA	(-61/2)	L	13-21
N.	3	Florida St.	(+6)	L	44-52
	10 at	Oregon	(-41/2)	W	44-10
	17	Colorado St.	(NL)		45-10
	24 at	Arizona	(-1)	L	10-16

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-5	2-1
Combined Spread Record	1-5	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-4	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	0-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Utah St.	(NL)	-	39-12
	17 at	UCLA	(+4)	W	26-26
	24	Wichita St.	(NL)		44-14
0.	1	Stanford	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	W	29-11
	15 at	USC	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-14
	22	Washington St.	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-31
	29	Florida St.	(-1)	L	26-29
N.	5 at	California	(-81/2)	L	24-26
	12	Oregon St.	(-29)	W	38-3
	19	San Jose St.	(NL)		24-17
	26	Arizona	(-21/2)	L	15-17

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-3	1-1-1
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1982 RESULTS

Ш	S.	4 a	t Oregon	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-3
Н		11	Utah	(NL)		23-10
U		18	Houston	(-1)	W	24-10
	1	25 a	t California	$(-6^{1/2})$	W	15-0
Ш	0.	2 a	t Kansas St.	(-15)	W	30-7
		9	Stanford	(-7)	L	21-17
		16	Tex. El Paso	(NL)		37-6
		30	South. Cal.	(+2)	W	17-10
П	N.	6	Oregon St.	(-32)	L	30-16
ı		13	Washington	(-4)	L	13-17
۱		27 a	t Arizona	(-51/2)	L	18-28
П		- 1	000 DOINTER	DEAD DE	CORE	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	4-1
Combined Spread Record	1-3	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	4-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Utah	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	W	52-10
	19	Wichita St.	(NL)		33-21
	26 at	Wash. St.	(-7)	L	21-24
0.	3 at	Washington	(+2)	W	26-7
	10	Oregon	(-91/2)	W	24-0
	17	Califonia	(-15)	W	45-17
	24 at	Stanford	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	62-36
N.	7	San Jose St.	(NL)		31-24
	14 at	UCLA	(PK)	L	24-34
	21	Colorado St.	(NL)		41-14
	28	Arizona	(-14)	L	28-24

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	7-0	2-2
Combined Spread Record	3-1	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Houston	(1/261/2)	W	29-13
	20	Oregon St.	(-21)	W	42-14
	27 at		(+19)	W	21-38
0.	4 at	USC	(+14)	W	23-23
n	11	Wash. St.	(-14)	L	27-21
	25	Pacific	(NL)		37-9
N.	1 at	Washington	(+5)	L	0-25
	8	California	(-14)	W	34-6
	15	UCLA	(+2)	L	14-23
	22	Oregon	(+1)	W	42-37
	29 at	Arizona	(-3)	W	44-7
	0.	20 27 at 0. 4 at 11 25 N. 1 at 8 15 22	20 Oregon St. 27 at Ohio St. O. 4 at USC 11 Wash. St. 25 Pacific N. 1 at Washington 8 California 15 UCLA	20 Oregon St. (-21) 27 at Ohio St. (+19) O. 4 at USC (+14) 11 Wash. St. (-14) 25 Pacific (NL) N. 1 at Washington (+5) 8 California (-14) 15 UCLA (+2) 22 Oregon (+1)	20 Oregon St. (-21) W 27 at Ohio St. (+19) W O. 4 at USC (+14) W 11 Wash. St. (-14) L 25 Pacific (NL) N. 1 at Washington (+5) L 8 California (-14) W 15 UCLA (+2) L 22 Oregon (+1) W

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-1	1-3
Combined Spread Record	4-2	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	2-1

1979 RESULTS

0.3					
S.	8	California	(-12)	L	9-17
1	15 at	Florida St.	(+61/2)	L	3-31
	22	Toledo	(NL)		49-0
1	29 at	Oregon St.	(-6)	W	45-0
0.	13	Washington	(+51/2)	W	12-7
100	20	Wash. St.	(-19)	L	28-17
0.00	27	Utah St.	(NL)		28-14
N.	3 at	Stanford	(+4)	L	21-28
1	10 at	UCLA	(+2)	L	28-31
	17	W. Virginia	(-10)	W	42-7
	24	Arizona	(-4)	L	24-27
D.	1 at	Hawaii	(NL)		17-29

1979 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away Actual Record 5-2 Combined Spread Record 2-3 1-3 Favorite Spread Record 1-3 1-0

0-3

Underdog Spread Record

ARKANSAS Razorbacks



Ken Hatfield's first season in Fayetteveille produced a 7-4-1 record which included a trip to the Liberty Bowl against Auburn. His second season should be even better. Hatfield will have 16 starters returning, including nine from the defensive platoon that led the Southwest Conference in both total defense and scoring defense in 1984.

"Last year's stats mean nothing now," cautioned Hatfield. "People will be gunning for us since we led the league last year. If everyone on our defense doesn't come back at least 15 percent better than last year, our defense won't be as good."

The key performers on the stop troops will be All-SWC cornerback Kevin Wyatt, noseguard Tony Cherico, the SWC Defensive Newcomerof-the-Year in '84, and end Ravin Caldwell. Only two positions--outside linebacker and rover--must be filled, and Hatfield seems to have the folks to do it.

The situation is not quite as strong on offense. The Hogs have lost quarterback Brad Taylor and backup Danny Nutt, thrusting Greg Thomas

into the favorite's role for the spot. Thomas has excellent speed (4.5) but lacks passing polish. But, since the Hogs operate out of the flexbone attack (a run-oriented system), Thomas' speed may be ample compensation for his untested arm. Certainly, there are plenty of capable runners, led by Marshall Foreman and Bobby Joe Edmunds. Foreman topped the Razorbacks in rushing (804 yards), while Edmunds contributed 352 yards and caught 31 passes for 284 more. While not playing in a passing offense. split end James Shibest got enough attention to lead the SWC in receptions with 51 last year. He's back to bulwark the pass-catching contingent. Tackles Dale Williams, Byron Yarborough and David McGee, plus center Andy Upchurch return to form a solid nucleus up front. Yarborough and Upchurch have all-star capabilities.

Except for quarterback, the Razorbacks are a sharper outfit than last year's group which lost four games by a TD, or less. They should be strong contenders for the SWC title.

DATA

19	85	SCHEDULE
14	at	Mississippi

Page 4	-	-	TITTOTOTOPI
1000	21		Tulsa
	28		New Mexico S
0.	5	at	TCU
	* 12	at	Texas Tech
	19		Texas
	26		Houston
N.	2	at	Rice
	9		Baylor
	16	at	Texas A&M

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

SMU

	Home	Away
Actual Record	32-8-1	13-11-1
Combined Spread Record	17-16	13-12
Favorite Spread Record	15-13	11-7
Underdog Spread Record	2-3	2-5

Austin's Edges

- Arkansas is 9-3 vs the points against Texas Tech since 1973.
- Razorbacks are 14-9 vs the points at Little Rock the past 12 years.
- Hogs are 1-5 ATS as conference home favorites from PK-4 since 1973.

1984 RESULTS

S.	15	Miss.		(-61/2)	L	14-14
	22	Tulsa		(-61/2)	W	18-9
	29	Navy		(-13)	W	33-10
0.	6	TCU		$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	31-32
1	13	Texas Tech		$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-0
	20 at	Texas		$(+10^{1/2})$	W	18-24
	27 at	Houston		(-3)	W	17-3
N.	3	Rice		$(-29\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-6
	10 at	Baylor		$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-9
		Texas A&M		(-9)	W	28-0
	24 at	SMU	6	(+21/2)	L	28-31
	. 19	984 POINTS	PI	READ RE	CORD	

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Tulsa	(NL)		17-14
	17	New Mexico	(NL)		17-0
	24 at	Mississippi	(-10)	L	10-13
0.	1 at	TCU	(-81/2)	W	38-21
	15	Texas	(+10)	L	3-31
	22	Houston	(-11)	W	24-3
	29	Rice	(-27)	W	35-0
N.	5	Baylor	(-7)	L	21-24
	12 at	Texas A&M	(PK)	L	23-36
	19	SMU	(+7)	L	0-17
	26 at	Texas Tech	(-3)	P	16-13

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Away
4-3	2-2
2-3	1-3
2-1	1-3
0-2	0-0
	2-3 2-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Tulsa	(NL)		38-0
100	18	Navy	(-20)	L	29-17
	25	Ole Miss	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-12
0.	2	TCU	(-13)	W	35-0
	9	Texas Tech	(-16)	W	21-3
	23 a	t Houston	(-4)	W	38-3
	30	Rice	(-30)	L	24-6
N.	6 a	t Baylor	(-13)	L	17-24
1	13	Texas A&M	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-0
	20 a	t SMU	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-17
D.	4 a	t Texas	(+21/2)	L	7-33
1000					

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	7-0	1-2-1
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-3	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Tulsa	(N)		14-10
	19	Northwestern	$(-23\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-7
	26 at	Mississippi	(-3)	W	27-13
0.	3 at	TCU	(-11)	L	24-28
	10 at	Texas Tech	(-61/2)	W	26-14
	17	Texas	(+6)	W	42-11
	24	Houston	(-3)	L	17-20
	31 at	Rice	(-11)	W	41-7
N	7	Baylor	(-7)	L	41-39
N.	14 at	Texas A&M	(-21/2)	W	10-7
	21	SMU	(+31/2)	L	18-32

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home A

Home 4-2	Away 4-1
2-3	4-1
1-2	4-1
1-1	0-0
	4-2 2-3 1-2

1980 RESULTS

S.	1a	t Texas	(+1)	L	17-23
	20	Oklahoma St.	(-14)	L	33-20
	27	Tulsa	(NL)		13-10
0.	4	TCU	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	44-7
20	11	Wichita St.	(NL)		27-7
	25 a	t Houston	$(-4^{1/2})$	L	17-24
N.	1	Rice	(-15)	L	16-17
	8 a	t Baylor	(+61/2)	L	15-42
	15	Texas A&M	$(-6^{1/2})$	L	27-24
	22 a	t SMU	$(+6^{1/2})$	L	7-31
	29	Texas Tech	(-4)	W	22-16
	1	980 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-1	0-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	0-4
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-3

S.	15	Colorado St.	(NL)		36-3
0.00	22	Oklahoma St.	(-11)	W	27-7
1	29	Tulsa -	(NL)	v	33-8
0.	6 at	TCU	(-25)	L	16-13
1000	13 at	Texas Tech	(-4)	W	20-6
	20	Texas	(+5)	W	17-14
	27	Houston	$(-2^{1/2})$	L	10-13
N.	3 at	Rice	(-21)	W	34-7
1	10	Baylor	(-7)	W	29-20
	17 at	Texas A&M	(-1)	W	22-10
	24	SMU	(-14)	W	31-7
	1	979 POINTSP	READ RI	CORD	

1979 POINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D	
	Home Aw		
Actual Record	6-1	4-0	
Combined Spread Record	4-1	3-1	
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	3-1	
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0	

ARMY Cadets



Jim Young's decision to switch to the wishbone alignment last year helped lead the Cadets to their firstever Bowl appearance, and the Coach-of-the Year thinks his club can make it two in-a-row.

"The wishbone system is in," said Young. "The foundation has been laid. Now it's up to the players who return from last year's group to keep

the momentum going.

Young's wishbone, which monopolizes the football and thus aids the defense, will be rebuilt around returning fullback Doug Black, who rushed for 1,148 yards and 11 touchdowns a year ago. Speedy halfback Clarence Jones (292 yards, 8.1 average) also returns, along with William Lampley (410 yards) and a trio of fine receivers in Benny White, Rob Dickerson and Scott Spellmon. The key missing ingredient is quarterback Nate Sassaman, who ran for 1,002 yards last year but has exhausted his eligibility. Likely to take over is Rob Healy, a regular in 1983 when Army was using a pro style attack. Healy did show he could operate out of the wishbone when he directed the Cadets to a 24-

12 triumph over Air force last season. He'll be challenged by soph Tory Crawford and junior Mike Ryan. Don Smith, an All-America candidate at guard who Young feels "can play for any team in the country" spearheads the offensive line. Also returning is center Ron Rice. Dan Sauter will step into a vacany at tackle.

Army's defense needs a lot of work, graduation claiming eight starters, including seven of the top eight tacklers. The best of the returnees is safety Doug Pavek, the team interception leader who ranked second in tackles in 1984. He'll get help from backfield mates Bill Sanders, Darold Londo and Matt Buckner while Tom Malloy, Kurt Gutierrez, Bob Kleinhample, Jim Brock and Lloyd Walker are the folks up front. Marty Baptiste and Larry Biggins are likely choices at linebacker.

While a punter must be found, kicker Craig Stopa (15-17 FG) returns for the placement chores.

If Young is able to come up with an adeugate quarterback replacement for Sassaman, the Cadets again should have a good club.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14		W. Michigan
	21		Rutgers
	28	at	Penn
0.	5		Yale
	12		Boston College
1	19	at	Notre Dame
	26		Colgate
N.	2		Holy Cross
	* 9	at	Air Force
	16		Memphis St.
D.	. 7		Navy (Phila.)

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	15-20-2	5-22-2
Combined Spread Record	16-17	11-10-2
Favorite Spread Record	7-6	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	9-11	9-9-2

Feist's Factors

- Army is 3-9 overall, ATS vs arch rival Navy since 1973.
- The favorite is 8-3 vs the points in the Army-Air Force rivalry.
- Black Knights are only 11-5 as a favorite vs teams they play this year.

1984 RESULTS

S.	15	Colgate	(+3)	W	41-15
	22 at	Tennessee	(+191/2)	W	24-24
	29	Duke	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-9
0.	6	Harvard	(-16)	W	33-11
	13 at	Rutgers (Mdl.)	(+71/2)	W	7-14
	20	Penn	(-11)	W	48-13
	27 at	Syracuse	(+7)	L	16-27
N.	3	Air Force	(+71/2)	W	24-12
	10 at	Boston Coll.	(+16)	W	31-45
	17	Montana (Tok.)	(NL)		45-31
D.	1	Navy (Phila.)	(-1)	W	28-11

1984 POINTSPREA	D RECOR	D		
	Home			
Actual Record	5-0	2-3-1		
Combined Spread Record	5-0	4-1		
Favorite Spread Record	3-0	0-0		
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	4-1		

1983 RESULTS

17 at	Louisville	(NL)		7 01
24				7-31
	Dartmouth	(-61/2)	L	13-12
1 at	Harvard	(-2)	L	21-24
8	Rutgers	(NL)		20-12
15	N. Dame (Mdw.)	(+301/2)	L	0-42
22	Lehigh	(NL)		12-13
29 at	Air Force	(+21)	P	20-41
5	Boston Coll.	(+22)	W	14-34
12 at	Pittsburgh	(NL)		7-38
3	Navy (Pasa.)	(+81/2)	L	13-42
	8 15 22 29 at 5 12 at 3	15 N. Dame (Mdw.) 22 Lehigh 29 at Air Force 5 Boston Coll. 12 at Pittsburgh	8 Rutgers (NL) 15 N. Dame (Mdw.) (+30½) 22 Lehigh (NL) 29 at Air Force (+21) 5 Boston Coll. (+22) 12 at Pittsburgh (NL) 3 Navy (Pasa.) (+8½)	8 Rutgers (NL) 15 N. Dame (Mdw.) (+30½) L 122 Lehigh (NL) 29 at Air Force (+21) P 5 Boston Coll. (+22) W 12 at Pittsburgh (NL) 3 Navy (Pasa.) (+8½) L

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORI Home Actual Record 2-4 0-5 Combined Spread Record 2-3 0-2-2 0-2 Favorite Spread Record 0-1 0-1-2 Underdog Spread Record

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 a	Missouri	(+211/2)	W	10-23
	18	Lafayette	(NL)		26-20
	25 at	N. Carolina	$(+25\frac{1}{2})$	L	8-62
0.	2	Harvard	(-6)	L	17-13
	9	Rutgers (Mdl)	(+8)	L	3-24
	16 a	Princeton	(-5)	W	20-14
	23	Boston Col.	(+20)	W	17-32
	30	Columbia	(-17)	W	41-8
N.	6 A	ir Force	$(+5\frac{1}{2})$	L	9-27
	13	Pittsburgh	(+33)	W	6-24
D.	47	Navy (Pa)	(+91/2)	L	7-24
	1	982 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-4	1-3
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	1-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Missouri	(+21)	W	20-24
	19 at	VMI	(NL)		7-14
	28	Brown	(-9)	L	23-17
0.	3 at	Harvard	(-3)	W	27-13
	10	Rutgers	(+7)	L	0-17
	17	Princeton	(-14)	W	34-0
	21	Boston Coll.	(+2)	L	6-41
	31 at	Air Force	(+6)	W	3-7
N.	7	Holy Cross	(-3)	L	13-28
	14 at	Pittsburgh	(+38)	L	0-48
0.	5	Navy (Phila.)	$(+19\frac{1}{2})$	W	3-3
-		or DOTHERO	DEAD DE	CODE	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	1-3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-4	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Holy Cross	(-6)	W	28-7
	20	California	(+15)	W	26-19
	27 at	Wash. St.	(+61/2)	L	18-31
0.	4	Harvard	(-12)	L	10-15
	11	Lehigh	(NL)		24-24
	18 at	Notre Dame	(+27)	P	3-30
	25 at	Boston Coll.	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-30
N.	1	Rutgers	(+12)	L	21-37
	8	Air Force	(-2)	W	47-24
	15	Pittsburgh	$(+27\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-45
	29	Navy (Phila.)	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	L	6-33
	19	80 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD)

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4-1	0-4
Combined Spread Record	3-3	0-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	0-3

1979 RESULTS


S.	15	Connecticut	(NL)		26-10
	22 at	Stanford	(+17)	W	17-13
	29	N. Carolina	(+131/2)	L	3-41
0.	6	Duke	(+4)	W	17-17
	13 at	Penn St.	(+24)	W	3-24
	20	Baylor	(+13)	L	0-55
	27	Boston Coll.	(+3)	L	16-29
N.	3 at	Air Force	(+1)	L	7-28
	10 at	Rutgers	(+20)	P	0-20
	17	Pittsburgh	(+24)	L	0-40
D,	1	Navy (Phila.)	(+20)	L	7-31
	10	79 POINTSP	PEAD DE	COPD	-

	PATER TENCOTED	
	Home	Away
ctual Record	1-4-1	1-4
ombined Spread Record	1-4	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-4	2-1

A



Army fullback Doug Black, who rushed for 1,148 yards last year, gains a few against Boston College here.



783-1120

AUBURN Tigers



It looks a lot more like "do" than "Dye" for Auburn this year, but the Tiger head coach is holding his "Pat" hand very close to his vest.

"We have a chance to be a good football team," deadpanned Auburn head honcho Pat Dye. "We have talent and we have ability but that is not enough. We have to return to the attitudes and intangibles we had the first three years.'

Dye has 16 starters returning from last year's 9-4 team that beat Arkansas in the Liberty Bowl, including 10 offensive regulars. Among that group is Bo Jackson, the 1984 preseason Heisman Trophy contender who missed six games with a separated shoulder. Jackson's return to form would add to a running game that already borders on awesome without him. There's leading rusher Brent Fullwood (628 yards), Collis Campbell (511) and Tommie Agee (456) among others, plus starting quarterback Pat Washington. All-SEC tight end Jeff Parks and mercurial Freddy Weygand (32 receptions, 24.9 average) are available when Auburn wants to pass. and the offensive line is rock solid, led by All-Conference guard Jeff Lott,

tackle Steve Wallace and pivot Ben Tamburello. Auburn's offense should do even better than the 28 points per game it averaged in 1984.

Defensively, there are some spaces, but there are also some aces. A pair of them are up front in All-SEC noseguard Harold Hallman and All-Conference end Gerald Robinson. Gerald Williams, who was third in the SEC in total tackles among down linemen, is no slouch, either. Linebacker is the one position that concerns Dye the most. Ben McCurdy is a returning regular, but Dye is looking for a more physical brand of play from this contingent. The secondary, meanwhile, is young but returns three starters in cornerbacks Jonathan Robinson and Kevin Porter, plus strong safety Arthur Johnson. Lewis Colbert returns to handle the punting duties and Chris Knapp is the favorite to take over the placement chores from the graduated Robert McGinty.

Auburn's schedule is nowhere near as suicidal as last year's (Miami and Texas are off the slate), and the Tigers will be favored to roll towards an SEC crown.

DATA

1985	SCHEDUL	F
1000	CONTROL	-

S.	7		SW Louisiana
100	12		Southern Miss.
	28	at	Tennessee
0.	5		Mississippi
199	12		Florida St.
	• 19	at	Georgia Tech
	26		Miss. St.
N.	2		Florida
1000	9		East Carolina
	16	at	Georgia
	30		Alabama (Birm.)

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 30-6	Away 14-16
Combined Spread Record	19-13	17-12-1
Favorite Spread Record	17-10	6-8
Underdog Spread Record	2-3	11-4-1

Austin's Edges

- Tigers hold an 8-4 ATS edge over Tennessee.
- The visitor is 9-2 vs the points in the Auburn-Georgia Tech series.
- Auburn is 6-3 vs the points from pick to -4 as an away favorite the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

A.	27	Miami (Mdw.)	(-5)	L	18-20
S.	15 at	Texas	(-1)	L	27-35
	22	So. Miss.	(-10)	W	35-12
	29	Tennessee	(-11)	W	29-10
0.	6 at	Mississippi	(-10)	L	17-13
	13 at	Florida St.	(+3)	W	42-41
	20	Georgia Tech	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	W	48-34
	27 at	Miss. St.	(-13)	L	24-21
N.	3 at	Florida	(+1)	L	3-24
	10	Cincinnati	(-32)	W	60-0
	17	Georgia	$(-6\frac{1}{2})$	W	20-12
D.	1	Alabama (Bir.)	(-7)	L	15-17
	19	84 POINTSP	READ R	ECOR	D
			H	ome	Away
Ac	tual F	Record		5-0	3-4
Can	Line	d Consul Dans		E 0	10

1904 FULLISTREAL	RECOR	U
	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	3-4
Combined Spread Record	5-0	1-6
Favorite Spread Record	5-0	0-5
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Southern Miss.	(-9)	W	24-3
	17	Texas	(-21/2)	L	7-20
	24 at	Tennessee	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	37-14
0.	1	Florida St.	(-41/2)	L	27-24
	8 at	Kentucky	(-11)	W	49-21
	15 at	Georgia Tech	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-13
	22	Miss. St	$(-18\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-13
	29 -	Florida	(-3)	W	28-21
N.	5	Maryland	(-61/2)	W	35-23
	12 at	Georgia	(+1)	W	13-7
D.	3	Alabama (Bir.)	(-6)	L	23-20
	19	83 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

198	33	POINTSPREAD	RECORD
			Trans

Away
5-0
4-1
3-1
1-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Wake Forest	(-14)	W	28-10
	18	South Miss.	(-61/2)	L	21-19
	25	Tennessee	(-7)	W	24-14
0.	2	Nebraska	(+9)	L	7-41
	9	Kentucky	(-13)	W	18-3
	16	Georgia Tech	(-14)	W	24-0
	23 at	Miss St.	(-11/2)	W	35-17
	30 at	Florida	(+41/2)	W	17-19
N.	6	Rutgers	(-41/2)	W	30-7
	13	Georgia	$(+6^{1/2})$	W	14-19
	27 at	Alabama	(+41/2)	W	23-22

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-2	2-1
Combined Spread Record	6-2	3-0
Favorite Spread Record	5-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	TCU	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-16
	19	Wake Forest	(-11)	L	21-24
	26 at	Tennessee	(+3)	P	7-10
0.	3 at	Nebraska	(+19)	W	3-17
40	10	LSU	(-3)	W	19-7
	17 at	Georgia Tech	(-3)	W	31-7
	24	Miss. St.	(+3)	L	17-21
	31	Florida	(+4)	W	14-12
N.	7	N. Texas St.	(NL)		20-0
	14 at	Georgia	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-24
	28	Ala. (Birm.)	(+111/2)	W	17-28

Home Away Actual Record Combined Spread Record 3-2 4-0-1 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record 3-0-1

1980 RESULTS

13 8	TCU	(-7)	L	10-7
20	Duke	(-21)	L	35-28
27	Tennesee	$(-2^{1/2})$	L	0-42
4	Richmond	(NL)		55-16
11 at	LSU	(+1)	L	17-21
18	Georgia Tech	(-16)	L	17-14
25 at	t Miss. St.	(+4)	W	21-24
1 at	t Florida	(+31/2)	L	10-21
8	S. Mississippi	(NL)		31-0
15	Georgia	(+61/2)	L	21-31
29	Alabama (Bir.)	(+17)	W	18-34
	20 27 4 11 at 18 25 at 1 at 8 15	20 Duke 27 Tennesee 4 Richmond 11 at LSU 18 Georgia Tech 25 at Miss. St. 1 at Florida 8 S. Mississippi 15 Georgia	20 Duke (-21) 27 Tennesee (-2½) 4 Richmond (NL) 11 at LSU (+1) 18 Georgia Tech (-16) 25 at Miss. St. (+4) 1 at Florida (+3½) 8 S. Mississippi (NL) 15 Georgia (+6½)	20 Duke (-21) L

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	1-4
Combined Spread Record	0-4	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-2

	(-14)	L	26-18
Miss.	(NL)		31-19
nessee	(+4)	L	17-35
Carol. St.	(-3)	W	44-31
nderbilt	(NL)		52-35
orgia Tech	(-2)	W	38-14
ke Forest	(-3)	L	38-42
rida	(-14)	L	19-13
s. St.	(-61/2)	W	14-3
orgia	(+11/2)	W	33-13
bama (Birm	.) (+15)	W	18-25
		ama (Birm.) (+15) POINTSPREAD RE	ama (Birm.) (+15) W POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	2-3
Combined Spread Record	2-2	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-1

BAYLOR Bears



Once again, it'll be difficult to tell the Bears without a scorecard. Head Coach Grant Teaff not only will continue to shuffle quarterbacks Cody Carlson and Tom Muecke in and out of the lineup at will, but the attack also will shift gears from the familiar Iformation to the split-back veer. If that weren't enough, Teaff has shifted Ron Francis, last season's leading rusher, to the defensive secondary where he'll play cornerback. Except for learning a new system and new responsibilities, the Bear offense is pretty well set. Carlson (666 yards, six TDs) and Muecke (1,402, 10) have been alternating for three seasons, now. The platoon system worked best in '83 when the pair finished one-two in the SWC in passing. With Francis moving over to defense, the running back chores will go to Ralph Stockemer (352 yards) and one of eight other aspirants. The Bears averaged only 2.9 yards per rush last year, so there's plenty of room for improvement. The pass-catching crew again will be headed by Glenn Pruitt (32 receptions, 18.3 average, six TDs), Horace Ates (20) and Leland Douglas (17). Baylor's offensive line loses only one starter and center John Adickes should lead an experienced crew.

Defensively, the Bears lose four of the top six linemen who helped Baylor lead the Southwest Conference and finish 10th nationally in rushing defense. Holdovers Steve Grumbine and Derek Turner will be counted on to lead a basically new contingent. Ray Berry is solid at a weakside linebacker spot, but Teaff is looking for a heavy hitter with speed to fill the important MLB position. The secondary, which got off to a shaky start but jelled down the stretch, should be better in '85. Johnny Thomas, Anthony Coleman and Thomas Everett all return from starting roles, and there's Francis, as well. Buzzy Sawyer, who had a 44.9 yard average last year, could be the best in the SWC, and, possibly, the nation, but the Bears are in the market for a new placekicker.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Wyoming
	14	at	Georgia
	21	at	USC
	28		Texas Tech
0.	5	at	Houston
	12	at	SMU
	19		Texas A&M
	* 26	at	TCU
N.	19	at	Arkansas
120	16		Rice

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Texas

	Home	Away
Actual Record	22-9-2	16-17
Combined Spread Record	17-8-1	13-17
Favorite Spread Record	9-7-1	7-6
Underdog Spread Record	8-1	6-11

Feist's Factors

- Bears are 12-6 as an SWC home underdog, since 1973.
- Baylor is an awful 0-6 ATS at TCU the past 12 years.
- The Bears are only 7-11 as a road favorite since 1978.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	BYU	(+6)	L	13-47
	22 at	Oklahoma	(+18)	L	15-34
	29 at	Texas Tech	(-3)	W	18-9
0.	6	Houston	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-27
	13	SMU	(+8)	W	20-24
	20 at	Texas A&M	(-1)	W	20-16
	27 at	TCU	(+6)	L	28-38
N.	3	New Mexico	(NL)		38-2
	10	Arkansas	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	9-14
	17 at	Rice	(-15)	L	40-46
	24	Texas	(+81/2)	W	24-10
		84 POINTSP			

TOOL I OHILLOT TENTE	D ILLOUA	2.5
	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	3-3
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	0-3

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	BYU	(+1)	W	40-36
	17 at	UTEP	(NL)		20-6
	24	Texas Tech	(-7)	L	11-26
0.	1 at	Houston	(+41/2)	W	42-21
	8 at	SMU	(+71/2)	L	26-42
	15	Texas A&M	(-31/2)	L	13-13
	22	TCU	(-8)	W	56-21
	29	Tulane	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-18
N.	5 at	Arkansas	(+7)	W	24-21
	12	Rice	(-22)	W	48-14
	19 at	Texas	(+13)	W	21-24

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Av

Actual Record	4-1-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	3-3	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-3	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	3-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	N. Tex. St.	(NL)		21-17
1000	11 at	Ohio St.	(+12)	W	14-21
1	25 at	Texas Tech	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-23
0.	2	Houston	(+2)	W	21-21
1000	9	SMU	(+71/2)	W	19-22
	16 at	Texas A&M	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	L	23-28
1	23 at	TCU	(-7)	L	14-38
	30 at	Tulane	(-51/2)	L	15-30
N.	6	Arkansas	(+13)	W	24-17
100	13 at	Rice	(-10)	W	35-13
	20	Texas	(+81/2)	W	23-31
	- 2				

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away Actual Record 2-2-1 2-4 Combined Spread Record 4-0 2-4 Favorite Spread Record 0-0 1-3

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	Lamar Tech	(NL)		17-18
	12	Bowling Green	(NL)		38-0
	19 at	La. Tech	(NL)		28-21
	26	Texas Tech	(-10)	W	28-15
0.	3 at	Houston	(+21/2)	L	3-24
- 7	10 at	SMU	(+8)	L	20-37
	17	Texas A&M	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	L	19-17
	24	TCU	(-8)	W	34-21
N.	7 at	Arkansas	(+61/2)	W	39-41
	14	Rice	$(-14^{1/2})$	L	14-17
	21 at	Texas	(+12)	L	12-34

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-2	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-3

1980 RESULTS

8.	13 at	Lamar	(NL)		42-7
73	20	W. Texas St.	(NL)		43-15
	27 at	Texas Tech	(-2)	W	11-3
0.	4	Houston	(-61/2)	W	24-12
	11	SMU	(-4)	P	32-28
	18 at	Texas A&M	(-31/2)	W	46-7
	25 at	TCU	(-16)	L	21-6
N.	1	San Jose St.	(NL)		22-30
917	8	Arkansas	(-61/2)	W	42-15
	15 at	Rice	(-14)	L	16-6
	22	Texas	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	W	16-0
	19	980 POINTSI	PREAD RECO	ORD	

	Home	Away		
Actual Record	5-1	5-0		
Combined Spread Record	3-0-1	2-2		
Favorite Spread Record	3-0-1	2-2		
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0		

1979 RESULTS

Underdog Spread Record

S.	8	Lamar Tech	(NL)		20-7
	15	Texas A&M	(+3)	W	17-7
	22 a	t Alabama	(+18)	L	0-45
	29	Texas Tech	(+1)	W	27-17
0.	6 a	t Houston	(+9)	W	10-13
	13 a	t SMU	(PK)	W	24-21
	20 a	t Army	(-13)	W	55-0
	27	TCU	(-18)	L	16-3
N.	10 a	t Arkansas	(+7)	L	20-29
	17	Rice	(-24)	W	45-14
	24 a	t Texas	(+11)	L	0-13
	1	979 POINTSI	PREAD R	ECORD	

1979 POINTSPREAD	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	2-4
Combined Spread Record	3-1	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	1-3

BOSTON COLLEGE Eagles



The Eagles have gone to three straight bowl games, but might have to play a different tune now that Doug (The Magic) Flutie is orchestrating victories in New Jersey and not Chestnut Hill. Replacing the Heisman Trophy winner is, of course, an impossible task but Head Coach Jack Bicknell will turn the reins over to two-year backup Shawn Halloran and see what happens. Halloran is 23-43 passing the last two seasons and has superior size (6-4, 215), but he's replacing the NCAA career record holder in both passing and total offense. Halloran's supporting cast will have to help. Troy Stradford, who led the Eagles in rushing last year with 666 yards, probably will see even more of the football this season. The same could hold true for Kenny Bell, who rang up 346 yards last year. They will operate behind a tested offensive line that is led by returning regulars Jack Bicknell, Jr., Shawn Regent and Steve Trapilo. Kelvin Martin, who caught 37 passes last year and led the team with 10 touchdowns, will be the Eagles' primary receiver with tight end Scott Gieselman (32 receptions) also sure

to be a leading pass-catcher.

Boston College's defense will be anchored by Honorable Mention All-America noseguard Mike Ruth and Cotton Bowl Defensive MVP Bill Romanski, a hard-hitting linebacker. Ruth boasts exceptional strength and surprising speed while Romanski, just a sophomore, has incredible potential, Chuck Gorecki and John Bosa will help Ruth up front with Andy Hemmer and Ted Gaffney aiding Romanski. Of major concern is the secondary where All-America Tony Thurman, the nation's leading interceptor (12) is gone, along with two other starters. That leaves fifth-year cornerback Neil Iton as the only returning regular. The kicking forces will miss placement specialist Kevin Snow (46-49 PAT, 12-16 FG), but Steve Peach (36.5) and John Mihalik (38.9) are back to do the punting.

Boston College's schedule is rough--for the fourth straight season the Eagles face the defending National Champion--and Bicknell's birds will have to be at their very best to squeeze out enough victories for a fourth straight bowl bid.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

A.	29		BYU (Mead.)
S.	7		Temple
	14		Maryland
	21	at	Pittsburgh
	28		Miami
0.	5	at	Rutgers
	12	at	Army
	*19		W. Virginia
1	26	at	Cincinnati
N.	2	at	Penn St.
	16	at	Syracuse
	23		Holy Cross

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	25-8	18-14-1
Combined Spread Record	13-10-1	14-16
Favorite Spread Record	6-5-1	7-8
Underdog Spread Record	7-5	7-8

Austin's Edges

- Eagles are 6-1 as a road favorite from -6 to -91/2 since 1973.
- The BC-West Virginia series has to the favorite seven of the last 10 decisions.
- Boston College is 7-14 vs the points as a dog against the clubs they meet in '85.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	W. Carolina	(NL)		44-24
	8 at	Alabama	(+51/2)	W	38-31
	22	N. Carolina	(-7)	W	52-20
0.	13	Temple	(-15)	L	24-10
	20 at	W. Virginia	(-4)	L	20-21
	27	Rutgers	(-14)	L	35-23
N.	3 at	Penn St.	(PK)	L	30-37
	10	Army	(-16)	L	45-31
	17	Syracuse	(-8)	P	24-16
	24 at	Miami, Fla.	(+6)	W	47-45
D.	1 at	Holy Cross	(-16)	W	45-10
	19	984 POINTSI	PREAD RI	ECORD	

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Morgan St.	(NL)		45-12
	10	Clemson	(+5)	W	31-16
	17 at	Rutgers	(-7)	W	42-22
	24	W. Virginia	(+31/2)	L	17-27
0.	1 at	Temple	(-11)	L	18-15
	8 at	Yale	(-27)	W	42-7
	29	Penn St.	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-17
N.	5 at	Army	(-22)	L	34-14
	12 at	Syracuse	(-11)	L	10-21
	19 at	Holy Cross	(-13)	W	47-7
	25	Alabama	(+21/2)	W	20-13
	19	983 POINTS	PREAD R	CORT)

	Home	Away		
Actual Record	5-1	4-1		
Combined Spread Record	4-1	2-3		
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	2-3		
Underdog Spread Record	3-1	0-0		

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 at	Texas A&M	(+15)	W	38-16
	18 at	Clemson	(+13)	W	17-17
	25 at	Navy	(-6)	W	31-0
0.	2	Temple	(NL)		17-7
	9 at	W. Virginia	(+3)	L	13-20
	16	Rutgers	(-16)	L	14-13
	23 at	Army	(-20)	L	32-17
	30	Penn St.	(+8)	L	17-52
N.	6 at	Mass.	(NL)		34-21
	13	Syracuse	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-13
	20	Holy Cross	(-12)	W	35-10
	1	982 POINTS	PREAD REC	ORD	
	-				Character.

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-1	4-1-1
Combined Spread Record	1-3	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-1

1981 RESULTS

19 at Texas A&M	(+5)	W	13-12
28 at North Carolina	$(+15\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-56
3 W. Virginia	(+3)	L	10-38
10 at Penn St.	(+241/2)	L	7-38
17 Navy	(+5)	L	10-25
24 at Army	(-2)	W	41-6
31 Pittsburgh	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-29
7 Massachusetts	(NL)		52-22
14 at Syracuse	(+31/2)	L	17-27
21 Rutgers	(NL)		27-21
28 at Holy Cross	(-12)	L	28-24
	28 at North Carolina 3 W. Virginia 10 at Penn St. 17 Navy 24 at Army 31 Pittsburgh 7 Massachusetts 14 at Syracuse 21 Rutgers	28 at North Carolina (+15½) 3 W. Virginia (+3) 10 at Penn St. (+2½) 17 Navy (+5) 24 at Army (-2) 31 Pittsburgh (+20½) 7 Massachusetts (+3½) 14 at Syracuse (+3½) 21 Rutgers (NL)	28 at North Carolina (+15½) L 3 W. Virginia (+3) L 10 at Penn St. (+24½) L 17 Navy (+5) L 24 at Army (-2) W 31 Pittsburgh (+20½) W 7 Massachusetts (NL) 14 at Syracuse (+3½) L 21 Rutgers (NL)

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	2-3
Combined Spread Record	2-2	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	0-3

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at Pittsburgh	(+24)	W	6-14
	20 Stanford	(+61/2)	W	30-13
	27 at Villanova	(NL)		9-20
0.	4 at Navy	(+4)	L	0-21
w	11 Yale	(-13)	W	27-9
	18 at Florida St.	(+18)	L	7-41
	25 Army	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	30-14
N.	1 at Air Force	(-61/2)	W	23-0
	15 Syracuse	(-61/2)	W	27-16
	22 at Massachusetts	(NL)		13-12
	29 Holy Cross	(-21)		27-26
	1980 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

027200000000000000000000000000000000000	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	2-4
Combined Spread Record	4-0	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-0	1-0
Thedandar Saward Dagard	1.0	1.9

S.	15	Tennessee	(+13)	W	16-28
	22	Villanova	(NL)		34-7
	29 at	Stanford	(+7)	L	14-33
0.	6 at	Pittsbrgh	(+17)	L	7-28
	13	West Virginia	(-61/2)	L	18-20
	20 at	Miami	(+12)	W	8-19
	27 at	Army	(-3)	W	29-16
N.	3	Tulane	(+5)	L	8-43
	17 at	Syracuse	(+14)	W	27-10
	24	Massachusetts	(NL)		41-3
D.	1 at	Holy Cross	$(-10^{1/2})$	L	13-10
	15	79 POINTSPI	READ RE	COR	D
			He	ome	Away

BRIGHAM YOUNG Cougars



Implausible as it may seem to some people, BYU has a decent enough chance of adding to its 24straight victories and capturing another National Championship. The Cougars have been hit hard by graduation--13 starters have left--but the passing game, on which the team thrives, again should be awesome. First and foremost there is Robbie Bosco, the nation's number two ranked passer (to Boston College's Doug Flutie) a year ago. Bosco threw for 3,875 yards on 61 percent accuracy in 1984 with 33 TDs and just 11 interceptions. Glen Kozlowski, who caught 55 balls last year, and Mark Bellini, who chipped in with 35, should be the primary receivers. The running game also is well stocked with last season's top five rushers returning. The quintet is paced by fullback Lakei Heimuli (796 yards, 5.0 average) and halfback Kelly Smith (347, 6.9). Head Coach LaVell Edwards has most of his work to do on the offensive line where the Cougars have lost five-of-six regulars. three of them All-WAC selections. Replacing tight end Dave Mills (60 recep-

tions in 1984) is the top priority.

The Cougars finished 10th nationally in defense against scoring last year, partly because the offense had the ball for so long. Edwards has some holes to fill here too, especially up front and in the secondary, but, again, the Coogs are deep, with 10-of-11 second teamers returning from '84. The key performers should be linebackers Kurt Gouveia and Leon White, the former a second-team All-WAC selection a year ago and the latter the defensive MVP of Holiday Bowl VII. Jeff Sprowls will be the cornerstone of the secondary and another key performer is return specialist Vai Sikahema (ninth nationally in kickoff returns, plus two punts returned for TDs). Kicker and punter Lee Johnson, however, must be replaced.

The Cougars will be tested early, hosting UCLA on September 7 and Washington on September 14. There's also the season-opener in the Meadowlands (Kickoff Classic). If BYU can survive those tests, the Cougars could be tough to beat the rest of the way.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

A.	30		Bos. Coll. (Mead.)
S.	7		UCLA
100	14		Washington
	21	at	Temple
	28	at	Hawaii
0.	5	at	Colorado St.
Berry.	12		San Diego St.
	19	at	New Mexico
100	26	at	UTEP
N.	2		Wyoming
	2 9	at	Utah St.
	16		Air Force
	23		Utah

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 30-2	Away 32-5
Combined Spread Record	2-0	7-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	4-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	3-0

Feist's Factors

- ■) The Cougars are 6-1 as home chalk less than 20 since 1973.
- Brigham Young is 5-3 as a dog vs Pac 10 teams since
- BYU is 7-3 as an away underdog the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1 at	Pittsburgh	(+10)	W	20-14
	8	Baylor	(-6)	W	47-13
	15	Tulsa	(-16)	W	38-15
	22 at	Hawaii	(NL)		18-13
0.	6 at	Colorado St.	(NL)		52-9
	13	Wyoming	(NL)		41-38
	20 at	Air Force	(-61/2)	L	30-25
	27 at	New Mexico	(-22)	W	48-0
N.	3	UTEP	(NL)		42-9
	10	San Die. St.	(NL)		34-3
	17 at	Utah	(NL)		24-14
	24	Utah St.	(NL)		38-13
	19	984 POINTSE	READ RE	CORI)
			H	ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	6-0
Combined Spread Record	2-0	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 a	t Baylor	(-1)	L	36-40
	17	Bowl. Green	(NL)		63-28
	24 a	t Air Force	(-2)	W	46-28
0.	1 a	t UCLA	(+41/2)	W	37-35
	8 a	t Wyoming	(NL)		41-10
	15	New Mexico	(NL)		66-21
	22 a	t San Diego St.	(NL)		47-12
	29	Utah St.	(NL)		38-34
N.	5 a	t UTEP	(NL)		31-9
	12	Colorado St.	(NL)		24-6
	19	Utah	(NL)		55-7
	1	983 POINTSP	READ RE	CORI)
			H	ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	5-1
Combined Spread Record	0-0	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 at	Nev. LV	(NL)	-	27-0
	11 at	Georgia	(+8)	W	14-17
	25	Air Force	(NL)		38-39
0.	2 at	Tex. El Paso	(NL)		51-3
	9 at	New Mexico	(NL)		40-12
	16	Hawaii	(NL)		39-25
	23	Colo. St.	(NL)		34-18
	30 at	Utah St.	(NL)		17-20
N.	6	Wyoming	(NL)		23-13
	13	San Diego St.	(NL)		58-8
	20 at	Utah	(NL)		17-12

4-1	4-2
0-0	1-0
0-0	0-0
0-0	1-0
	4-1 0-0 0-0

1979 RESULTS

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 at	Long Bea. St.	(NL)	31-8
	12	Air Force	(NL)	45-21
	19 at	UTEP	(NL)	65-8
	26 at	Colorado	(-10½) W	41-20
0.	2	Utah St.	(NL)	32-26
	10	Nev. Las Vegas	(NL)	32-26
	17 at	San Diego St.	(NL)	27-7
	24 at	Wyoming	(NL)	20-33
	31	New Mexico	(NL)	31-7
N.	7 at	Colorado St.	(NL)	63-14
	14 at	Hawaii	(NL)	13-3
	21	Utah	(NL)	56-28
	19	981 POINTSPI	READ RECORD	

***************************************	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-1	6-1
Combined Spread Record	0-0	1-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 a	t New Mexico	(NL)		21-25
	13	San Diego St.	(NL)		35-11
	20 a	t Wisconsin	(-4)	W	28-3
	27	Long Bea. St.	(NL)		41-35
0.	11	Wyoming	(NL)		52-17
	18 a	t Utah St.	(NL)		70-46
	25 a	t Hawaii	(NL)		34-7
N.	1	UTEP	(NL)		83-7
	8	N. Texas St.	(NL)		41-23
	15	Colorado St.	(NL)		45-14
	22 a	t Utah	(NL)		56-6
	29 a	t Nev. Las Vegas	(NL)		54-14
	1	980 POINTSP	READ R	ECORD)

	Home	Awa
Actual Record	6-0	5-1
Combined Spread Record	0-0	1-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	1-0

0.0

0-0

Underdog Spread Record

8 at Texas A&M 15 Weber St. (NL) 29 UTEP (NL) (NL) -5 Hawaii 13 at Utah St. (NL) 20 at Wyoming (NL) New Mexico (NL) 3 at Colorado St. (NL)

10 at Long Bea. St.

17 Utah

24 at San Diego St.

(NL)

(NL)

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	6-0
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

18-17 48-3 31-7

38-15

48-24

54-14

30-7

31-17

27-0 63-14

BROWN Bruins



The Bruins turned in a 4-3 lvv League worksheet last year in John Rosenberg's debut season as head coach, and might do even better this time around. Brown has lost 10 starters from last year's squad but 12 return. The defensive unit looks especially strong with all but one of the top nine tacklers back. That group will be headed by linebacker Pat McCormack, the team's leading stopper last fall. McCormack will line up at one inside backer spot in Rosenberg's 3-4 with no fewer than four candidates vying for the other spot. The outside posts will be manned by veterans Mike Giambattista and Joe Longo. Up front, it'll be Ted Moskala, Tom Catena and Chris Good. Rosenberg feels the seconary will be strong with juniors George Reilly, Mark Miller and Tom Dugan returning to join senior Mark Kachmer, who was injured most of last year with a pulled hamstring. One who'll be missed here is Keiron Bigby. who moves across the line to offense. Bigby intercepted three passes against Yale, setting an NCAA record for return yardage with 216.

The offense returns only four starters but has experience at the skill

positions. Steve Kettelberger, who led the team in total offense last year while connecting on half his aerials. eight of them for TDs, returns to quarterback the club. Besides Bigby, Kettelberger will be looking for tight end. Grea Roth, whose 23 receptions in 1984 were second best on the team. Jamie Potkul, the Bruins' top rusher, also returns. Potkul ran for 525 yards a season ago. His backfield mate will be Brian Heffernan, who inherits the fullback spot from his graduated brother. Kirk Little, who led last year's freshman team, also will be looking for some playing time. Rosenberg's major offensive concern deals with a line that has lost four-of-five regulars from a year ago. The lone returnee is guard Scott Sullivan, Brian Daly is pencilled in opposite Sullivan with Rick Collet set at one tackle post. Bill Mitchell should be the other tackle. Marty Edwards might be in the pivot.

The Bruins are set at both kicking posts with Chris Ingerslev and Doug Frankel and might surpriseespecially if Rosenberg can shore up the offensive line. Brown is wellcolored as a dark horse.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	21	at	Yale
-	28	-	Rhode Island
0.	5		Princeton
200	12	at	Penn
	19		Cornell
	26	at	Holy Cross
N.	2		Harvard

9 at Richmond *16 at Dartmouth 23 Columbia

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	14-15	14-14-1
Combined Spread Record	7-17	11-14
Favorite Spread Record	6-13	4-9
Underdog Spread Record	1-4	7-5

Austin's Edges

- Bruins are 23-42 as a favorite since 1973.
- Brown is 17-11 as an underdog over the last 12 years.
- Brown is 3-9 vs the points against Dartmouth.

1984 RESULTS

S.	22	Yale	(-4)	W	27-14
	29	Rhode Is.	(NL)		13-34
0.	6 at	Princeton	(+7)	W	32-30
	13	Penn	(+4)	L	14-41
	20 at	Cornell	(-41/2)	L	13-9
	27	Holy Cross	(+18)	L	17-38
N.	3 at	Harvard	(+61/2)	L	10-24
	10	Dartmouth	(-5)	L	11-27
	17 at	Columbia	(-10)	W	28-14
	19	984 POINTS	PREAD RI	CORE	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	3-1
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	1-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	17 at	Yale	(+61/2)	W	26-24
	24	Rhode Island	(NL)	-	16-30
0.	1	Princeton	(-6)	L	16-27
	8 at	Penn	(+5)	W	24-24
	15	Cornell	(-61/2)	W	14-3
	22 at	Holy Cross	(+18)	L	10-31
	29	Harvard	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-17
N.	5 at	Penn St.	(NL)		21-38
	12 at	Dartmouth	$(+5\frac{1}{2})$	W	25-7
	19	Columbia	(-16)	L	42-36
	19	983 POINTSP	READ RI	COR	D
			H	ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-2	2-3-1
Combined Spread Record	1-3	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	3-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	18	Yale	(+4)	W	28-21
	25	Rhode Is.	(NL)		24-20
0.	2 at	Princeton	(-3)	L	23-28
	9	Penn.	(-3)	L	21-24
	16 at	Cornell	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-19
	23	Holy Cross	(+5)	L	6-17
	30 at	Harvard	(+7)	L	0-34
N.	6 at	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		23-22
	13	Dartmouth	(-21/2)	L	16-22
	20 at	Columbia	(-91/2)	W	35-21
	16	982 POINTSE	READ RE	CORI)
	-	JOH I OALIADA		omo	Asmar

1981 RESULTS

S.	19 at	Yale	(+91/2)	D	7-28
	26 at	Army	(+91/2)	W	17-23
0.	3	Princeton	(-91/2)	L	17-20
	10 at	Penn	(-7)	L	26-24
	17	Cornell	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	9-14
	24 at	Holy Cross	(+131/2)	W	24-34
	31	Harvard	$(+1^{1/2})$	L	7-41
N.	7 at	Rhode Island	(NL)		10-8
	14 at	Dartmouth	(+8)	L	13-38
	21	Columbia	$(-10^{1/2})$	L	23-20

1001 1 01111 101 111111	D TOWN ON THE	
	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-3	2-4
Combined Spread Record	0-4	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-2

1980 RESULTS

S.	20	Yale	(-2)	L	17-45
	27	Bucknell	(NL)		20-28
0.	4 at	Princeton	(+2)	W	28-11
8	11	Penn	(-16)	W	42-22
	18 at	Cornell	(-4)	W	32-25
	25	Holy Cross	(-61/2)	W	21-3
N.	1 at	Harvard	(-2)	L	16-17
	15	Dartmouth	(-6)	L	24-28
	22 at	Columbia	(-19)	L	31-13
	27	Rhode Island	(NL)		9-3
	19	980 POINTSP	READ RI	CORD	

DRECOR	D
Home	Away
3-3	3-1
2-2	2-2
2-2	1-2
0-0	1-0
	3-3 2-2 2-2

L	12-13
	31-13
W	31-12
L	24-18
W	28-7
L	7-14
L	23-14
L	10-24
L	31-14
	ECORI

	Home	L'AVY CAY
Actual Record	5-0	1-3
Combined Spread Record	2-2	0-4
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	0-4
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0
The second secon		

CALIFORNIA Golden Bears



Joe Kapp thinks his Bears can bounce back from a disappointing 2-9 season, just as it did after a similar 2-9 campaign in 1981, posting a 7-4 mark the following year.

"The strength of our football team is its character," stated Kapp. "The players are committed to doing what it takes to win and I think that going through the adversity of last season will help us develop the mental toughness it takes to win.'

The Bears have some numbers on their side, returning 13 starters (six on offense, seven on defense), and 33 of Cal's top 44 players on both sides of the ball. The Bears also should have pretty good depth since Kapp redshirted 31-of-35 freshmen last year and 20-of-26 the season before that. One problem, though, is at quarterback where Gale Gilbert, who threw for 6,390 yards in his Cal career, has graduated. That leaves soph Brian Bedford and junior Jevin Brown to fight it out for the starting assignment. Whoever winds up calling the signals for the Bears will benefit from a veteran offensive line headed by senior tackles Keith Kartz and Mark

Stephens. Ed Barbero will be counted on to get the inside yardage at fullback while halfback Dwight Garner not only was Cal's second-leading rusher, but a fine receiver as well, his 46 grabs the second most in the Pac-10 last season. Five of Cal's top six receivers also return, led by flanker Keith Cockett (29 receptions in '84).

The Cal defense will be built around linebacker Hardy Nickerson. While only a soph last year, Nickerson earned Honorable Mention All-America laurels while leading the entire Pac-10 in unassisted tackles. In addition to Nickerson, Cal returns seven other linebackers who saw significant playing time last year. The Bears finished '84 as the 10th best pass defense team in football, and three-of-four starters return. The big headache is on the line where Cal surrendered over 200 rushing yards per game last season. The only returning starter up front is tackle Doug Riesen-

Cal's defense will be improved, but Gilbert will be missed on offense and the Bears may have difficulty coming out of hibernation.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

A.	31		San Jose St.
S.	. 7	at	Wash. St.
1	14	at	Oregon St.
	21		Arizona
0.	5	at	Missouri
1	12		Washington
	19	at	Oregon
	26	at	UCLA
N.	2		Arizona St.
1000	9		USC
	23	at	Stanford

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	16-17-1	10-22
Combined Spread Record	15-10-2	13-18
Favorite Spread Record	5-3	5-15
Underdog Spread Record	10-7-2	8-13

Feist's Factors

- The Golden Bears are 4-8 vs the points against UCLA the past 12 years.
- Bears are 8-2 as an away underdog in all games played after nonconference foes.
- California is 11-6 as an away favorite in the Pac 10.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Arizona	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-23
	15	Pacific	(NL)	1	12-28
	22	Oregon	(-5)	L	14-21
	29	San Jose St.	(NL)		18-33
0.	6 at	Arizona St.	(+15)	W	19-14
	13 at	Oregon St.	(-41/2)	L	6-9
	20	UCLA	(+5)	W	14-17
	27 at	USC	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-31
N.	3 at	Washington	(+20)	L	14-44
	10	Wash. St.	(+51/2)	L	7-33
	17	Stanford	(+31/2)	L	10-27
	19	984 POINTSI	READ RE	CORE	
			TI	oma	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-3	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	1-3

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 a	t Texas A&M	(+6)	W	19-17
	10 a	t San Diego St.	(NL)		14-28
	17	San Jose St.	(NL)		30-9
0.	1	Arizona	(+11)	W	33-33
	8 a	t Oregon	(-61/2)	L	17-24
	15	Oregon St.	(-22)	W	45-14
	22 a	t UCLA	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	16-20
	29	USC	(+1)	L	9-19
N.	5	Arizona St.	(+81/2)	W	26-24
	12 a	t Washington St.	(+4)	L	6-16
	19 a	t Stanford	(-3)	W	27-18
		oca noniment	DAD DE	CODE	

1983 POINTSPREA	D RECOR	D	
	Home	Away	
Actual Record	3-1-1	2-4	
Combined Spread Record	3-1	3-2	
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	1-1	
Underdog Spread Record	9.1	9.1	

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 at	Colorado	(-3)	W	31-17
	18	San Diego St.	(NL)		28-0
	25	Arizona St.	(+61/2)	L	0-15
0.	2	San Jose St.	(NL)		26-7
	9 at	Washington	(+13)	L	7-50
	16	Oregon	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-7
	23	UCLA	(+13)	L	31-47
	30 at	Oregon St.	$(-10^{1/2})$	W	28-14
N.	6 at	USC	(+181/2)	L	0-42
	13	Wash. St.	(-4)	W	34-14
	20	Stanford	(+71/2)	W	25-20

Home	Away
5-2	2-2
2-3	2-2
1-1	2-0
1-2	0-2
	5-2 2-3 1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	Texas A&M	$(+4\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-29
	12 at	Georgia	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-27
	19 at	Arizona	(+5)	W	14-13
	26	San Jose St.	(NL)		24-27
0.	10	Washington	(+4)	W	26-27
	17 at	Arizona St.	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-45
	24 at	UCLA	(+15)	L	6-34
	31	Oregon St.	(-13)	W	45-3
N.	7	USC	(+18)	P	3-21
	14 at	Wash. St.	(+12)	L	0-19
	21 at	Stanford	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-42
		or noramor	DEAD DE	CODE	

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Home	Away
1-4	1-5
3-0-1	2-4
1-0	0-0
2-0-1	2-4
	1-4 3-0-1 1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at	Fla. (Tampa)	(-4)	L	13-41
		Army	(-15)	L	19-26
	27	Arizona	(-6)	L	24-31
0.	4 at	Michigan	(+131/2)	L	13-38
	11	Oregon	(+21/2)	W	31-6
	18	Oregon St.	(-20)	W	27-6
	25	UCLA	(+10)	L	9-32
N.	1 at	USC	(+18)	L	7-60
	8 at	Arizona St.	(+14)	L	6-34
	15	Wash. St.	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-31
	22	Stanford	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-23
		ADD DOINTER	DEAD DE	COPT	

Home	Away
3-3	0-5
3-3	0-5
1-1	0-2
2-2	0-3
	3-3 3-3 1-1

S.	8 at	Arizona St.	(+12)	W	17-9
	15 at	Arizona	(+71/2)	W	10-7
	22	San Jose St.	(NL)		13-10
	29	Michigan	(+91/2)	W	10-14
0.	6 a	Oregon	(PK)	L	14-19
	13	Oregon St.	(-17)	W	45-0
	20 a	UCLA	(+4)	W	27-28
	27	USC	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-24
N.	3	Washington	(+4)	P	24-28
	10 a	t Wash. St.	(-7)	W	45-13
	17 a	Stanford	(-2)	W	21-14
	1	979 POINTSE	PREAD RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	4-2
Combined Spread Record	3-0-1	5-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-0-1	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0-1	3-0

CINCINNATI Bearcats



The Bearcats will feature a new offensive style and a different defensive scheme during the '85 season. but the results aren't likely to be much different from the '84 campaign when Cincinnati stumbled to a 2-9 record. Second-year Head Coach Dave Currev doesn't have much to work with, save Reggie Taylor, an exciting runner whose accomplishments (1,021 yards rushing last year) are a lot larger than his physical stature (5-7, 170). Taylor will be called on even more this season since Cincy loses starting quarterback Troy Bodine, as well as career reception leader Deno Foster. Dan McCoin will replace Bodine with Jason Stargel pencilled in at Foster's position. McCoin was 34-for-61 passing last year in a backup role while Stargel sat out the campaign with an injury after catching 32 passes in 1983. Robert Williams, a regular most of the season at tigt end, will be tried at fullback with Dave Warner expected to take over at tight end. The offensive interior will be built around returning starters Nick Gotovac at center and quard Rick Childress.

"We'll be much more balanced

in our offensive attack," predicts Currey. "We'll still do a lot of passing to multiple receivers, but there will be more balance with the running game."

"Defensively, we will be looking for improvement in all areas," admitted Currey. "We're switching to the 4-3 (from the 3-4) to better suit our personnel and staff expertise. Games are won by who controls the line of scrimmage and it's easier to do that with four men than with three."

But finding those four could be a problem. Nick Frankos and Steve Howard are the only holdovers from last year's three-man front. They're the likely starters at tackle, but ends must be found. Linebacking also is shaky, but the secondary looks secure with Dellano Kelly, Brad Nothacker, Rob Niehoff and Terry Archer returning to starting assignments. Juco All-America Robert Barone will handle the placement work with Shaun Burdick (39.4 average) in charge of punting.

It looks like the Bearcats are in store for another dismal and disappointing season.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

A.	31		Va. Tech
S.	7		Austin Peay
1	14	at	Youngstown St.
	21	at	Alabama
	28	at	Kentucky
0.	5		Temple
V 9 1	12	at	Miami, Fla.
	19	at	Louisville
	26		Boston College
N.	9		Penn St.
1	23		Miami, O.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Home 19-13	Away 3-30-1
3-0	0-6
1-0	0-0
2-0	0-6
	19-13 3-0 1-0

Austin's Edges

- Cincinnati is 0-6 ATS as a road dog last six years.
- Bearcats are 4-9 ATS on road since '73.
- Cincy is 5-0 ATS at home last 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Akron	(NL)		28-27
	15	Youngstown	(NL)		23-27
	22 at	Memphis St.	(+15)	L	7-47
	29 at	Rutgers	$(+16\frac{1}{2})$	L	15-43
0.	13	Miami, Fla.	(+25)	W	25-49
	20 at	Florida	(+16)	L	17-48
	27	Louisville	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	40-21
N.	3 at	Temple	(+16)	L	10-42
	10 at	Auburn	(+32)	L	0-60
	17	Alabama	(+23)	W	7-29
	22	Miami (O.)	(NL)		26-31
	19	984 POINTSE	READ RE	COR	D
			H	ma	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	0-5
Combined Spread Record	3-0	0-5
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	0-5

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at	Penn St.	(NL)	1.0	14-3
	17	Okla St.	(NL)		17-27
	24 at	Louisville	(NL)		23-31
0.	1	Cornell	(NL)		48-20
	8	Temple	(NL)		31-16
	15 at	Florida St.	(NL)		17-43
	22	Miami, Fla.	(NL)		7-17
	29 at	Kentucky	(NL)		13-13
N.	5	Rutgers	(NL)		18-7
	12	Memphis St.	(NL)		10-43
	19 at	Miami (O.)	(NL)		10-14

1000 I Carta Ca Italia	D ILES COTE	
	Home	Awa
Actual Record	3-3	1-3-1
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0.0	0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 at	Florida St.	(NL)		31-38
	11	Louisville	(NL)		38-16
	25	Youngstown St.	(NL)		57-3
0.	2 a	S. Carolina	(NL)		10-37
	9	Long Bea. St.	(NL)		34-14
	16 a	Memphis St.	(NL)		16-7
	23 a	Alabama	(NL)		3-21
	30 at	t Temple	(NL)		7-41
N.	13	Morgan St.	(NL)		52-0
	20	Miami (O.)	(NL)		20-10
	27 a	Miami, Fla.	(NL)		13-19
	1	982 POINTSPE	READ	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
A -	bear T.	Dawand		EA	1.5

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	1-5
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	Youngstown St.	(NL)	13-19
	12 at	Penn St.	(NL)	0-52
	19 at	Pittsburgh	(NL)	7-38
	26	Rutgers	(NL)	10-0
0.	10 at	Ohio U.	(NL)	19-9
	17	Richmond	(NL)	27-18
	24	Temple	(NL)	13-24
	31	Tulane	(NL)	17-13
N.	7	Memphis St.	(NL)	38-7
	14	Louisville	(NL)	24-0
	21 at	Miami (O.)	(NL)	3-7
	19	981 POINTSPE	READ RECORD	
	-		77	According

Actual Record

Combined Spread Record

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	W. Va.	(+10)	L	27-41
	13 at	Tulsa	(NL)		13-31
	21 at	Rutgers	(NL)		7-24
	27	Wichita St.	(NL)		8-13
0.	4 at	Villanova	(NL)		6-23
	18 at	S. Carolina	(NL)		7-49
	25 at	Temple	(NL)		7-23
N.	1 at	Richmond	(NL)		10-24
	8	Memphis St.	(NL)		14-10
	15	Miami (O.)	(NL)		23-13
	19	980 POINTSE	READ	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
An	tual F	Record		2-1	0-8

1980 POINTSPREAD	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-1	0-8
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	15 at S. Miss.	(NL)	6-24
2,	22 at Louisville	(NL)	19-22
	29 Villanova	(NL)	27-13
0.	6 at N. Carolina	(NL)	14-35
100	13 at Pittsburgh	(NL)	0-35
	20 at Temple	(NL)	14-35
	27 Richmond	(NL)	17-11
N.	3 Florida St.	(NL)	21-26
	10 Ohio U.	(NL)	7-27
	17 at Miami (O.)	(NL)	14-27
	24 at Memphis St.	(NL)	17-23
		READ RECOR	D
	TOTAL DESCRI	Home	Amor

	nome	Away
Actual Record	2-3	0-6
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

5-2

0-0

1-3

0-0

0-0

CLEMSON Tigers



Inexperience is likely to plaque the Tigers this year as Head Coach Danny Ford must replace many of the players responsible for Clemson's 37-6-2 mark the past four seasons.

"This season has to rank up there with 1980 as far as being a rebuilding season," said Ford, "We will have many new faces that will be scarry early in the season. I'll look out there and not see Mike Eppley, or William Perry or Dale Hatcher and I'll probably faint.

Eppley is the three-year starting quarterback who has used up his eligibility while Perry is the All-America noseguard and Hatcher the All-ACC punter. And the losses don't stop there. Ford must replace four-of-five lineman on his offensive interior and rebuild his secondary, as well. The line lists only Steve Reese among the returning regulars, but at least he's an All-Conference performer. Randy Anderson and Rodney Williams are looking to replace the efficient Eppley. There's better news at receiver where All-ACC pass-catcher Terrance Roulhac returns after snaring 26 balls for a 19.7 yard average last fall. Ray

Williams, who caught 23 aerials in '84, also returns. Equally deep is the running back contingent headed by Stacey Driver (627 yards, eight TDs), Kenny Flowers (562), Steve Griffin (355) and Terrence Flagler (350).

Perry, who led the nation in tackles for losses, will be impossible to replace, but Ford is equally concerned with a secondary that has five-time starter Kenny Danforth as its most experienced member. The linebacking corps has some good ones in Henry Walls and Eldridge Milton while Steve Berlin, Michael Perry and Terence Mack will make things rough on opposing runners up front. Besides losing Hatcher, the Tigers also lose their All-ACC kicking mate, placement specialist Donald Igwebuike, who missed just one boot all year long (41for-41 on PATs, 16-for-17 on FGs).

Not only do the Tigers have a rebuilding year in front of them, but they picked a season in which the nonleague schedule is especially difficult. What it all means is that Ford might be threatened by his first losing record at Clemson.

DATA

1985 SCHEDIILE

S.	14	at	Va. Tech
	• 21		Georgia
	28		Georgia Tech
0.	5	at	
	12		Virginia
	19	at	Duke
	26		N. Carol. St.
N.	2		Wake Forest
	9	at	North Carolina
	16		Maryland

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

at South Carolina

	Home	Away
Actual Record	30-5-2	20-9
Combined Spread Record	17-14	15-14
Favorite Spread Record	12-14	10-11
Underdog Spread Record	5-0	5-3

Feist's Factors

- Tigers are 14-6 as an away conference underdog, the last 12 years.
- Dog is 10-2 in the Clemson-Georgia rivalry.
- Clemson is 20-12 vs the spread at home against teams they play at home this season.

1984 RESULTS

St.	(NL)		40-7
a	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	55-0
a	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	23-26
ch	(-7)	L	21-28
olina	(-14)	L	20-12
	$(-29\frac{1}{2})$	W	54-21
ol. St.	(-15)	L	35-34
Porest	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	37-14
ch	(-10)	L	17-10
nd	(+3)	L	23-41
olina	(-2)	L	21-22

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	1-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	W. Carolina	(NL)		44-10
	10 at	Boston Coll.	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	L	16-31
	17	Georgia	(+3)	W	16-16
	24	Georgia Tech	(-13)	W	41-14
0.	8	Virginia	(-18)	·W	42-21
	15 at	Duke	(-17)	L	38-31
	22	N. Carol. St.	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	27-17
	29	Wake Forest	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-17
N.	5 at	North Carolina	(+61/2)	W	16-3
	12	Maryland	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	52-27
	19 at	South Carolina	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	22-13

RECOR	L)	
Home	Away	
6-0-1	3-1	
4-2	2-2	
3-2	1-2	
1-0	1-0	
	Home 6-0-1 4-2 3-2	6-0-1 3-1 4-2 2-2 3-2 1-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Georgia	(+1)	L	7-13
17	18	Boston Col.	(-13)	L	17-17
100	25	W. Carolina	(NL)		21-10
0.	2	Kentucky	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-6
1	9 at	Virginia	(-14)	W	48-0
	16	Duke	(-12)	W	49-14
100	23 at	N. Carol. St.	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	38-29
N.	6	N. Carolina	(PK)	W	16-13
000	13 at	Maryland	(+21/2)	W	24-22
0	20	S. Carolina	(-21)	L	24-6
	27	Wake Forest	(-22)	L	21-17

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0-1	4-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	Wofford	(NL)		45-10
	12 at	Tulane	(-61/2)	W	13-5
	19	Georgia	(+4)	W	13-3
0.	3 at	Kentucky	(-31/2)	W	21-3
	10	Virginia	$(-18\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-0
	17 at	Duke	(-9)	W	38-10
	24 at	N. Carol. St.	(-15)	L	17-7
	31	Wake Forest	$(-20^{1/2})$	W	82-24
N.	7	North Carolina	(-21/2)	L	10-8
	14	Maryland	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-7
	21 at	South Carolina	(-8)	W	29-13
	19	81 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 6-0 5-0 Combined Spread Record 3-2 4-1 Favorite Spread Record 2-2 4-1 Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Rice	(-17)	L	19-3
	20 at	Georgia	(+9)	W	16-20
	27	West Carolina	(NL)		17-10
0.	4	Va. Tech	(-81/2)	L	13-10
-	11 at	Virginia	(-5)	L	27-24
	18	Duke	(-15)	L	17-34
	25 at	N. Carol. St.	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-24
N.	1 at	Wake Forest	(-1)	W	35-53
-14	8	North Carolina	(+61/2)	W	19-24
	15 at	Maryland	(+71/2)	L	7-34
	22	South Carolina	(+7)	W	27-6
		South Carolina		RI	RECORD

Home Away Actual Record 2-3 4-2 Combined Spread Record 2-3 2-3 Favorite Spread Record 0-3 1-2 Underdog Spread Record 2-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	Furman	(NL)		21-0
	15	Maryland	(-31/2)	L	0-19
	22	Georgia	(+31/2)	W	12-7
0.	6	Virginia	(-6)	W	17-7
	13 a	t Va. Tech	(-31/2)	W	21-0
	20 a	t Duke	(-10)	W	28-10
	27	N. Carol. St.	(-61/2)	L	13-16
N.	3	Wake Forest	(-6)	W	31-0
	10 a	t North Carolina	(+3)	W	19-10
	17 a	t Notre Dame	(+5)	W	16-10
	24 a	t South Carolina	(-1)	L	9-13
	1	1979 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 4-1 4-1 Combined Spread Record 3-2 2-2 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record

COLGATE **Red Raiders**



Colgate failed to qualify for the NCAA Division I-AA playoffs for the first time in three years last season. and it's likely to happen again, in 1985. Problems abound, especially on offense where commencement has claimed eight starters from last year's 5-5 club, including All-America quarterback Pat Calabria, the holder of 43 passing and total offense records at Colgate. His replacement will be last year's backup, Tom Burgess. Burgess was 28-of-55 passing in '84, seeing action in half a dozen games. The key returnee among Colgate's three offensive starters is flanker Tom Stenglein, who earned All-America laurels on the strength of his 62 receptions, 1,184 vards and 11 touchdowns last fall. The other two regulars are tackle Don Franklin and tailback Kenny Gamble, the latter the Red Raiders' leading rusher (632 yards, 5.1 average) in '84. The fullback spot is up for grabs and there are four holes to fill along the interior front. Scott Whyatt has the inside track at tight end while Tim Walsh (12 receptions) is the favorite to win the split end assigment opposite Stenglein.

Colgate's defense, which yielded an average of 28.4 points per game last year, returns five starters but Head Coach Fred Dunlop is looking for vast improvement, especially in his kicking and coverage games.

"Colgate can't concede anything and expect to win," he said.

What also requires attention is the front line where only end Bill Hecht is back. Tony Khalife has some experience and will start somewhere along the front, but the linebacking contingent also returns just one starter, Chris Drabin. Greg Manusky and Jim Lewis should help out there. The secondary is in the best shape with three out of four starters returning. Mike Todisco and Dave Reed will be at the corners with Walt Lopus at strong safety. All are seniors. Mike Powers returns for his third season as the incumbent placekicker while Todd Buchner, who averaged 35.2 yards per punt last year, also is back.

Having stumbled to a mediocre 5-5 record with a veteran cast last year, it looks like Colgate will have trouble matching even that modest accomplishment this year.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

	100		A SECTION ASSESSMENT AS A SECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
S.	14	at	Holy Cross
	21	at	Lafayette
	* 28	at	Cornell
0.	5		Lehigh
	12	at	Dartmouth
	• 19	at	Princeton
	26	at	Army

Columbia 9 Penn at Rutgers 16 at Boston U.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	19-3	2-19
Combined Spread Record	2-3	14-13
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	8-5
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	6-8

Austin's Edges

- Raiders are 10-4 as an away favorite of less than 10 points since '73.
- Colgate-Princeton series has gone to the dog 7 of last 8.
- The favorite is 9-3 vs the points in Colgate-Cornell decisions.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Connecticut	(NL)		9-3
	15 at	Army	(-3)	L	15-41
	22 at	Lehigh	(NL)		40-35
	29 at	Cornell	(-11)	W	35-7
0.	13	Holy Cross	(+3)	L	27-42
0.	20	Lafayette	(NL)		41-20
	27 at	Columbia	(-24)	L	35-16
N.	3 at	Richmond	(NL)		24-55
	10	Wm. & Mary	(NL)	W	17-16
	17 at	Rutgers	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	W	7-17
	19	984 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD)

DRECOR	D
Home	Away
2-2	3-3
0-1	2-2
0-0	1-2
0-1	1-0
	Home 2-2 0-1 0-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at Army	(+1)	W	15-13
	17 Lehigh	(NL)		47-28
	24 at Cornell	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	60-7
0.	1 Boston U.	(NL)		34-17
	8 at Holy Cross	(+4)	W	18-21
	15 at Rutgers	(+2)	L	26-29
	22 at Wyoming	(NL)		29-49
	29 at Lafayette	(NL)		21-7
N.	5 at Pennsylvania	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-20
	12 Richmond	(NL)		43-14
	19 at Connecticut	(NL)		41-33
	1983 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

Home 3-0	Away 5-3
0-0	4-1
0-0	2-0
0-0	2-1
	3-0 0-0 0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Connecticut	(NL)		31-17
	18 at	Lehigh	(NL)		21-14
	25 at	Cornell	(-11)	W	21-6
0.	2 at	Dartmouth	(-13)	W	38-21
	9	Holy Cross	$(-6\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-34
	23 at	Rutgers	(+7)	L	17-34
	30 at	Syracuse	$(+6\frac{1}{2})$	L	15-49
N.	6 at	Penn.	(-71/2)	L	13-21
	13	Temple	(NL)		24-17
	20 at	Boston U.	(NL)		22-21
	27	Boston U.	(NL)		21-7
D.	4 at	Delaware	(NL)		13-20

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-0	5-3
Combined Spread Record	0-1	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at Rutgers	(+15)	W	5-13
	19 Lehigh	(NL)		27-14
	26 at Cornell	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-10
O.	3 Boston U.	(NL)		21-14
	10 at Temple	(NL)		0-31
	17 Lafayette	(NL)		30-0
	24 at Columbia	(-26)	W	41-3
	31 at Syracuse	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-47
N.	7 Bucknell	(NL)		24-6
	14 at Holy Cros	ss (-1½)	W	32-13
	1981 POIN	TSPREAD RE	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 4-0 3-3 Combined Spread Record 0-0 4-1 3-0 Favorite Spread Record 0-0 Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Penn St.	(+27)	L	10-54
	20 at	Lehigh	(NL)		17-17
	27	Cornell	(-4)	W	38-20
0.	4 at	Connecticut	(NL)		21-24
	11	Holy Cross	(-6)	W	38-7
	18 at	Princeton	$(-6\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-14
	25	Columbia	(-21)	L	35-22
N.	1	Lafayette	(NL)		44-0
	8 at	Bucknell	(NL)		17-14
	22 at	Rutgers	(+141/2)	L	13-35
	15	980 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORE)

Home Actual Record 4-0 1-4-1 Combined Spread Record 2-1 0-3 0-1 2-1

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

S.	15 at	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		15-28
	22	Lehigh	(NL)		10-3
	29 at	Cornell	(+7)	L	21-36
0.	6 at	Yale	(+7)	L	0-27
	13 a	Holy Cross	(+81/2)	W	17-16
	20 a	t Princeton	(+4)	W	17-6
		Columbia	(-15)	L	24-14
N.	3 a	t Lafavette	(NL)		7-7
	10	Bucknell	(NL)		20-2
	17	Delaware	(NL)		16-24

1979 PUINTSPREMI	RECOR	L
	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-1	3-3-1
Combined Spread Record	0-0	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-2

COLORADO **Buffaloes**



Colorado has won only seven games during Bill McCartney's threeyear reign in Boulder but the former Michigan assistant feels the Tide is finally turning for the Buffaloes.

'We've got talent and the best depth since I've been here," explained McCartney. "We just need to cement

things together.'

McCartney will need a wonder glue for Colorado's defense which ranked last in the Big Eight in 1984. The problems start right up front where the Buffs lose their best lineman, George Smith, to graduation, A couple of regulars do return in tackle Ken Lightner and end Dan McMillen, but the group must prove it can stop the run (CU was last in that category, too, in '84). Linebacking could be a strength, especially if talented Barry Remington is fully recovered from a pinched nerve which hampered his play a year ago. Remington heads a contingent that also features Dan McMillen, Darin Schubeck and Don DeLuzio. In the secondary, starters Lyle Pickens and Mickey Pruitt return, but the other two roles will be up for grabs.

The Buffs have more strength on offense, where 10 starters return, and are loaded at the receiver posts. Tight end Jon Embree (51 receptions in '84), is a first-team All-Big Eight selection as is flanker Ron Brown (29 catches, 23.2 average). Loy Alexander (35 receptions) completes the triumverate. Getting them the ball will be up to Craig Keenan, who threw for 1,012 yards a year ago. That is, if he can hold off the challenges of three sophomores and a redshirt freshman. The running game, meanwhile, features depth, but no proven star, CU's running game suffered a year ago but could improve with faster backs and a more experienced offensive line, led by guard Junior IIi and center Eric Coyle. Jo Jo Collins is a top return man and Dave Deline has great range--he hit a 52-varder last year as a freshman--as a placekicker, but Colorado must come up with a punter to replace the graduated Allan Braun.

Colorado's schedule is a bit softer than it was a year ago (Colorado State replaces Michigan State) and the Buffs should be able to make modest progress in '85.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Colorado St.
	14		Oregon
	21		Ohio St.
	28	at	Arizona
0.	12		Missouri
	19	at	Iowa St.
	* 26	at	Nebraska
N.	2		Okla. St.
	9	at	Kansas
	16	at	Oklahoma
	23		Kansas St.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	10-27	4-24-1
Combined Spread Record	13-18	12-12
Favorite Spread Record	4-3	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	9-15	12-12

Feist's Factors

- The Buffaloes are a pathetic 7-13 as a home underdog in the Big 8 the past 12 seasons.
- Colorado is 0-7 ATS vs Nebraska at +24 or less since 1973.
- The Buffs are 12-7 when favored at home against Big 8 rivals.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Mich. St.	(+6)	W	21-24
	15 at	Oregon	(+31/2)	L	20-27
	22 at	Notre Dame	(+21)	L	14-55
	29	UCLA	(+9)	L	16-33
0.	6 at	Missouri	(+23)	L	7-52
	13	Iowa St.	(-21/2)	L	23-21
	20	Nebraska	(+291/2)	W	7-24
	27 at	Okla. St.	$(+29\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-20
N.	3	Kansas	(PK)	L	27-28
	10	Oklahoma	(+27)	W	17-42
	17 at	Kansas St.	(+3)	L	6-38
	19	984 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	0-5
Combined Spread Record	3-3	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	3-2	1-4

1983 RESULTS

8.	10 al	Michigan St.	(+11)	W	17-23
	17	Colorado St.	(NL)		31-3
	24	Oregon St.	(-12)	W	38-14
0.	1	Notre Dame	(+91/2)	L	3-27
	8	Missouri	(+61/2)	L	20-59
	15 at	Iowa St.	(+21/2)	L	10-22
	22 at	Nebraska	(NL)		19-69
	29	Oklahoma St.	(+141/2)	L	14-40
N.	5 at	Kansas	(+11)	W	34-23
	12 at	Oklahoma	(+28)	W	28-41
	19	Kansas St.	(-11/2)	W	38-21

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-3	3-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	California	(+3)	L	17-31
	18 at	Wash. St.	(+13)	W	12-0
	25	Wyoming	(NL)		10-24
0.	2	UCLA	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	L	6-34
	9	Nebraska	(+32)	W	14-40
	16 at	Okla. St.	(+10)	W	25-25
	23	Iowa St.	(+71/2)	L	14-31
	30	Oklahoma	$(+22\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-45
N.	6 at	Missouri	(+14)	L	14-35
	13	Kansas	(+2)	W	28-3
	20 at	Kansas St.	$(+11\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-33
		ngo moramo	DDEAD DE	CODE	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

The second secon	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-6	1-2-1
Combined Spread Record	2-4	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-4	2-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Texas Tech	(+71/2)	W	45-27
	19	Wash. St.	(-4)	L	10-14
	26	BYU	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-41
0.	3 at	UCLA	(+221/2)	W	7-27
	10 at	Nebraska	(+23)	L	0-59
	17	Oklahoma St.	(+7)	W	11-10
	24 at	Iowa St.	(+19)	W	10-17
	31 at	Oklahoma	(NL)		0-49
N.	7	Missouri	(+13)	L	14-30
	14 at	Kansas	(+9)	L	0-27
	21	Kansas St.	(-1)	W	24-21
	15	981 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

Actual Record Combined Spread Record 3-3

0-5 2-2 0-0 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at	UCLA	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-56
	20 at	LSU	(+21)	W	20-23
	27	Indiana	(+7)	L	7-49
0.	4	Oklahoma	(+21)	L	42-82
156	11	Drake	(NL)		22-41
	18 at	Missouri	(NL)		7-45
	25	Nebraska	(NL)		7-45
N.	1	Iowa St.	(+14)	W	17-9
200	8 at	Oklahoma St.	(+12)	L	7-42
	15	Kansas	(+8)	L	3-42
		Kansas St.	(+13)	W	14-17
		Service and the service of the servi	LANGUAGE STATE	725 20 20 20	

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

22.20 2 2001 0 2001	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	0-5
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	2-2

S.	8	Oregon	(-81/2)	L	19-33
	15	LSU	(+3)	L	0-44
	22	Drake	(NL)		9-13
	29 at	Indiana	(+11)	W	17-16
0.	6 at	Oklahoma	(NL)		24-49
	20	Missouri	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W	7-13
	27 at	Nebraska	(NL)		10-38
N.	3 at	Iowa St.	(+1)	L	10-24
	10	Oklahoma St.	(+1)	P	20-21
	17 at	Kansas	(-1)	W	31-17
	24	Kansas St.	$(-6^{1/2})$	W	21-6
	15	979 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	2-3
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	1-1

COLUMBIA Lions



The good thing about becoming coach at Columbia is that you never have a tough act to follow. The bad things is that a few years later they're saying the same thing about your coaching era. That's Jim Garrett's plight as he inherits a program from Bob Naso that was just 4-43-2 over the past five seasons. But what can Garrett do to improve on even that meager record? Well, for one thing, shake things up a bit. Garrett, who has spent the last seven years as an assistant with the Cleveland Browns, will install the Browns' offense at Morningside Heights. It's a complicated and complex system and some say Garrett's playbook is tougher to decipher than advanced calculus.

It'll probably be up to Henry Santos to lead the group study on the field. Santos was the starting quarterback much of last season, but Garrett has obviously decided not to hold that against him. There are some pretty fair receivers around in tight end Mark Milam and wideouts John Garrett (the coach's son) and Mike Francesconci, but the running game is an unproven commodity. John Chirico, a part-time

starter at fullback last year who gained 230 yards, will be the major toter. Bill Strack, the team's captain, and Chet Levitt, will be the mainstays on the offensive line.

Garrett also will fiddle with Columbia's defense, dumping Naso's 5-2 in favor of a 4-3 alignment that uses a roverback. One thing is certain: Columbia must do a better job at stopping the run. Last year the Lions gave up nearly 250 yards per game rushing, as opponents averaged 31.2 points per game. Tackle Wayne Snyder and end Bill Hicks, a couple of 245-pounders, will be at the forefront of any improve-

This will not be Garrett's first stint as a collgiate head coach. From 1960-66 he led Susquehanna to a 39-11-1 mark. He also was head coach of the Houston Texans of the World Football League. However, there's nothing in Garrett's resume that could have prepared him for this. What Columbia lacks most of all is players. Oh, Garrett will try his best--he might even win a game or two, but the Lions are sure to wind up at the bottom of the lvy League in November.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	21		Harvard
	28	at	Lafayette
0.	5		Penn
1	12	at	Princeton
	19		Yale
	26		Bucknell
N.	2	at	Colgate
	9		Dartmouth
1	16	at	Cornell

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

at Brown

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-26-1	0-25
Combined Spread Record	8-18-1	10-12-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	7-18-1	10-12-1

Austin's Edges

- Columbia is 3-0 as a home favorite, since '73.
 - Lions are 2-8 as an Ivy League away underdog of +91/2 or less.
 - Columbia is 3-7 vs the spread against Ivy League rival Yale the past 12

1984 RESULTS

S.	22	Harvard	(+91/2)	L	21-35
	29	Lafayette	(NL) _		14-23
0.	6 at	Penn	(+20)	L	7-35
	13	Princeton	(+12)	L	8-38
	20 at	Yale	(+17)	W	21-28
	27	Colgate	(+24)	W	16-35
N.	3 at	Dartmouth	(+7)	L	9-41
	10	Cornell	(+7)	L	7-19
	17	Brown	(+10)	L	14-28
	19	984 POINTS	PREAD RE	CORD	1

	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-6	0-3
Combined Spread Record	1-4	1-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-4	1-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	17	Harvard	(+15)	L	14-43
	24 at	Lafayette	(NL)		29-34
0.	1	Penn	(+9)	L	10-35
	8 at	Princeton	(+14)	W	26-35
	15	Yale	(+91/2)	W	21-18
	22	Bucknell	(NL)		31-31
	29 at	Holy Cross	(+27)	L	28-77
N.	5	Dartmouth	(+16)	W	17-17
	12 at	Cornell	(+7)	L	6-31
	19 at	Brown	(+16)	W	36-42
	11	90 DOINTS	PREAD BI	CORE	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-1-2	1-6
Combined Spread Record	1-1	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	3-3

1982 RESULTS

		$(+15\frac{1}{2})$	W	16-27
25	Lafayette	(NL)		23-53
2 at	Penn.	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	31-51
9	Princeton	(+81/2)	W	35-14
16 at	Yale	(+11)	L	10-36
23	Bucknell	(NL)		25-42
30 at	Army	(+17)	L	8-41
6	Dartmouth	(+12)	L	41-56
13	Cornell	(+5)	L	26-35
20	Brown	(+91/2)	L	21-35
	2 at 9 16 at 23 30 at 6 13	2 at Penn. 9 Princeton 16 at Yale 23 Bucknell 30 at Army 6 Dartmouth 13 Cornell	2 at Penn. (+12½) 9 Princeton (+8½) 16 at Yale (+11) 23 Bucknell (NL) 30 at Army (+17) 6 Dartmouth (+12) 13 Cornell (+5)	2 at Penn. (+12½) L 9 Princeton (+8½) W 16 at Yale (+11) L 23 Bucknell (NL) 30 at Army (+17) L 6 Dartmouth (+12) L 13 Cornell (+5) L

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	0-4
Combined Spread Record	1-3	0-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	0-4

1981 RESULTS

S.	19	Harvard	(+11)	L	6-23
100	26	Lafavette	(NL)		13-28
0.	3	Penn	(+21/2)	W	20-9
	10 at	Princeton	(+11)	W	14-21
	17	Yale	(+22)	L	17-48
	24	Colgate	(+20)	L	3-41
	31 at	Holy Cross	(+27)	W	7-14
N.	8	Dartmouth	(+14)	P	7-21
	14 at	Cornell	$(+11\frac{1}{2})$	W	9-15
	21 at	Brown	(+101/2)	W	20-23

Actual Record 1-5 0-4 Combined Spread Record 4-0 1-3-1

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away 0-0 Favorite Spread Record 0-0 Underdog Spread Record 1-3-1

1980 RESULTS

8.	20 at	Harvard	(+15)	L	6-26
	27	Lafayette	(NL)		6-0
0.	4 at	Penn	(+1)	L	13-24
	11	Princeton	(+9)	L	19-31
	18 at	Yale	(+20)	P	10-30
	25 at	Colgate	(+21)	W	22-35
N.	1	Holy Cross	(+11)	L	0-26
	8 at	Dartmouth	(+17)	L	0-48
	15	Cornell	(+14)	L	0-24
	22	Brown	(+19)	W	13-31

Away Home Actual Record 0-5 1-4 Combined Spread Record 1-3 1-3-1 Favorite Spread Record 0-0 0-0 Underdog Spread Record 1-3-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	22	Harvard	(+4)	L	7-26
	29 a	t Lafayette	(NL)		7-14
0.	6	Penn	(-3)	W	12-7
	13 a	t Princeton	(+8)	L	0-35
	20	Yale	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-37
	27	Colgate	(+15)	W	14-24
N.	3	Dartmouth	(+9)	L	0-17
	10 a	t Cornell	(+21)	W	7-24
	17 a	t Brown	(+20)	W	14-31
	1	OTO DOINTE	DDEAD DE	COPD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	0-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	2-1

CORNELL Big Red



Cornell loses nine starters on defense, three of them All-Ivy performers, and while the offense could be improved, it's doubtful the Big Red will make much of a move upward in the league standings. Head Coach Maxie Baughan must construct his defense around end Howard Feiler and linebacker Tom Bernardo, his only returning defensive starters. Dave Van Metre, with two monograms to his credit, probably will take one line vacancy, but the others are up for grabs. At linebacker, look for Dan McMahon and Ward Johnson to join Bernardo in the starting lineup, but the Big Red is certain to miss first-team All-Ivy backer Keith Bakowski, the team's leading tackler last fall. The situation is just as bad in the secondary where all four starters must be replaced. Lyndon Gross and Jeb Koerber, the extra backs in the nickel and dime defenses last year, are the favorites for the safety posts with Jim Frontero and Londell McMillan ahead of the pack at cornerback.

Cornell's offense has a seasoned quarterback in Shawn Maguire and some sure-handed receivers but needs a heavy duty tailback in the mold of departed Tony Baker, whose 847 yards and seven TDs paced the club. Fullback John Tagliaferri is as much a receiver (30 receptions) as runner (180 yards) while Mike Brooks (221 yards is seen as the pick at tailback. Doug Baker (13 receptions) and Todd Pressley (13, too), both starters a year ago, will be the primary receivers. Mike Wagner, who earned Honorable Mention All-Ivy status at center last season, will anchor an offensive line that has two other regulars in tackle Dom Albanese and guard Ken Johnson. Rick George will take over at an open tackle spot. Steve Lipic has the edge at guard. Eric Bernstein, who averaged 36.3 yards per attempt last year, returns to handle the punting. Bernstein may also take over the placekicking chores for graduated Bill

Goldy.

"We feel that we learned something from last season and we will benefit from that experience in 1985," said Baughan. "We expect the Cornell offense to be an exciting one and the defensive unit to hit you hard for 60 minutes. Our objective is to challenge for the Ivy League title."

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

	10	00 .	CULLED
S.	21	at	Penn
-3	28		Colgate
0.	5		Lafayette
	12		Harvard
	*19	at	Brown
	26		Dartmouth
N.	2	at	Bucknell
	9	at	Yale

16

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Columbia

at Princeton

Actual Record	Home 13-17-1	Away 9-18
Combined Spread Record	12-15	12-11
Favorite Spread Record	6-3	5-2
Underdog Spread Record	6-12	7-9

Feist's Factors

- Big Red is 11-2 as an away underdog of +10 or more the past 12 years.
- Cornell is 8-4 vs the points against Penn but 2-10 vs Brown.
- Big Red is 9-16 as a home underdog in the Ivy League (since '73).

1984 RESULTS

S.	22	Princeton	(+2)	L	9-17
	29	Colgate	(+11)	L	7-35
0.	6 at	Bucknell	(NL)		7-10
	13 at	Harvard	(+11)	W	18-24
	20	Brown	(+41/2)	W	9-13
	27	Dartmouth	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-10
N.	3 at	Yale	(+5)	L	14-21
	10 at	Columbia	(-7)	W	19-7
	17	Penn	(+12)	L	0-24
	19	84 POINTS	PREAD I	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Actual Record			1-4	1-3	
Combined Spread Record		cord	2-3	2-1	
Favorite Spread Record			1-0	1-0	
U	Inderd	log Spread Re	cord	1-3	1-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	17 at	Pennsylvania	(+41/2)	L	7-28
	24	Colgate	(+131/2) L	7-60
0.	1 at	Cincinnati	(NL)		20-48
	8	Harvard	(+10)	W	3-3
	15 at	Brown	(+61/2)	L	3-14
	22 at	Dartmouth	(+141/2) W	17-31
	29 at	Boston U.	(NL)		0-41
N.	5	Yale	(+1)	W	41-7
	12	Columbia	(-7)	W	31-6
	19 at	Princeton	$(+4\frac{1}{2})$	W	32-30
	19	83 POINTSP	READ	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Actual Record			2-1-1	1-5	
Combined Spread Record		rd	3-1	2-2	
Favorite Spread Record		rd	1-0	0-0	
U	Inderd	log Spread Rec	ord	2-1	2-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	18	Princeton	(-41/2)	L	36-41
13	25	Colgate	(+11)	L	16-21
0.	2	Boston U.	(NL)		6-17
150	9 at	Harvard	$(+9^{1/2})$	L	13-25
	16	Brown	(+21/2)	L	19-38
	23	Dartmouth	(+1)	P	13-14
	30	Merch. Mar.	(NL)		34-0
N.	6 at	Yale	(+13)	W	26-20
1200	13 at	Columbia	(-5)	W	32-26
	20	Penn.	(+31/2)	W	23-0
	19	82 POINTSI	PREAD F	ECORI	D
			1	Home	Away
A	Aug TE	Danaud.		95	9.1

ı		Home	Away
ı	Actual Record	2-5	2-1
ĺ	Combined Spread Record	1-4	2-1
ı	Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-0
	Underdog Spread Record	1-3	1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	19 at	Penn	(-51/2)	L	22-29
	26	Colgate	(+5)	L	10-34
0.	3 at	Rutgers	(+20)	W	17-31
	10	Harvard	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-27
	17 at	Brown	(+71/2)	W	14-9
	24 at	Dartmouth	(+7)	L	7-42
	31	Bucknell	(NL)		22-15
N.	7	Yale	(+18)	W	17-23
242	14	Columbia	(-111/2)	L	15-9
	21 at	Princeton	(+71/2)	L	14-37

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-2

1980 RESULTS

27 of	202			17-7
	Colgate	(+4)	L	20-38
4	Rutgers	(+17)	L	3-44
11 at	Harvard	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	L	12-20
18	Brown	(+4)	L	25-32
25	Dartmouth	(+5)	W	7-3
1 at	Bucknell	(NL)		16-33
8 at	Yale	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-6
15 at	Columbia	(-14)	W	24-0
22	Penn	(-16)	W	31-9
	4 11 at 18 25 1 at 8 at 15 at	4 Rutgers 11 at Harvard 18 Brown 25 Dartmouth 1 at Bucknell 8 at Yale 15 at Columbia	4 Rutgers (+17) 11 at Harvard (+2½) 18 Brown (+4) 25 Dartmouth (+5) 1 at Bucknell (NL) 8 at Yale (+13½) 15 at Columbia (-14)	4 Rutgers (+17) L 11 at Harvard (+2½) L 18 Brown (+4) L 25 Dartmouth (+5) W 1 at Bucknell (NL) 8 at Yale (+13½) W 15 at Columbia (-14) W

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	1-2

S.	22 at	Penn	(-4)	W	52-13
	29	Colgate	(-7)	W	36-21
0.	6	Bucknell	(NL)		0-10
	13	Harvard	(-7)	W	41-14
	20 at	Brown	$(+5\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-28
	27 at	Dartmouth	(-2)	W	21-10
N.	3	Yale	(+1)	L	20-23
	10	Columbia	(-21)	L	24-7
	17 at	Princeton	(-4)	L	14-26

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	2-2
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	2-1
Underdeg Spread Record	0-1	0-1

DARTMOUTH Big Green



Joe Yukica's seventh season in Hanover was anything but lucky as the Big Green fell to 2-7, but the former Coach-of-the-Year is charting a comeback for 1985.

"We're not that far away," said Yukica. "We have enough talented people coming back."

Heading that list of talented players is first-team All-Ivy League split end Scott Truitt. Truitt caught 37 passes worth 558 yards (15.1 average) and three TDs last year, and also brought back five punts (7.2 average). He heads a receiving crew that should be the strength of this year's team. Geoff Michel (20 receptions) will be the other wideout with Doug Keare (20, too) at tight end. They represent three of nine offensive starters who return this fall, and part of 20-of-22 from the offensive two-deep. However, the two offensive starters who will not return--quarterback Mike Caraviello and tailback Lorenzo Chambers-- may be difficult to replace. Backup Brian Stretch will get first crack at the QB vacancy while Ernie Torain takes over at tailback. Caraviello and Chambers accounted for

over 2,000 yards and 16 touchdowns last year. Rob Brown and Tom Stephens will continue to alternate at fullback, a position which stresses blocking more than running, while the offensive interior quintet returns intact in center Bob Saylor, guard Bob Rech and Ray Holtorf, and tackles Greg Hulbert and Slade Shuster, the latter a possible "all" candidate. Placekicker Craig Saltzgaber (19-20 PAT, nine-12 FG) is another top offensive weapon for the Big Green.

While the offense is loaded with experienced personnel, the defense must be rebuilt with just three starters returning and only 11-of-22 from that platoon's two-deep. Linebackers Len Fontes and Peter Kortebein should be the cornerstones of the unit with help from returning starter Kevin Quinn at tackle. Greg McBride will help out up front but the secondary loses all four starters. Brett Matthews, who had five interceptions with the Pea Green, could wind up in the starting lineup. Dartmouth also needs a punter to replace the graduated Elwyn Fields.

Yukica's comeback won't be easily achieved.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	21		Princeton
	28	at	New Hampshire
0.	5		Holy Cross
273	12		Colgate
	19	at	Harvard
	26	at	Cornell
N.	2		Yale
170	9	at	Columbia
	16		Brown

at Penn

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	12-16	13-15-2
Combined Spread Record	12-12	14-11-1
Favorite Spread Record	9-4	6-4-1
Underdog Spread Record	3-8	8-7

Austin's Edges

- Dartmouth is 15-6 vs the points in the last three games of each season, since 1973.
- Big Green is only 3-10 vs the points against independents.
- The visiting team is 8-4 vs the points in the Dartmouth-Princeton series.

1984 RESULTS

S.	22	Penn	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-55
	29 at	New Hamps.	(NL)		10-38
0.	6 at	Holy Cross	(+25)	W	20-30
	13 at	Yale	(+3)	L	18-28
	20	Harvard	(+4)	L	7-21
	27 at	Cornell	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-13
N.	3	Columbia	(-7)	W	41-9
	10 at	Brown	(+5)	W	27-11
	17 at	Princeton	(-3)	L	17-21
	19	984 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 1-3 1-4 Combined Spread Record 2-3 1-2 0-0 1-0 Favorite Spread Record

0-2

Underdog Spread Record

1983	RES	UL	12

	Princeton	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-3
24 at	Army	(+61/2)	W	12-13
1	Holy Cross	(+6)	L	14-41
8	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		17-21
15 at	Harvard	(+21/2)	W	28-12
22	Cornell	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	L	31-17
29 at	Yale	(-91/2)	L	24-21
5 at	Columbia	(-16)	L	17-17
12	Brown	(-51/2)	L	7-25
19 at	Penn	(+31/2)	L	14-38
	1 8 15 at 22 29 at 5 at 12 19 at	8 Wm. & Mary 15 at Harvard 22 Cornell 29 at Yale 5 at Columbia	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	2-2-1
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	18	Pennsylvania	(-13)	L	0-21
	25 at	Holy Cross	(+13)	L	12-28
0.	2	Colgate	(+13)	L	21-38
	9 at	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		16-24
	16	Harvard	(+6)	W	14-12
	23 at	Cornell	(-1)	P	14-13
	30	Yale	(+31/2)	W	21-22
N.	6	Columbia	(-12)	W	56-41
	13 at	Brown	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	W	22-16
	20 at	Princeton	(-2)	W	43-20
	-			TOOPE	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	3-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-0-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	19	Princeton	(-6)	W	32-13
	26 at	Massachusetts	(NL)		8-10
0.	3	Holy Cross	(+11/2)	L	0-28
1500	10	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		7-12
	17 at	Harvard	(+8)	W	24-10
	24	Cornell	(-7)	W	42-7
	31 at	Yale	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-24
N.	7 at	Columbia	(-14)	P	21-7
	14	Brown	(-6)	W	38-13
	21 at	Penn	(-18)	W	40-7

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	3-1	2-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-0	1-0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	20	Penn	(-14)	W	40-7
	27	New Hamps.	(NL)		7-24
0.	4 at	Holy Cross	(PK)	L	6-17
	11 at	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		14-17
	18	Harvard	(-1)	W	30-12
	25 at	Cornell	(-5)	L	3-7
N.	1	Yale	(+3)	L	7-35
	8	Columbia	(-17)	W	48-0
	15 at	Brown	(+6)	W	28-24
	22 at	Princeton	(+1)	L	24-27
	16	80 POINTSP	READ RI	ECORD	1

Home	Away
3-2	1-4
3-1	1-3
3-0	0-2
0-1	1-1
	3-2 3-1 3-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	22	Princeton	(-7)	L	10-16
10	29 at	New Hamps.	(NL)		10-10
0.	6	Holy Cross	(+1)	L	7-13
100	13 at	Yale	(+8)	W	0-3
	20 at	Harvard	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	10-7
	27	Cornell	(+2)	L	10-21
N.	3 at	Columbia	(-9)	W	17-0
	10	Brown	(+6)	W	24-10
	17 at	Penn	(-12)	W	20-6
		ngo DOMITET	DEAD DE	COPD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-3	3-1-1
Combined Spread Record	1-3	4-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	1-0
Director of the contract of th		

DUKE **Blue Devils**



Steve Sloan hopes that the incredible rash of injuries that forced freshmen and sophomores into starting roles last year-particularly on offense--will reap benefits this season.

"One positive point about having so many young players play last year is that they will have a much better understanding of the offense this season," said the Bear Bryant protege. "This will give us a chance to add

some new plays." Certainly, the Blue Devil attack needs something. Duke averaged less than 12 points per game last year and was held to 16 points, or less, nine times. One who'll play a big part in any offensive resurgence is sophomore quarterback Steve Slayden. Slayden completed 55.9 percent of his aerials last year and passed for 1,229 yards, the third highest in the nation among rookie quarterbacks. The return from the injured list of receivers Doug Green and Greg Flanagan will aid the pass-catching contingent, especially with leading receiver (37 catches, 627 yards) Chuck Herring also returning. And tight end is solid with Rick Reed

and Jason Cooper. Julius Grantham, who led the team in rushing despite missing six games with injuries, is back, along with Mike Peacock, his replacement at tailback who will be moved to fullback this year. The offensive line returns four-of-five starters, topped by tackle Ted Million.

Duke will continue to employ Sloan's 4-4 alignment on defense the team showing improvement in terms of yardage surrendered from 1984 over 1983. The key performers include linebackers Mike Junkin and Nick Buoniconti, last season's top two statistical tackling leaders. Others expected to play a large part in any Duke defensive success include linemen David Adams, Reggie Andrews, Harry Ward and John El-Masry, plus defensive back Howard Woods. The secondary, where two-of-three starters have graduated, is Sloan's major defensive concern.

Duke looks like it will have a much more experienced and talented team than in recent years, but must match potential to performance on the

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Northwestern
	14	at	W. Virginia
	21		Ohio U.
0.	5	at	Virginia
1	12	at	S. Carolina
	*19		Clemson
	26	at	Maryland
N.	. 2		Georgia Tech
1	9	at	Wake Forest
1	16		N.C. State
	23	at	N. Carolina

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 11-19	Away 10-25-1
Combined Spread Record	12-13-1	17-19
Favorite Spread Record	6-5-1	2-3
Underdog Spread Record	6-8	15-16

Feist's Factors

- Blue Devils are 2-10 vs the points against Clemson the past 12 seasons.
- Duke is only 8-14 as a home dog against ACC competition since '73.
- Devils are an impressive 9-2 vs the points against Georgia Tech since '73.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Indiana	$(-5^{1/2})$	W	31-24
	22 at	S. Carolina	(+8)	L	0-21
	29 at	Army	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	9-13
0.	6	Virginia	(+4)	L	10-38
	13 at	Va. Tech	(+14)	L	0-27
	20 at	Clemson	$(+29\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-54
	27	Maryland	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-43
N.	3 at	Ga. Tech	(+25)	L	3-31
	10	Wake Forest	(+141/2)	W	16-20
	17 at	N. Carol. St.	(+17)	W	16-13
	24	N. Carolina	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	15-17

1904 FULLISFILERI	RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	1-5
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-5
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-5

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 at	Virginia	(-61/2)	L	30-38
	10 at	Indiana	(-1)	L	10-15
	17	South Carolina	(-4)	L	24-31
0.	1	Miami	(+13)	L	17-56
	8 at	Va. Tech	(+7)	L	14-27
	15	Clemson	(+17)	W	31-38
	22 at	Maryland	(+181/2)	L	3-38
	29	Georgia Tech	(PK)	W	32-26
N.	5 at	Wake Forest	(+6)	W	31-21
	12	N. Carol. St.	(-1)	P	27-26
	19 at	North Carolina	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-34

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	1-5
Combined Spread Record	2-2-1	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-1-1	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 at	Tennessee	(+2)	W	25-24
	18 at	S. Carolina	(+31/2)	W	30-17
	25	Virginia	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	51-17
0.	2	Navy	$(-14^{1/2})$	L	21-27
	9	Va. Tech	(-6)	L	21-22
	16 at	Clemson	(+12)	L	14-49
	23 at	Maryland	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	22-49
	30 at	Ga. Tech	(-3)	W	38-24
N.	6	Wake Forest	(-81/2)	W	46-26
	13 at	N. Carol. St.	(+1)	L	16-21
	20	N. Carolina	(+14)	W	23-17
		non morrimor	DE LE DE	conn	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

		nome	Away
ı	Actual Record	3-2	3-3
	Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-3
	Favorite Spread Record	2-2	0-0
	Underdog Spread Record	1-0	3-3

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at	Ohio St.	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-34
	19 at	South Carolina	(+11)	L	3-17
	26 at	Virginia	(+4)	W	29-24
0.	3	East Carolina	(NL)		24-14
	10	Va. Tech	(+31/2)	W	14-7
	17	Clemson	(+9)	L	10-38
	24 at	Maryland	(+8)	W	21-24
	31 at	Georgia Tech	(-3)	W	38-24
N.	7 at	Wake Forest	(-51/2)	W	31-10
	14	N. Carol. St.	(PK)	W	17-7
	21	North Carolina	(-71/2)	L	10-31
	19	981 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 3-2 3-3 Combined Spread Record 2-2 2-0 Favorite Spread Record 1-1 Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	East Carolina	(NL)		10-35
	20 at	Auburn	(+21)	W	28-35
	27	Virginia	(+7)	W	17-20
0.	4 at	Indiana	(+17)	W	21-31
734	11 at	South Carolina	(+21)	W	7-20
		Clemson	(+15)	W	34-17
	25	Maryland	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-17
N.	1	Georgia Tech	(-4)	W	17-12
-30	8	Wake Forest	(+1)	L	24-27
	15 at	N. Carol. St.	(+5)	L	21-38
	22 at	North Carolina	(+19)	L	21-44
	19	980 POINTSPI	READ RI	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 1-4 Combined Spread Record 3-1 4-2 Favorite Spread Record 1-0 0-0 Underdog Spread Record

1979 RESULTS

S.	15	East Carolina	(NL)		28-14
	22 at	South Carolina	(+6)	L	0-35
	29 at	Virginia	(+6)	L	12-30
0.	6 at	Army	(-4)	L	17-17
	13 at	Richmond	(NL)		34-7
	20	Clemson	(-10)	L	10-28
	27	Maryland	(+7)	L	0-27
N.	3 at	Georgia Tech	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-24
	10 at	Wake Forest	(+14)	W	14-17
	17	N. Carol. St.	(+12)	L	7-28
	24	North Carolina	(+131/2)	L	16-37
	0.01				

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	1-4-1
Combined Spread Record	0-4	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-3	2-2

E. CAROLINA **Pirates**



After head coaching stints at Furman and The Citadel, Art Baker gets the top position at East Carolina, taking over for Ed Emory who was ousted after last season's 2-9 campaign. By the looks of things, Baker has his work cut out for him.

The offensive problems begin with the passing game--or a lack of one. Both Darrell Speedy and Ron Jones had their turns at quarterback last year and neither excelled. Speedy completed 46 percent of his passes while Jones completed just 36 percent. Making matters worse this year is a lack of experience at the receiver posts, graduation having claimed the top six pass-catchers. Craig White, redshirt frosh William Carver, soph Tony Smith and Chris McLawhorn are the names being mentioned as possible replacements. In better shape is the running game where three-year starter and leading rusher Tony Baker returns at tailback. Baker ran for 513 yards last year but depth is a problem there. Redshirt frosh Tim James is the early favorite at fullback. Fewer questions remain along the offensive interior where tackles Tim Dumas and Robert Alexander and guards Rich

Autry and David Kramer are proven commodities. Stuart Ward or juco transfer Ken Bourgeous will inherit the center position.

Defensively, there's also work to be done. The top two tackles from a year ago are gone and the line, in particular, is painfully thin of proven hands. A quartet of sophomores--Vinson Smith, Leon Hall, Medrick Rainbow and Ron Gilliard--along with junior David Plum, should be the starters up front with John Britt and Robert Washington at linebacker. The secondary will boast experience with a trio of seniors, Kevin Walker, Keith Ford and Calvin Adams, plus junior Gary London. Jeff Heath, who has led the Pirates in scoring the last three years, again will be the placekicker, and might inherit the punting chores, as well. Heath hit on 13-of-16 FG tries in '84, including a 52-yarder vs Florida

East Carolina's schedule is ambitious--Penn State, Miami, South Carolina, Auburn and LSU, for example--but the Pirates don't have the personnel to wage war with that type. It'll be a difficult season for Baker.

DATA

	-		
S.	. 7	at	N. Carol. St.
	14		SW Texas St.
	+21	at	Penn St.
	28		Temple
0.	5		Miami
	12	at	SW Louisiana
	26		South Carolina
N.	2	at	Southern Miss.
	9	at	Auburn
	16		Tulsa
D	-	2.4	TOTT

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Home 21-7	Away 12-25
0-2	2-5
0-1	0-0
0-1	2-5
	21-7 0-2 0-1

Austin's Edges

- East Carolina is 2-7 ATS on road since '73.
- Pirates are 1-6 ATS vs independents.
- EC is 0-2 vs the spread as a favorite.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1 at	Florida St.	(+131/2)	L	17-48
	8	Temple	(-7)	L	0-17
	15 at	Cent. Mich.	(NL)		12-17
	22	Ga. Southern	(NL)		34-27
	29 at	N.C. St.	(+4)	L	22-31
0.	6 at	Pittsburgh	(+15)	W	10-17
	13 at	Tulsa	(+81/2)	L	20-31
	20	E. Tenn. St.	(NL)		24-6
	27 at	S. Carolina	(+19)	L	20-42
N.	3 at	S.W. La.	(NL)		24-42
	10	S. Miss.	(+2)	L	27-31

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-2	0-7
Combined Spread Record	0-2	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-4

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 at	Florida St.	(NL)	46-47
	10 at	N.C. St.	(NL)	22-16
	17	Murray St.	(NL)	50-25
0.	1 at	Missouri	(NL)	13-6
	8.	S.W. La.	(NL)	21-18
	15 at	Temple	(NL)	24-10
	22 at	Florida	(NL)	17-24
	29	E. Tenn. St.	(NL)	21-9
N.	.5 at	Miami, Fla.	(NL)	7-12
	12	Wm. & Mary	(NL)	40-6
	19 at	S. Miss.	(NL)	10-6

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-0	4-3
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 at N.C. St.	(+17)	W	26-33
	18 E. Tenn. St.	(NL)		30-0
4	25 Cent. Mich.	(NL)		24-6
0.	2 at Missouri	(NL)		9-28
	9 Richmond	(NL)		35-14
	16 at Florida St.	(NL)		17-56
	23 Ilinois St.	(NL)		21-0
	30 at W. Va.	(+181/2)	L	3-30
N.	6 at Texas Arl.	(NL)		40-24
	13 at Wm. & Mary	(NL)		31-27
	20 at Temple	(NL)		23-10
	1982 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

Actual Record	4-0	3-4
Combined Spread Record	0-0	1-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	W. Carolina	(NL)	42-6
-	12 at	N. Carolina	(NL)	0-56
	19 at	N.C. St.	(NL)	10-31
	26	Toledo	(NL)	28-24
0.	3 at	Duke	(NL)	14-24
	10 at	Richmond	(NL)	17-13
	17 at	S.W. La.	(NL)	35-31
	24	Miami, Fla.	(NL)	6-31
	31 at	W. Va.	(NL)	3-20
N.	14	E. Tenn. St.	(NL)	66-23
	21	Wm. & Mary	(NL)	21-31
	16	RI POINTSE	PEAD RECORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	2-4
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at Duke	(NL)	35-10
	13 S.W. La.	(NL)	21-27
	20 at Florida St.	(NL)	7-63
	27 S. Miss.	(NL)	7-35
0.	4 at Richmond	(NL)	24-22
	11 W. Carolina	(NL)	24-14
	25 at N. Carolina	(NL)	3-31
N.	1 Wm. & Mary	(NL)	31-23
	8 at Miami, Fla.	(NL)	10-23
	15 East. Ky.	(NL)	16-28
	22 at N.C. St.	(NL)	14-36

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	2-4
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	1	W. Carolina	(NL)	31-6
	8 at	N.C. St.	(NL)	20-34
	15 at	Duke	(NL)	14-28
	22 a	Wake Forest	(NL)	20-23
0.	6	VMI	(NL)	45-10
	13	The Citade	(NL)	49-7
	27 at	N. Carolina	(NL)	24-24
N.	3 at	Appal. St.	(NL)	38-21
	10	Richmond	(NL)	52-10
	17	N. Tex. St.	(NL)	49-16
	24	Wm. & Mary	(NL)	38-14

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	1-3-1
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

FLORIDA Gators



Galen Hall, who led Florida to eight victories and the school's firstever SEC championship last year after taking over the head coaching reins in mid-September, begins his first full season at the Gator helm with another powerful outfit. The SEC Coach-of-the-Year will have 13 starters returning from 1984's 9-1-1 team that was second in the conference in total offense and first in total defense. Among the six offensive regulars back is quarterback Kerwin Bell, the SEC's Player-of-the-Year (Coach's vote). As a freshman, Bell threw for 1,614 yards and 16 TDs. His passing efficiency rating placed him fourth nationally behind Doug Flutie, BYU's Robbie Bosco and Miami's Bernie Kosar. Bell has several offensive weapons on which to call. His running game boasts two fine backs in Neal Anderson (916 yards, 5.8 average) and John L. Williams (793, 5.5), and his aerial troops include Ricky Nattiel (20 catches, 22.4 average) and Frankie Neal (13, 23.0), a couple of game-breakers. Secondteam All-SEC guard Jeff Zimmerman is all that's left from an outstanding offensive interior, but Hall has a host

of hopefuls to fill the gaps.

Florida's defense was outstanding last year, and, with seven starters returning, should be so again this fall. The Gators allowed only 17 TDs all year, just three of them on the ground, and none in the final five games of the year. Only one opponent (Mississippi State) outrushed Florida. The platoon is sparked by All-America outside linebacker Alonzo Johnson, a solid Lombardi Trophy candidate this year. Fellow linebackers Patrick Miller, Scott Armstrong and Arthur White make this area a strong suit for the Gators. Keith Williams and Tommy Duhart are solid up front with Jarvis Williams and Adrian White approaching all-star status in the secondary. Ray Criswell, who owns a 44.3-yard average in three seasons as the Gator bunter, makes that part of the specialty units strong, but the search is on for a replacement for Bobby Raymond, who was an ultra-consistent 43-49 on FGs the past four years.

The Gators have a brutal schedule (eight foes went to bowls last vear), but should be one of the na-

tion's top teams, again.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

ı	S.	7	at	Miami
ı		14		Rutgers
ı	4.	28	at	Miss. St.
ı	0.	. 5	at	LSU
J	1	12		Tennessee
		19		S.W. La.
		26		Va. Tech
	N.	2	at	Auburn
	1	9		Georgia (Jcks)
		16		Kentucky
		30		Florida St.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	26-11-2	13-13-1
Combined Spread Record	18-14-1	17-9
Favorite Spread Record	14-10-1	8-4
Underdog Spread Record	4-4	9-5

Feist's Factors

- The home team has covered 8 of 12 in Fla.-Fla. St. rivalry since 1973.
- Favorite is 5-1 at Baton Rouge in Florida-LSU rivalry last 12 years.
- Florida is 10-3 as a nonconference home favorite from -10 to -28 the last 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	Miami (Tam.)	(+6)	L	20-32
	8	LSU	(-3)	L	21-21
	15	Tulane	(-21)	W	63-21
	29	Miss. St.	(-14)	W	27-12
0.	6	Syracuse	(-12)	W	16-0
	13 at	Tennessee	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	43-30
	20	Cincinnati	(-31)	P	48-17
N.	3	Auburn	(-1)	W	24-3
	10	Georgia (Jck.)	$(-2^{1/2})$	W	27-0
	17 at	Kentucky	$(-10^{1/2})$	L	25-17
D.	1 at	Florida St.	(-21/2)	W	27-17

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0-1	4-1
Combined Spread Record	4-1-1	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	4-1-1	3-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Miami	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-3
	10 at	USC	(+61/2)	W	19-19
	17	Indiana St.	(NL)		17-13
	24 at	Miss. St.	(-3)	W	35-12
0.	1 at	LSU	(+11/2)	W	31-17
	8	Vanderbilt	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	29-10
	22	East Carolina	(NL)		24-17
	29 at	Auburn	(+3)	L	21-28
N.	5	Georgia (Jck.)	(PK)	L	9-10
	12	Kentucky	(-18)	L	24-7
D.	3	Florida St.	(-3)	W	53-14
	11	DOINTED	DEAD DI	COPD	

1909 LOIMISLUEVI	RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-1	2-1-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Miami	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-14
	11	USC	(+21/2)	W	17-9
	25	Miss. St.	(-51/2)	W	27-17
0.	2	LSU	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-24
	9 ai	Vanderbilt	(-10)	L	29-31
	16	W. Tex. St.	(NL)		77-14
	30	Auburn	(-41/2)	L	19-17
N.	6	Georgia (Jck)	(+31/2)	L	0-44
	13 at	Kentucky	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	39-13
	20 at	Tulane	(-12)	W	21-7
D.	4 at	FSU	(+4)	W	13-10
		oce paramen	DEAD DE	CORD	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-4	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	1-0

1981 RESULTS

	(+11/2)	W	20-21
an	(NL)		35-7
ia Tech	(-91/2)	W	27-6
St.	(+21/2)	L	7-28
	(-2)	W	24-10
and	(-9)	L	15-10
sippi	(-14)	W	49-3
m	(-4)	L	12-14
'ville.)	(+5)	P	21-26
cky	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	33-12
a St.	(-4)	W	35-3
		David Company of the Company	The street of the street of the street of the state of the street of the

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	1-4
Combined Spread Record	4-1	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	4-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Calif. (Tampa)	(+4)	W	41-13
100	2 at	Georgia Tech	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-12
	27	Miss. St.	(-21/2)	W	21-15
0.	4	LSU	$(-6^{1/2})$	L	7-24
	18 at	Ole Miss.	(+31/2)	W	15-3
	25	Louisville	(NL)		13-0
N.	1	Auburn	(-31/2)	W	21-10
	8	Georgia	(+7)	W	21-26
	15 at	Kentucky	(-1)	W	17-15
	29	Miami, Fla.	(-31/2)	L	7-31
D.	6 a	Florida St.	(+14)	W	13-17

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	3-1
Combined Spread Record	4-2	4-0
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	3-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	15 at	Houston	(+10)	W	10-14
	22	Georgia Tech	(-9)	L	7-7
	29 at	Miss. St.	(-2)	L	10-24
0.	6 at	LSU	(+91/2)	L	3-20
	13	Alabama	$(+19\frac{1}{2})$	L	0-40
	27	Tulsa	(NL)		10-20
N.	3 at	Auburn	(+14)	W	13-19
	10	Georgia	(+61/2)	L	10-33
	17	Kentucky	(+11/2)	L	3-31
	24	Florida St.	(+20)	W	16-27
D.	1 at	Miami, Fla.	(+5)	L	24-30
		979 POINTSP	and the state of the	CORD	

Home 0-5-1 0-5

FLORIDA STATE Seminoles



Bobby Bowden doesn't plan on doing many things differently this year, but he would like his FSU squad to do them better.

"What we want to do is make some minor adjustments," explained the Seminole head coach. "It's like taking a car and replacing the bumpers, not the whole engine."

Offensively, Bowden wants the Seminoles to "throw better, not more." Last year FSU ranked third nationally in scoring offense (35.4 points per game) and fourth in total offense (450.8 yards per game), so there's not a whole lot of room for improvement. Eric Thomas, the quarterback who threw 14 TD passes and had just four intercepted, should be even better this season. Thomas will miss the services of top receiver Jessie Hester (42 catches in '84), but the Seminoles have the makings of another fine pass-catching crew in Hassan Jones, Darrin Holloman and Herb Gainer. Roosevelt Snipes (756 yards) and Cletis Jones (631) should be able to pick up the slack at running back for

departed Greg Allen (971). With studs like Jamie Dukes and John Ionata anchoring the offensive line, FSU's point production should be up to its usual high standards in 1985.

But oh that defense. The Seminoles ranked just 78th in total defense a year ago and only 95th (out of 105 Division I-A clubs) in pass defense. Again, the problem is in the secondary where graduation has claimed seven seniors. A pair of sophomores, Eric Williams and Martin Mayhew, are now the most capable hands with starting experience. Up front, the picture is brighter with Isaac Williams, Todd Stroud and Gerald Nichols forming an adequate triumvirate. The linebacking crew returns two-of-four starters, including Fred Jones, the number two tackler a year ago. Joe Wessel, a specialty teams member who blocked five kicks and turned four of them into touchdowns last year, will be missed. but the kicking game is solid with Derek Schmidt, the top frosh scorer in the nation in '84, and Louis Berry, who averaged 42.3 yards per punt.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

		The second secon
7	at	Tulane
014	at	Nebraska
21		Memphis St.
· 28		Kansas
12	at	Auburn
19		Tulsa
26	at	North Carolina
2		Miami
9		South Carolina
16		Western Carol.
30	at	Florida
	14 21 28 12 19 26 2 9 16	•14 at 21 •28 12 at 19 26 at 2 9 16

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	28-7	20-10-1
Combined Spread Record	12-6	16-11-1
Favorite Spread Record	10-5	6-6
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	10-5-1

Austin's Edges

- Seminoles are 7-0 as a home underdog of +10, or more, since '73.
- FSU is 7-3 vs the points against teams from the Big 8 the past 12 years.
- Florida State is 11-2 as a favorite between -6 to -91/2 points.

1984 RESULTS E Carolina (-131/a) W

	E. Caronna	(-1072)	78	40-11
15 at	Kansas	(-15)	W	42-16
22 at	Miami, Fla.	(+2)	W	38-3
29	Temple	(-21)	L	44-27
6 at	Memphis St.	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-17
13	Auburn	(-3)	L	41-42
20	Tulane	(-17)	W	27-6
3 at	Arizona St.	(-6)	W	52-44
10 at	S. Carolina	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	L	26-38
17	Tenn. Chat	(NL)		37-0
1	Florida	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-27
19	984 POINTSE	READ RE	COR	D
				Away
ual F	Record	4	1-2	3-1-1
	22 at 29 6 at 13 20 3 at 10 at 17 1	15 at Kansas 22 at Miami, Fla. 29 Temple 6 at Memphis St. 13 Auburn 20 Tulane 3 at Arizona St. 10 at S. Carolina 17 Tenn. Chat	15 at Kansas (-15) 22 at Miami, Fla. (+2) 29 Temple (-21) 6 at Memphis St. (-12½) 13 Auburn (-3) 20 Tulane (-17) 3 at Arizona St. (-6) 10 at S. Carolina (-2½) 17 Tenn. Chat (NL) 1 Florida (+2½) 1984 POINTSPREAD RE	15 at Kansas (-15) W 22 at Miami, Fla. (+2) W 29 Temple (-21) L 6 at Memphis St. (-12½) L 13 Auburn (-3) L 20 Tulane (-17) W 3 at Arizona St. (-6) W 10 at S. Carolina (-2½) L 17 Tenn. Chat (NL) 1 Florida (+2½) L 1984 POINTSPREAD RECORL

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	3-1-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	East Carolina	(NL)		47-46
	10 at	LSU	(+5)	W	40-35
	17 at	Tulane	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-34
0.	1 at	Auburn	(+41/2)	W	24-27
	8 at	Pittsburgh	(+1)	P	16-17
	15	Cincinnati	(NL)		43-17
	22	Louisville	(NL)		51-7
	29 at	Arizona St	(+1)	W	29-26
N.	5	South Carolina	(-14)	W	45-30
	12	Miami	(+3)	W	16-17
D.	3 at	Florida	(+3)	L	14-53
	10	DO DOTATEDI	TAD DE	CORD	

1909 LOIMING HEW	DRECOR	13
	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-1	2-4
Combined Spread Record	2-0	3-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	3-1-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Cincinnati	(NL)		38-31
	18	Pittsburgh	(+8)	L	17-37
	25 at	South. Miss.	(+41/2)	W	24-17
0.	2 at	Ohio St.	(+7)	W	34-17
	9	South. Ill.	(NL)		59-8
	16	E. Carolina	(NL)		56-17
	30 at	Miami	(+1)	W	24-7
N.	6 at	S. Carolina	(-11)	W	56-26
	13	Louisville	(NL)		49-14
	20 at	La. St.	(+1)	L	21-55
D.	4	Florida	(-4)	L	10-13

*	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	4-1
Combined Spread Record	0-2	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	3-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	Louisville	(NL)		17-0
	12	Memphis St.	(NL)		10-5
	19 at	Nebraska	(+91/2)	L	14-34
0.	3 at	Ohio St.	(+7)	W	36-27
	10 at	Notre Dame	(+61/2)	W	19-13
	17 at	Pittsburgh	(+7)	L	14-42
	24 at	LSU	(-7)	W	38-14
	31	West Carolina	(NL)		56-31
N.	7	Miami	(-21/2)	L	19-27
	14	So. Miss.	(NL)		14-58
	28 at	Florida	(+4)	L	3-35
	15	81 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	3-3
Combined Spread Record	0-1	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-3

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	LSU	(PK)	W	16-0
	13	Louisville	(NL)		52-0
	20	East Carolina	(NL)		63-7
	27 at	Miami	(-5)	L	9-10
0.	4 at	Nebraska	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	18-14
	11	Pittsburgh	(PK)	W	36-22
	18	Boston Coll.	(-18)	W	41-7
	25 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		24-3
N.	1	Tulsa	(NL)		45-2
	- 8	Va. Tech	(-16)	W	31-7
	22	Florida	(-14)	L	17-13
	-			CORE	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	7-0	3-1
Combined Spread Record	3-1	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

S.	8 S.	Mississippi	(NL)		17-14
	15	Arizona St.	(-61/2)	W	31-3
	22	Miami, Fla.	(-10)	W	40-23
	29 at	Va. Tech	(-11)	L	17-10
0.	6 at	Louisville	(NL)		27-0
	13	Miss. St.	(-10)	W	17-6
	27 at	Louisiana St.	(PK)	W	24-19
N.	3 at	Cincinnati	(NL)		26-21
	10	South Carolina	(-7)	W	27-7
	17	Memphis St.	(NL)		66-17
	24 at	Florida	(-20)	L	27-16

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	5-0
Combined Spread Record	4-0	1-2
Favorite Spread Record	4-0	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

GEORGIA Bulldogs



Georgia lost as many games last year (four) as it had in the previous four seasons, but the Bulldogs should again be more bite than bark in 1985. Head Coach Vince Dooley, who led the Dawgs to the National Championship in 1980, has 15 starters returning from last year's 7-4-1 team that knotted Florida State in the Citrus Bowl. Nine of those starters are on the offensive side of scrimmage and that platoon should lead the way this year. Georgia is deepest at running back where the top six rushes from a year ago return. The sextet is headed by Andre "Pulpwood" Smith, whose 655 yards and four TDs paced the group. Smith will be at fullback with Lars Tate (421 yards) and Tron Jackson (313) sharing tailback chores in Dooley's Iformation. The receiving corps, especially the wideouts, also is wellstocked. Cassius Osborn, Jimmy Hackaday and Herman Archie are the men to watch. Tight end is a possible sore spot, especially if converted tackle Wilbur Strozier can't make the switch. The offensive line will be formed around center Keith Johnson. and guards Peter Anderson and Kim

Stephens. With Strozier shifting to end, both tackle posts must be filled. Another missing offensive weapon will be the strong right leg of Kevin Butler. Butler, who beat Clemson with a 60-yard FG with just 11 seconds left last year holds numerous team and NCAA records.

Georgia's defense returns six starters, but the Dawgs lose a couple of gems in first-team All-America safety Jeff Sanchez and second-team All-America linebacker Knox Culpepper. Sanchez's defection leaves Tony Flack as the lone returning starter in the secondary. The linebacking corps has a couple of able contributors in Bill Mitchell and John Little, but Culpepper's heroics might be missed. Better off is the line where Kenny Sims, Henry Harris and Andy Loy bulwark a decent crew.

The Bulldogs have a favorable schedule in that they play SEC contenders Alabama, Auburn and Kentucky at home (Florida is played at a neutral site), but it'll take a super effort to regain the SEC championship this season.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14		Baylor
	21	at	Clemson
	28		South Carolina
0.	5		Alabama
1	12	at	Mississippi
	19	at	Vanderbilt
	26		Kentucky
N.	2		Tulane
	9		Florida (Jckvl)
	16		Auburn
	30	at	Georgia Tech

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	34-6	20-5-1
Combined Spread Record	17-19-2	15-10-1
Favorite Spread Record	14-19-2	11-8-1
Underdog Spread Record	3-0	4-2

Feist's Factors

- The Bulldogs are 12-5 as an away underdog, since 1973.
- Georgia is 8-2 vs the points against Vanderbilt, since '73.
- Bulldogs are 10-5 vs the spread as an SEC home favorite of less than 10 the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	So. Miss.	(-9)	L	26-19
	22	Clemson	(+31/2)	W	26-23
	29 a	t S. Carolina	(-5)	L	10-17
0.	6 a	t Alabama	(+1)	W	24-14
	13	Mississippi	(-7)	L	18-12
	20	Vanderbilt	(-61/2)	W	62-35
	27 a	t Kentucky	(-61/2)	W	37-7
N.	3	Memphis St.	(-12)	L	13-3
	10	Florida (Jck.)	(+21/2)	L	0-27
	17 a	t Auburn	(+61/2)	L	12-20
	24	Ga. Tech	(-61/2)	L	18-35

Actual Record 5-1 2-3 Combined Spread Record 2-4 2-3 Favorite Spread Record 1-4 1-1 Underdog Spread Record 1-0 1-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	UCLA	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	W	19-8
	17 at	Clemson	(-3)	- L	16-16
	24	South Carolina	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-13
0.	1	Miss. St.	(-11)	W	20-7
	8 at	Mississippi	(-15)	W	36-11
	15 at	Vanderbilt	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-13
	22	Kentucky	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	47-21
	29	Temple	(-25)	L	31-14
N.	5	Florida (Jek)	(PK)	W	10-9
	12	Auburn	(-1)	L	7-13
	26 at	Georgia Tech	(-14)	L	27-24

Home	Away
6-1	3-0-1
5-2	1-3
4-2	1-3
1-0	0-0
	6-1 5-2 4-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	6	Clemson	(-1)	W	13-7
	11	BYU	(-8)	L	17-14
	25 a	t S. Carolina	(-9)	W	34-18
0.	2 a	t Miss. St.	(-4)	W	29-22
	9	Mississippi	(-13)	W	33-10
	16	Vanderbilt	(-19)	L	27-13
	23 a	t Kentucky	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	L	27-14
	30	Memphis St.	(NL)		34-3
N.	6	Florida (Jck)	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	44-0
	13 a	t Auburn	(-61/2)	L	19-14
	27	Ga. Tech	(-22)	L	38-18

1905 1 01141 01 16571	O TENCOIS	
	Home	Away
Actual Record	7-0	4-0
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	Tennessee	$(-6^{1/2})$	W	44-0
	12	California	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	27-13
	19 at	Clemson	(-4)	L	3-13
	26	South Carolina	(-9)	W	24-0
0.	10 at	Mississippi	(-8)	W	37-7
100	18 at	Vanderbilt	$(-20\frac{1}{2})$	W	53-21
	24	Kentucky	(-21)	P	21-0
	31	Temple	(NL)		49-3
N.	7 at	Florida	(-5)	P	26-21
120	14	Auburn	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-13
	28 at	Georgia Tech	(-21)	W	44-7
	19	981 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

Actual Record	Home 6-0	Away 4-1
Combined Spread Record	2-2-1	3-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2-1	3-1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Tennessee	(+3)	W	16-15
	13	Texas A&M	(-2)	W	42-0
	20	Clemson	.(-9)	L	20-16
	27	TCU	(-14)	W	34-3
0.	11	Mississippi	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-21
	18	Vanderbilt	(-27)	W	41-0
	25 at	Kentucky	(-91/2)	W	27-0
N.	1	South Carolina	(-3)	P	13-10
	8	Fla. (Jcksnvl)	(-7)	L	26-21
	15 at	Auburn	(-61/2)	W	31-21
	29	Georgia Tech	(-20)	L	38-20

	Home	Away
Actual Record	8-0	3-0
Combined Spread Record	3-4-1	3-0
Favorite Spread Record	3-4-1	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

S.	15	Wake Forest	(-18)	L	21-22
~		Clemson	(-31/2)	-	7-12
	29	South Carolina	(-1)	L	20-27
0.	6 at	Mississippi	(+3)	W	24-21
	13	LSU	(+61/2)	W	21-14
	20 at	Vanderbilt	(-19)	W	31-10
	27	Kentucky	(-7)	W	20-6
N.	3 nt	Virginia	(-8)	L	0-31
	10 at	Florida	(-61/2)	W	33-10
	17	Auburn	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-33
	24 at	Georgia Tech	(+3)	W	16-3
	19	79 POINTSPI	READ	RECORD)
			Service .	Home	Away

Home	Away
2-4	4-1
2-4	4-1
1-4	2-1
1-0	2-0
	2-4 2-4 1-4

GEORGIA TECH CEORGIA **Yellow Jackets**



All-ACC quarterback John Dewberry returns for his senior campaign, but it's Tech's defense that'll probably show the way this fall. Nineof-11 starters are back from the platoon that led the Atlantic Coast Conference in total, scoring and rushing defense a year ago and Head Coach Bill Curry is counting on them taking charge while he repairs the offense.

"I expect us to be strong on defense," he says simply, "because offensively we really have a lot of work to do."

The defensive contingent will be anchored by inside linebacker Ted Roof, end Pat Swilling, rover Cleve Punds and cornerback Mike Travis.

Offensively, first and foremost, there is Dewberry, the Conference's total offense leader. With him at the controls. Tech finished the season ranked 15th nationally in total offense. 10th in turnover margin and 5th in fewest fumbles and fewest turnovers. Dewberry will go it without a trio of All-ACC picks this year now that tailback Robert Lavette, guard Tony Kepano and tight end Ken Wisenhunt have used up their eligibility. Gary Col-

lier, who earned ACC Rookie-of-the-Year honors in 1983 but missed much of last year with a foot fracture, is the heir-apparent for Lavette while massive (6-4, 292) John Davis looms as an all-star up front. Davis' handling of 300-pound Clemson noseguard William "The Refrigerator" Perry earned him the nickname "Refrigerator Mover" last year, as well as recognition from Sports Illustrated as their Offensive Player-of-the-Week. Tyrone Sorrells, a transfer from Georgia, is but one in the chase for the TE job.

Daryl Wise and Gary Lee have the inside tracks for the wideout spots with Malcolm King favored to take over at fullback. King ran for 377 yards in '84, averaging 7.0 yards per effort. David Bell should be ready for a bellringing season after converting 13-15 FG attempts and all 33 PAT tries.

Georgia Tech's schedule, wih SEC powers like Georgia, Auburn and Tennessee, plus ACC stalwarts like Clemson, North Carolina and Virginia, is formidable. Nevertheless, Curry's defense, along with Dewberry on offense could be enough to land the Yellow Jackets in a bowl.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14	at	N. Carol. St.
	21		Virginia
	28	at	Clemson
0.	5		North Carolina
	12		Western Carol.
	19		Auburn
	26	at	Tennessee
N.	* 2	at	Duke
	9		UT Chatt.
	16		Wake Forest

30

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Georgia

	Home	Away
Actual Record	14-21-1	17-22-2
Combined Spread Record	13-17-1	13-15-1
Favorite Spread Record	5-8	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	8-9-1	11-13-1

Austin's Edges

- Yellow Jackets are 7-15 as a favorite vs the ACC, since 1973.
- Georgia Tech is 2-9 vs the spread against Duke.
- Ramblin' Wreck is 3-6 as an underdog against ACC teams.

1984 RESULTS

S.	15	Alabama	(+10)	W	16-6
	22	Citadel	(NL)		48-3
	29	Clemson	(+7)	W	28-21
0.	6	N. Carol. St.	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	L	22-27
	13 a	t Virginia	(-3)	L	20-20
	20 a	t Auburn	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	L	34-48
	27	Tennessee	(-4)	L	21-24
N.	3	Duke	(-25)	W	31-3
	10 a	t N. Carolina	(+11/2)	L	17-24
	17 a	t Wake Forest	(-3)	W	24-7
D.	1 a	t Georgia	(+61/2)	W	35-18
	1	984 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD)

	Home	raway
Actual Record	4-2	2-2-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	1-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at	Alabama	(+181/2)	W	7-20
	17	Furman	(NL)		14-17
	24 at	Clemson	(+13)	L	14-41
0.	1	North Carolina	(+17)	P	21-38
	8 at	N. Carol. St.	(+5)	W	20-10
	15	Auburn	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-31
	22 at	Tennessee	(+11)	L	3-37
	29 at	Duke	(PK)	L	26-32
N.	5	Virginia	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-27
	12	Wake Forest	(-2)	W	49-33
	26 at	Georgia	(+14)	W	24-27
	10	DOINTSDI	FADRE	COPD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	1-4
Combined Spread Record	3-1-1	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-1-1	2-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Alabama	(+20)	L	7-45
1000	18	Citadel	(NL)		36-7
	25 €	at Memphis St.	(NL)		24-20
0.	2 8	at N. Carolina	(+22)	L	0-41
1	9 8	at Tulane	(+9)	W	19-13
	16 a	at Auburn	(+14)	L	0-24
	23	Tennessee	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-21
	30	Duke	(-2)	L	21-38
N.	6	Virginia	(-51/2)	W	38-32
1000	13 a	at Wake Forest	(-1)	W	45-7
	27 8	at Georgia	(+22)	W	18-38
1		1982 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	3-3
Combined Spread Record	2-2	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 a	t Ala. (Birm.)	(+24)	W	24-21
	19 a	t Florida	(+10)	L	6-27
	26	Memphis St.	(-9)	L	15-28
0.	3	North Carolina	(+14)	L	7-28
	10 a	t Tennessee	(+6)	W	7-10
	17	Auburn	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-31
	24 a	t Tulane	(+4)	L	10-27
	31	Duke	(+31/2)	L	24-38
N.	7 a	t Notre Dame	(+25)	L	3-35
	14	Navy	(+8)	W	14-20
D.	5	Georgia	(+21)	L	7-44

	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-6	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-5	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-4	2-3

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Alabama	(+20)	L	3-26
	20	Florida	(-11/2)	L	12-45
	27	Memphis St.	(NL)		17-8
0.	4 at	North Carolina	(+15)	L	0-33
	11	Tennessee	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	10-23
	18 at	Auburn	(+16)	W	14-17
	25	Tulane	(+5)	L	14-31
N.	1 at	Duke	(+4)	L	12-17
	-8	Notre Dame	(+20)	W	3-3
	15	Navy	(+5)	L	8-19
	29 at	Georgia	(+20)	W	20-38
	ao ac	Georgia	(120)		20

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Trome	Away
1-4-1	0-5
2-3	2-3
0-1	0-0
2-2	2-3
	2-3 0-1

S.	8	Alabama	(+14)	L	6-30
	22 at	Florida	(+9)	W	7-7
	29	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		33-7
0.	6 at	Notre Dame	(+17)	W	13-21
	13 at	Tennessee	(+7)	L	0-31
	20	Auburn	(+2)	L	14-38
	27 at	Tulane	(+5)	P	7-12
N.	3	Duke	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-14
	10	Air Force	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-0
	17	Navy	(-7)	W	24-14
	24	Georgia	(-3)	L	3-16

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	0-3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-4	2-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	2-1-1

HARVARD Crimson



The Crimson will have alot of familiar faces around for the '85 season, the most important belonging to Head Coach Joe Restic, who has served longer than any football coach in Harvard history. Restic also will have a returning quarterback for the first time since 1977. He's Brian White, and should be able to lead what promises to be a competent Multiflex attack. White, who threw for 934 yards and nine TDs on 58 percent accuracy a year ago, will be relying heavily on fullback Robert Santiago again. Santiago led the team (and the League) in rushing in 1984 with 82 yards and also was Harvard's most prolific receiver (24 catches). Also on hand is wingback George Sorbara, who averaged 7.4 yards per carry and caught nine aerials. Joe Pusateri, who averaged 5.5 yards per carry a year ago, is the favorite to start at tailback. The receiving crew is one of the faster but less experienced groups in years. Only Joe Seay has a varsity reception to his credit.

"I would say that we have more speed than a year ago," admitted Restic, but these people have to come through for us." Another concern is along the line where only tackle George Kostakos is back to fill a starting berth. Brian O'Shea has the size (6-3, 255) to play the other tackle spot while Wayne Hunley is a leading candidate at guard. Charlie Godinez is the leader among the center aspirants.

Defensively, there's strength and depth at linebacker and in the secondary and work to be done up front. The secondary, where three-offour starters return, including firstteam All-Ivy choice Cecil Cox, is very solid. Joining Cox are K. C. Smith and Ken Tarczy. Brent Wilkinson heads a long list at linebacker but all five starters along the line must be replaced, including All-Ivy tackle Barry Ford and Honorable Mention All-America end Steve Anderson, Bill Ross is a leading candidate at end with Bernie Guekquezian and Dennis Vavassis looking to earn tackle spots. Jerry Garvey appears to be the favorite at middle guard. Rob Steinberg is back after a steady year of placekicking and punting in 1984.

Restic has done more with a lot less.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	21	at	Columbia
	28		Massachusetts
0.	5		Wm. & Mary
	12	at	Cornell
	19		Dartmouth
1	26		Princeton
N.	2	at	Brown
	9	at	Holy Cross
	* 16		Penn
1	23	at	Yale

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 18-12-2	Away 15-10-1
Combined Spread Record	14-13	15-10
Favorite Spread Record	10-10	9-4
Underdog Spread Record	4-3	6-6

Feist's Factors

- Harvard is 29-16 vs the points on the road, overall, since 1973.
- Crimson covered against Penn 9-of-12 tries.
 - Favorite is 12-6 vs the points when Harvard plays independents the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	22 at Columbia	$(-9\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-21
	29 Holy Cross	(+111/2)	W	14-24
0.	6 at Army	(+16)	L	11-33
	13 Cornell	(-11)	L	24-18
	20 at Dartmouth	(-41/2)	W	21-7
	27 at Princeton	(-1)	W	17-15
N.	3 Brown	(-61/2)	W	24-10
	10 at Penn	(+61/2)	L	7-38
	17 Yale	(-7)	L	27-30
	1984 POINTS	PREAD RE	CORE	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-2	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	17 at	Columbia	(-15)	W	43-14
	24	Massachusetts	(NL)		7-21
0.	1	Army	(+2)	W	24-21
	8 at	Cornell	(-10)	L	3-3
	15	Dartmouth	(-21/2)	L	12-28
	22	Princeton	(-3)	L	28-26
	29 at	Brown	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-10
N.	5	Holy Cross	(+16)	W	10-10
	12	Pennsylvania	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-0
	19 at	Yale	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	16-7

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2-1	2-0-1
Combined Spread Record	4-2	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	1-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	18	Columbia	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	L	27-16
	25	Mass.	(NL)		31-14
0.	2 at	Army	(+6)	W	13-17
	9	Cornell	$(-9\frac{1}{2})$	W	25-13
	16 at	Dartmouth	(-6)	L	12-14
	23 at	Princeton	(-2)	W	27-15
	30	Brown	(-7)	W	34-0
N.	6 at	Holy Cross	(+81/2)	W	24-17
		Penn.	(-3)	L	21-23
	20	Yale	(-5)	W	45-7
	15	982 POINTS		CORI	A

THE CALL OF THE CALL	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-1	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	19 at	Columbia	(-11)	W	23-6
	26	Holy Cross	(PK)	L	19-33
0.	3	Army	(+31/2)	L	13-27
	10 at	Cornell	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-10
	17	Dartmouth	(-6)	L	10-24
	24	Princeton	(-9)	L	17-17
	31 at	Brown	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	41-17
N.	7 at	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		23-14
	14	Penn	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-7
	21 at	Yale	(+8)	L	0-28

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away al Record 1-3-1 4-1

Actual Record 1-3-1 4-1
Combined Spread Record 1-4 3-1
Favorite Spread Record 1-3 3-0
Underdog Spread Record 0-1 0-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	20	Columbia	(-15)	W	26-6
	27	Holy Cross	(-4)	L	14-13
0.	4 at	Army	(+12)	W	15-10
39	11	Cornell	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	W	20-12
	18 at	Dartmouth	(+1)	L	12-30
	25 at	Princeton	(+3)	L	3-7
N.	1	Brown	(+2)	W	17-16
	8	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		24-13
	15 at	Penn	(-13)	L	28-17
	22	Yale	(+2)	L	0-14

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	2-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	1-2

S.	22 at	Columbia	(-4)	W	26-7
	29	Massachusetts	(NL)		7-20
0.	6	Boston U.	(NL)		10-14
	13 at	Cornell	(+7)	L	14-41
	20	Dartmouth	(+11/2)	L	7-10
	27	Princeton	(-1)	L	7-9
N.	3 at	Brown	(+14)	W	14-23
	10	Penn	(-13)	W	41-26
	17 at	Yale	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	22-7
	10	79 POINTSP	READ RE	CORI)
	**	CALLADA		ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	2-2
Combined Spread Record	1-2	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Necord	0-1	2-1

HOLY CROSS Crusaders



The Crusaders will emphasize a ground attack this fall, relying on a deep and talented running back contingent until new quarterback Dave Hock feels comfortable with the aerial aspect of the Holy Cross multiple Iformation. The main cog in Head Coach Rick Carter's rushing game will be Gill Fenerty, an All-America candidate. Fenerty rushed for 1,211 yards last year, averaging 5.7 markers per pop. A slashing type runner, he's also a fine receiver and good pro prospect. Joining him in the backfield is fullback Chuck Doyle, a 225-pounder with a nose for the endzone (nine TDs last year). Tom Kelleher adds more depth. Hock takes over the quarterback reins from prolific Peter Muldoon. Hock threw only four passes in '84 (completing one). Last season's leading receiver, split end Leo Carlin, returns with his 36 receptions, 17.5-yard average and four TDs, as does regular tight end Greg Laugeni (15, 15.7), but the offensive line has been decimated by commencement, leaving tackle Kevin Reilly as the only returning starter from last year's quintet. Look for Craig Millett, Jim Miller, Frank Kuts-

chke and Craig Clark starting roles.

Defensively, Holy Cross should be brutal up front but inexperienced at linebacker and in the secondary. The Crusader front wall will rank with the best units in Division I-AA with Tom Patton and John Hackney at the ends, Ed Kutschke and Brian Collins at the tackle posts and Dave Nelson at middle guard. Scott Rudy and Jerry McCabe are skillful but inexperienced at linebacker. The real concern is in the secondary, however, where only Pat Barry returns. The main loss there is All-America Bill McGovern, the free safety, who led the nation in thefts last season with 11. Pat McCarthy returns to handle the punting duties after averaging 37.0-yards per boot in '84, but the Crusaders lose placement ace Tony Melink, the team's all-time leading scorer.

The Crusaders are likely to struggle a bit early--at least until the passing game develops--but Fenerty should keep them rolling along to another winning season, neverthe-

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	•14		Colgate
	21	at	Massachusetts
	28		Delaware
0.	1 5	at	Dartmouth
1	12	at	Yale
	19	at	Connecticut
	26		Brown
N.	2	at	Army
1	9		Harvard
	16		Boston U.
	23	at	Boston College

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 20-13	Away 19-13-1
Combined Spread Record	8-9	12-8
Favorite Spread Record	4-6	8-3
Underdog Spread Record	4-3	4-5

Austin's Edges

- The favorite has covered 9-of-12 in Holy Cross-Dartmouth series.
- Home team is 2-10 vs spread in Holy Cross-Colgate decisions the past 12 years.
- Crusaders are 9-3 vs the spread in the first bettable game after Mass.

1984 RESULTS

S.	15	Rhode Is.	(NL)		19-0
	22	Mass.	(NL)		35-7
	29 at	Harvard	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-14
0.	6	Dartmouth	(-25)	L	30-20
	13 at	Colgate	(-3)	W	42-27
	20	Connecticut	(NL)		41-0
	27 at	Brown	(-18)	W	38-17
N.	3 at	Boston U.	(NL)		12-16
	10 at	New Hamps.	(NL)		13-14
	17 at	Maine	(NL)		21-7
D.	1 at	Boston Col.	(+16)	L	10-45
	19	984 POINTSP	2	RECORI	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	4-1
Combined Spread Record	0-2	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	0-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Boston U.	(NL)		14-3
	17 at	Massachusetts	(NL)		17-0
	24 at	New Hamp.	(NL)		42-30
0.		Dartmouth	(-6)	W	41-14
	8	Colgate	(-4)	L	21-18
	15 at	Connecticut	(NL)		20-16
	22	Brown	(-18)	W	31-10
	29	Columbia	(-27)	W	77-28
N.	5 at	Harvard	(-16)	L	10-10
	12 at	Delaware	(NL)		24-0
	19	Boston Coll.	(+13)	L	7-47

Home 4-1 5-0-1

Actual Record Combined Spread Record 2-2 1-1 Favorite Spread Record 2-1 1-1 Underdog Spread Record

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	New Hamps.	(NL)		28-0
	18	Mass.	(NL)		28-0
	25	Dartmouth	(-13)	W	28-12
0.	2 at	Yale	(-7)	L	10-6
	9 at	Colgate	(+7)	W	17-21
	16	Connecticut	(NL)		10-7
	23 at	Brown	(-5)	W	17-6
	30 at	Boston U.	(NL)		34-21
N.	6	Harvard	(-81/2)	L	17-24
	13	Maine	(NL)		21-7
	20 at	Boston Col.	(+12)	L	10-35

2000 0.500 0.00	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	1-1	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Boston	(NL)		14-6
	18 at	Massachusetts	(NL)		10-13
	26 at	Harvard	(PK)	W	33-19
0.	3 at	Dartmouth	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-0
	10	Yale	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-29
	17 at	Connecticut	(NL)		24-44
	24	Brown	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	34-24
	31	Columbia	(-27)	L	14-7
N.	7 at	Army	(+3)	W	28-13
	14	Colgate	(+1)	L	13-32
	28	Boston Coll.	(+12)	W	24-28

TOOL T OTHER TON TOWN	O TATO O TE	
	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Rhode Island	(NL)		21-14
	13 at	Army	(+6)	L	7-28
	27 at	Harvard	(+4)	W	13-14
0.	4	Dartmouth	(PK)	W	17-6
	11 at	Colgate	(+6)	L	7-38
	18	Connecticut	(NL)		17-18
	25 at	Brown	(+61/2)	L	3-21
N.	1 at	Columbia	(-11)	W	26-0
	8	Massachusetts	(NL)		13-17
	15	Villanova	(NL)		13-45
	22 at	Boston Coll.	(+21)	W	26-27

Home Actual Record 2-3 1-5 Combined Spread Record 1-0 3-3 1-0 1-0 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record 0-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Rutgers	(+8)	L	0-28
	15 at	New Hamps.	(NL)		17-26
	22	Rhode Island	(NL)		35-5
0.	6 at	Dartmouth	(-1)	W	13-7
	13	Colgate	(-8)	L	16-17
	20 at	Villanova	(NL)		14-29
	27	Brown	(+31/2)	W	14-7
N.	3	Boston U.	(NL)		7-16
	10 at	Massachusetts	(NL)		20-18
	24 at	Connecticut	(NL)		28-12
D.	1	Boston Coll.	(+101/2)	W	10-13

Home Away

	FIGHT	LATTERY
Actual Record	2-3	3-3
Combined Spread Record	2-1	1-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	0-1

HOUSTON Cougars



Houston's SWC title came as a surprise to most observors last year. but the Cougars won't raise any eyebrows by being serious contenders again in 1985. Bill Yeoman, who has been with the same school longer (24 years) than any active coach, has 15 starters returning from his youngest team ever, and the Coogs can only get better with experience. The offense, which had its ups and downs last year, returns nine starters and should be much more consistent. Gerald Landry, second in the Conference in total offense in '84, again will be the quarterback, with a blue-ribbon cast of receivers which may be the SWC's best group. All-America tight end Carl Hilton (38 receptions) heads the group with flanker Larry Shepherd (38 catches) close behind. Anthony Ketchum (16) and Bernard Giddings (11) alternate at split end. All the folks who carried the ball for Houston last year also return, led by Raymond Tate (864 yards), Sloan Hood (526) and Mat Pierson (263). The offensive line loses a couple of guards, but pivot Todd Schoppe, plus tackles Darrell Jackson and Gart Heitman form a

solid nucleus. Yeoman, who ranks fourth among active coaches in victories, plans a passing attack.

"We will put a lot of emphasis on the throwing game," he said. "We finally have a quarterback and receivers who know what's going on, and there's no reason they shouldn't be more effective."

On defense there are six returning starters, led by T.J. Turner, one of the nation's better tackles, and linebacker Robert Harper, the SWC Defensive Newcomer-of-the-Year in '84. The secondary loses the valuable Audrey McMillian, but the Cougars are exceptionally deep here, returning seven lettermen and three redshirts, including five players who have earned starting status at one time or another. Greg Purcell and Randy Thornton should be the leaders of this bunch. Chip Browndyke has been pencilled in as the replacement for departed record-holding placekicker Mike Clendenen, with Robert Myers slated to take over the punting chores. Myers was the Coogs' punter in 1983 but missed the 1984 season.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

ı	S.	7	at	Tulsa
ı	~	21		Washington
ı		28	at	Louisville
ı	0.	5		Baylor
ŋ		12	at	Texas A&M
		# 19		SMU
Ш		26	at	Arkansas
	N.	2	at	TCU
		9		Texas
b		23		Texas Tech

at Rice

- 30

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	21-22-2	18-13
Combined Spread Record	7-21	16-14-1
Favorite Spread Record	4-16	8-6
Underdog Spread Record	3-5	8-8-1

Feist's Factors

- Cougars are 13-6 as an away favorite in the SWC since '73.
- The visitor is 8-1 vs the points in the SMU-Houston series.
- Houston-Rice series has gone to the visitor 9 of last 11 spread results.

1984 RESULTS

S.	15 at	Miami, O.	(NL)		30-17
	22 at	Washington	(+10)	L	7-35
	29	Louisville	$(-22\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-30
0.	6 at	Baylor	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-17
	13	Texas A&M	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	9-7
	20 at	SMU	(+13) -	W	29-20
	27	Arkansas	(+3)	L	3-17
N.	3	TCU	(+4)	L	14-21
	10 at	Texas	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	29-15
	24 at	Texas Tech	(-4)	W	24-17
D.	1	Rice	(-19)	L	11-28

1001 1 OII 11DI IIDII	II	A
	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	4-1
Combined Spread Record	0-5	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	3-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	1 at	Rice	(-14)	W	45-14
	10	Miami	$(-4\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-29
	17	Lamar	(NL)		42-35
	24 at	Oregon	(-12)	L	14-15
0.	1	Baylor	(-51/2)	L	21-42
	8 at	Texas A&M	(PK)	L	7-30
	22 at	Arkansas	(+11)	L	3-24
	29 at	TCU	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-21
N.	5	Texas	(+19)	W	3-9
	19	Texas Tech	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	43-41
	26	SMU (Tok.)	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	12-34

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	2-4
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-3

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 8	t Miami, Fla.	(+31/2)	Ĺ	12-31
	18	Ariz. St.	(+1)	L	10-24
	25	Lamar	(NL)		48-3
0.	2	Baylor	(-2)	L	21-21
	. 9	Texas A&M	(-61/2)	L	24-20
	16 a	t S. Methodist	(+7)	W	14-20
	23	Arkansas	(+4)	L	3-38
	30	TCU	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	31-27
N.	6 a	it Texas	(+8)	L	0-50
	20 a	t Texas Tech	(-2)	W	24-7
	27	Rice	(-20)	L	28-21

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	0-5	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	1-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	New Mexico	(NL)		21-10
300	19 at	Miami	(+5)	P	7-12
15	26	Utah St.	(NL)		35-7
0.	3	Baylor	(-21/2)	W	24-3
70	10 at	Texas A&M	(-31/2)	L	6-7
300	17	SMU	(+11/2)	L	22-38
	24 at	Arkansas	(+3)	W	20-17
1	31 at	TCU	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-16
N.	7	Texas	(+31/2)	W	14-14
1	21	Texas Tech	(-16)	L	15-7
	28 at	Rice	(-13)	W	40-3
	15	81 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	

Home	Away
4-1-1	3-2
2-2	2-2-1
1-1	1-2
1-1	1-0-1
	4-1-1 2-2 1-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	7 a	t Arizona St.	(-7)	L	13-29
	20 a	t Miami	(-7)	L	7-14
	27	N. Texas St.	(NL)		24-20
0.	4 8	t Baylor	(+61/2)	L	12-24
	11	Texas A&M	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-13
	18 a	t SMU	(+61/2)	W	13-11
	25	Arkansas	(+41/2)	W	24-17
N.	1	TCU	(-16)	W	37-5
	8 a	t Texas	(+11/2)	L	13-15
	22 a	t Texas Tech	(-6)	W	34-7
	29	Rice	(-19)	L	7-35

2022 - 2023 - 2021	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-2

1979 RESULTS

(+1)	W	24-16
(-10)	L	14-10
St. (NL)		49-10
(-9)	L	13-10
(-1)	W	17-14
(-15)	W	37-10
(+21/2)	W	13-10
(-16)	L	21-10
(-2)	L	13-21
(-17)	L	14-10
(-27)	W	63-0
		(-27) W SPREAD RECORD

Home Actual Record 5-0 1-4 4-1

ILLINOIS Fighting Illini



Illinois will have one of the most explosive attacks in the nation, and if Head Coach Mike White can fill some holes on the defense, everything could come up roses again for the Illini for the second time in the past three seasons. The offense has more stars than the Big Dipper. Jack Trudeau, the second-team all-Big Ten signal caller, returns to trigger an awesome aerial game. Trudeau, who completed 65 percent of his passes last year with 18 touchdowns, will be looking for All-America wide receiver David Williams, who caught an amazing 101 balls in 1984. Also on hand is tight end Cap Boso (44 receptions) and flanker Randy Grant (41). The running game features All-Conference fullback Thomas Rooks (1,056 yards), as well as halfback Ray Wilson (302 yards). Two-time All-Big Ten tackle Jim Juriga heads an interior quintet that also features center Mike Scully, tackle Mark Dennis, and guards Scott Kehoe and Mike McGann. Three redshirts and two juco All-Americas will attempt to upset the standard order. All-Conference kicker Chris White, who connected on 24-of-28 field goal attempts last year and is well within

reach of the IU career scoring record. makes the Illini a threat for points anytime they cross midfield.

Guy Teafatiller, David Aina, Bob Sebring and Sam Ellsworth comprise the core of the Illini front seven on defense. Teafatiller led the '84 team in sacks and tackles for losses while Ellsworth led the team in tackles. Aina is a spirited end. Sebring helps out Ellsworth at linebacker.

"The defensive backfield, if that area will solidify, I think our defense will be extremely strong," said White.

Leader of that group will be second-team All-Big Ten safety Craig Swoope. Joining him will be Keith Taylor, a starter on the '83 Rose Bowl squad who was redshirted last year, Ed White and Todd Avery. Chad Little, who averaged 38.9 yards per punt last year, returns to perform his specialty.

The Ilini's major problembesides shoring up the defense--is with a schedule that list eight teams that played in bowls last year. Nevertheless, Illinois has so much offensive fire power that it may be able to overcome these obstacles and return to Pasadena.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		USC
	14		S. Illinois (Cbdl)
	21	at	Nebraska
0.	1 5		Ohio St.
-	12	at	Purdue
1	19	at	Michigan St.
	26		Wisconsin
N.	2		Michigan
	9	at	Iowa
	16		Indiana

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Northwestern

	Home	Away
Actual Record	21-12-1	15-16-1
Combined Spread Record	19-13-1	17-12-2
Favorite Spread Record	11-7	7-4-2
Underdog Spread Record	8-6-1	10-8

Austin's Edges

- Illini are 8-18 overall, vs the points against nonconference teams.
- Fighting Illini are 8-3 as a double digit home conference favorite.
- Illinois has covered 11 of the last 15 games when they were a conference dog.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	Northwestern	(-28)	L	24-16
	8	Missouri	(+1)	W	30-24
	15 at	Stanford	(-6)	L	19-34
	22	Mich. St.	$(-6^{1/2})$	W	40-7
	29 at	Iowa	(+4)	L	16-21
0.	6	Wisconsin	(-4)	W	22-6
	13 at	Ohio St.	(+6)	L	38-45
	20	Purdue	(-9)	W	34-20
	27 at	Michigan	(-3)	L	18-26
N.	3	Minnesota	(-23)	W	48-3
	10 at	Indiana	(-21)	W	34-7

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Home	Away
6-0	1-4
5-1	1-4
4-1	1-2
1-0	0-2
	6-0 5-1 4-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at	Missouri	(+3)	L	18-28
	17	Stanford	(-5)	W	17-7
	24 at	Michigan St.	(+11/2)	W	20-10
0.	1	Iowa	(+41/2)	W	33-0
	8 at	Wisconsin	(-3)	W	27-15
	15	Ohio St.	(+4)	W	17-13
	22 at	Purdue	(-10)	W	35-21
	29	Michigan	(+2)	W	16-6
N.	5 at	Minnesota	(-27)	P	50-23
	12	Indiana	(NL)		49-21
	19 at	Northwestern	(NL)		56-24

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	5-1
Combined Spread Record	4-0-1	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-0-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	3-0	1-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 .	Northwestern	(-33)	W	49-13
	11	Michigan St.	(-71/2)	L	23-16
	18 at	Syracuse	(-61/2)	W	47-10
	25	Pittsburgh	(+7)	L	3-20
0.	2 at	Minnesota	(+5)	W	42-24
	9	Purdue	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	38-34
	16	Ohio St.	(-6)	L	21-26
	23 at	Wisconsin	(-3)	L	29-28
	30 at	Iowa	(-3)	L	13-14
N.	6	Michigan	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W	10-16
	13 at	Indiana	(-41/2)	W	48-7

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	4-1
Combined Spread Record	2-4	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	1-0

1981 RESULTS

	Michigan St.	() O1()		
**		(+31/2)	W	27-17
19	Syracuse	$(-5^{1/2})$	L	17-14
3	Minnesota	(PK)	W	38-29
10 at	Purdue	(+61/2)	L	20-44
17 at	Ohio St	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-34
24	Wisconsin	(-1)	W	23-21
31	Iowa	(+4)	W	24-7
7 at	Michigan	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-70
14	Indiana	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-14
21 at	Northwestern	(-33)	W	49-12
	10 at 17 at 24 31 7 at 14 21 at	10 at Purdue 17 at Ohio St 24 Wisconsin 31 Iowa 7 at Michigan	10 at Purdue (+6½) 17 at Ohio St (+12½) 24 Wisconsin (-1) 31 Iowa (+4) 7 at Michigan (+14½) 14 Indiana (-12½) 21 at Northwestern (-33)	10 at Purdue (+6½) L 17 at Ohio St (+12½) W 24 Wisconsin (-1) W 31 Iowa (+4) W 7 at Michigan (+14½) L 14 Indiana (-12½) W 21 at Northwestern (-33) W

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	2-4
Combined Spread Record	4-1	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-3

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Northwestern	(-14)	W	35-9
1	13	Michigan St.	(-2)	W	20-17
	20 at	Missouri	(+16)	L	7-52
	27	Air Force	(-18)	L	20-20
0.	4	Miss. St.	(+7)	P	21-28
	11 at	Iowa	(+71/2)	W	20-14
	18	Purdue	(+8)	L	20-45
	25 at	Michigan	(+19)	L	14-45
N.	1	Minnesota	(+1)	L	18-21
	8 at	Ohio St.	(+29)	W	42-49
	15 at	Indiana	(+71/2)	W	24-26

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3-1	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2-1	3-2

1979 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Michigan St.	(+25)	W	16-33
	15	Missouri	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	W	6-14
	22 at	Air Force	(-8)	P	27-19
-	29	Navy	(+6)	W	12-13
0.	6	Iowa	(PK)	L	7-13
	13 at	Purdue	(+18)	W	14-28
	20	Michigan	(+16)	L	7-27
	27 at	Minnesota	(+13)	W	17-17
N.	3	Ohio St.	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-44
000	10	Indiana	(+5)	L	14-45
	17 at	Northwestern	(-13)	W	29-13

	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-6	2-2-1
Combined Spread Record	2-4	4-0-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-0-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-3	3-0

INDIANA Hoosiers



Bill Mallory's initial season in Indiana failed to yield a single victory-the Hoosiers were 0-11 last year--but the former Miami (O.), Colorado and Northern Illinois head coach isn't the least bit pessimistic about the '85 campaign.

"We're much further along in quickness, strength and conditioning," said Mallory surveying 36 (out of 63) returning lettermen. "There's more competition than last year. We have a lot of positions where a couple of guys will be battling for a spot. We think it's going to be interesting."

Despite the 0-11 log, the offense had its moments last year--37 points vs Northwestern, for instance. Back to trigger the attack is quarterback Steve Bradley, already the holder of many seasonal and career passing and total offense records at Indiana. Bradley, who threw for 2,544 yards last year, will be looking for split end Ernie Jones and tight end Dave Lilja, a pair of returning starters from last season's club. Jones caught 26 passes last year and Lilia added 23. But the running game, which produced a paltry 876 yards in '84, must be upgraded if

the Hoosier aerial fireworks are to go off. That means leading rusher Bobby Howard will have to get better support from an offensive line that is still lacking in experience.

The situation is murkier on defense where only five starters return. The line has the most seasoned players with Rob Schmit, Tom Weidenbenner and Vince Fisher. Charles Mallory started seven games at outside linebacker and should claim one of those spots with Steve May at the other. However, the ILB posts lose top tacklers Joe Fitzgerald and Mark Weiler and the secondary has only one regular returning in strong safety Leonard Bell. Tom Houts and Joey Turner may win the linebacker spots with Keith Morgan, Efren Edwards, Alex Green and Kenny Allen looking for playing time in the secondary. Four-year punter and IU recordholder Chuck Razmic has graduated, leaving Jack Phillips and walk-on Dan Styzinski to contest that job.

The Hoosiers should win a game or two, but won't be much better than they were a year ago.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

ı	S.	14		Louisville
ı		21		Navy
ı	13.	28	at	Missouri
ı	0.	5		Northwestern
ı	1	• 12		Ohio St.
		# 19		Minnesota (HC)
	1	26	at	Michigan
	N.	2	at	Wisconsin
		9		Michigan St.
		16	at	Illinois
		- 99		Durduo

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	14-19	10-23
Combined Spread Record	13-18	14-17-2
Favorite Spread Record	6-7	4-3
Underdog Spread Record	7-11	10-14-2

Feist's Factors

- The home team is 10-2 vs the points in the Indiana Minnesota matchup.
- Hoosiers are a horrid 2-8 ATS vs Ohio State since 1973.
- Indiana has won eight of the last nine meetings against Purdue (with points).

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Duke	(+51/2)	L	24-31
	15	Kentucky	(+6)	L	14-48
	22 at	Northwestern	(+3)	P	37-40
	29	Michigan-	(+21)	W	6-14
0.	6 at	Minnesota	(+11/2)	L	24-33
	13 at	Michigan St.	(+14)	W	6-13
	20	Wisconsin	(+9)	W	16-20
	27	Iowa	(+20)	W	20-24
N.	3 at	Ohio St.	(+22)	L	7-50
	10	Illinois	(+21)	L	7-34
	17 at	Purdue	(+17)	W	24-31
	19	984 POINTSP	READ	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Ac	tual F	Record		0-5	0-6
Co	mbine	ed Spread Reco	rd	3-2	2-3-1
		CONTRACTOR OF STREET			

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Duke	(+1)	W	15-10
	17 at	Kentucky	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-24
	24	Northwestern	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	8-10
0.	1 at	Michigan	(+211/2)	L	18-43
	8	Minnesota	(-9)	L	38-31
	15	Michigan St.	(+1)	W	24-12
	22 at	Wisconsin	(+14)	L	14-45
	29 at	Iowa	$(+21\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-49
N.	5	Ohio St.	(+21)	L	17-56
	12 at	Illinois	(NL)		21-49
	19	Purdue	(+61/2)	W	30-31

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	0-5
Combined Spread Record	3-3	0-4-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	3-1	0-4-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Northwestern	(-23)	W	30-0
	18 at	USC	(+20)	L	7-28
	25	Syracuse	(-31/2)	W	17-10
0.	2 at	Michigan	(+17)	W	10-24
	9	Iowa	(+21/2)	L	20-24
	16 at	Minnesota	(+9)	W	40-21
	23	Ohio St.	(+51/2)	L	25-49
	30	Michigan St.	(-11/2)	L	14-22
N.	6 at	Wisconsin	(+7)	W	20-17
	13	Illinois	(+41/2)	L	7-48
	20 at	Purdue	(+4)	W	13-7
	15	82 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-4	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-3	4-1

1981 RESULTS

0-0

0-0

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

S.	12 at Northwestern	(-13)	L	21-20
1	19 USC	(+18)	L	0-21
	26 at Syracuse	(+4)	L	7-21
0.	3 Michigan	(+18)	L	17-38
100	10 at Iowa	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-42
	17 Minnesota	(-2)	L	17-16
	24 at Ohio St.	$(+16\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-29
1	31 at Michigan St.	(+51/2)	L	3-26
N.	7 Wisconsin	(+7)	L	7-28
JOSE V	14 at Illinois	(+121/2)	L	14-35
	21 Purdue	(+91/2)	W	20-17
	1981 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORD	1

Home Away Actual Record 2-3 Combined Spread Record 1-4 0-6 Favorite Spread Record 1-0 0-1 Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Iowa	(-61/2)	L	7-16
	20 at	Kentucky	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	W	36-30
	27 at	Colorado	(-7)	W	49-7
0.	4	Duke	(-17)	L	31-21
-	11	Wisconsin	(NL)		24-0
	18 at	Ohio St.	(+15)	W	17-27
	25 at	Northwestern	(-27)	L	35-20
N.	1	Michigan	(+61/2)	L	0-35
	8 at	Minnesota	(-4)	L	7-31
	15	Illinois	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	26-24
	22 at	Purdue	(+10)	W	23-24

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	3-3
Combined Spread Record	0-4	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	3-0
Chactaog Optoda House		

S.	8 a	t Iowa	(-2)	W	30-26
	15	Vanderbilt	(-12)	W	44-13
	22	Kentucky	(-7)	W	18-10
	29	Colorado	(-11)	L	16-17
0.	6 a	t Wisconsin	(-11/2)	W	3-0
	13 a	t Ohio St.	(+13)	L	6-47
	20	Northwestern	(-24)	W	30-0
	27 a	t Michigan	(+21)	W	21-27
N.	3	Minnesota	(-2)	W	42-24
	10 a	t Illinois	(-5)	W	45-14
	17	Purdue	(+3)	L	21-37
	1	979 POINTSP	READ RI	CORI)
		200000000000000000000000000000000000000		ome	Away

	nome	Away
Actual Record	4-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	4-1	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-1

IOWA Hawkeyes



S.

0.

Prospect's for the Hawkeyes are bright, especially on offense where 19-of-22 players from the twodeep that set 32 school records last year return for the '85 campaign. The platoon is led by quarterback Chuck Long, the two-time consensus All-Conference pick who last year threw for 2,782 yards and 22 touchdowns on 66.1 percent accuracy. Long, who riddled Texas for six TD lobs in a 55-17 Freedom Bowl rout, will be looking to again hook up with split end Bill Happel, whose 47 receptions paced the Hawkeyes last fall. Other dangerous pass-catchers include Robert Smith, who averaged nearly 27 yards for each of his 19 receptions, Scott Helverson (18 catches), and tight end Mike Flagg. The running game seems in good hands thanks to the return of second-team All-America Ronnie Harmon who gained 907 yards and caught 32 passes in just nine games. Harmon broke his leg vs Wisconsin and missed spring drills, but is expected to be A-okay for opening day. The offensive line returns everyone off the first and second teams except guard Bill Glass. Mike Haight, an All-Conference tackle, will anchor a unit

that also features center Mark Sindlinger, guard Kelly O'Brien and tackle Herb Wester.

lowa's stop troops led the Big Ten in all four team categories (rushing, passing, scoring and total defense) last year, but will be hardpressed to duplicate that achievement in '85. Graduation has stripped the Hawkeyes of several key performers, although many good ones still remain. Tops on that list is All-America linebacker Larry Station, the team leader in tackles for three straight years. Jeff Drost is an All-Big Ten choice at noseguard and will anchor the defensive line. The secondary will rally around All-Conference safety Devon Mitchell and cornerback Nate Creer. Tom Nichol, the No. 2 kick scorer in Big Ten history, must be replaced, and the Hawkeyes are looking to improve on a punting game that ranked dead last in the Conference last year. Harmon leads an explosive kick-return outfit.

lowa's schedule, with Drake and Northern Illinois kicking things off, should provide Head Coach Hayden Fry with enough time to patch his defense together.

DATA

19	1985 SCHEDULE					
14		Drake				
21		Northern Ill.				
28	at	Iowa St.				
5		Michigan St.				

at Wisconsin 12 19 Michigan 26 at Northwestern Ohio St.

Illinois at Purdue #16 Minnesota 23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	19-13-1	21-13
Combined Spread Record	16-15	20-13
Favorite Spread Record	11-10	12-7
Underdog Spread Record	5-5	8-6

Austin's Edges

- Hawkeyes are 1-6 vs the points against Ohio State (since 1937).
- Iowa is 12-6 vs the spread in games following those in which it scored 30 or more points.
- Hawks are a woeful 3-8 ATS vs Purdue the last 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Iowa St.	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	W	59-21
	15	Penn St.	(-7)	L	17-20
	22 at	Ohio St.	(+3)	L	26-45
	29	Illinois	(-4)	W	21-16
0.	6 at	Northwestern	(-15)	W	31-3
	13 at	Purdue	(-4)	W	40-3
	20	Michigan	(-8)	W	26-0
	27 at	Indiana	(-20)	L	24-20
N.	3	Wisconsin	(-13)	L	10-10
	10	Michigan St.	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	16-17
	17 at	Minnesota	(-19)	L	17-23
D.	1 at	Hawaii	(NL)		17-6

1904 1 01111 151 11571	DIECOIL	L)
	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2-1	4-2
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-1

1983 RESULTS

0.1				240	135.77
S.		Iowa St.	(-61/2)	W	51-10
	17 at	Penn St.	$(-2^{1/2})$	W	42-34
	24	Ohio St.	(+3)	W	20-14
0.	1 at	Illinois	(-41/2)	L	0-33
	8	Northwestern	(NL)		61-21
	15	Purdue	(-18)	L	31-14
	22 at	Michigan	(+61/2)	W	13-16
	29	Indiana	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	W	49-3
N.	5 at	Wisconsin	(-6)	W	34-14
	12 at	Michigan St.	(-17)	L	12-6
	19	Minnesota	(NL)		61-10
	24			-	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	4-2
Combined Spread Record	2-1	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	3-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-0

1982 RESULTS

L	7-42
L	7-19
) W	17-14
W	45-7
) W	24-20
L	7-29
W	21-16
W	14-13
L	7-16
) W	28-14
) W	24-18

Home Away Actual Record 3-2 4-2 Combined Spread Record 3-2 4-2 Favorite Spread Record 2-1 Underdog Spread Record

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at Nebraska	(+16)	W	10-7
	19 at Iowa St.	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	12-23
	26 UCLA	(+10)	W	20-7
0.	3 at Northwestern	(-20)	W	64-0
	10 Indiana	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	42-28
	17 at Michigan	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	9-7
	24 Minnesota	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-12
	31 at Illinois	(-4)	L	7-24
N.	7 Purdue	(-3)	W	33-7
	14 at Wisconsin	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-7
	21 Michgan St.	(-61/2)	W	36-7

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-1	4-2
Combined Spread Record	4-1	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at	Indiana	(+61/2)	W	16-7
	20 at	Nebraska	(+131/2)	L	0-57
	27	Iowa St.	(-4)	L	7-10
0.	4	Arizona	(-51/2)	L	3-5
	11	Illinois	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-20
	18	Northwestern	(-24)	L	25-3
	25 at	Minnesota	(-1)	L	6-24
N.	1	Wisconsin	$(-3^{1/2})$	W	22-13
	8 at	Purdue	(+15)	L	13-58
	15	Ohio St.	(+24)	L	7-41
	22 at	Michigan St.	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W	41-0

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	2-3
Combined Spread Record	1-5	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-4	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-2

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	Indiana	(+2)	L	26-30
	15 at	Oklahoma	(+29)	W	6-21
	22	Nebraska	(+17)	W	21-24
	29	Iowa St.	(+6)	W	30-14
0.	6 at	Illinois	(PK)	W	13-7
	13 at	Northwestern	(-15)	W	58-6
	20	Minnesota	(-21/2)	L	7-24
	27 at	Wisconsin	(-2)	W	24-13
N.	3	Purdue	(+51/2)	L	14-20
	10 at	Ohio St.	(+21)	L	7-34
	17	Michigan St.	(PK)	W	33-23

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	3-2
Combined Spread Record	3-3	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	1-1

IOWA STATE Cyclones



The Cyclones suffered without a running game last year, and that problem still plagues third-year Head Coach Jim Criner.

"Our running game is nowhere near it needs to be for us to be successful throwing the ball," he explained.

lowa State failed to win a Big Eight game last year, mostly because the running attack could not offer any meaningful support to a potentially strong aerial game. It could be a similar story in '85. The Cyclones have the Conference's total offense leader returning in quarterback Alex Espinoza (178.5 yards per game), but his effectiveness will be limited if the Cyclones are typecast as passers only. Four freshmen, a juco redshirt and a transfer offer the best hope for a running game. There's also work to be done at receiver where graduation claimed All-America Tracey Henderson. Still, ISU has Espinoza and a very solid line led by tackles Kevin Eggleston and Bruce Westerneyer.

lowa State's defense improved from 89th in 1983 to 17th nationally a year ago and that unit again figures to

be tough. Up front, look for ends Lester Williams and Jim Luebbers to make the most noise. Williams led all Big Eight defensive ends in tackles in '84 and Luebbers was second. Williams earned All-Big Eight honors (second-team), as well. The linebacking crew of Jeff Braswell, Dennis Gibson and Tim Iverson is another strength as Braswell earned secondteam All-Conference laurels last year. The secondary is spearheaded by second-team All-Big Eight safety Anthony Mayze and juco All-America Brian Reffner. ISU's specialty teams could, indeed, be special. Rick Frank hit 22-of-27 FG attempts while earning juco All-America status last year and had four over 50 yards. Reffner carried a 44.5-yard punting average along with his defensive backfield skills and Michael Posey led the Conference in kickoff returns with a 21.2vard average in 1984.

"Our strengths are returning key players in a solid defense, having players to build a strong kicking game and having good potential on offense," stated Criner.

	19	85	SCHEDULE	
S.	14		Utah St.	
	21		Vanderbilt	
	28		Iowa ·	
0.	5		Drake	
	12		Kansas	
	19		Colorado	
	* 26	at	Oklahoma	
N.	2		Missouri	

- 9

16

Oklahoma St. SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

at Nebraska

at Kansas St.

Actual Record	Home 18-17-1	Away 6-21-2
Combined Spread Record	8-15	15-12
Favorite Spread Record	4-6	2-5
Underdog Spread Record	4-9	13-7

Feist's Factors

- Cyclones are 4-14 vs the number against the "Big Two" (Oklahoma and Nebraska), since 1973.
- Iowa St. is 13-6 ATS as road dog vs rest of Big 8.
- ISU is 10-3 as a dog following games in which they surrender 30 or more points since '73.

1984 RESULTS

S.	Bat	Iowa	$(+21\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-59
	15	Drake	(NL)		21-17
	22 at	Texas A&M	(+151/2)	L	17-38
	29	W. Tex. St.	(NL)		14-0
0.	6 at	Kansas	(+6)	L	14-33
	13 at	Colorado	(+21/2)	W	21-23
	20	Oklahoma	(+27)	W	10-12
	27 at	Missouri	$(+24\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-14
N.	3	Nebraska	(+251/2)	L	0-44
	10	Kansas St.	$(-4^{1/2})$	L	7-7
	17 at	Okla. St.	(+26)	W	10-16

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-2-1	0-5-1
Combined Spread Record	1-2	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	3-3

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Iowa	(+61/2)	L	10-51
	17 at	Vanderbilt	(+11)	W	26-29
	24	Colorado St.	(NL)		21-17
0.	1	New Mexico St.	(NL)		17-24
	8	Kansas	(+6)	W	38-35
	15	Colorado	$(-2^{1/2})$	W	22-10
	22 at	Oklahoma	(+23)	L	11-49
	29	Missouri	(+15)	L	18-41
N.	5 at	Nebraska	(NL)		29-72
	12 at	Kansas St.	(+4)	W	49-27
	19	Okla St.	(+11)	L	7-30

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

1000 I OHATOLINE	O TENOOTE	
	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-4	1-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	2-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 a	t Tennessee	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-23
	18 a	t Iowa	(-1)	W	19-7
	25	Drake	(NL)		35-10
0.	2	Oklahoma	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-13
	9	Kent St.	(NL)		44-7
	16 a	t Missouri	(+31/2)	W	17-17
	23 a	t Colorado	(-71/2)	W	31-14
	30	Kansas St.	(-61/2)	L	3-9
N.	6 a	t Kansas	(-11)	L	17-24
	13	Nebraska	(+22)	L	10-48
	20 a	t Okla. St.	(+4)	L	13-49

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	2-3-1
Combined Spread Record	0-3	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	2-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	W. Texas St	(NL)		17-13
	19 n	a Iowa	(-31/2)	W	23-12
	26	Kent St	(NL)		28-19
0.	3 8	t Oklahoma	$(+15\frac{1}{2})$	W	7-7
	10 a	t San Diego St.	(NL)		31-52
	17	Missouri	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-13
	24	Colorado	(-19)	L	17-10
	31 a	t Kansas St.	(-19)	L	7-10
N.	7	Kansas	(-13)	L	11-24
	14 8	t Nebraska	(+19)	L	7-31
	21	Oklahoma St.	(-3)	L	7-27
	- 19.		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	2000	

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	0-3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-3	1-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	N.E. La.	(NL)		42-7
	20	San Jose St.	(NL)		27-6
	27 at	Iowa	(+4)	W	10-7
0.	4	Colorado St	(NL)		69-0
	11	Kansas St.	(-9)	W	31-7
	18 at	Kansas	(-10)	L	17-28
	25	Oklahoma	(+81/2)	L	7-42
N.	1 at	Colorado	(-14)	L	9-17
	8 at	Missouri	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	10-14
	15	Nebraska	(+23)	L	0-35
	22 at	Oklahoma St.	(+6)	W	32-21
	19	980 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	LY MAN
Actual Record	4-2	2-3
Combined Spread Record	1-2	342
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	3-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	15	Bowling Green	(NL)		38-10
1		Texas	(+17)	W	9-17
	29 at	Iowa	(-6)	L	14-30
0.	6	Pacific	(NL)	0	7-24
1.00	13 at	Kansas St.	(+11/2)	W	7-3
	20	Kansas	(-9)	L	7-24
	27 at	Oklahoma	(NL)		9-38
N.	3	Colorad	(-1)	W	24-10
	10	Missouri	(+6)	L	9-18
	17 at	Nebraska	(+27)	L	3-34
	24	Oklahoma St.	(+4)	W	10-13
	15	979 POINTSPI	READ	RECORI	D
				Home	Away
Ac	tual F	Record		2-4	1-4
Co	mbine	ed Spread Recor	rd -	2-2	2-2
1000		THE PARTY OF THE P	1.0	1000	

0-1

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

KANSAS **Jayhawks**



Inexperience will not be one of Kansas' problems this year, but excellence might. With 21-of-22 starters returning from last season the Jayhawks have to match performance to potential.

"We have much more experience than at this point last year," conceded Head Coach Mike Gottfried.

One who has met and exceded expectations is linebacker Willie Pless, the Big Eight's leading tackler for two straight years. Pless, an All-Big Eight pick, will anchor a defensive platoon that gave up 40 points or more on four occasions last year. Help should come in the form of fellow LB Darnell Williams. Williams was ineligible a year ago but earned honorable mention All-Conference honors in 1983. Another who has played well is Phil Forte, a second-team All-Big Eight selection in 1984. Forte operated at nose tackle much of last season but should see duty at end in '85. Forte will be surrounded by familiar faces, and the secondary returns intact, but experience is no guarantee for victory and the players must produce for the unit to improve.

The offense will again be directed by Mike Norseth, and if last season was any indication, he should be one of the Big Eight's better signal callers. Last year he completed 136of-239 passing attempts and threw a Conference-leading four TDs. His favorite receivers should be Richard Estell (28 receptions), Skip Peete (38), Sandy McGee (ineligible last year after catching 20 balls in '83), and tight end Sylvester Byrd. The ground game has a pair of capable runners in Lynn Williams (776 yards) and Robert Mimbs (484 yards), but the offensive line--where four-of-five starters return--will have to provide consistent blocking if the Jayhawk attack is to thrive. Kansas may feel the departure of second-team All-Big Eight placekicker Dodge Schwartzburg, but the punting duties seem secure with Tom Becker and Rob Dickerson sharing the load.

Kansas has improved, albeit slowly, from 4-6-1 in Gottfried's first season to 5-6 last year, and the Jayhawks could make it to .500 this year. But for that to happen, KU must

play up to its potential.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

A.	31	at	Hawaii
S.	14		Vanderbilt
	21		Indiana St.
1	28	at	Florida St.
O.	5		Eastern Illinois
	12	at	Iowa St.
	19		Kansas St.
1	26		Oklahoma St.
N.	2	at	Oklahoma
1	9		Colorado
	*16	at	Nebraska
	23		Missouri

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	17-18	9-17-5
Combined Spread Record	14-13	16-12
Favorite Spread Record	6-4	3-4
Underdog Spread Record	8-9	13-8

Austin's Edges

- The Jayhawks are 10-5 as an away underdog vs nonconference teams since 73.
- Kansas is 12-3 when the spread is between 7 and 91/2 points (plus or minus).
- Jayhawks are only 2-9 vs the points against Nebraska, since '73.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Wichita St.	(NL)		31-7
	15	Florida St.	(+15)	L	16-42
	22 at	Vanderbilt	(+10)	L	6-41
	29 at	N. Carolina	(+13)	W	17-23
0.	6	Iowa St	(-6)	W	33-14
	13 at	Kansas St.	(-1)	L	7-24
	20 at	Okla. St.	(+241/2)	L	10-47
	27	Oklahoma	(+22)	W	28-11
N.	3 at	Colorado	(PK)	W	28-27
	10	Nebraska	(+28)	L	7-41
	17 at	Missouri	(+171/2)	W	35-21
	15	984 POINTS	PREAD RE	CORI)
			TY.		America

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	2-4
Combined Spread Record	2-2	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-3

1983 RESULTS

8.	3	Northern III.	(NL)		34-37
	10 at	TCU	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	W	16-16
	17	Wichita St.	(NL)		57-6
	24 at	USC	(+21)	W	26-20
0.	8 at	Iowa St.	(-6)	L	35-38
	15	Kansas St.	(-5)	W	31-3
	22	Oklahoma St.	(+3)	L	10-27
	29 at	Oklahoma	(NL)		14-45
N.	5	Colorado	(-11)	L	23-34
	12 at	Nebraska	(NL)		13-67
	19	Missouri	(+16)	W	37-27
	10	DOINTED	DEAD DE	COPD	

Home Away

Actual Record	3-3	1-3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-1

1982 RESULTS

	Wichita St.	(NL)		10-13
18	TCU	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	W	30-19
25 a	t Kentucky	(-2)	L	13-13
2	Tulsa	(NL)		15-20
9 a	t Okla. St.	(+31/2)	W	24-24
16	Oklahoma	(+121/2)	L	14-38
23 a	t Kansas St.	(+5)	L	7-36
30	Nebraska	(+30)	L	0-52
6	Iowa St.	(+11)	W	24-17
13 a	t Colorado	(-2)	L	3-28
20 a	t Missouri	(+15)	W	10-16
	25 a 2 9 a 16 23 a 30 6 13 a	25 at Kentucky 2 Tulsa 9 at Okla. St. 16 Oklahoma 23 at Kansas St. 30 Nebraska	25 at Kentucky (-2) 2 Tulsa (NL) 9 at Okla. St. (+3½) 16 Oklahoma (+12½) 23 at Kansas St. (+5) 30 Nebraska (+30) 6 Iowa St. (+11) 13 at Colorado (-2)	25 at Kentucky (-2) L 2 Tulsa (NL) 9 at Okla. St. (+3½) W 16 Oklahoma (+12½) L 23 at Kansas St. (+5) L 30 Nebraska (+30) L 6 Iowa St. (+11) U 13 at Colorado (-2) L

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	0-3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 at	Tulsa	(NL)		15-11
	12	Oregon	(+1)	W	19-10
	26	Kentucky	$(-4\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-16
0.	3	Arkansas St.	(NL)		17-16
	10	Oklahoma St.	(-4)	L	7-20
	17 at	Oklahoma	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-45
	24	Kansas St.	(-12)	L	17-14
	31 at	Nebraska	(+29)	W	15-31
N.	7 at	Iowa	(+13)	W	24-11
	14	Colorado	(-19)	W	27-0
	21	Missouri	(+6)	W	19-11
	1	981 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-1	2-2
Combined Spread Record	4-2	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	2-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at Oregon	(+8)	W	7-7
	20 Pittsburgh	(+16)	W	3-18
	27 Louisville	(NL)		9-17
0.	4 at Syracuse	(+7)	W	23-8
	11 Nebraska	(+181/2)	L	0-54
	18 Iowa St.	(+10)	W	28-17
	25 at Oklahoma St.	(+3)	W	14-14
N.	1 at Kansas St.	(-11/2)	W	20-18
	8 Oklahoma	(+19)	W	19-21
	15 at Colorado	(-8)	W	42-3
	22 at Missouri	(+10)	L	6-31

1980 POINTSPREA	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	3-1-2
Combined Spread Record	3-1	5-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	3-1	3-1

S.	15 a	t Pittsburgh	(+19)	L	0-24
	22 a	t Michigan	(+26)	W	7-28
	29	N. Texas St.	(NL)		37-18
0.	6	Sracuse	(+61/2)	L	27-45
	13 a	t Nebraska	(NL)		0-42
	20 a	t Iowa St	(+9)	W	24-7
	27	Oklahoma St.	(+11/2)	L	17-30
N.	3	Kansas St.	(PK)	W	36-28
	101 a	t Oklahoma	(NL)		0-38
	17	Colorado	(-1)	L	17-31
	24	Missouri	(+10)	L	7-55
	1	979 POINTSP	READ RI	CORE)
			H	ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-4	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-3	2-1

KANSAS STATE Wildcats



After a trip to the Independence Bowl in 1982, Kansas State has won only three games in each of the past two seasons and it's still to be proven that the Cats have the talent to claw their way out of the rut.

"We have a good number of returning players with experience, analyzed Head Coach Jim Dickey.

The defense boasts 10 returning regulars, including first-team All-Big Eight pick Barton Hundley, the free safety. Hundley joins secondteam All-Conference cornerback Brad Lambert in what promises to be a fine secondary, but the linebacking corps, which lost top man Bob Daniels to commencement, looks shaky. The line, with regulars Grady Newton, Dwayne Castille, Les Miller and Curtis Hughes, could be one of K-State's best in years.

Offensively, Dickey is promising to open up the attack, especially via the air waves. He'll look to Randy Williams to put the plan in motion. Williams had two starts last year and showed both strength and finesse with his passing. He'll be pressed, however, by juco product Mark

McCray, who put up some impressive numbers at Coffeyville Community College the last two years. There are plenty of capable receivers--Gerald Alphin, Dick Warren and Larry Keys head the list--but the offensive line must replace a couple of starters, including second-team All-Big Eight tackle Damian Johnson. Tim Stone, Andy Harding and Chuck Sander are the incumbents who will be back. The running crew is deep, but is it talented enough? Todd Moody appears to be the front runner at tailback with Charles Crawford and Ray Wilson locked in a tight duel at fullback. All have had their moments but more consistency is needed. The kicking game, with freshman Danny Helmer pencilled in for the placement work and Matt Jacobs slated for the punting chores, also will be suspect.

The K-State non-league schedule, with Wichita State, Northern Iowa, North Texas State and TCU all coming to Manhattan, is about as easy as you can get, and that fact, coupled with the return of 17 starters, should all but guarantee at least modest improvement for the Wildcats.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Wichita St.
	14		Northern Iowa
	21		TCU
	28		N. Texas St.
0.	5		Oklahoma
	• 19	at	Kansas
	26	at	Missouri
N.	. 2		Nebraska
	9	at	Oklahoma St.
	16		Iowa St.
	23	at	Colorado

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 16-17-1	Away 4-27-1
Combined Spread Record	10-13	10-19-1
Favorite Spread Record	5-6	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	5-7	10-18-1

Feist's Factors

- Wildcats are 11-23 as an away dog against fellow Big Eight clubs since '73.
- Kansas State is just 3-9 vs the points againt powerful Nebraska, the past 12 seasons.
- Home team is 8-3 ATS in Kansas-Kansas St. rivalry since 1973.

1984 RESULTS

S.	9 at	Vanderbilt	(+7)	L	14-26
	15	Tenn. Tech	(NL)		28-12
	22 at	TCU	(+51/2)	L	10-35
	29 at	Oklahoma	(+28)	W	6-24
0.	6 at	S. Carolina	(+14)	L	17-49
	13	Kansas	(+1)	W	24-7
	20	Missouri	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-61
	27 at	Nebraska	(+33)	L	14-62
N.	3	Okla. St.	(+23)	L	6-34
	10 at	Iowa St.	(+41/2)	W	7-7
	17	Colorado	(-3)	W	38-6
	24 at	Vanderbilt			

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	0-5-1
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	2-4

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Long Beach	(NL)		20-28
	10 at	Kentucky	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	L	12-31
	17	TCU	(-21/2)	W	20-3
	24	Wyoming	(NL)		27-25
0.	1	Oklahoma	(+16)	L	10-29
	15 at	Kansas	(+5)	L	3-31
	22 at	Missouri	(+19)	L	0-38
	29	Nebraska	(NL)		25-51
N.	5 at	Oklahoma St.	$(+21\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-20
	12	Iowa St.	(-4)	L	27-49
	19 at	Colorado	(+1)	L	21-38

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-2	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-4

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Kentucky	$(-1^{1/2})$	W	23-9
	18	S. Dakota	(NL)		42-3
	25	Wichita St.	(NL)		31-7
0.	2 at	Arizona St.	(+15)	L	7-30
	9	Missouri	(+2)	W	7-7
	16 at	Nebraska	(+29)	P	13-42
	23	Kansas	(-5)	W	36-7
	30 at	Iowa St.	(+61/2)	W	9-3
N.	6 at	Oklahoma	(+15)	W	10-24
	13	Okla. St.	(-6)	L	16-24
	20	Colorado	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	W	33-10

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1-1	1-3
Combined Spread Record	4-1	2-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	South Dakota	(NL)		31-10
-	-	Washington	(+171/2)	W	3-20
	26	Drake	(NL)		17-18
0.	3 at	Tulsa	(NL)		21-35
	10 at	Missouri	(+20)	L	13-58
	17	Nebraska	$(+27\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-49
	24 at	Kansas	(+12)	W	14-17
	31	Iowa St.	(+16)	W	10-7
N.	7	Oklahoma	(+27)	W	21-28
	14 at	Oklahoma St.	(+81/2)	L	10-35
	21 at	Colorado	(+1)	L	21-24
	-			nann	

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Home	Away
2-3	0-6
2-1	2-3
0-0	0-0
2-1	2-3
	2-3 2-1 0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at	LSU	(+11)	L	0-21
	20	S. Dakota	(NL)		24-3
	27	Arkansas St.	(NL)		31-7
0.	4 at	Tulsa	(+1)	L	0-3
	11 at	Iowa St.	(+9)	L	7-31
	18 at	Oklahoma	(+28)	W	21-35
	25	Missouri	(+15)	W	3-13
N.	1	Kansas	(+1)	L	18-20
	8 at	Nebraska	(NL)		8-55
	15	Oklahoma St.	(+61/2)	L	0-10
	22	Colorado	(-13)	L	17-14

, 1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	0-5
Combined Spread Record	1-3	0-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	0-4

S.	15 at Auburn	(+14)	W	18-26
	22 Oregon St.	(-10)	L	22-16
	29 at Air Force	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	19-6
0.	6 Tulsa	(NL)		6-9
	13 Iowa St.	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-7
	20 Oklahoma	(+26)	L	6-38
	27 at Missouri	(+20)	W	19-3
N.	3 at Kansas	(PK)	L	28-36
	10 Nebraska	(+24)	W	12-21
	17 at Oklahoma St.	(+61/2)	L	15-42
	24 at Colorado	(+61/2)	L	6-21
	1979 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	2-4
Combined Spread Record	1-3	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	3-2

KENTUCKY Wildcats



Jerry Claiborne's Wildcats won nine games in 1984, including a 20-19 squeaker over Wisconsin in the Hall of Fame Bowl, but Kentucky will be hardpressed to do as well in 1985. Two big reasons are George Adams and Paul Calhoun. Adams has wrapped up a brilliant career in Lexington after leading the Cats in rushing for the past three campaigns and in receiving in '84, as well. The All-SEC pick ran for 1,085 yards and 13 TDs while catching 33 passes. Calhoun, meanwhile, earned his All-Conference laurels by leading the SEC in interceptions (seven, plus another in the bowl game) and punting for a Kentuckyrecord 44.4 yards per boot. Even without Adams, Kentucky's attack should be ground-oriented. The Wildcats have a veteran offensive line (four-offive starters are back) and a bunch of steady backs in Mark Higgs, Mark Logan and Chris Derry. Higgs set a UK record last year when he averaged 6.3 yards per carry, gaining 476 yards. Logan had 400 yards rushing while fullback Derry was used primarily for his blocking skills. With graduation having claimed starting tight end Oliv-

er White and regular flanker Joe Phillips, much of the Kentucky aerial game will be new. Cornell Burbage and Eric Pitts should be the main targets with Bill Ransdell pulling the triger. Ransdell set Kentucky passing marks with 148 completions in 286 attempts, knocking Babe Parilli (1951) out of the record books.

The loss of Calhoun, plus that of linebacker Cam Jacobs, a secondteam All-SEC selection, has left the Wildcat defense in need of a true leader. It could be end Brian Williams, who wound up as the second-leading tackler in 1984. Also back along the front line are Steve Mazza and Jon Dumbauld. Larry Smith, who was leading the Cats in tackles before an injury shortened his season, returns to spearhead the linebacking unit. Tony Mayes and Maurice Douglass should be the mainstays in the secondary. Jim Rider and Joe Worley return to dispute the placekicking duties, but Claiborne is in need of a quality punter.

The Cats will be something less than purr-fect this year, but an easy schedule should keep Kentucky in bowl contention, nevertheless.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14		Bowling Green
	* 21		Tulane
	28		Cincinnati
0.	5		Clemson
	12		Mississippi St.
	19	at	LSU
	26	at	Georgia
N.	2		E. Tenn. St.
	. 9	at	Vanderbilt
	16	at	Florida

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Tennessee

	Home	Away
Actual Record	16-20-2	9-19
Combined Spread Record	13-16	11-16-1
Favorite Spread Record	6-4	4-2
Underdog Spread Record	7-12	7-14-1

Austin's Edges

- Wildcats are 5-1 vs the number against Tulane since 1973.
- Kentucky is 15-25 vs the points outside the SEC Conference the past 12 years.
- The Cats are 9-3 vs the points against Vanderbilt.

1984 RESULTS

	Kent St.	(NL)	100	42-0
15 at	Indiana	(-6)	W	48-14
22 at	Tulane	(-17)	L	30-26
6	Rutgers	(-61/2)	W	27-14
13 at	Miss. St.	(-2)	W	17-13
20	LSU	(+31/2)	L	10-36
27	Georgia	(+51/2)	L	7-37
3	N. Texas St.	(NL)		31-7
10	Vanderbilt	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-18
17	Florida	(+101/2)	W	17-25
24 at	Tennessee	(+7)	W	17-12
	22 at 6 13 at 20 27 3 10 17 24 at	22 at Tulane 6 Rutgers 13 at Miss. St. 20 LSU 27 Georgia 3 N. Texas St. 10 Vanderbilt 17 Florida 24 at Tennessee	22 at Tulane (-17) 6 Rutgers (-6½) 13 at Miss. St. (-2) 20 LSU (+3½) 27 Georgia (+2½) 3 N. Texas St. (NL) 10 Vanderbilt (+1½) 17 Florida (+10½) 24 at Tennessee (+7)	22 at Tulane (-17) L 6 Rutgers (-6½) W 13 at Miss. St. (-2) W 20 LSU (+3½) L 27 Georgia (+5½) L 3 N. Texas St. (NL) 10 Vanderbilt (+1½) W 17 Florida (+10½) W

Home Actual Record 4-0 4-3 3-1 Combined Spread Record 3-2 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Cent. Michigan	(NL)		31-14
	10	Kansas St.	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-12
	17	Indiana	(-31/2)	W	24-13
	24	Tulane	(-2)	W	26-14
0.	8	Auburn	(+11)	L	21-49
	15 at	LSU	(+131/2)	W	21-13
	22 at	Georgia	(+161/2)	L	21-47
	29	Cincinnati	(NL)	7	13-13
N.	5 at	Vanderbilt	(+3)	W	17-8
	12 at	Florida	(+181/2)	W	7-24
	19	Tennessee	(+5)	L	0-10

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2-1	2-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	3-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 a	Kansas St.	(+11/2)	L	9-23
100	18	Oklahoma	(+13)	L	8-29
1	25	Kansas	(+2)	W	13-13
0.	2 a	Clemson	(+141/2)	L	6-24
1	9 at	Auburn	(+13)	L	3-18
100	16	La. St.	(+11)	L	10-34
	23	Georgia	(+211/2)	W	14-27
	30 a	Va. Tech	(+81/2)	L	3-29
N.	6	Vanderbilt	(+61/2)	L	10-23
	13	Florida	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-39
	20 at	Tennessee	(+17)	L	7-28
	11/19				

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-5-1	0-5
Combined Spread Record	2-4	0-5
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-4	0-5

1981 RESULTS

S.	6	N. Texas St	(NL)		28-6
	19	Alabama	(+13)	W	10-19
	26 at	Kansas	$(+4\frac{1}{2})$	L	16-21
0.	3	Clemson	(+31/2)	L	3-21
	10	S. Carolina	(-3)	L	14-28
	17 at	LSU	(+3)	L	10-24
	24 at	Georgia	(+21)	P	0-21
	31	Va. Tech	(+1)	L	3-29
N.	7 at	Vanderbilt	(+3)	W	17-10
	14 at	Florida	(+161/2)	L	12-33
	21	Tennessee	(34-31/2)	W	21-10

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

The second secon	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	1-3-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	1-3-1

1980 RESULTS

6	Utah St.	(NL)		17-10
13 at	Oklahoma	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-29
20	Indiana	(-21/2)	L	30-36
27	Bowling Green	(NL)		21-20
4 at	Alabama	(+22)	L	0-45
18	LSU	(-3)	L	10-17
25	Georgia	(+91/2)	L	0-27
1 at	Tulane	(+81/2)	W	22-24
8	Vanderbilt	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-10
15	Florida	(+1)	L	15-17
22 at	Tennessee	(+31/2)	L	14-45
	13 at 20 27 4 at 18 25 1 at 8 15	13 at Oklahoma 20 Indiana 27 Bowling Green 4 at Alabama 18 LSU 25 Georgia 1 at Tulane 8 Vanderbilt	13 at Oklahoma (+17½) 20 Indiana (-2½) 27 Bowling Green (NL) 4 at Alabama (+22) 18 LSU (-3) 25 Georgia (+9½) 1 at Tulane (+8½) 8 Vanderbilt (-16½) 15 Florida (+1)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-4	0-4
Combined Spread Record	1-4	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	1-3

1979 RESULTS

S.	15	Miami, Ohio	(NL)		14-15
	22 at	Indiana	(+7)	L	10-28
	29	Maryland	(+7)	W	14-7
0.	6 at	W. Virginia	(-7)	L	6-10
	13	Mississippi	(-2)	W	14-3
	20 at	LSU	(+15)	W	19-23
	27 at	Georgia	(+7)	L	6-20
N.	3	Bowling Green	(NL)		20-14
	10 at	Vanderbilt	(-14)	W	29-10
	17 at	Florida	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-3
	24	Tennessee	(-2)	L	17-20
	19	79 POINTSPI	Committee of the Commit	CORE	

Home Away 2-4 Combined Spread Record 2-1 3-3 Favorite Spread Record 1-1 2.1 Underdog Spread Record

LSU Tigers



Bill Arnsparger earned his niche in the pro coaching ranks with his defensive acumen, but it may again be his offense which grabs the headlines at LSU. The Bengal attack finished second in the Southeastern Conference in passing and third in scoring last year, due largely to the talents of quarterback Jeff Wickersham and running back Dalton Hilliard. Wickersham begins his senior season in Baton Rouge already entrenched as the Tigers' leading passer. Last year he threw for 2,165 yards and a dozen TDs on 57 percent accuracy. Hilliard, meanwhile, slashed his way for 1,268 yards (5.0 average) and caught 24 passes. He earned first-team All-SEC status and third-team All-America kudos. Also returning is fellow backfield mate Garry James, who ran for 462 yards and had 22 receptions. Wickersham has lost his favorite target with the graduation of split end Eric Martin, but tight end Mitch Andrews (24 receptions) is back, as is Rogie Magee (20 grabs) and exciting Glenn Holt (23.5 averagge). The real problem is the offensive line where only Curt Gore, the left quard, returns.

LSU was second in the conference in scoring defense a year ago, and, with seven starters returning, should again be a fomidable unit. Heart of the platoon is the linebacking corps headed by Michael Brooks and Shawn Burks. Brooks earned Sophomore All-America honors last season while Burks paced the Tigers in tackles for the second straight season. The front line will be spearheaded by second-team Sophomore All-America Karl Wilson, with help from George Henriquez and Tommy Clapp. Norman Jefferson and Kevin Guidry are returning starters at the corners with reserves Waldon Cager and Chris Carrier likely to move into starting berths at the safety positions. Ronnie Lewis is back to take care of the PAT and field goal chores, but a punter must be found to replace the graduated Clay Parker (40.7-yard average).

Arnsparger has some holes to fill--especially along the offensive line-but with Hilliard and Wickersham loading the attack and Brooks heading the defense, LSU again should be a contender for the SEC title.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	·14	at	North Carolina
100	21		Colorado St.
0.	5		Florida
199	12	at	Vanderbilt
1	19		Kentucky
N.	2	at	Ole Miss.
	9		Alabama
	16		Mississippi St.
	23	at	Notre Dame
	30	at	Tulane
D.	7		East Carolina

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	24-15-1	12-12-2
Combined Spread Record	20-18-1	11-15
Favorite Spread Record	16-14-1	5-10
Underdog Spread Record	4-4	6-5

Feist's Factors

- The Tigers are 6-10 as an away underdog in SEC competition (since '73).
- Bengals are 7-15 vs the points against major independents.
- LSU is 3-6 as a nonconference AF the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Florida	(+3)	W.	21-21
	15	Wichita St.	(NL)		47-7
	22	Arizona	(-91/2)	L	27:26
	29 at	USC	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	23-3
0.	13	Vanderbilt	(-7)	P	34-27
	20 at	Kentucky	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	36-10
	27	Notre Dame	(-10)	L	22-30
N.	3	Mississippi	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	L	32-29
	10 at	Alabama	(-3)	D	16-14
	17 at	Miss. St.	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-16
	24	Tulane	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	33-15

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away Actual Record 5-1 3-1-1 Combined Spread Record 1-3-1 3-2 Favorite Spread Record 1-3-1 2-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Florida St.	(-5)	L	35-40
	17 at	Rice	$(-22\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-10
	24	Washington	(-2)	W	40-14
0.	1	Florida	(-11/2)	L	17-31
	8 at	Tennessee	(-3)	L	6-20
	15	Kentucky	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-21
	22	South Carolina	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	20-6
	29 at	Mississippi	(-81/2)	L	24-27
N.	5	Alabama	(+7)	W	26-32
	12	Miss. St.	(-9)	L	26-45
	24 at	Tulane	(-3)	W	20-7

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-5	2-2
Combined Spread Record	3-4	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-4	1-3
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	18	Oregon St.	(-27)	W	45-7
	25	Rice	(-18)	W	52-13
0.	2 at	Florida	(+71/2)	W	24-13
	9	Tennessee	(-14)	L	24-24
	16 at	Kentucky	(-11)	W	34-10
	23	S. Carolina	(-17)	L.	14-6
	30	Ole Miss.	(-11)	W	45-8
N.	6 at	Alabama	(+8)	W	20-10
	13 at	Miss. St.	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-27
	20	Florida St.	(-1)	W	55-21
	27	Tulane	$(-24\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-31

1981 RESULTS

Underdog Spread Record

S.	5	Alabama	(+7)	L	7-24
	12 at	Notre Dame	(+14)	L	9-27
	19	Oregon St.	$(-19\frac{1}{2})$	L	27-24
	26	Rice	(-14)	P	28-14
0.	3	Florida	(+21/2)	L	10-24
	10 at	Auburn	(+21/2)	L	7-19
	17	Kentucky	$(-2^{1/2})$	W	24-10
	24	Florida St.	(+61/2)	L	14-38
	31	Miss. (Jcksn)	(-3)	L	27-27
N.	14	Mississippi St.	(+8)	W	9-17
	28 at	Tulane	(+1)	L	7-48

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-4	0-3-1
Combined Spread Record	3-4	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	1-3

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Florida St.	(PK)	L	0-16
	13	Kansas St.	(-12)	W	21-0
	20	Colorado	(-21)	L	23-20
	27 at	Rice	(-11)	L	7-17
0.	4 at	Florida	$(+6\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-7
	11	Auburn	(-1)	W	21-17
	18 at	Kentucky	(+3)	W	17-10
N.	1	Mississippi	(-61/2)	W	38-16
	8 at	Alabama	(+20)	L	7-28
	15 at	Miss. St.	(+4)	L	31-55
	22	Tulane	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-7

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	4-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	4-2	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-2

1979 RESULTS

S.	15 at Colors	ado (-3)	W	44-0
-	22 Rice	(-18)	W	47-3
	29 USC	(+11)	W	12-17
0.	6 Florid	(a (-9½)	W	20-3
1	13 at Georg	ia (-61/2)	L	14-21
	20 Kentu	cky (-15)	L	23-19
	27 Florid	la St. (PK)	L	19-24
N.	3 at Missis	ssippi (-7)	L	28-24
10000	10 Alaba	ma (+13½)	W	0-3
	17 Miss.	St. (-7)	W	21-3
	24 at Tulan	e (-1)	L	13-24

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	2-2
Combined Spread Record	5-2	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	1-3
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	0-0

LOUISVILLE Cardinals



He did it at Miami, but can he do it at Louisville? That's what everyone's wondering as Howard Schnellenberger begins his initial campaign with the Cardinals. Schenellenberger, who turned a 14-29 program (the four years previous to his arrival in Miami) into one that logged a 41-16 record (five years) and won a National Championship, certainly has undertaken a difficult assignment with the Cardinals, who were just 2-9 last year.

"There's no way of telling how long it will take us to become a nationally ranked football team," said the pipe-smoking coach, "however, I feel very strongly that we can improve this first year into a team that can give promise of better things ahead."

Schnellenberger, who had a top passer (Jim Kelly or Bernie Kosar) and a rugged defense (the Hurricanes were ranked third nationally in '83) during his Miami years, has neither at Louisiville. The QB reins probably will be handed over to last year's starter, Ed Rubbert, but he's got to cut down on his interceptions (28) while maintaining his TD output (18). The receivers seem to be there with Ernest Givens (33 receptions, 20.9 average), Bruce Armstrong (26 catches) and Preston Gray (18, five for TDs). However, the running game was pathetic last year, averaging 2.8 yards per carry, and the top returning back--Willie Shelby--got only 250 yards. Center Greg Pianko seems to be the top lineman but there will be added emphasis on pass-blocking this year and no positions are firmly secured.

Louisville's defense was little short of atrocious last year, giving up 260 points (32.7 average) in 11 games. Six starters are back on that platoon, but Schnellenberger is looking at a more team-oriented concept.

"The backbone of any defensive football team needs to be gangtackling, pursuit and all-out effort," said Schnellenberger.

Key performers on this year's defensive corps figure to be strong safety Don Cosby, along with line-backers Anthony Moss and Mike Minoque. Danny Cerione returns for the punting chores and may take over the placement work as well.

It looks like an impossible task. but the schedule is favorable.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7	at	W. Virginia
1	14	at	Indiana
	21		Western Ky.
16.	28		Houston
0.	5	at	Syracuse
	12		Southern Miss.
	19		Cincinnati
2	26	at	Miami
N.	2		Central Fla.
-	9	at	Virginia Tech
	23		Eastern Ky.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 17-18	Away 7-23
Combined Spread Record	0-4	6-5
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	6-5

Austin's Edges

- Louisville is only 2-6 ATS at home the past 12 years.
- Cards are 8-4 vs the number as away dogs of 24 or less since '73.
- Louisville is 1-3 as an away dog of 30 or more.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	Murray St.	(NL)		23-26
	8 at	W. Va.	(+25)	W	6-30
	15	SMU	$(+24\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-41
	29 at	Houston	$(+22\frac{1}{2})$	W	30-28
0.	6	West. Ky.	(NL)		45-17
	13	Indiana St.	(NL)		21-44
	20 at	Rutgers	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-38
	27 at	Cincinnati	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-40
N.	3	Miami	(+31)	W	23-38
	10	Tenn St.	(NL)		15-24
	17 at	S. Miss.	(+16)	W	25-34
	19	84 POINTS	PREAD RE	COR	D
			H	ome	Away
Ac	tual F	Record		1-5	1-4
Co	mbine	ed Spread Rec	ord ()-1	41

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 at SMU	(NL)		6-24
	10 West. Ky.	(NL)		41-22
	17 at Army	(NL)		31-7
	24 at Cincinnati	(NL)		31-23
0.	1 at Va. Tech	(NL)		0-31
	8 at Miami, Fla.	(NL)		14-42
	15 Pittsburgh	(NL)		10-55
	20 at Florida St.	(+30)	L	7-51
N.	5 S. Miss.	(NL)		3-27
	12 at Temple	(NL)		7-24
	24 Memphis St.	(NL)		7-45

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	0-5
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	West. Ky.	(NL)		20-10
	11 8	t Cincinnati	(NL)		16-38
	. 25	Okla. St.	(NL)		28-22
0.	2	Miami, Fla.	(NL)		6-28
	9	Temple	(NL)		14-55
	16	Richmond	(NL)		35-0
	23 8	at S. Miss.	(NL)		0-48
	30 a	at Pittsburgh	(+32)	L	14-63
N.	6	Indiana St.	(NL)		35-23
	13 a	at Florida St.	(+34)	L	14-49
	20 a	at Memphis St.	(+5)	W	38-19
		1982 POINTSP	READ	RECOR	D
		2220202000		Home	Away
Ac	tual	Record		4-2	1-4
		ned Spread Reco	ord	0-0	1-2
		ite Spread Reco		0-0	0-0
		rdog Spread Red		0-0	1-2

1981 RESULTS

0-0

0-0

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

S.	5 at Florida St.	(+19)	W	0-17
1	12 Toledo	(NL)		31-6
-	19 Long Bea. St.	(NL)		35-13
	26 at Missouri	(NL)		3-34
0.	3 Marshall	(NL)		36-0
1	10 at Memphis St.	(NL)		14-7
	17 Tenn. St.	(NL)		30-42
	24 at Okla. St.	(NL)		11-19
9	31 N.E. La.	(NL)		7-40
N.	14 at Cincinnati	(NL)		0-24
1	21 S. Miss.	(NL)		13-10

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	1-4
Combined Spread Record	0-0	1-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Miami, Fla.	(+6)	L	10-24
	13 at	Florida St.	(NL)		0-52
	20	Murray St.	(NL)		9-13
	27 at	Kansas	(NL)		17-9
0.	11	Memphis St.	(NL)		38-14
	18	Indiana St.	(NL)		27-17
	25 at	Florida	(NL)		0-13
N.	1	Temple	(NL)		12-17
	8 at	Pittsburg	(NL)		23-41
	15	Cincinnati	(NL)		20-0
	22 at	S. Miss.	(NL)		6-3

1000 POINTEDDE AD DECORD

	Home	Away
actual Record	3-3	2-3
Combined Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	0-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	Va. Tech	(-5)	L	14-15
	15 at	Miami, Fla.	(+10)	L	12-24
	22	Cincinnati	(NL)		22-19
	29 at	Drake	(NL)		31-21
0.	6	Florida St	(NL)		0-27
	13	Tulsa	(NL)		24-7
	20 at	Indiana St.	(NL)		34-10
N.	3	S. Miss.	(NL)		10-10
	10 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		6-10
	17 at	Maryland	(NL)		7-28
	25 at	Rutgers	(-3)	L	7-31

Home Away

Actual Record	2-3-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	0-2	0-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-1

MARYLAND **Terrapins**



The Terps enter the season with the nation's third longest winning streak (seven games), and while a schedule that starts out with Penn State, West Virginia, Boston College and Michigan isn't conducive to that continuing, Maryland, nevertheless, appears headed towards a banner year. Bobby Ross, who has guided the Terps to a 15-1 Atlantic Coast Conference mark and three bowl appearances in as many years, welcomes back 17 starters from the team that rallied from a 21-0 halftime deficit in the Sun Bowl to beat Tennessee, 28-27. (Comebacks are nothing new to the Terps, Maryland having staged the greatest turnaround in NCAA history when it recovered from a 31-0 halftime hole to beat Miami, 42-40).

Maryland's attack, which ranked sixth nationally a season ago in total offense, will be led by the running combination of Rick Badanjek, Alvin Blount and Tommy Neal. Badanjek, who rushed for 217 yards in the ACC Championship game against Virginia, was the Sun Bowl's Offensive MVP while Blount (759 yards) had his best day against Clemson (214 yards).

Neal contributed 618 yards and six TDs. Quarterback Frank Reich is gone, but there's little concern since Stan Gelbaugh showed he could run the club when Reich was injured last year. Against Penn State, Gelbaugh threw for 308 yards and had 1,123 for the season. Protection will come from a blue-ribbon front line that must replace only the center. The receiving corps will be paced by Eric Holder, Ferrell Edmunds and Sean Sullivan.

Maryland's defense appears equally as strong with nine starters returning. Ted Chapman, Bruce Mesner, Neal Sampson and Duane Dunham are the regulars up front (none are seniors) with Scott Schankweiler, Kevin Donas and Chuck Faucette the mainstays at linebacker. Brothers Keeta and Al Covington patrol the secondary.

The schedule is formidable-beside Penn State, West Virginia, BC and Michigan, the Terps also face Miami and travel to Clemson--but this should be Ross' best team and Maryland certainly looks like top 20 material again.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

	S.	7		Penn St.
		14	at	Boston College
		■ 21		W. Virginia
		28	at	Michigan
	0.	5	at	N. Carol. St.
ļ	1	19	at	Wake Forest
		26		Duke
	N.	2		North Carolina
	-	9		Miami
		16	at	Clemson
		29		Virginia

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	25-8-1	18-14
Combined Spread Record	17-13	21-11
Favorite Spread Record	14-10	10-5
Underdog Spread Record	3-3	11-6

Feist's Factors

- Terps are 21-7 as a conference home favorite since 1973.
 - The visitor is 7-1 vs the points in the Maryland-West Virginia series.
- Terrapins are 56-28 ATS vs teams they play this season, since 1973.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Syracuse	(-7)	L	7-23
	15	Vanderbilt	(-6)	L	14-23
	22 at	W. Virginia	(+10)	W	20-17
	29	Wake Forest	(-7)	W	38-17
0.	6 at	Penn St.	(+7)	W	24-25
	13	N. Carol. St.	(-8)	W	44-21
	27 at	Duke	$(-20\frac{1}{2})$	W	43-7
N.	3 at	N. Carolina	(-4)	W	34-23
	10 at	Miami, Fla.	(+71/2)	W	42-40
	17	Clemson	(-3)	W	41-23
	24 at	Virginia	(-4)	W	45-34
	19	984 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

Iome	Away
0.0	
3-2	5-1
3-2	6-0
3-2	3-0
0-0	3-0
	3-2 3-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at	Vanderbilt	(-4)	W	21-14
	17 at	W. Virginia	(+2)	L	21-31
	24	Pittsburgh	(+4)	W	13-7
0.	1	Virginia	(-11)	W	23-3
	8	Syracuse	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	L	34-13
	15 at	Wake Forest	(-17)	L	36-33
	22	Duke	$(-18\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-3
	29	North Carolina	(+31/2)	W	28-26
N.	5 at	Auburn	(+61/2)	L	23-35
	12 at	Clemson	(+11/2)	L	27-52
	19 at	N. Carol. St.	(-10)	W	29-6
			2-4 1 1 1 1 1 1	W.Z.L.L	

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	4-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	0-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 8	t Penn St.	(+15)	W	31-39
	18 a	t W. Virginia	(+71/2)	W	18-19
	25	N. Carol. St.	(-3)	W	23-6
0.	28	t Syracuse	(-91/2)	W	26-3
	9	Indiana St.	(NL)		38-0
	16	Wake Forest	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	52-31
	23	Duke	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	49-22
	30 a	t N. Carolina	(+71/2)	W	31-24
N.	6	Miami, Fla.	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	18-17
	13	Clemson	(-21/2)	L	22-24
	20 a	t Virginia	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-14

Home Actual Record 3-2 5-0 Combined Spread Record 3-2 Favorite Spread Record 3-2 Underdog Spread Record

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at	Vanderbilt	(-131/2)	D	17-23
-		W. Virginia	(-5)	L	13-17
		N. Carol. St.	(+4)	W	34-9
0.	3	Syracuse	(-7)	L	17-17
		Florida	(+9)	W	10-15
	17 at	Wake Forest	(-61/2)	W	45-33
112	24	Duke	(-8)	L	24-21
	31	North Carolina	(+6)	L	10-17
N.	7 at	Tulane	(-3)	L	7-14
	14 at	Clemson	(+141/2)	W	7-21
	21	Virginia	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	48-7
	11	OI DOINTEDI	DEAD DE	COPD	

2002 2 0211202 2201	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-2-1	2-4
Combined Spread Record	1-4	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	3-0

1980 RESULTS

Nanderbilt O at W. Virginia O at North Carolina	(-24) (-2)	W	31-6
	(-2)	w	44.44
			14-11
at North Caronna	(+4)	L	3-17
at Pittsburgh	(+11)	L	9-38
-	(+61/2)	L	10-24
Wake Forest	(-61/2)	L	11-10
at Duke	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-14
N. Carol. St.	(-6)	W	24-0
Clemson	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-7
at Virginia	(-7)	W	31-0
	8 Wake Forest 5 at Duke 1 N. Carol. St. 5 Clemson 2 at Virginia	1 Penn St. (+6½) 3 Wake Forest (-6½) 5 N. Carol. St. (-6) 5 Clemson (-7½) 2 at Virginia (-7)	1 Penn St. (+6½) L 3 Wake Forest (-6½) L 1 N. Carol. St. (-6) W 5 Clemson (-7½) W 2 at Virginia (-7) W

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	0-2

8	Villanova	(NL)		24-20
15 at	Clemson	(+31/2)	W	19-0
22	Miss. St.	(-6)	W	35-14
29 at	Kentucky	(-7)	L	7-14
6	Penn St.	(+21/2)	L	7-27
13 at	N. Carol. St.	(+41/2)	L	0-7
20 at	Wake Forest	(+3)	L	17-25
27 at	Duke	(-7)	W	27-0
3	North Carolina	(+3)	W	17-14
17	Louisville	(NL)		28-7
24	Virginia	(-7)	L	17-7
	15 at 22 29 at 6 13 at 20 at 27 at 3	15 at Clemson 22 Miss. St. 29 at Kentucky 6 Penn St. 13 at N. Carol. St. 20 at Wake Forest 27 at Duke 3 North Carolina 17 Louisville	15 at Clemson (+3½) 22 Miss. St. (-6) 29 at Kentucky (-7) 6 Penn St. (+2½) 13 at N. Carol. St. (+4½) 20 at Wake Forest (+3) 27 at Duke (-7) 3 North Carolina (+3) 17 Louisville (NL)	15 at Clemson (+3½) W 22 Miss. St. (-6) W 29 at Kentucky (-7) L 6 Penn St. (+2½) L 13 at N. Carol. St. (+4½) L 20 at Wake Forest (+3) L 27 at Duke (-7) W 3 North Carolina (+3) W 17 Louisville (NL)

1010 I VIII I DI ILIZZI	D TENOUTE	
	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-1	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-2

MEMPHIS ST. Tigers

Maj

The Tigers usually win with defense, and second-year Head Coach Rey Dempsey is out to preserve that tradition in 1985.

"We feel the defense has some depth in very position except the secondary," assessed Dempsey, whose stop troops ranked fourth nationally in pass coverage and 10th in total defense last year. "There are several experienced players that will provide leadership."

The secondary, which returns just one starter, free safety Trell Hooper, will be bolstered by a pair of juco players, plus last year's reserves. The leadership that Dempsey speaks of will come from linebackers Tim Harris and Eric Fairs, the former an All-America and the latter an All-South Independent honoree. Octavian Sharp is another starter returning at LB. The Tigers also appear to have depth and strength in the defensive line with Sophomore All-America Dennis Borcky, James Cribbs, Harold Beane and Jeff Ellis.

Offensively, the Tigers did not rank higher than 47th in any category, nationally, and improvement is

sought. Quarterback Danny Sparkman returns for his senior season, but Dempsey is looking for better numbers than last year's 46 percent completions and seven TDs against 10 interceptions. The receiving corps, at least the wideouts, is experienced with Edwin Lovelady (19 receptions, 18.2-yard average), Jerry Harris (13, 25.3) and Enis Jackson (13, 17.8) all returning. However, the tight end position must be restocked and there are holes at running back and along the offensive front. Juco tight end Pat Kinney probably will be an immediate starter while Jeff Womac and Jeff Atkins seek to ease the loss of leading rusher Punkin Williams (832 yards, 6.1 average, seven TDs). Two-year starters Jeff Walker and David East, as well as part-time regular David Schmidt anchor the line with youngsters expected to fill the other vacancies. Don Glosson, who earned All-America status by converting 15-of-16 field goal tries last year, also returns, along with punter Walt Stephens who averaged 42.6 yards per boot last season.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

A.	31	at	SW Louisiana
S.	7		Mississippi
	14		Murray St.
	21	at	Florida St.
0.	• 5	at	Mississippi St.
	12		Tulane
	19		Southern Miss.
	26		Alabama
N.	2	at	Virginia Tech
	9		Tennessee
	16	at	Army

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 12-20-2	Away 8-24
Combined Spread Record	6-6-1	10-9
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	3-4-1	10-8

Austin's Edges

- Memphis State is 6-14 ATS when favored by less than 10.
- Tigers are 6-3 ATS vs Ole Miss since '73.
- Home team is 7-3 vs the spread in Memphis-Miss. St. rivalry.

1984 RESULTS

Ankanese St (NI)

O.	1	Arkansas ot.	(1471)		11.2
	18 a	t Mississippi	(PK)	L	6-22
	22	Cincinnati	(-15)	W	47-7
	29 a	t S. Miss.	(+31/2)	W	23-13
0.	6	Florida St.	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-17
	13	S.W. La.	(NL)		20-7
	20	Miss. St.	(-61/2)	W	23-12
	27	N. Carolina	(-7)	L	27-30
N.	3 a	t Georgia	(+12)	W	3-13
	10 a	t Tennessee	(+11/2)	L	9-41
	17 a	t Tulane	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	L	9-14
	1	984 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

Actual Record Home 4-1-1 1-4 Combined Spread Record 3-1 2Favorite Spread Record 2-1 0-1 Underdog Spread Record 1-0 2-2

1983 RESULTS

3	Mississippi	(NL)		37-17
10 at	N. Carolina	(NL)		10-24
17	Va. Tech	(NL)		10-17
1 at	Alabama	(NL)		13-44
8	Tulane	(NL)		28-25
15	S. Miss.	(NL)		20-27
29 at	Vanderbilt	(NL)		24-7
5 at	Miss. St.	(NL)		30-13
12 at	Cincinnati	(NL)		43-10
19	Arkansas St.	(NL)		14-14
24 at	Louisville	(NL)	X	45-7
	10 at 17 1 at 8 15 29 at 5 at 12 at 19	10 at N. Carolina 17 Va. Tech 1 at Alabama 8 Tulane 15 S. Miss. 29 at Vanderbilt 5 at Miss. St. 12 at Cincinnati	10 at N. Carolina (NL) 17 Va. Tech (NL) 1 at Alabama (NL) 8 Tulane (NL) 15 S. Miss. (NL) 29 at Vanderbilt (NL) 5 at Miss. St. (NL) 12 at Cincinnati (NL) 19 Arkansas St. (NL)	10 at N. Carolina (NL) 17 Va. Tech (NL) 1 at Alabama (NL) 8 Tulane (NL) 15 S. Miss. (NL) 29 at Vanderbilt (NL) 5 at Miss. St. (NL) 12 at Cincinnati (NL) 19 Arkansas St. (NL)

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-2-1	4-2
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 at	Mississippi	(+81/2)	L	10-27
	1	Vanderbilt	(+3)	L	14-24
	18	Miss. St.	(+15)	L	17-41
	25	Ga. Tech	(+4)	P	20-24
0.	2 at	S. Miss.	(+14)	L	14-34
F7.	16	Cincinnati	(NL)		7-16
	23 at	Tulane	(+13)	W	10-17
	30 at	Georgia	(+28)	L	3-34
N.	6 at	Tennessee	(+16)	L	3-29
-	20	Louisville	(-5)	L	19-38
	27	Arkansas St.	(NL)		12-0
	19	982 POINTSP	READ RI	CORD	1

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	0-5
Combined Spread Record	0-3-1	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2-1	1-4

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 at Mississippi	(+25)	W	3-10
	12 at Florida St.	(+21)	W	5-10
	19 Mississippi	(+10)	W	3-7
	26 at Ga. Tech	(+9)	W	28-15
0.	3 at Va. Tech	(+8)	W	13-17
	10 Louisville	(NL)		7-14
	17 S. Miss.	(NL)		0-10
	24 Tennessee	(NL)		9-28
	31 at Vanderbilt	(NL)		0-26
N.	7 at Cincinnati	(NL)		7-38
	14 Tulane	(+2)	L	7-24
	1081 POINTS	PREAD B	ECORE	

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-5	1-5
Combined Spread Record	1-1	4-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	4-0

1980 RESULTS

8.	6	Miss. St.	(+6)	L	7-34
	13 at	Mississippi	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-61
	27 at	Ga. Tech	(+12)	W	8-17
0.	4 at	Kansas St.	(NL)		24-3
	11 at	Louisville	(NL)		14-38
	18	N. Tex. St.	(NL)		10-29
	25	Florida St.	(NL)		3-24
N.	1	Vanderbilt	(NL)		10-14
	8 at	Cincinnati	(NL)	A.	10-14
	15 at	Tulane	(+22)	W	16-21
	22	Wichita St.	(NL)		6-0

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	0-5
Combined Spread Record	0-1	21
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-1

S.	8 at	Miss. St.	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-13
	15	Mississippi	(NL)		34-38
	22 at	Wichita St.	(NL)		16-10
	29	Texas A&M	(+12)	W	7-17
0.	13	N.E. La.	(NL)		20-21
	20 at	S. Miss.	(NL)		0-22
	27	N. Tex. St.	(-4)	W	22-0
N.	3 at	Vanderbilt	(NL)		3-13
	10	Louisville	(NL)		10-6
	17 at	Florida St.	(+22)	L	17-66
	24	Cincinnati	(NL)		23-17

Home	Away
3-3	2-3
2-0	1-1
1-0	0-0
1-0	1-1
	3-3 2-0 1-0

MIAMI Hurricanes



The decision by All-America quarterback Bernie Kosar to forego his remaining eligibility at Miami in favor of the fame and fortune of an NFL career won't make Jimmy Johnson's second season in Coral Gables any easier, but the Hurricane head coach is still predicting good things for his offense.

"Although we lost guite a few players from last year's record-setting team. I believe we will have an outstanding offensive team," said Johnson.

Johnson, who was not appointed Miami head coach last year until June and thus had little time to institute many changes will make them this time around. The first will not be by choice as he installs Vinny Testaverde as the quarterback replacement for Kosar. Testaverde is a fine prospect, but defenses aren't likely to confuse him with the curly-locked All-America. Testaverde still has tight end Willie Smith (66 receptions in '84), as well as leading rushes Alonzo Highsmith, Melvin Bratton and Darryl Oliver, but the offensive line has lost fourof-five regulars and last season's out-

standing wideouts, Eddie Brown and Stanley Shakespeare, also have graduated.

Defensively, the picture is brighter with eight starters returning. Johnson, who was known for his defensive prowess while at Oklahoma State, wants this year's crew to be more aggressive. Leadership--as well as excellence--should come from honorable mention All-Americas Kevin Fagan at tackle and Bruce Fleming at linebacker. The secondary, which was often picked apart last year, will rally around Darrell Fullington, Tolbert Bain, Benny Blades and Selwyn

"At times last year our defense played well and at times we didn't get the job done at all," said Johnson.

Miami's kicking game appears more than adequate but the Hurricanes again face a schedule of toughies like Oklahoma, Florida, Notre Dame and Florida State. Johnson figures to improve the Miami defense--perhaps dramatically--but the offense will be far less potent than it was a season ago and the Canes will be in for some tough struggles.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	. 7		Florida
0.00	14	at	Rice
	28	at	Boston College
0.	5	at	East Carolina
	12		Cincinnati
	* 19	at	Oklahoma
.0	26		Louisville
N.	2	at	Florida St.
1	9	at	Maryland

23

• 30

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Colorado St.

Notre Dame

A A COLD COLD	Home	Away
Actual Record	26-5	21-15
Combined Spread Record	13-9-1	14-15-1
Favorite Spread Record	11-9-1	5-7
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	9-8-1

Feist's Factors

- The Hurricanes are 9-3 in opening week board games vs the spread since
- The favorite is 9-2 vs the spread in Miami-Notre Dame matchups since '73.
- Miami is 8-2 ATS vs Big 8 teams the past 12 seasons.

1984 RESULTS

27	Auburn (Mdl.)	(+51/2)	W	20-18
1	Florida (Tam.)	(-6)	W	32-20
8 at	Michigan	(+11/2)	L	14-22
15 at	Purdue	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-17
22	Florida St.	(-2)	L	3-38
29	Rice	(-30)	W	38-3
6 at	Notre Dame	(PK)	W	31-13
13 at	Cincinnati	(-25)	L	49-25
20	Pittsburgh	(-15)	W	27-7
3 at	Louisville	(-31)	L	38-23
10	Maryland	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	40-42
24	Boston Coll.	(-6)	L	45-47
	1 8 at 15 at 22 29 6 at 13 at 20 3 at 10	1 Florida (Tam.) 8 at Michigan 15 at Purdue 22 Florida St. 29 Rice 6 at Notre Dame 13 at Cincinnati 20 Pittsburgh 3 at Louisville 10 Maryland	1 Florida (Tam.) (-6) 8 at Michigan (+1½) 15 at Purdue (-12½) 22 Florida St. (-2) 29 Rice (-30) 6 at Notre Dame (PK) 13 at Cincinnati (-25) 20 Pittsburgh (-15) 3 at Louisville (-31) 10 Maryland (-7½)	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	6-1
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-4
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	2-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 at	Florida	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-28
	10 at	Houston	(+41/2)	W	29-7
	17	Purdue	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-0
	24	Notre Dame	(PK)	W	20-0
0.	1 at	Duke	(-13)	W	56-17
	8	Louisville	(NL)		42-14
	15 at	Miss. St.	(-13)	W	31-7
	22 at	Cincinnati	(NL)		17-7
	29	W. Virginia	(-7)	W	20-3
N.	5	East Carolina	(NL)		12-7
	12 at	Florida St.	(-3)	L	17-16

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	5-1
Combined Spread Record	3-0	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-0	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 at	Florida	(+31/2)	W	14-17
	11	Houston	(-31/2)	W	31-12
	18 at	Va. Tech	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-8
	25	Mich. St.	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	25-22
0.	2 at	Louisville	(NL)		28-6
	9 at	Notre Dame	(+7)	W	14-16
	16	Miss. St.	(-6)	W	31-14
	30	Florida St.	(-1)	L	7-24
N.	6 at	Maryland	(+7)	W	17-18
	20	N. Carol. St.	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	41-3
	27	Cincinnati	(NL)		19-13

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	3-0

1981 RESULTS

	Edward .	2000	-	200
5	Florida	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-20
19	Houston	(-5)	P	12-7
26 at	Texas	(+7)	P	7-14
3	Vanderbilt	(-18)	W	48-16
17 at	Miss. St.	(-1)	L	10-14
24 at	East Carolina	(NL)		31-16
31	Penn St.	(+8)	W	17-14
7 at	Florida St.	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-19
14	Va. Tech	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-14
21 at	N. Carol. St.	(-7)	W	14-6
28	Notre Dame	(-21/2)	W	37-15
	26 at 3 17 at 24 at 31 7 at 14 21 at	19 Houston 26 at Texas 3 Vanderbilt 17 at Miss. St. 24 at East Carolina 31 Penn St. 7 at Florida St. 14 Va. Tech 21 at N. Carol. St.	19 Houston (-5) 26 at Texas (+7) 3 Vanderbilt (-18) 17 at Miss. St. (-1) 24 at East Carolina (NL) 31 Penn St. (+8) 7 at Florida St. (+2½) 14 Va. Tech (-12½) 21 at N. Carol. St. (-7)	19 Houston (-5) P 26 at Texas (+7) P 3 Vanderbilt (-18) W 17 at Miss. St. (-1) L 24 at East Carolina (NL) 31 Penn St. (+8) W 7 at Florida St. (+2½) W 14 Va. Tech (-12½) L 21 at N. Carol. St. (-7) W

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Actual Record	6-0	3-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2-1	2-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-0-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Louisville	(NL)		24-10
	13	Florida A&M	(NL)		49-0
	20 at	Houston	(+7)	W	14-7
	27	Florida St.	(+5)	W	10-9
0.	11 at	Notre Dame	(+41/2)	L	14-32
	18	Miss. St.	(-6)	L	31-34
N.	1 at	Penn St.	(+11)	L	12-27
	8	East Carolina	(NL)		23-10
	15 at	Vanderbilt	(-16)	L	24-17
	22	N. Texas St.	(NL)		26-8
	29 at	Florida	(+31/2)	W	31-7

1980 POINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-1	4-2
Combined Spread Record	1-1	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-2

S.	15	Louisville	(NL)		24-12
	22 at	Florida St.	(+10)	L	23-40
	29	La. Tech	(NL)		6-0
0.	6 at	Florida A&M	(NL)		13-16
	13 at	San Diego St.	(NL)		20-31
	20	Boston Coll.	(-12)	L	19-8
	27 at	Syracuse O.P.	(+4)	L	15-25
N.	3 at	Penn St.	(+19)	W	26-10
	17 at	Alabama	(+24)	L	0-30
	24	N.D. (Tokyo)	(+91/2)	L	15-40
D.	1	Florida	(-5)	W	30-24
	15	79 POINTSP	READ REC	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-0	1-6
Combined Spread Record	1-1	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-4

MICHIGAN Wolverines



How astutely Bo Schembechler deals with his quarterback problem will go a long way in determining whether the Wolverines can bounce back from last year's disappointing 6-6 slate, in 1985. Schembechler has three options: Go with Jim Harbaugh, the starter until he suffered a broken arm in game No. 5 against Michigan State. Try Chris Zurbrugg, who led the Wolves with six touchdowns passes and started against BYU in the Holiday Bowl. Or, look to Russell Rein, who defeated Northwestern in his first start but broke his thumb before the Purdue game. Bo watchers make Harbaugh the favorite. No matter who gets the QB job, there are going to be some new starting receivers. Gone are tight end Sim Nelson (40 receptions) and wideout Vince Bean (29), thrusting Paul Jokisch (10 receptions), Triando Markray (nine) and Eric Kattus (four) into more prominent roles. Bob Perryman (5.1 average) and Gerald White (346 yards) give depth and power to the fullback spot while Jamie Morris (573 yards) leads the pack at tailback. They'll be operating behind an offensive line that will lean towards starting strong tackle Clay Miller, a second-team Academic All-America, and regular strongside guard Bob Tabachino, a fifth-year senior.

Defensively, Michigan must shore up its line and find replacements at the outside linebacker posts. The inside back spots are in good hands with Mike Mallory, Michigan's leading tackler, and fifth-year senior Jeff Akers both returning. Another source of strength is the secondary with Brad Cochran leading a veteran crew which also includes Tony Gant, Ivan Hicks and Garland Rivers. Leading scorer Bob Bergeron (21-22 PAT, 13-16 FG) has used up his eligibility, leaving that spot open for Pat Moons.

There are few soft spots on the Michigan schedule--Notre Dame, South Carolina and Maryland comprise the non-league slate--and the Wolverines will have little room for experimentation as far as that goes. In fact, should things not work out well, Michigan could have as bad a year in 1985 as it did in 1984.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14		Notre Dame
	21	at	South Carolina
	28		Maryland
0.	5		Wisconsin
	12	at	Michigan St.
	#19	at	Iowa
	26		Indiana
N.	2	at	Illinois
177	9		Purdue
	16	at	Minnesota

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Ohio St.

45	Home	Away
Actual Record	30-8	18-10
Combined Spread Record	18-16	15-12
Favorite Spread Record	16-15	12-10
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	3-2

Austin's Edges

- The Wolverines are 48-32 as a Big 10 favorite since 1973.
- Michigan is 8-4 as an underdog the last 12 seasons.
- The home team is 1-6 vs the points in the Michigan-Iowa series.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Miami, Fla.	$(-1^{1/2})$	W	22-14
	15	Washington	$(-5^{1/2})$	L	11-20
	22	Wisconsin	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-14
	29 a	t Indiana	(-21)	D	14-6
0.	6	Michigan St.	(-13)	L	7-19
	13	Northwestern	(-23)	W	31-0
	20 a	t Iowa	(+8)	L	0-26
	27	Illinois	(+3)	W	26-18
N.	3 a	t Purdue	(-1)	L	29-31
	10	Minnesota	(-23)	W	31-7
	17 a	t Ohio St.	(+81/2)	L	6-21

10011 0111101 11211	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	1-3
Combined Spread Record	4-3	0-4
Favorite Spread Record	3-3	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Wash. St.	(-15)	L	20-17
	17 at	Washington	(+4)	W	24-25
	24 at	Wisconsin	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-21
0.	1	Indiana	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	W	43-18
	8 at	Michigan St.	(-13)	W	42-0
	15	Northwestern	(-40)	L	35-0
	22	Iowa	(-61/2)	L	16-13
	29 at	Illinois	(-2)	L	6-16
N.	5	Purdue	(-18)	W	42-10
	12 at	Minnesota	(NL)		58-10
	19	Ohio St.	(-2)	W	24-21

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home A

	TIOITIC	TH TY CLY
Actual Record	6-0	3-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Wisconsin	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-9
	18 at	Notre Dame	(-1)	L	17-23
	25	UCLA	(+2)	L	27-31
0.	2	Indiana	(-17)	L	24-10
0.0	9	Mich. St.	(-81/2)	W	31-17
1	16 at	Iowa	(-7)	W	29-7
	23 at	Northwestern	(-30)	W	49-14
	30	Minnesota	(-21)	W	52-14
N.	6 at	Illinois	(-71/2)	L	16-10
1	13	Purdue	(-21)	W	52-21
	20 at	Ohio St.	(-2)	L	14-24

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	2-3
Underdog Spread Record.	0-1	0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at	Wisconsin	(-19)	L	14-21
	19	Notre Dame	(-2)	W	25-7
	26	Navy	$(-19^{1/2})$	L	21-16
0.	3 at	Indiana	(-16)	W	38-17
	10 at	Michigan St.	$(-18^{1/2})$	L	38-20
	17	Iowa	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-9
	24	Northwestern	(NL)		38-0
	31 at	Minnesota	$(-10^{1/2})$	W	34-13
N.	7	Illinois	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	70-21
	14 at	Purdue	(-11)	W	28-10
	21	Ohio St.	(-8)	L.	9-14

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	nome	Away
Actual Record	4-2	4-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	3-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Northwestern	(NL)		17-10
	20 at	Notre Dame	(+31/2)	W	27-29
	27	South Carolina	(-61/2)	L.	14-17
0.	4	California	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-13
	11	Michigan St.	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	L	27-23
	18 at	Minnesota	(-11)	W	37-14
	25	Illinois	(-19)	W	45-14
N.	1 at	Indiana	(-61/2)	W	35-0
	8 at	Wisconsin	(-19)	W	24-0
	15	Purdue	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	W	26-0
	22 at	Ohio St.	(+4)	W	9-3

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	4-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	5-0
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	8.	Northwestern	(NL)		49-7
	15	Notre Dame	(-7)	L	10-12
	22	Kansas	(-26)	L	28-7
	29 at	California	(-91/2)	L	14-10
0.	6 at	Michigan St.	(-3)	W	21-7
	13	Minnesota	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	L	31-21
	20 at	Illinois	(-16)	W	27-7
	27	Indiana	(-21)	L	27-21
N.	- 3	Wisconsin	(-25)	W	54-0
	10 at	Purdue	(-61/2)	L	21-24
	17	Ohio St.	(+5)	W	15-18

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-4	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-4	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

MICHIGAN STATE Spartans



The Spartans fashioned a 6-5 regular season record last year--their first winning mark since 1978+and Head Coach George Perles figures he's got his club headed in the right direction.

"I think we're on the right track." he assessed. "We're into our junior year, our third year, where our goal is to get to our fifth year, our redshirt year. Things are falling into place very nicely. I think we're on schedule if not a little bit ahead of schedule, but still in the building program."

Perles' offense will be built around junior quarterback Dave Yarema, who has started for two seasons. Yarema threw for 1,322 yards and 10 touchdowns last fall with a 54.8 percent completion rate. Yarema's primary targets will be flanker Mark Ingram (22 receptions, 22.7-vard average). along with the tight end tandem of Veno Belk (20 catches) and Butch Rolle (18 grabs). Frosh redshirt Willie Bouyer will take over at split end. The Spartans also return their top ground gainer in tailback Lorenzo White (616 yards), with Bobby Morse slated for

duty at fullback. The offensive line boasts returning starters in guards John Wojciechowski and Jeff Stump, plus tackles Doug Rogers and Steve Bogdalek. Pat Shurmer will fill the void at center. Only three of Michigan State's 11 offensive starters will be seniors.

The Spartan defense will be more experienced. Two-time firstteam All-Big Ten safety Phil Parker will be the glue with help from secondteam All-Conference end Kelly Quinn and Honorable Mention All-Big Ten linebacker Anthony Bell, Jim Rinella, David Wolf and John Jones will complete the front four with Shane Bullough and Tim Moore joining Bell at linebacker. Parker's mates wil be Keith Fisher, Paul Bobbitt and Todd Krumm. Ralf Mojsiejenko, who averaged 44.7 yards per punt and also led the team in scoring with his placement work, will be difficult to replace. Greg Montgomery will get first crack at the punting chores with Chris Caudell the likely placekicker.

Michigan State should continue its improvement.

DATA

1985 SCHEDIILE

S.	14		Arizona St.
	21	at	Notre Dame
	28		Western Mich.
0.	5	at	Iowa
1	12		Michigan
	ø 19		Illinois
	26	at	Purdue
N.	. 2		Minnesota
	9	at	Indiana
	16		Northwestern

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Wisconsin

	Home	Away
Actual Record	13-21	11-20-1
Combined Spread Record	12-19-1	19-13
Favorite Spread Record	7-11	4-5
Underdog Spread Record	5-8-1	15-8

Feist's Factors

- The home team is 2-9 vs the points in the MSU-Illinois rivalry.
- The Spartans are 5-11 as an in conference home underdog (since '73).
- Michigan St. is 9-1 vs the points against Minnesota the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Colorado	(-6)	L	24-21
	15	Notre Dame	(+7)	W	20-24
	22 at	Illinois	$(+6\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-40
	29	Purdue	(-11/2)	L	10-13
0.	6 at	Michigan	(+13)	W	19-7
	13	Indiana	(-14)	L	13-6
	20	Ohio St.	(+9)	W	20-23
	27 at	Minnesota	(-51/2)	W	20-13
N.	3	Northwestern	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-10
	10 at	Iowa	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-16
	17	Wisconsin	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-20

Home Away Actual Record Combined Spread Record 3-3 3-2 1-2 1-1 Favorite Spread Record

2-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Colorado	(-11)	L	23-17
	17 at	Notre Dame	(+18)	W	28-23
	24	Illinois	(-11_2)	L	10-20
0.	I at	Purdue	(+5)	W	29-29
	8	Michigan	(+13)	L	0-42
	15 at	Indiana	(-1)	L	12-24
	22 at	Ohio St.	$(+23\frac{1}{2})$	W	11-21
	29	Minnesota	(-16)	W	34-10
N.	5 at	Northwestern	(-15)	L	9-3
	12	Iowa	(+17)	W	6-12
	19 at	Wisconsin	(+9)	L	0-32

	Home	Awa
Actual Record	2-3	2-3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	3-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 at	Illinois	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$		W	16-23
	18	Ohio St.	(+61/2)		L	10-34
	25 at	Miami, Fla.	(+71/2)	140	W	22-25
0.	2	Notre Dame	(+8)		P	3-11
1	9 at	Michigan	(+81/2)		L	17-31
	16 at	Wisconsin	(+31/2)		W	23-24
	23	Purdue	(-41/2)		L	21-24
	30 at	Indiana	(+11/2)		W	22-14
N.	6	Northwestern	(-23)		L	24-28
1	13 at	Minnesota	(-1)		W	26-7
	20	Iowa	(+21/2)	1	L	18-24
	15	982 POINTSP	READ I	RE	CORD)

		Home	Away
ı	Actual Record	0-5	2-4
ı	Combined Spread Record	0-4-1	5-1
ı	Favorite Spread Record	0-2	1-0
ı	Underdog Spread Record	0-2-1	4-1

1981 RESULTS

Underdog Spread Record

S.	12	Illinois	(-31/2)	L	17-27
	19 at	Ohio St.	$(+19\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-27
	26	Bowling Green	(NL)		10-7
0.	3 at	Notre Dame	(+20)	W	7-20
	10	Michigan	$(+18\frac{1}{2})$	W	20-38
	17	Wisconsin	(+6)	W	33-14
	24 at	Purdue	(+12)	W	26-27
	31	Indiana	(-51/2)	W	26-3
N.	7 at	Northwestern	(-25)	W	61-14
	14	Minnesota	(-5)	W	43-36
	21 at	Iowa	(+6)	L	7-36

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	1-4
Combined Spread Record	4-1	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	3-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at	Illinois	(+2)	L	17-20
	20 at	Oregon	(+6)	L	7-35
	27	W. Michigan	(NL)		33-7
O.	4	Notre Dame	$(+11\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-26
	11 at	Michigan	$(+16\frac{1}{2})$	W	23-27
	18	Wisconsin	(-61/2)	L	7-17
	25 at	Purdue	(+13)	W	25-36
N.	1	Ohio St.	(+16)	L	16-48
	8	Northwestern	(-21)	W	42-10
	15 at	Minnesota	(+5)	W	30-12
	22	Iowa	(-71/2)	L	0-41

1980 PUINTSFREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	3-2

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	Illinois	(-25)	L	33-16
	15	Oregon	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	41-7
	22	Miami, Ohio	(-15)	L	24-21
	29 at	Notre Dame	(+31/2)	L	3-27
0.	6	Michigan	(+3)	L	7-21
	13 at	Wisconsin	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	29-38
	20	Purdue	(+2)	L	7-14
	27 at	Ohio St.	(+16)	L	0-42
N.	3 at	Northwestern	(-18)	W	42-7
	10	Minnesota	(-6)	W	31-17
	17 at	Iowa	(PK)	L	23-33

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-4	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	0-2

MINNESOTA Gophers



S.

0.

N.

14

21

28

5

12

19

2

· 26

. 9

16

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

Lou Holtz is known for his oneliners, but he was dead serious when asked to discuss his goals for this year's Gopher outfit.

"Our primary aim is to improve fundamentally," said Holtz, who logged a 4-7 record last year, the best mark in Minneapolis since 1981. "We also want to look at new things . . . new trends in football, different offenses and new defenses."

Minnesota's offense was runoriented last year and figures to be the same in '85. Sophomore quarterback Rickey Foggie again will be at the throttle after rushing for a teamleading 647 yards (4.5 average) last season as a freshman. Foggie also threw for 1,036 yards and 10 TDs on 47 percent accuracy. Obviously, he should improve with experience. Fogie should get ground support from backs like David Puk (334 yards), Kevin Wilson (259) and Valdez Baylor (242), but the offensive line must replace three starters for the rushing attack to really click. Dan Rechtin and Jon Lilleberg are the holdovers up front. Leading pass-catcher Dwayne McMullen (26 receptions, 22.8 average) is gone, and will be missed, but the Gophers have a good wideout in Melvin Anderson (17 receptions) and a decent tight end in starter Kevin Starks (14 grabs).

Minnesota's defense improved dramatically, giving up 40 touchdowns as opposed to 68 in 1983. Still, Holtz wants a better pass rush and more consistent play in the secondary from the Gophers. The rush should come from returning ends Mark Dusbabek and Bruce Holmes, along with nosequard Doug Mueller. Pat Hart is pencilled in at one tackle position. Peter Najarian has led Minnesota in tackles the last two years and is back for his final fling at linebacker. Larry Joyner may wind up his partner. The Minnesota secondary picked off only four passes last year and only one starter is back from that unit so this is an area of great concern. Donovan Small is the lone returning starter. Adam Kelly will get Minnesota out of many tough jams with his punting. Last year he averaged 46.2 yards per boot and had

Seven home dates will help Minnesota's slow progress.

none blocked.

23 at Iowa SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW Home Away Actual Record 16-19 Combined Spread Record 14-16-1 10-19

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

Wichita St.

Montana

Purdue

Indiana

Ohio St.

Oklahoma

Northwestern

Michigan St.

7-10-1

7-6

6-14

Wisconsin

Michigan

Austin's Edges

- Gophers are 11-24 as an away underdog, since 1973.
- Minnesota is 2-10 vs the points against Wisconsin the past 12 years.
- The home team has covered all 11 spread decisions in the Minnesota-Ohio State rivalry.

		1984 RI	ESUL	TS	
S.	8	Rice	(-31/2)	w	31-24
	15 8	t Nebraska	(+37)	W	7-38
	22 s	t Purdue	(+14)	L	10-34
	29	Ohio St.	(+27)	W	22-35
0.	6	Indiana	(-11/2)	W	33-24
	13 8	t Wisconsin	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-14
	20	Northwestern	(-91/2)	L	28-31
	27	Michigan St.	(+51/2)	L	13-20
N.	3 8	t Illinois	(+23)	L	3-48
	10 a	t Michigan	(+23)	L	7-31
	17	Iowa	(+19)	W	23-17
	1	984 POINTSP	READ R	ECOR	D
			F	Iome	Away
Ac	tual	Record		3-3	1-4
Co	mbir	ed Spread Reco	rd	4-2	2-3
F	avor	ite Spread Recor	rd	2-1	0-0
		dog Spread Rec		2-1	2-3

S.	10 at	Rice	(-3)	W	21-17
-	000	Nebraska	(+33)	L	13-84
	200	Purdue	(+11/2)	L	20-32
0.	1 at	Ohio St.	(+33)	L	18-69
	8 at	Indiana	(+9)	W	31-38
	15	Wisconsin	(+15)	L	17-56
	22 at	Northwestern	(-2)	L	8-19
	29 at	Michigan St.	(+16)	L	10-34
N.	5	Illinois	(+27)	P	23-30
	12	Michigan	(NL)		10-58
	19 at	Iowa	(NL)		10-61
	19	83 POINTSP	READ RI	ECOR	D
			H	ome	Away
Ac	tual F	Record		0-5	1-5
Co	mbine	ed Spread Reco	rd	1-3	2-3
F	avorit	e Spread Recor	rd	0-0	1-1
U	nderd	log Spread Rec	ord	1-3	1-2

ESU	LTS		1982 RES	ULTS	
(-3) (+33) (+1½) (+33) (+9) (+15) (-2) (+16) (+27) (NL)	W L L W L L L	21-17 13-84 20-32 18-69 31-38 17-56 8-19 10-34 23-30 10-58	18 at Purdue (-1 25 Wash. St. (-1 0. 2 Illinois (-5 9 at Northwestern (-3 16 Indiana (-9 23 Iowa (-1 30 at Michigan (+2 N. 6 at Ohio St. (+2) W 2) W 0) L 0) L 1) L 1) L 4½) L	57-3 36-10 41-11 24-42 21-31 21-40 16-21 14-52 10-35 7-26
(NL)		10-61	20 at Wisconsin (+1	1) L	0-24
READ	RECOR	D	1982 POINTSPREA	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away		Home	Away
	0-5	1-5	Actual Record	2-4	1-4
rd	1-3	2-3	Combined Spread Record 1-4		1-4
rd	0-0	1-1	Favorite Spread Record	1-4	1-1
ord	1-3	1-2	Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-3
	(-3) (+33) (+1½) (+33) (+9) (+15) (-2) (+16) (+27) (NL) (NL) READ	(+33) L (+1½) L (+33) L (+9) W (+15) L (-2) L (+16) L (+27) P (NL) (NL) (NL) READ RECORD Home 0-5 rd 1-3 rd 0-0	(-3) W 21-17 (+33) L 13-84 (+1½) L 20-32 (+33) L 18-69 (+9) W 31-38 (+15) L 17-56 (-2) L 8-19 (+16) L 10-34 (+27) P 23-30 (NL) 10-58 (NL) 10-61 READ RECORD Home Away 0-5 1-5 rd 1-3 2-3 rd 0-0 1-1	(-3) W 21-17 (+33) L 13-84 (+11/2) L 20-32 (+33) L 18-69 (+9) W 31-38 (+15) L 17-56 (-2) L 8-19 (+16) L 10-34 (+27) P 23-30 (NL) 10-61 (NL) 10-61 (READ RECORD Home Away 0-5 1-5 rd 1-3 2-3 rd 0-0 1-1	(-3) W 21-17 (+33) L 13-84 (+11/2) L 20-32 (+33) L 18-69 (+9) W 31-38 (+15) L 17-56 (-2) L 8-19 (+16) L 10-34 (+27) P 23-30 (NL) 10-61 (NL) 10-65 (NL) 10-61 (READ RECORD Home Away 0.5 1-5 1-5 rd 1-3 2-3 rd 0.00 1.1

	21	Wisconsin 981 POINTSP	(-1)	L	21-26 D
N.	7 14 at	Ohio St. Michigan St.	(+8) (+5)	L	36-43
BY.	31	Michigan	(+101/2)	L	13-34 35-31
		Iowa	(+121/2)	W	12-10
	10 17 at	Northwestern Indiana	(-29) (-2)	L	35-23 16-17
0.		Ilinois	(PK)	L	29-38
	26	Oregon St.	(-10)	W	42-12
S.	12	Ohio Purdue	(NL) (+5)	W	19-17 16-13

	1980 RI	ESU	LTS	
S.	13 Ohio	(NL)		38-14
	20 at Ohio St.	(+24)	L	0-47
	27 USC	(+17)	P	7-24
0.	4 at Northwestern	(-11)	W	49-21
	11 at Purdue	(+12)	L	7-21
	18 Michigan	(+11)	L	14-37
	25 Iowa	(+1)	W	24-6
N.	1 at Illinois	(-1)	W	21-18
	8 Indiana	(+4)	W	31-7
	15 Michigan St.	(-5)	L	12-30
	22 at Wisconsin	(-3)	L	7-25
	1980 POINTSP	READ	RECOR	D
			Home	Away
Ac	tual Record		3-3	2-3
Co	mbined Spread Reco	rd	2-2-1	2-3
F	avorite Spread Recor	rd	2-1-1	2-1
TI	nderdog Spread Rec	ord	0-1	0-2

	20 at	Wisconsin	(+11)	L	0-24
	1	982 POINTSP	READ	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Ac	tual I	Record	2-4	1-4	
Co	mbine	ed Spread Reco	1-4	1-4	
F	avorit	te Spread Recor	d	1-4	1-1
U	nder	log Spread Reco	ord	0-0	0-3
-		1979 RI	ESII	LTS	
S.	8	Ohio	(NL)		24-10
~	15	Ohio St.	(+13)	W	17-21
	22 at	USC	(+23)	L	14-48
		Northwestern	(-24)	W	38-8
0.	6	Purdue	(+8)	W	31-14
-	13 at	Michigan	(+161/	2) W	21-31
		Iowa	(+21/2	W	24-7
	27	Illinois	(-13)	L	17-17
N.	3 at	Indiana	(+2)	L	24-42
	10 at	Michigan St.	(+6)	L	17-31
	17	Wisconsin	(-10)	L	37-42
	1	979 POINTSP	READ	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Ac	tual I	Record		3-2-1	1-4
Co	mbin	ed Spread Reco	rd	3-2	2-3
F	gvori	te Spread Recor	rd	1-2	0-0

Underdog Spread Record

2-3

MISSISSIPPI Rebels



Third-year Ole Miss Head Coach Bill Brewer is hoping that the Rebels' attack can led the team back to the bowl game status it enjoyed in 1983.

"I feel our offensive potential can best be described as explosive," said Brewer. "We will have good striking power because of our speed, plus the experience at quarterback."

The speed will come from J.R. Ambrose, a 4.4 lightning streak who averaged 35.2 yards per reception once he was salvaged from specialty team duty. The quarterback is Kent Austin, merely the NCAA record holder for game accuracy (18-of-19 or 94.7 percent). Austin also had a string of 131 consecutive pass attempts without an interception last year, just six off the SEC mark. Other offensive standouts include leading rusher Nathan Wonsley (479 yards), who also paced the Rebs in receiving (36), fleet flanker Jamie Holder (23 catches in '84), running back Robert "Thunder" Smith, tight ends Michael Smith and Mario Perry, plus interior linemen Bobby Clark, Eric Sheehan and Tony Rayburn. The Ole Miss offense will

continue to operate out of multiple

It's the defense, though, where the most work must be done. Graduation has claimed All-America end Freddie Joe Nunn, as well as the entire secondary.

"I'm concerned, but yet I'm not concerned," Brewer said. "We're going to have, I think, some good

young talent."

In the secondary, though, it's experience, as well as talent, which usually makes for a formidable foursome. Perhaps a strong linebacking duo of Fuzzy Huddleston and Dan Boyce will help. Also, Ole Miss has some experience up front in noseguard Michael Portis, end Jay Webb and tackle Benton Red. Should it be the offense which fails, the Rebs have Bill Smith to bail them out. Smith averaged 47.7 yards per punt last year-second in the nation--and in one game, had boots of 92 and 77 yards. Jon Howard is the incumbent placekicker, but prepster Bryan Owen (44-45 PAT, 12-16 FG) should give him a battle for the starting assignment.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

			OULLED CHI
S	. 7	at	Memphis St.
	14		Arkansas
	21		Arkansas St.
	28	at	Tulane
0	. 5	at	Auburn
	12		Georgia
	26	2	Vanderbilt
N	. 2		LSU
	9	at	Notre Dame

16

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

at Tennessee

Miss. St. (Jcksn)

	Home	Away
Actual Record	14-16-1	11-22-1
Combined Spread Record	15-15	11-16
Favorite Spread Record	5-6	0-4
Underdog Spread Record	10-9	11-12

Feist's Factors

- Ole Miss is 16-24 as an away conference underdog (since 1973).
- The Rebs are only 3-7 as an away favorite (all games).
- The Rebels are 3-7 as away dogs vs nonconference teams since

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Memphis St.	(PK)	W	22-6
	15 at	Arkansas	(+61/2)	W	14-14
	22	La. Tech	(NL)		14-8
	29	Tulane	(-12)	L	19-14
0.	6	Auburn	(+10)	W	13-17
	13 at	Georgia	(+7)	W	12-18
	20	Southern Miss.	(-9)	L	10-13
	27 at	Vanderbilt	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-37
N.	3 at	LSU	$(+11\frac{1}{2})$	W	29-32
	17	Tennessee	(+1)	L	17-41
	24	Miss. St. (Jck.)	(+21/2)	W	24-3
	1	984 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	1

Actual Record 3-2 1-4-1 Combined Spread Record 3-2 3-2 Favorite Spread Record 1-1 0-1 Underdog Spread Record 2-1 3-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 a	t Memphis St.	(NL)		17-37
	10 a	t Tulane	(+31/2)	L	23-27
	17 a	t Alabama	(+19)	L	0-40
	24	Arkansas	(+10)	W	13-10
0.	1	So. Miss.	(+11/2)	L	7-27
	8	Georgia	(+15)	L	11-36
	15 a	t TCU	(+41/2)	W	20-7
	22	Vanderbilt	(+4)	W	21-14
	29	LSU	(+81/2)	W	27-24
N.	12 a	t Tennessee	(+13)	W	13-10
	19 a	t Miss. St.	(-2)	L	24-23

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	3-2	2-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Memphis St.	(NL)		27-10
	11	South. Miss.	(+61/2)	W	28-19
	18	Alabama	(+14)	L	14-42
	25 at	Arkansas	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	12-14
0.	9 at	Georgia	(+131/2)	L	10-33
	16	TCU	(-81/2)	W	27-9
	23 at	Vanderbilt	(-11/2)	L	10-19
	30 at	La. St.	(-11)	L	8-45
N.	6	Tulane	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-14
	13 at	Tennessee	(-21/2)	L	17-30
	20	Miss. St.	(+41/2)	L	10-27
	19	82 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 at Tulane	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	L	19-18
	12 at South Carolina	(+6)	W	20-13
	19 at Memphis St.	(NL)		7-3
	26 Arkansas	(+3)	L	13-27
0.	3 at Alabama	(+151/2)	L	7-38
	10 Georgia	(+8)	L	7-37
	17 at Florida	(+14)	L	3-49
	24 Vanderbilt	(-7)	L	23-27
	31 LSU	(+3)	W	27-27
N.	14 at Tennessee	(+51/2)	L	20-28
	21 Miss. St.(Jcksn.	(+141/2)	W	21-17
	1981 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	,

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Texas A&M	(+2)	L	20-23
	13	Memphis St.	(NL)		61-7
=	20	Albama	(+131/2)	L	35-59
	27	Tulane	(-31/2)	L	24-26
0.	4 at	S. Mississippi	(NL)		22-28
	11 at	Georgia	(+131/2)	W	21-28
	18	Florida	(-31/2)	L	3-15
	25 at	Vanderbilt	(-131/2)	L	27-14
N.	1 at	LSU	(+61/2)	L	16-38
	15 at	Tennessee	(+61/2)	W	20-9
	22	Miss. St.(Jcksn)	(+61/2)	W	14-19

1979 RESULTS

S.	15 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		38-34
	22	Missouri	(+61/2)	L	7-33
	29	S. Mississippi	(NL)		8-38
0.	6	Georgia	(-3)	L	21-24
	13 at	Kentucky	(+2)	L	3-14
	20 at	South Carolina	(+12)	W	14-21
	27	Vanderbilt	(-19)	W	63-28
N.	3	LSU	(+7)	W	24-28
	10 at	Tulane	(+61/2)	L	15-49
	17	Tennessee	(+8)	W	44-20
	24	Miss. St.(Jack.)	(+31/2)	W	14-9
	16	70 POINTSPI	READ REC	ORD	

1979 POINTSPREAD R

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	2-2

MISSISSIPPI STATE Bulldogs



With the youngest team in the Southeastern Conference last year-50 of 102 active roster members were either redshirts or true freshmen-Mississippi State took its lumps in 1984, but Head Coach Emory Bellard now has a more experienced crew and expectations are high in Stark-ville.

Defensively, the Bulldogs return 10-of-11 starters (only strong safety Tom Nichols departed) and 19 of the 22 players who saw the majority of the playing time last year. The platoon will be led by All-SEC tackle Pat Swoopes, a SPORTS ILLUSTRATED National Defense Player of the Week for his effort against Tulane. Swoopes is paired with massive (6-5, 285) Elvis Butler in the MSU interior, leaving opposing offenses little running room inside. Linebackers Leon Cannon and Ermon Green patrol the flanks with Cedric Corse in the middle. The secondary is paced by cornerbacks Bruce Plummer and Kirby Jackson and safety R.J. McKenna.

The offense will be led by Don Smith, the speedy QB who ran an passed his way for 1,781 yards last year, the most ever by a MSU sophomore. Smith, despite shoulder and knee problems, topped the Bulldogs in rushing with 545 yards (4.3 average) while scoring nine touchdowns. He also threw for six scores, thus accounting for 15 of Mississippi State's 23 TDs. Bellard's wishbone attack will have to replace fullback Mikel Williams (446 yards), but there are plenty of candidates, headed by backup Calvin Robinson (234 yards). Kenny Rogers (this one runs more than he sings), picked up 287 yards with a 5.1 average, and should be a regular at one halfback spot. Look for soph breakaway threat Rodney Peters at the other running back post. The front line will be anchored by center Garry Frank and guard Stan Sims. Alvin Robinson, who missed the second half of '84 with a knee injury, returns at tackle. Louis Clark, who averaged 21.1 yards per reception, will head the pass-catching unit from a wingback or end position. Artie Cosby (13-21 FGs) returns for the placement chores while Ronnie Green (41.0 average) takes care of the punting duties.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Arkansas St.
	14		Syracuse
	21		Southern Miss.
	28		Florida
0.	+ 5		Memphis St.
	12	at	Kentucky
	19		Tulane
	26	at	Auburn
N.	2	at	Alabama
	16	at	LSU
	23		Miss. (Jcksn)

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 17-16	Away 15-19
Combined Spread Record	10-13	13-15
Favorite Spread Record	3-6	4-5
Underdog Spread Record	7-7	9-10

Austin's Edges

- Mississippi State has only covered 2-of-8 spread decisions in games following Florida since '73.
- Dogs are 6-10 as a home favorite vs non-conference foes the past 12 seasons.
- Bulldogs are only 2-6 ATS in games following contests with Florida.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1 at	Tulane	(+21/2)	W	30-3
	8	Colorado St.	(NL)		14-9
	22 at	Missouri	(+81/2)	L	30-47
	29 at	Florida	(+14)	L	12-27
0.	6	Southern Miss.	(PK)	W	27-18
	13	Kentucky	(+2)	L	13-17
	20 at	Memphis St.	(+61/2)	L	12-23
	27	Auburn	(+13)	W	21-24
N.	3	Alabama	(+3)	L	20-24
	17	LSU	(+71/2)	W	16-14
	24	Miss. (Jck.)	(-21/2)	L	3-24
	18	984 POINTSPI		ECORD	

TOOL T OTHER TOTAL	D ILLOUIS	U
	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	2-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	1-3

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Tulane	(-8)	L	14-9
	17	Navy	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-10
	24	Florida	(+3)	L	12-35
0.	1 at	Georgia	(+11)	L	7-20
	8	So. Mississippi	(+2)	L	6-31
	15	Miami	(+13)	L	7-31
	22 at	Auburn	(+181/2)	W	13-28
	29 at	Alabama	(+191/2)	W	18-35
N.	5	Memphis St.	(NL)		13-30
	12 at	LSU	(+9)	W	45-26
	19	Mississippi	(+2)	W	23-24

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-4	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-3	4-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 at	Tulane	(-4)	W	30-21
	11	Ark. St.	(NL)		31-10
	18 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		41-17
06	25 at	Florida	(+51/2)	L	17-27
0.	2	Georgia	(+4)	L	22-29
	9	South. Miss.	(-6)	L	14-20
	16 at	Miami, Fla.	(+51/2)	L	14-31
	23	Auburn	(+11/2)	L	17-35
u	30	Alabama	(+141/2)	W	12-20
N.	13	La. St.	(+71/2)	W	27-24
	20 ‡	Mississippi	(-4)	W	27-10
	15	982 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	
			**		ALCOHOL:

1000 1 0111101 1613111	- TANGO CA	-
	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	0-2

1981 RESULTS

19	Vanderbilt	7 015	-	
10		(-21)	L	29-9
26	Florida	(-2)	W	28-7
3	Missouri	(-7)	L	3-14
10 at	Colorado St.	(NL)		37-27
17	Miami	(+1)	W	14-10
24 at	Auburn	(-3)	W	21-17
31 at	Alabama	(+8)	W	10-13
7	So. Miss.	(NL)		6-7
14 at	LSU	(-81/2)	L	17-9
21	Miss. (Jeksn.)	(-14)	L	17-21
	10 at 17 24 at 31 at 7 14 at 21	10 at Colorado St. 17 Miami 24 at Auburn 31 at Alabama 7 So. Miss. 14 at LSU	10 at Colorado St. (NL) 17 Miami (+1) 24 at Auburn (-3) 31 at Alabama (+8) 7 So. Miss. (NL) 14 at LSU (-8½) 21 Miss. (Jcksn.) (-14)	10 at Colorado St. (NL) 17 Miami (+1) W 24 at Auburn (-3) W 31 at Alabama (+8) W 7 So. Miss. (NL) 14 at LSU (-8½) L 21 Miss. (Jcksn.) (-14) L

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		34-7
	13	La. Tech	(NL)		31-11
	20 at	Vanderbilt	(-17)	L	24-14
	27 at	Florida	(+21/2)	L	15-21
0.	4 at	Illinois	(-7)	P	28-21
	11	Mississippi	(NL)		14-42
	18 at	Miami	(+6)	W	34-31
	25	Auburn	(-4)	L	24-21
N.	1	Alabama	(+171/2)	W	6-3
	15	LSU	(-4)	W	55-31
	22	Miss. (Jcksn)	(-61/2)	L	19-14

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Home	Away		
4-1	5-1		
2-1	1-3		
1-1	0-2		
1-0	1-1		
	4-1 2-1 1-1		

S.	8	Memphis St.	(NL)		13-14
	2 at	Maryland	(+6)	L	14-35
	29	Florida	(+2)	W	24-10
0.	6 at	Tennesee	(+7)	W	28-9
	13 at	Florida St.	(+10)	L	6-17
	20	Marshall	(NL)		48-0
	27	S. Mississippi	(NL)		7-21
N.	3 at	Alabama	(+23)	W	7-24
	10 at	Auburn	(+61/2)	L	3-14
		LSU	(+7)	L	3-21
	24	Miss. (Jksn)	(-31/2)	L	9-14

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-2	1-6
Combined Spread Record	1-0	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-3
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-3

MISSOURI **Tigers**



The Tigers have turned to Woody Widenhofer to put some teeth back in their attack, and if the former Oklahoma Outlaw (USFL) head coach can accomplish that, he'll have really earned his stripes this season.

'Our objectives are to find the football players, both on offense and defense, who will fit quickly into a new system," said Widenhofer. "We need to determine the strengths and weaknesses of our team, evaluate our personnel, and work hard on the fundamentals that will help us develop those areas and become a good, sound football team."

Widenhofer quickly made his presence felt by scrapping Warren Powers' option offense in favor of a pro-style attack and opting for a 3-4 defensive alignment over the 5-2.

The Missouri offense will be led by Marlon Adler, who should be the Big Eight's top signal-caller this season. Adler was third in total offense in the league last year. Graduation claimed the top receivers, so the most experience belongs to Adrian McBride, whose 21 catches ranked fourth on the squad in 1984. Jon Redd

is an excellent tailback--668 yards, 5.6 average--but Widenhofer may have created an extra concern for himself when he dismissed regular fullback Eric Drain from the club. Ed Eason is a likely replacement. All-Big Eight tackle John Clay heads a bulky line crew that figures to lose some pounds in exchange for quickness...if new offensive coordinator Bill Meyers has his way.

It is Missouri's defense, particularly against the pass, that needs the most work. The Tigers ranked last in the Big Eight in pass defense a year ago and Widenhofer will be starting from scratch, graduation having claimed last season's four regulars. Help could come from an improved pass rush, especially if lightning quick Michael Scott puts it all together at end. Another defender who merits attention is linebacker Bo Sherrill, a hitter with size, range and athletic abil-

The Tigers should be improved, especially on offense, but a defense that yielded 301 points last year will need a quick fix if Missouri is to return to respectability this year.

DATA 1985 SCHEDULE

S.	# 14		Northwestern
	21	at	Texas
	28		Indiana
0.	5		California
	12	at	Colorado
	19		Nebraska

26 Kansas St. N. at Iowa St. 9 Oklahoma Oklahoma St. 16

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

at Kansas

Actual Record	Home 23-13-2	Away 13-14-1
Combined Spread Record	14-15	10-16-1
Favorite Spread Record	9-13	8-10
Underdog Spread Record	5-2	2-6-1

Feist's Factors

- Missouri is only 3-9 vs the points in home openers the past 12 years.
- The Tigers are 8-4 as a Big 8 home underdog since
- The home team is 10-1 vs the spread in Mizzou-Oklahoma series.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Illinois	(-1)	L	24-30
	15	Wisconsin	(-71/2)	L	34-35
	22	Miss. St.	(-81/2)	W	47-30
	29	Notre Dame	(+2)	P	14-16
0.	6	Colorado	(-23)	W	52-7
	13 at	Nebraska	(+10)	P	23-33
	20 at	Kansas St.	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	61-21
	27	Iowa St.	$(-24\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-14
N.	3 at	Oklahoma	(+41/2)	L	7-49
	10 at	Okla, St.	(+91/2)	L	13-31
	17	Kansas	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-35

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	1-3-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-2-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Illinois	(-3)	W	28-18
2	17 at	Wisconsin	(-2)	L	20-21
	24	Utah St.	(NL)		17-10
0.	1	East Carolina	(NL)		6-13
	8 at	Colorado	(-61/2)	W	59-20
	15	Nebraska	$(+19\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-34
	22	Kansas St.	(-19)	W	38-0
	29 at	Iowa St.	(-15)	W	41-18
N.	5	Oklahoma	(+3)	W	10-0
	12	Oklahoma St.	(-7)	L	16-10
	19 at	Kansas	(-16)	L	27-37

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

1000 I OHITIDI ILLINI	D TEECOTE	
	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	2-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Colo. St.	(NL)		28-14
	11	Army	(-21)	L	23-10
	25 at	Texas	(+6)	L	0-21
0.	2	E. Carolina	(NL)		28-9
	9 at	Kansas St.	(-2)	L	7-7
	16	Iowa St.	(-31/2)	L	17-17
	23 at	Nebraska	(+241/2)	W	19-23
	30 at	Okla. St.	$(-2^{1/2})$	L	20-30
N.	6	Colorado	(-14)	W	35-14
	13 at	Oklahoma	(+14)	L	14-41
	20	Kansas	(-15)	L	16-10

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0-1	0-4-1
Combined Spread Record	1-3	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Army	(-21)	L	24-10
	19	Rice	(-16)	W	42-10
	26	Louisville	(NL)		34-3
0.	3 at	Miss. St.	(+7)	W	14-3
	10	Kansas St.	(-20)	W	58-13
	17 at	Iowa St.	(-31/2)	L	13-34
	24	Nebraska	(+7)	W	0-6
	31	Oklahoma St.	(-12)	L	12-16
N.	7 at	Colorado	(-13)	W	30-14
	14	Oklahoma	(+7)	W	19-14
	21 at	Kansas	(-6)	L	11-19

1001 DOINTEDDEAD DECODD

1001 1 0111101 111201	L TELLOCAL	
	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	2-2
Combined Spread Record	4-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	New Mexico	(NL)		47-16
	20	Illinois	(-16)	W	52-7
	27 at	San Diego St.	(NL)		31-7
0.	4	Penn St.	(-61/2)	L	21-29
	11 at	Oklahoma St.	(-7)	W	30-7
	18	Colorado	(NL)		45-7
	25 at	Kansas St.	(-15)	L	13-3
N.	1 at	Nebraska	(+12)	L	16-38
	8	Iowa St.	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-10
	15 at	Oklahoma	(+8)	L	7-17
	22	Kansas	(-10)	W	31-6

1980 POINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-2	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-2

S.	8	San Diego St.	(NL)		45-15
	15 a	t Illinois	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-6
	22 a	t Mississippi	(-61/2)	W	33-7
	29	Texas	(+1)	L	0-21
0.	13	Oklahoma St.	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-14
	20 a	t Colorado	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-7
	27	Kansas St.	(-20)	L	3-19
N.	3	Nebraska	(+15)	W	20-23
	10 a	t Iowa St.	(-6)	W	18-9
	17	Oklahoma	(+13)	W	22-24
	24 B	t Kansas	(-10)	W	55-7
	1	1979 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	5-0
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	3-2
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	0-0

NAVY Midshipmen



S.

D.

Normally, the return of a running back of the stature of Napoleon McCallum, plus the presence of nine regulars on defense would be enough to make most coaches smile, but Navy head man Gary Tranquill sees some frown-producing areas, as well.

There's no question where the priorities are," stated Tranquill, as he surveyed an offensive front line that has been stripped of all seven starters. "We have some fine prospects there, most of whom will be bigger than those players we used last year. However everyone is aware that experience can also prove crucial in this

Four lettermen head the group vying in the offensive line--Frank Bijak, Pat Hoffman, Chris Castelli and Mark Miller--and if they fit the bill, Navy's attack could be noticeably improved. The main reason is McCallum, the 1983 All-America who played just two games last year before being felled by an ankle injury. In '83, McCallum led the nation in all-purpose running (216 yards per game), including 144.3 yards per game rushing. McCallum will rejoin regular quarter-

back Bill Byrne, who had a major role in the nine school passing records set in '84, along with runners Mike Smith (362 yards, 5.3 average) and John Berner (197, 3.8). The top five receivers from a year ago are gone, but Tranquill feels he has the people to replace them in Tony Hollinger, John Lobb, and John Sniffen.

In contrast to a year ago, Navy will have starters lined up at six of the front seven positions on defense. Jim Dwyer, who led the Middies in tackles a year ago, is a comer at linebacker and Navy also has two good ends in Eric Fudge and Chad VanHulzen. The secondary is paced by All-East prospect Steve Brady, Marc Firlie (five interceptions) and Tom Metzger. Todd Solomon, who holds the Middie record with a 52-yard field goal, again will handle the placement work while Andy Mueck and Mark Colby compete at punter.

It seems the Midshipmen can't help but be better on defense, and if the line forms quickly enough around McCallum and Byrne, the offense will be more potent, as well.

19	85	SCHEDULE
7		North Carolina
14	at	Delaware
21	at	Indiana
28	at	Virginia

0. 12 Air Force 19 Lafayette 26 Pittsburgh N. . 2 at Notre Dame Syracuse 9 at South Carolina 16

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Army (Phila.)

	Home	Away
Actual Record	20-7	15-22-2
Combined Spread Record	6-8	20-17-1
Favorite Spread Record	4-5	6-8
Underdog Spread Record	2-3	14-9-1

Austin's Edges

- The Middies are 11-6 vs the points against ACC competition, since 1973.
- Navy is 3-7 vs the points agsinst Notre Dame the past 12 years.
- Midshipmen are only 5-10 as home favorite.

1984 RESULTS

5.	19 8	it N. Carolina	(+101/2)	W	33-30
	22	Virginia	(-6)	L	9-21
	29 s	t Arkansas	(+13)	- L	10-33
0.	6 8	t Air Force	(+12)	W	22-29
	13	Lehigh	(NL)		31-14
	20	Princeton	(-17)	W	41-3
	27 8	t Pittsburgh	(+61/2)	W	28-28
N.	3	Not. Dame (Me	a)(+101/2)	W	17-18
	10 a	t Syracuse	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	0-29
	17	S. Carolina	(+141/2)	W	38-21
D.	1	Army (Phila.)	(+1)	L	26-38
	1	984 POINTSP	READ I	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Actual Record				3-1	1-5-1
Combined Spread Record			rd	2-1	4-3
F	avor	ite Spread Reco	rd	1-1	0-1
U	nder	dog Spread Rec	ord	1-0	4-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at Virginia	(+21/2)	L	16-27
	17 at Miss. St.	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-38
	24 Lehigh	(NL)		30-0
0.	1 at Washington	(+19)	W	10-27
	8 Air Force	(NL)		17-44
	15 at Princeton	(-161/2)	L	37-29
	22 Pittsburgh	(+211/2)	W	14-21
	29 at Notre Dame	(+26)	W	12-28
N.	5 Syracuse	(PK)	L	7-14
	12 at South Carolina	(+101/2)	L	7-31
D.	3 Army (Pasa.)	(-81/2)	W	42-13

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-3	2-5
Combined Spread Record	1-2	3-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-3

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Virginia	(-91/2)	L	20-16
	18 at	Arkansas	(+20)	W	17-29
	25	Boston Col.	(+6)	L	0-31
0.	2 at	Duke	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-21
	9 at	Air Force	(-2)	L	21-24
	16	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		39-3
	23	The Citadel	(NL)		28-3
	30	Not. Dame (Me	11.)(+131/2)	L	10-27
N.	6 at	Syracuse	(+6)	W	20-18
	13 at	S. Carolina	(+3)	P	14-17
D.	4 ‡	Army (Pa.)	(-91/2)	W	24-7
	19	982 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-1	3-4
Combined Spread Record	0-2	4-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	3-1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Citadel	(NL)		17-7
	19	E. Kentucky	(NL)		24-0
	26 at	Michigan	$(+19\frac{1}{2})$	W	16-21
0.	3 at	Yale	$(-10^{1/2})$	L	19-23
	10	Air Force	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	L	30-13
	17 at	Boston Coll.	(-5)	W	25-10
	24	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		27-0
	31 at	Notre Dame	(+10)	L	0-38
N.	7	Syracuse	(-3)	W	35-23
	14 at	Georgia Tech	(-8)	L	20-14
	28	Army (Phila.)	$(-20\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-3
	19	981 POINTSP		CORI	A

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	2-3-1
Combined Spread Record	1-1	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at	Virginia	(+7)	W	3-6
	20	Kent St.	(NL)		31-3
	27	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		45-6
0.	4	Boston Coll.	(-4)	W	21-0
	11 at	Air Force	(-13)	L	20-21
	18	Villanova	(NL)		24-15
	25 at	Washington	(+101/2)	W	24-10
N.	1	N.D. (Mdwd.)	(+10)	L	0-33
	8 at	Syracuse	(+2)	W	6-3
	15 at	Georgia Tech	(-5)	W	19-8
	29	Army	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	W	33-6

1980 POINTSPREA	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-1	4-2
Combined Spread Record	1-0	5-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	3-1

S.	15	Citadel	(NL)		26-7
	22	Connecticut	(NL)		21-10
	29 at	Ilinois	(-6)	L	13-12
0.	6	Air Force	(-25)	L	13-9
	13 at	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		24-7
	20	Virginia	(-2)	W	17-10
	27 at	Pittsburgh	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-24
N.	3 at	Notre Dame	(+19)	W	10-4
	10	Syracuse	(+2)	L	14-30
	17 at	Georgia Tech	(+7)	L	14-24
D.	1	Army (Phila.)	(-20)	W	31-7

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-1	3-3
Combined Spread Record	1-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-2

NEBRASKA Cornhuskers



Even with 19-of-22 starters missing from last year's Sugar Bowl squad, don't expect rival Big Eight coaches to throw Tom Osborne a benefit. It seems no matter how severe a blow graduation deals the Huskers, Osborne always has a top team. In fact, he's had one in each of his 12 years in Lincoln, never failing to win at least nine games while winning eight-of-12 bowl contests. And you can expect more of the same this season.

Sure, All-America Jeff Smith is gone at I-back, but did you know that backup Poug DuBose not only had more yardage (1,040 to 935), and a better average (6.7 to 5.3), but more touchdowns (eight to seven) and led the Big Eight in rushing? And, while Craig Sunberg has graduated, Travis Turner, who started six games at quarterback, has not and there are a pair of terrific tight ends in Todd Frain and Brian Heimer not to mention fullback Tom Rathman and an offensive line contingent that has been waiting in the wings to strut its stuff. Actually, the Huskers are far better off than they were a year ago when they had to replace Heisman winner Mike Rozier,

Outland winner Dean Steinkuhler, along with All-America Irving Fryar and All-Big Eight QB Turner Gill.

Defensively, it's a similar story. Nine regulars are gone from the nation's top-ranked defense, but the unit again should be superb. Start at linebacker where 1983 All-Big Eighter Mike Knox is back from knee surgery to join last season's top tackler Marc Munford. Throw in second-team All-Big Eight tackle Chris Spachman up front, along with letter winners like Brad Smith, Ken Shead, Jim Skow and Grego Reeves and the Huskers look formidable in the trenches. The secondary will have new names and faces, but all have lettered, and there's lots of depth. Dave Klein again will be in charge of placements and although All-Big Eight punter Scott Livingston is among the missing, Nebraska has two good candidates for the job in Dan Wingard and John Kroeker.

The biggest threat to Nebraska's dominance may be the schedule. While Florida State. Illinois, Oregon and New Mexico are at home, games with Conference foes Oklahoma and Oklahoma State are not.

DATA

ı		19	85	SCHEDUL
l	S.	14		Florida St.
١		21		Illinois
١		28		Oregon
١	0.	5		New Mexico
١		12	at	Oklahoma St.
١		19	at	Missouri
ı		▶ 26		Colorado
١	N.	2	at	Kansas St.

9

16

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Iowa St.

Kansas

at Oklahoma

	Home	Away
Actual Record	34-4	26-4
Combined Spread Record	13-9-2	16-12
Favorite Spread Record	13-9-2	15-11
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

Feist's Factors

- Huskers are 7-0 vs the points against Colorado when the line is less than 28.
- Nebraska is 12-5 as an away favorite of less than 10 points since 1973.
- Cornhuskers are 8-4 vs the points against Big 10 competition.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Wyoming	(NL)		42-7
	15	Minnesota	(-37)	L	38-7
	22 at	UCLA	(-10)	W	42-3
		Syracuse	(-24)	L	9-17
0.	6	Okla, St.	(-9)	W	17-3
	13	Missouri	(-10)	P	33-23
	20 at	Colorado	$(-29\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-7
	27	Kansas St.	(-33)	W	62-14
N.	3 at	Iowa St.	$(-25\frac{1}{2})$	W	44-0
	10 at	Kansas	(-28)	W	41-7
	17	Oklahoma	(-6)	L	7-17
	19	984 POINTS		CORD	Awny

1984 POINTSPREAD	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	4-1
Combined Spread Record	2-2-1	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-2-1	3-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1983 RESULTS

-20
-13
-10
3-7
-10
-13
-19
-25
-29
-13
3-21
ay
0
2
2
)
(

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Iowa	(-18)	W	42-7
	18	New Mex. St.	(NL)		68-0
	25 a	t Penn St.	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-27
0.	2 a	t Auburn	(-9)	W	41-7
	9 a	t Colorado	(-32)	L	40-14
	16	Kansas St.	(-29)	P	42-13
	23	Missouri	$(-24^{1/2})$	L	23-19
	30 a	t Kansas	(-30)	W	52-0
N.	6	Okla. St.	(-28)	W	48-10
	13 a	t Iowa St.	(-22)	W	48-10
	26	Oklahoma	(-8)	L	28-24
D.	4	Hawaii	(NL)		37-16
	1	982 POINTSP	READ RE	CORI)
				ome	Away
140					T1371335

Actual Record 7-0 4-1 Combined Spread Record 2-2-1 3-2 Favorite Spread Record 2-2-1 3-2 Underdog Spread Record 0-0 0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at Iowa	(-16)	L	7-10
1	19 Florida St.	(-91/2)	W	34-14
10.3	26 Penn St.	(-4)	L	24-30
0.	3 Auburn	(-19)	L	17-3
77	10 Colorado	(-23)	W	59-0
	17 at Kansas St.	(-25)	W	49-3
	24 at Missouri	(-7)	L	6-0
200	31 Kansas	(-29)	L	31-15
N.	7 at Oklahoma St.	(-13)	W	54-7
100	14 Iowa St.	(-19)	W	31-7
	21 at Oklahoma	(+1)	W	37-14
	1981 POINTSI	PREAD RI	CORL)

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	4-1
Combined Spread Record	3-3	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	3-3	242
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1980 RESULTS

13	Utah	(NL)		55-9
20	Iowa	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	57-0
27 at	Penn St	(-3)	W	21-7
4	Florida St.	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-18
11 at	Kansas	$(-18^{1/2})$	W	54-0
18	Oklahoma St.	(-26)	W	48-7
25 at	Colorado	(NL)		45-7
1	Missouri	(-12)	W	38-16
8	Kansas St.	(NL)		55-8
15 at	Iowa St.	(-23)	W	35-0
22	Oklahoma	(-9)	L	17-21
	20 27 at 4 11 at 18 25 at 1 8 15 at	20 Iowa 27 at Penn St 4 Florida St. 11 at Kansas 18 Oklahoma St. 25 at Colorado 1 Missouri 8 Kansas St. 15 at Iowa St.	20 Iowa (-13½) 27 at Penn St (-3) 4 Florida St. (-13½) 11 at Kansas (-18½) 18 Oklahoma St. (-26) 25 at Colorado (NL) 1 Missouri (-12) 8 Kansas St. (NL) 15 at Iowa St. (-23)	20 Iowa (-13½) W 27 at Penn St (-3) W 4 Florida St. (-13½) W 11 at Kansas (-18½) W 18 Oklahoma St. (-26) W 25 at Colorado (NL) 1 Missouri (-12) W 8 Kansas St. (NL) 15 at Iowa St. (-23) W

The state of the s	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	4-0
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-0
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

S.	15	Utah St.	(NL)		35-14
	22 a	t Iowa	(-17)	L	24-21
	29	Penn St.	(-4)	W	42-17
0.	6	New Mexico	(NL)		57-0
	13	Kansas	(NL)		42-0
	20 a	t Oklahoma St.	(-14)	W	36-0
	27	Colorado	(NL)		38-10
N.	3 a	t Missouri	(-15)	L	23-20
	10 a	t Kansas St.	(-24)	L	21-12
	17	Iowa St.	(-27)	W	34-3
	24 a	t Oklahoma	(+1)	L	14-17
	1	979 POINTSP	READ R	ECORI)
	-			ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	4-1
Combined Spread Record	2-0	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	1-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-1

NORTH CAROLINA Tar Heels



Dick Crum is hoping that greater experience on defense and a more balanced attack on defense will help the Tar Heels regain their winning form in 1985.

"We've got more experience on defense than we had last year," said the head coach, "and that will definitely be a help."

Strength of the defensive platoon should be up front where four starters--tackle Reuben Davis, guard Dennis Barron, end Ron Burton and noseguard Tim Goad--all return. Davis though just a freshman, was voted Carolina's best defensive lineman last year. The top candidates at linebacker are Troy Simmons and Carl Carr. Simmons was a starter throughout the year while Carr came on late. Brett Rudolph also should see plenty of action. The secondary will be paced by free safety Tim Morrison and cornerback Larry Griffin.

Crum's offensive hopes lie with greater diversification. What that means is that Ethan Horton (1,247 yards rushing) is gone and the Tar Heels will have to pass more. That should suit returning quarterback Kevin Anthony (146-of-265 for 1,786

yards and eight TDs), as well as flanker Earl Winfield (34 receptions) and tight end Arnold Franklin (27). Eric Streater (21 catches) might complete the receiving triumverate. William Humes (302 yards) is the favorite to replace ACC Player-of-the-Year Horton at tailback with Brad Lopp (149 yards) becoming the new fullback. Crum's biggest concern, though is getting good play from the offensive interior where only two starters-tackle Harris Barton and guard C. A. Brooks--return from last year. Pat Sheehan, Ralph Phifer and Daryl Parham are expected to step into starting assignments, but Crum worries about a lack of size.

This is going to be the smallest offensive line we've had at Carolina," said Crum. "Our kids need to work and gain some weight."

The kicking game appears in superior shape with the return of punter Tommy Barnhardt (43.6 average in 1984) and placekicker Kenny Miller. Miller made 16-of-18 field goal attempts and 18-of-19 extra points last year to lead the Tar Heels in scoring with 66 points.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

1	S.	* 7	at	Navy
ш		14		LSU
ш		28		VMI
	Э.	5	at	Georgia Tech
		12		Wake Forest
		19	at	N. Carol. St.
		26		Florida St.
1	N.	2	at	Maryland
		9		Clemson
		16	at	Virginia
		23		Duke

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	28-8-2	18-10
Combined Spread Record	16-11	13-13-1
Favorite Spread Record	13-10	10-12-1
Underdog Spread Record	3-1	3-1

Austin's Edges

- North Carolina holds a 9-3 spread edge over state rival N.C. State.
- UNC is a solid 8-2 as an underdog against independents.
- Tarheels are only 27-38 as a favorite vs teams they play this year.

1984 RESULTS

22 at	Bos. Coll.	11.77		
00		(+7)	L	20-52
10	Kansas	(-13)	L	23-17
6 at	Clemson	(+14)	W	12-20
3 at	Wake Forest	(-3)	L	3-14
20	N. Carol. St.	$(-6^{1/2})$	W	28-21
27 at	Memphis St.	(+7)	W	30-27
3	Maryland	(+4)	L	23-34
10		$(-1^{1/2})$	W	24-17
17		(+31/2)	W	24-24
		$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-15
1	3 at 20 27 at 3 0 17 44 at	7 at Memphis St. 3 Maryland 0 Georgia Tech	3 at Wake Forest (-3) N. Carol. St. (-6½) T at Memphis St. (+7) Maryland (+4) Georgia Tech (-1½) Virginia (+3½) At Duke (-1½)	3 at Wake Forest (-3) L 0 N. Carol. St. (-6½) W 17 at Memphis St. (+7) W 3 Maryland (+4) L 0 Georgia Tech (-1½) W 17 Virginia (+3½) W 14 at Duke (-12½) L

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-3	. 2-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 at	South Carolina	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-8
	10	Memphis St.	(NL)		24-10
	17	Miami, Ohio	(NL)		48-17
	24	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		51-20
0.	1 at	Goergia Tech	(-17)	P	38-21
	8	Wake Forest	(-26)	L	30-10
	15 at	N. Carol. St.	(-17)	W	42-14
	29 at	Maryland	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	26-28
N.	5	Clemson	(-61/2)	L	3-16
	12 at	Virginia	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-17
	19	Duke	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	L	34-27

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	0-3	2-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	2-2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	9 at	Pittsburgh	(+61/2)	W	6-7
1	18	Vanderbilt	(-21)	W	34-10
	25	Army	$(-25\frac{1}{2})$	W	62-8
0.	2	Ga. Tech	(-22)	W	41-0
	9 at	Wake Forest	(-20)	L	24-7
	16	N. Carol. St.	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	W	41-9
	30	Maryland	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-31
N.	6 at	Clemson	(P'K)	L	13-16
	13	Virginia	(-27)	L.	27-14
	20 at	Duke	(-14)	L	17-23
	27	Bowl. Green	(NL)		33-14

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	nome	Away
Actual Record	6-1	1-3
Combined Spread Record	4-2	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	4-2	0-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	East Carolina	(NL)		56-0
	19	Miami, Ohio	(NL)		49-7
	26	Boston Coll.	(-16)	W	56-14
0.	3 at	Georgia Tech	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-7
	10	Wake Forest	(-21)	W	48-10
	17 at	N. Carol. St.	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-10
	24	South Carolina	$(-14^{1/2})$	L	13-31
	31 at	Maryland	(-6)	L	17-10
N.	7	Clemson	(+21/2)	W	8-10
	14 at	Virginia	(-18)	L	17-14
	21 at	Duke	(-71/2)	W	31-10

OOL DOLLERONDE AD DECORD

1981 PUINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	5-0
Combined Spread Record	3-1	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	2-3
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

1980 RESULTS

7	Texas Tech Maryland Georgia Tech Wake Forest N. Carol. St.	(PK) (-4) (-15) (-7½) (-13)	W W W	9-3 17-3 33-0 27-9
4 1 at	Georgia Tech Wake Forest	(-15) $(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	33-0
1 at	Wake Forest	(-71/2)	W	22.2
			175	27-9
8	N Carol St	/-19)	227	
		(-10)	W	28-8
5	East Carolina	(NL)		31-3
1 at	Oklahoma	(+5)	L	7-41
8 at	Clemson	(-61/2)	L	24-19
5	Virginia	(-16)	W	26-3
2	Duke	(-19)	W	44-21
	1 at 8 at 5	1 at Oklahoma 8 at Clemson 5 Virginia 2 Duke	1 at Oklahoma (+5) 8 at Clemson (-6½) 5 Virginia (-16) 2 Duke (-19)	1 at Oklahoma (+5) L 8 at Clemson (-6½) L 5 Virginia (-16) W

Home Away

Actual Record	7-0	3-1
Combined Spread Record	4-0	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	4-0	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	South Carolina	(-21/2)	w	28-0
٠.	22	Pittsburgh	(+3)	W	17-7
	29 at	Army	(-131/2)	W	41-3
0.	6	Cincinnati	(NL)		35-14
	13	Wake Forest	(-12)	L	19-24
	20 at	N. Carol. St.	(-1)	W	35-21
	27	East Carolina	(NL)		24-24
N.	3 at	Maryland	(-3)	L	14-17
	10	Clemson	(-3)	L	10-19
	17 at	Virginia	(-3)	W	13-7
	24 at	Duke	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	37-16
	91	DOD DODNITCH	DEAD DE	COPD	

1979 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away Actual Record 3-2-1 4-1

Combined Spread Record 2-2 4-1 1-2 Favorite Spread Record 4-1 0-0 Underdog Spread Record 1-0

N. C. STATE Wolfpack



While 14 starters return at Raleigh, N.C. State has some serious problems to solve before it can hope to improve on last season's dismal 3-8 showing. In fact, Head Coach Tom Reed has a major concern he didn't have a year ago--who will play quar-terback for the Wolfpack? The question rises because Tim Esposito, who threw for 1,751 yards and 10 TDs in '84, has graduated. The candidates include Senior Bob Guidice (10-for-16 passing last year), junior Scott Wilson, whose chief asset is his size (6-7), and a trio of recently recruited juco transfers. The receiving corps loses top man Jeff Brown (33 receptions from his tight end position), but has two dangerous receivers in Haywood Jeffires (18.4-yard average) and Phil Brothers (17.4). Vince Evans became only the third runner in N.C. State's 93-year football history to rush for more than 200 yards in a game, netting 201 against East Carolina last season, and he returns, but veterans Joe McIntosh (591 yards, 5.5 average) and Joe Greene (456, 5.4) will be missed. Evans led the Pack in rushing with 883 yards and had a 4.5-yard

average. Joe Milinichik (6-5, 295) is an imposing presence along a seasoned offensive line.

Reed will have eight starters returning on defense. That's the good news. The bad news is that State finished last in the Atlantic Coast Conference in 1984 in total defense. rushing defense and scoring defense. Hoping to improve, Reed has indicated that the Pack will forsake the 5-2 and line up in an even-man front, either a 4-3 or wide tackle six. That could upset the positioning of some players, but certain to play a pivotal role in any realignment are linebacker Don Heron, cornerback Nelson Jones and tackle Raymond Phillips. Herron will be aided by Pat Teague and Mark Franklin while Jeff Geathers returns in the secondary, along with Jones. The front line will feature Sandy Kea and Reggie Singletary, along with Phillips. Mike Cofer (18-23 FGs, 24-26 PATs) returns for the placement work while Marty Martinussen (40.3-yard average) again will do the punting.

North Carolina State's problems are considerable and it'll be difficult for the Wolfpack to make progress.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	. 7		East Carolina
	14		Georgia Tech
	21	at	Wake Forest
	28		Furman
0.	5		Maryland
	12	at	Pittsburgh
S.	19		North Carolina
0.	* 26	at	Clemson
N.	2	at	South Carolina
	. 9		Virginia
	16	at	Duke

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	21-18	8-19
Combined Spread Record	13-13	10-16
Favorite Spread Record	6-9	2-3
Underdog Spread Record	7-4	8-13

Feist's Factors

- The Wolfpack is 11-1 vs the points against Clemson the past 12 years.
- () The underdog has won all eight meetings in the past nine seasons between N.C. St. and Virginia.
- State dropped eight of last nine home openers to spread.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Ohio	(NL)		43-6
	15	Furman	(NL)		30-34
	22	Wake Forest	(-31/2)	L	15-24
	29	E. Carolina	(-4)	W	31-22
0.	6 at	Ga. Tech	(+141/2)	W	27-22
	13 at	Maryland	(+8)	L	21-44
		N. Carolina	(+61/2)	L	21-28
	27	Clemson	(+15)	W	34-35
N.	3	S. Carolina	(+91/2)	W	28-35
	10 at	Virginia	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	0-45
	17	Duke	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-16
	15	984 POINTSP	READ RE	COR	D
			Ho	me	Away
Ac	tual F	Record	2	-5	1-3
Ca	mhine	d Council Page	and 9	9	1.3

1984 POINTSPREAD	RECOR	D
	Home	Away
d Record	2-5	1-3
ined Spread Record	3-2	1-3
orite Spread Record	1-2	0-0
erdog Spread Record	2-0	1-3

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	East Carolina	(NL)		16-22
	17	The Citadel	(NL)		45-0
	24	Virginia	(-6)	L	14-26
0.	1 at	Wake Forest	(PK)	W	38-15
	8	Georgia Tech	(-5)	L	10-20
	15	North Carolina	(+17)	L	14-42
	22 at	Clemson	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-27
	29 at	South Carolina	(+6)	L	17-31
N.	5	Appalach. St.	(NL)		33-7
	12 at	Duke	(+1)	P	26-27
	19	Maryland	(+10)	L	6-29
	15	983 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-5	1-3
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Furman	(NL)		26-0
	11	E. Carolina	(NL)		33-26
	18	Wake Forest	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	30-0
	25 at	Maryland	(+3)	L	6-23
0.	2 at	Virginia	(-7)	L	16-13
	16 at	N. Carolina	(+171/2)	L	9-41
	23	Clemson	(+131/2)	W	29-38
	30	S. Carolina	(-31/2)	W	33-3
N.	6 at	Penn St.	(+21)	L	0-54
	13	Duke	(-1)	W	21-16
	20 at	Miami, Fla.	(+141/2)	L	3-41
	19	82 POINTSP	READ RE	COR	D
			Ho	me	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	4-0	0-5
Favorite Spread Record	3-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-4

1981 RESULTS

Underdog Spread Record

8.	5	Richmond	(NL)		27-21
	12 at	Wake Forest	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-23
	19	East Carolina	(NL)		31-10
	26	Maryland	(-4)	L	9-34
0.	3	Virginia	(-9)	L	30-24
	17	North Carolina	(+131/2)	W	10-21
	24 at	Clemson	(+15)	W	7-17
	31 at	South Carolina	(+7)	L	12-20
N.	7	Penn St.	(+14)	W	15-22
	14 at	Duke	(PK)	L	7-17
	21	Miami	(+7)	L	6-14
	1	981 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORI)
			U.	-	Asmos

1-3 3-4 Combined Spread Record 2-3 1-3 Favorite Spread Record 0-2 0-2 Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		42-0
	20 at	Virginia	(+2)	W	17-13
	27	Wake Forest	(-8)	L	7-27
0.	4 at	South Carolina	(+11)	L	10-30
	11 at	Appalach. St.	(NL)		17-14
	18 at	North Carolina	(+13)	L	8-28
	25	Clemson	(+11/2)	W	24-20
N.	1 at	Maryland	(+6)	L	0-24
	8 at	Penn St.	(+18)	W	13-21
	15	Duke	(-5)	W	38-21
	22	East Carolina	(NL)		36-14

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-1	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-3

S.	8	East Carolina	(NL)		34-20
	15	Virginia	(-13)	L	31-27
	22 a	t West Virginia	(-14)	W	38-14
	29	Wake Forest	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-14
0.	6 a	Auburn	(+3)	L	31-44
	13	Maryland	(-41/2)	W	7-0
	20	North Carolina	(+1)	L	21-35
	27 a	Clemson	(+61/2)	W	16-13
N.	3 a	South Carolina	(+5)	W	28-30
	10	Penn St.	(+1)	L	7-9
	17 a	Duke	(-12)	W	28-7

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	1-4	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	2-1

NORTH-WESTERN Wildcats



Dennis Green is counting on a little healthy competition to put the bite back in the Wildcats this fall.

"We like the situation of having competition at nearly every position,' said the Northwestern head coach. "This is the first time we've had enough depth to have true competition for starting jobs at several spots. and I look at that as a positive for this team."

Offensively, there's a fierce battle being waged at quarterback where sophomore Mike Greenfield is trying to hold onto the job he took from Sandy Schwab last year. Greenfield wound up with more productive stats, but Schwab is the school's all-time passing leader. Stay tuned on this one. Another interesting battle is taking place at split end were incumbent Marc Bumgarner (17 receptions, 170 vards) is trying to hold off Tony Coates (25, 311), last year's starting flanker. Coates made the switch so speedster Curtis Duncan, whose six catches resulted in 171 yards (28.5 average) last year, could break into the starting lineup. Casey Cummings, who led the team in both rushing (386 yards) and

receiving (28 catches) last fall, has no challenger at running back, and his mate will be Stanley Davenport, the Cats' second most productive rusher (244 yards) last year. Steve Hofmann, Dave Dudzinski, Daryl Newell, John Yale and Tom Nicklas are the favorites to hold down interior line spots.

A formation switch and the return of one of the team's better players after an inactive '84 season will change the defensive scheme of things this fall. The Wildcats will adopt an even-man front, with fifth-year senior Jerald Wolff expected to make a major contribution. Ted Karras, Tom Flaherty and transfer James "Tip" Harris are being counted on to fill out the other line posts with Jim Torkelson the mainstay of the linebacking corps. Charles Plant is the only returning starter in the secondary. John Duvic (12-19 FG, 12-12 PAT) is a good kicker but Shawn Carpenter (36.9 average) needs to improve his punting.

The Wildcats will have a new look this fall but the results are likely to be the same as in previous campaigns--probably a finish near the Big Ten bottom.

DATA 1985 SCHEDULE

23

S.	0 7	at	Duke
S.	14		Missouri
	28		Northern Ill.
0.	5	at	Indiana
100	12		Minnesota
	19	at	Wisconsin
	26		Iowa
N.	2	at	Purdue
	9		Ohio St.
	16	at	Michigan St.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Illinois

Actual Record	Home 5-26	Away 3-33
Combined Spread Record	8-16-1	7-17-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	8-16	7-17-1

Austin's Edges

- The Wildcats are 21-14 as away underdogs the past 12 seasons.
- Northwestern's nonconference pointspread record is 5-18 since 1973.
- The Cats are 8-5 as a home conference dog of less than +10, since '73.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1 at	Illinois	(+28)	W	16-24
	8 at	Washington	(+26)	P	0-26
	15	Syracuse	(+14)	W	12-13
	22	Indiana	(-3)	P	40-37
	29 at	Wisconsin	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	W	16-31
0.	6	Iowa	(+15)	L	3-31
	13 at	Michigan	(+23)	L	0-31
	20 at	Minnesota	(+91/2)	W	31-28
	27	Purdue	(+9)	L	7-49
N.	3 at	Michigan St.	(+151/2)	L	10-27
	10	Ohio St.	(+26)	L	3-52

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	1-5
Combined Spread Record	1-3-1	3-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	3-2-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Washington	(+16)	L	0-34
0+				L	0-35
		Syracuse	(+7)	5.3	
	24 at	Indiana	(+131/2)	W	10-8
0.	1	Wisconsin	(+13)	L	0-49
	8 at	Iowa	(NL)		21-61
	15 at	Michigan	(+40)	W	0-35
	22	Minnesota	(+2)	W	19-8
	29 at	Purdue	(+19)	L	17-48
N.	5	Michigan St.	(+15)	W	3-9
	12 at	Ohio St.	(NL)		7-55
	19	Illinois	(NL)		24-56

Home	Away
1-4	1-5
2-2	1-2
0-0	0-0
2-2	1-2
	1-4 2-2 0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 at	Illinois	(+33)	L	13-49
	11 at	Indiana	(+23)	- L	0-30
	18	Miami, O.	(NL)		13-27
	25	N. Illinois	(NL)		31-6
0.	2 at	Iowa	(+25)	L	7-45
	9	Minnesota	(+30)	W	31-21
	16 at	Purdue	(+22)	W	21-34
	23	Michigan	(+30)	L	14-49
	30 at	Wisconsin	(+20)	L	20-54
N.	6 at	Mich. St.	(+23)	W	28-24
	13	Ohio St.	$(+26\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-40

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	1-5
Combined Spread Record	2-1	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	2-4

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Indiana	(+13)	W	20-21
	19 at	Arkansas	$(+23\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-38
	26	Utah	(NL)		0-42
0.	3	Iowa	(+20)	L	0-64
	10 at	Minnesota	(+29)	W	23-35
	17	Purdue	(+26)	L	0-35
	24 at	Michigan	(NL)		0-38
	31 at	Wisconsin	(+27)	L	0-52
N.	7	Michigan St.	(+22)	L	14-61
	14 at	Ohio St.	(NL)		6-70
	21	Illinois	(+35)	L	12-49
	19	81 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	

1901 LOUM FOLKEW	LECON	4.5
	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-6	0-5
Combined Spread Record	1-4	1-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-4	1-2

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Illinois	(+14)	L	9-35
	13 at	Michigan	(NL)		10-17
	20 at	Washington	(+271/2)	L	7-45
	27 at	Syracuse	(+18)	L	21-42
0.	4	Minnesota	(+11)	L	21-49
	11	Ohio St.	(NL)		0-63
	18 at	Iowa	(+24)	L	3-25
	25	Indiana	(+27)	W	20-35
N.	1	Purdue	(+27)	W	31-52
	8 at	Michigan St.	(+21)	L	10-42
	15	Wisconsin	(+15)	L	19-39

	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-5	0-6
Combined Spread Record	2-2	0-5
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	0-5

S.	8 at	Michigan	(NL)		7-49
~	15	Wyoming	(NL)		27-22
	22	Syracuse	(+14)	L	21-54
	29 at	Minnesota	(+24)	L	8-38
O.	6 at	Ohio St.	(NL)		7-16
193	13	Iowa	(+15)	L	6-58
	20 at	Indiana	(+24)	L	0-30
		Purdue	(NL)		16-20
N.	3	Michigan St.	(+18)	L	7-42
3		Wisconsin	(NL)		3-28
	17	Illinois	(+13)	L.	13-29
	10	79 POINTS	READ R	ECORE)

1979 POINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	0-6
Combined Spread Record	0-4	0-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-4	0-2

NOTRE DAME Fighting Irish



Notre Dame should have one of the better teams in the country this year, but then we've been saying that for the last four seasons, haven't we? Well, this truly is do or die for Gerry Faust, now entering the final year of a five-year pact in South Bend. Faust, 25-20-1 through four campaigns, will have to enjoy a banner year to avoid the axe, something he's been able to dodge despite complaints that he's failed to win big with exceptional talent. Once again, the talent appears to be in place. Fourteen starters from last season's Aloha Bowl outfit are back. and there are few holes that can't be plugged by weathered veterans. The offense will look to quarterback Steve Beuerlein and tailback Allen Pinkett to lead the way. Beuerlein threw for 1,920 yards on 60.3 percent accuracy in '84, but his 18 interceptions (against just seven TD lobs) stopped many a drive. Pinkett, a preseason Heisman Trophy candidate, did run for 1,105 vards and 17 touchdowns, but his 4.0yard average was disappointing. A big year by these two would all but guarantee a big year for Notre Dame, as well. Besides Beuerlein and Pinkett

the Irish have several other fine players. Tim Scannell, Mike Perino and Tom Doerger should form the nucleus of a fine offensive line while Milt Jackson and Tim Brown are excellent receivers. Faust will turn his attention to filling up the gaps at tight end and fullback.

Notre Dame's defense returns eight starters and should be even better than the offensive platoon. Fourteen of the top 16 tacklers are back. led by the one-two punch of linebackers Mike Kovaleski and Robert Banks. Also on hand are Tony Furjanic, Mike Larkin and Rick DiBernardo, giving the Irish an additional nine letters of experience at LB. Mike Griffin, Wally Kleine and Eric Dorsey are the main-stays up front with Pay Ballage, Hiawatha Francisco and Troy Wilson the regulars in a secondary which was ranked 12th against the pass last year. John Carney, who missed only two kicks all year (25-of-25 PATs, 17of-19 FGs), is back for the placement work. Hal Von Wyl is the new punter.

Notre Dame is loaded--again-and the Irish should be among the nation's elite--again.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14	at	Michigan
	= 21		Michigan St.
	28	at	Purdue
0.	5	at	Air Force
100	19		Army
	26		USC
N.	· 2		Navy
	. 9		Mississippi
	16	at	Penn St.
	#23		LSU
	30	at	Miami

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	21-12	18-12-2
Combined Spread Record	10-20-1	16-15-2
Favorite Spread Record	8-14-2	8-10-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-6	8-5-1

Feist's Factors

- Irish are pathetic vs the points against Michigan State, only 1-10 since 1973.
- Notre Dame is 7-3 vs the spread against Navy.
- The favorite is only 1-5 vs the number when ND plays SEC foes.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Purdue (Ind.)	(-20)	L	21-23
	15 at	Mich. St.	(-7)	L	24-20
	22	Colorado	(-21)	W	55-14
	29 at	Missouri	(-2)	P	16-14
0,	6	Miami	(PK)	L	13-31
	13	Air Force	(-9)	L	7-21
	20	S. Carolina	(+3)	L	32-36
	27 at	LSU	(+10)	W	30-22
N.	3	Navy (Mdl.)	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	18-17
	17	Penn St.	(+1)	W	44-7
	24 at	USC	(+2)	W	19-7

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	5-1
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-3-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at	Purdue	(-9)	W	52-6
	17	Michigan St	(-18)	L	23-28
	24 at	Miami	(PK)	L	0-20
0.	1 at	Colorado	(-10)	W	27-3
	8 at	South Carolina	(-71/2)	W	30-6
	15	Army (Mdwlds.)	$(-30\frac{1}{2})$	W	42-0
	22	USC	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-6
	29	Navv	(-26)	L	28-12
N.	5	Pittsburgh	(-61/2)	L	16-21
	12 at	Penn St.	(-1)	L	30-34
	19	Air Force	(-14)	L	22-23
	7.0	100 DOINTEDE	FADDE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-4	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-4	3-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	18	Michigan	(+1)	W	23-17
	25	Purdue	$(-16^{1/2})$	L	28-14
0.	2 at	Mich. St.	(-8)	P	11-3
	9	Miami, Fla.	(-7)	L	16-14
	16	Arizona	(-12)	L	13-16
	23 at	Oregon	(-14)	L	13-13
	30	Navy (Mdl)	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-10
N.	6 at	Pittsburgh	(+10)	W	31-16
	13	Penn St.	(+61/2)	L	14-24
	20 at	Air Force	(-11)	L	17-30
	27 at	USC	(+9)	W	13-17

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

and the second s	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	3-2-1
Combined Spread Record	1-4	3-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	1-2-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-0

1981 RESULTS

8.	12	LSU	(-14)	W	27-9
-	19 at	Michigan	(2)	L	7-25
	26 at	Purdue	(-71/2)	L	14-15
0.	3	Michigan St.	(-20)	L	20-7
	10	Florida St.	(-6)	L	13-19
	24	USC	(+4)	L	7-14
	31	Navv	(-10)	W	38-0
N.	7	Georgia Tech	$(-24\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-3
	14 at	Air Force	(-26)	W	35-7
		Penn St.	(+4)	W	21-24
		Miami	(+2)	L	15-32

200000000000000000000000000000000000000	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	1-4
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-2

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Purdue	(-2)	W	31-10
	20	Michigan	(-31/2)	L	29-27
0.	4 at	Michigan	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	L	26-21
	11	Miami	(-4)	W	32-14
	18	Army	(-27)	P	30-3
	25 at	Arizona	(-12)	W	20-3
N.	1	Navy	(-10)	W	33-0
	8 at	Georgia Tech	(-20)	L	3-3
	15 at	Alabama	(+7)	W	7-0
	22	Air Force	(NL)		24-10
D.	6 at	USC	(-21/2)	L	10-17

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	3-1-1
Combined Spread Record	2-1-1	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-1-1	2-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

S.	15 at	Michigan	(+7)	W	12-10
	22 at	Purdue	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	L	22-28
	29	Michigan St.	(-31/2)	W	27-3
0.	6	Georgia Tech	(-17)	L	21-13
	13 at	Air Force	(-26)	L	38-13
	20	USC	(+6)	L	23-42
	27	South Carolina	(-11)	L	18-17
N.	3	Navy	(-19)	L	14-0
	10 at	Tennessee	(-31/2)	L	18-40
	17	Clemson	(-5)	L	10-16
	24	Miami, Fla.(Tky	$(-9^{1/2})$	W	40-15

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	1-5	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-4	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-1

OHIO STATE Buckeyes



Ohio State loses 10 starters from last year's Rose Bowl squad, but the return of Heisman Trophy favorite Keith Byars should have the Buckeyes rushing for another Big Ten crown in 1985. Byars ran for 1,764 yards last year (5.3 average) to lead the nation. He also scored 24 touchdowns and tied for the team lead in receptions with 41. The 6-2, 235-pounder will get relief from John Woolridge (633 yards, 5.9 average) with Barry Walker and Roman Bates handling the fullback chores. Jim Karsatos, who did such a good job (60.5 percent completions) filling in for nowdeparted Mike Tomczak, will be the new signal caller. What won't be new is the receiving crew of wideouts Cris Carter (41 catches, eight TDs) and Mike Lanese (also 41 grabs), and tight end Ed Taggart (14 receptions). If OSU Head Coach Earle Bruce does have a concern, it's with an offensive front line that loses four-of-five starters. However, Rory Grimes is a good place to begin any rebuilding, and the Buckeyes figure to be anything but shorthanded there.

Defensively, again the problem

is along the front line. Graduation claimed all three starters, but folks like Darryl Lee, Henry Brown, John Sullivan, Jeff Uhlenhake, John Holliman and Jim Klohn have patiently been awaiting their turns. Byron Lee and Eric Kumerow have the edge at outside linebacker and the Buckeyes have two real good ones inside in Thomas "Pepper" Johnson and Chris Spielman. The secondary, which returns intact with cornerbacks William White and Greg Rogan, safety Terry White and rover Sonny Gordon, should be outstanding. Ohio State's kicking game also should be quite dependable. Punter Tom Tupa carries a 47.1 average which helped the Bucks lead the nation in net punting with a 44.1 average. Placekicker Rich Spangler was 14-19 on field goals last season, including five-of-six from 40 vards out, or longer.

Ohio State is weak--personnel wise, it seems--along both lines, but the Bucks always come up with good players there so concern is minimal. That dispesned with, Ohio State appears loaded and headed toward a top 10 ranking.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14		Pittsburgh
100	21	at	Colorado
	28		Washington St.
0.	5	at	Illinois
1	12		Indiana
1	19		Purdue
	₹26	at	Minnesota
N.	2		Iowa

at Northwestern 16 Wisconsin at Michigan

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 33-6	Away 20-7
Combined Spread Record	19-17	13-12-1
Favorite Spread Record	18-17	9-11-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	4-1

Austin's Edges

- The home team has covered 12 straight in the OSU-Minnesota series.
- The Buckeyes are 60-36 vs the spread against Big 10 rivals the past 12 years.
- OSU is 9-3 as a home favorite when the number is over 28.

1984 RESULTS

8.	8	Oregon St.	(-26)	L	22-14
	15	Wash. St.	(-8)	W	44-0
	22	Iowa	(-3)	W	45-26
	29 at	Minnesota	(-27)	L	35-22
0.	6 at	Purdue	(-8)	L	23-28
	13	Illinois	(-6)	W	45-38
	20 at	Michigan St.	(-9)	L	23-20
	27 at	Wisconsin	(-81/2)	L	14-16
N.	3	Indiana	(-22)	W	50-7
	10 at	Northwestern	(-26)	W	52-3
	17	Michigan	(-81/2)	W	21-6

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	3-2
Combined Spread Record	5-1	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	5-1	1-4
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Oregon	$(-23\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-6
	17 at	Oklahoma	$(+6\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-14
	24 at	Iowa	(-3)	L	14-20
0.	1	Minnesota	(-33)	W	69-18
	8	Purdue	(-26)	L	33-22
	15 at	Illinois	(-4)	L	13-17
	22	Michigan St.	$(-23\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-11
	29	Wisconsin	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-27
N.	5 at	Indiana	(-21)	W	56-17
	12	Northwestern	(NL)		55-7
	19 at	Michigan	(+2)	L	21-24

1983 POINTSPREA	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Baylor	(-12)	L	21-14
	18 at	Mich. St.	(-61/2)	W	31-10
	25	Stanford	(-61/2)	L	20-23
0.	2	Florida St.	(-7)	L	17-34
	9	Wisconsin	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	0-6
	16 at	Illinois	(+64)	W	26-21
	23 at	Indiana	$(-5^{1/2})$	W	49-25
	30	Purdue	(-13)	W	38-6
N.	6	Minnesota	$(-24\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-10
	13 at	Northwestern	$(-26\frac{1}{2})$	L	40-28
	20	Michigan	(+2)	W	24-14

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	4-0
Combined Spread Record	3-4	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-4	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Duke	$(-20\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-13
	19	Michigan St.	$(-19\frac{1}{2})$	L	27-13
	26 at	Stanford	(-5)	P	24-19
0.	3	Florida St.	(-7)	L	27-36
	1 at	Wisconsin	(-6)	L	21-24
	17	Illinois	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	34-27
	24	Indiana	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	29-10
	31 at	Purdue	(-1)	W	45-33
N.	7 at	Minnesota	(-8)	L	31-35
	14	Northwestern	(NL)		70-6
	21 at	Michigan	(+8)	W	14-9

4444 4 4445 444 4446	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	1-2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Syracuse	(-26)	L	31-21
	20	Minnesota	(-24)	W	47-0
	27	Arizona St.	(-19)	L	38-21
0.	4	UCLA	(-10)	L	0-17
	11 at	Northwestern	(NL)		63-0
	18	Indiana	(-15)	L	27-17
	25 at	Wisconsin	(-20)	W	21-0
N.	1 at	Michigan St.	(-16)	W	48-16
	8	Illinois	(-29)	L	49-42
	15 at	Iowa	(-24)	W	41-7
	22	Michigan	(-4)	L	3-9

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	4-0
Combined Spread Record	1-6	3-0
Favorite Spread Record	1-6	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

	Syracuse	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-8
15 at	Minnesota	(-13)	L	21-17
22	Wash. St.	(-23)	L	45-29
29 at		$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-13
6	Northwestern	(NL)		16-7
13	Indiana	(-13)	W	47-6
20	Wisconsin	(-20)	W	59-0
27	Michigan St.	(-16)	W	42-0
3 at	Illinois	$(-20\frac{1}{2})$	W	44-7
10	Iowa	(-21)	W	34-7
17 at	Michigan	(-5)	L	18-15
1 1 1 1 1	29 at 6 13 20 27 3 at 10 17 at	29 at UCLA 6 Northwestern 13 Indiana 20 Wisconsin 27 Michigan St. 3 at Illinois 10 Iowa 17 at Michigan	29 at UCLA (+3½) 6 Northwestern (NL) 13 Indiana (-13) 20 Wisconsin (-20) 27 Michigan St. (-16) 3 at Illinois (-20½) 10 Iowa (-21) 17 at Michigan (-5)	29 at UCLA (+3½) W 6 Northwestern (NL) 13 Indiana (-13) W 20 Wisconsin (-20) W 27 Michigan St. (-16) W 3 at Illinois (-20½) W 10 Iowa (-21) W

	Home	Away
Actual Record	7-0	4-0
Combined Spread Record	5-1	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	5-1	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

OKLAHOMA Sooners



With eight starters returning to the defensive platoon that ranked second nationally a year ago, Sooner Head Coach Barry Switzer is more concerned about his usually productive offense.

"Finding a quarterback will be the difference between having pretty good offense and one that will be scratching all the time," admitted Switzer. "We must blend our third offensive coordinator in as many years with our philosophy but I have no fear that can't be accomplished in a quality manner."

Quarterback will be the key. Switzer will look to either Troy Aikman or Kyle Irwin as the heirs to graduated All-Big Eight signal caller Danny Bradley. Aikman or Irwin will operate behind a blue-ribbon line led by seniors Eric Pope and Paul Ferrer, with the usual array of top-flight runners, paced by the triumvirate of Spencer Tillman, Lydell Carr and Earl Johnson as the prongs of the Sooner wishbone. When the bone breaks, look for receivers Keith Jackson and Derrick Shepard to become more involved in the offense, especially on third-andlong situations.

If the Sooners repeat their Orange Bowl appearance of a year ago, it probably will be because of their defense. It's loaded, particularly up front with All-America noseguard Tony Casillas and second-team All-Big Eight end Darrell Reed. Also, pencil in 1983 Big Eight Defensive Playerof-the-Year Kevin Murphy, back from a foot injury, at the other terminal. Starting tackles Richard Reed and Jeff Tupper also return and there's a super prospect in redshirt Tony Woods. The top four linebackers. paced by All-Big Eighter Brian Bosworth, are back, and if Switzer has a defensive problem, it's at cornerback, where neither starter from the Orange Bowl squad is back. Still, letter winners are available and both regular safeties return. Mike Winchester again will handle the kicking.

The Sooners will find out how good they are in a hurry, opening up in Norman with rugged SMU. Texas and Miami are in the middle of the schedule with Nebraska and Oklahoma State concluding it. The Big Eight title should come down to the final two games

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14		SMU
· v	28	at	Minnesota
0.	5	at	Kansas St.
	12		Texas (Dallas)
	19		Miami
	26		Iowa St.
N.	2		Kansas
	9	at	Missouri
	16		Colorado
	• 23		Nebraska
	30	at	Oklahoma St.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 27-6-1	Away 22-10
Combined Spread Record	16-12	18-11-1
Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record	16-12 0-0	12-9 6-2-1

Feist's Factors

- Sooners are 25-15 vs the points on the road against Big Eight teams since '73.
- Oklahoma is 10-2 vs the points against Nebraska the past 12 seasons.
- Okies are only 4-8 vs Texas in their annual Cotton Bowl encounter since

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Stanford	(-16)	L	7-19
	15 at	Pittsburgh	(+1)	W	42-10
	22	Baylor	(-18)	W	34-15
	29	Kansas St.	(-28)	L	24-6
0.	13	Texas (Dal.)	(+21/2)	W	15-15
	20 at	Iowa St.	(-27)	L	12-10
	27 at	Kansas	(-22)	L	11-28
N.	3	Missouri	(-41/2)	W	49-7
	10 at	Colorado	(-27)	L	42-17
	17 at	Nebraska	(+6)	W	17-7
	24	Okla. St.	(-5)	W	24-14
	19	984 POINTSI)
			H	ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	4-1-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	0-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	3-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at	Stanford	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-14
	17	Ohio St.	(-61/2)	L	14-24
	24	Tulsa	(NL)		28-18
0.	1 at	Kansas St.	(-16)	W	29-10
	8	Texas (Dal.)	(+4)	L	16-28
	15 at	Oklahoma St.	(-7)	L	21-20
	22	Iowa St.	(-23)	W	49-11
	29	Kansas	(NL)	W	45-14
N.	5 at	Missouri	(-3)	L	0-10
	12	Colorado	(-28)	L	41-28
	19	Nebraska	(+14)	W	21-28
D.	3 at	Hawaii	(NL)		21-17
	16	100 DOINTED	DEAD DE	COPD	

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away

		_
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-3
Actual Record	4-2	4-2

1982 RESULTS

	18 at 25	Kentucky	(-13)	W	29-8
	25	TIEC			40-0
0		USC	(-2)	L	0-12
A.C.	2 at	Iowa St.	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-3
	9	Texas (Dal.)	(+21/2)	W	28-22
1	16 at	Kansas	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-14
1	23	Okla, St.	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	L	27-9
- 1	30 at	Colorado	$(-22^{1/2})$	W-	45-10
N.	6	Kansas St.	(-15)	L	24-10
1	13	Missouri	(-14)	W	41-14
5	26 at	Nebraska	(+8)	W	24-28

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	5-1
Combined Spread Record	1-4	6-0
Favorite Spread Record	1-4	4-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Wyoming	(NL)		37-20
	26 at	USC	(+4)	P	24-28
0.	3	Iowa St.	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-7
1	10	Texas (Dal.)	(-3)	L	14-34
	17	Kansas	(-201/2)	W	45-7
	24	Oregon St.	(NL)		42-3
1	31	Colorado	(-29)	W	49-0
N.	7 at	Kansas St.	(NL)		28-21
1	14 at	Missouri	(-17)	L	14-19
	21	Nebraska	(-1)	L	14-37
	28 at	Oklahoma St.	(-9)	W	27-3
	19	981 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

Away Home 4-1-1

Actual Record 2-3 Combined Spread Record 2-2 1-2-1 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record 0-0-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Kentucky	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	W	29-7
	27	Stanford	(-15)	L	14-31
0.	4 at	Colorado	(-21)	W	82-42
	11	Texas	(+2)	L	13-20
	18	Kansas St.	(-28)	L	35-21
	25 at	Iowa St.	(-81/2)	W	42-7
N.	1	North Carolina	(-5)	W	41-7
	8 at	Kansas	(-14)	L	21-19
	15	Missouri	(-8)	W	17-7
	22 at	Nebraska	(+9)	W	21-17
	29	Oklahoma St.	(-25)	W	63-14
	11	DO DOINTEDI	DEAD DE	COPD	

1980 POINTSPREAD Home Away

Actual Record Combined Spread Record 4-2 3-2 Favorite Spread Record 4-2 2-1 0.0 Underdog Spread Record

S.	15	Iowa	(-29)	L	21-6
	22	Tulsa	(NL)		49-13
	29 at	Rice	(NL)		63-21
0.	6	Colorado	(NL)		49-24
	13 at	Texas	(-1)	L	7-16
	20 at	Kansas St	(-26)	W	38-6
	27	Iowa St.	(NL)		38-9
N.	3 at	Oklahoma St.	(-20)	W	38-7
	10	Kansas	(NL)		38-0
	17 at	Missouri	(-13)	W	24-22
	24	Nebraska	(-1)	W	17-14

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	4-1
Combined Spread Record	1-1	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	3-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

OKLAHOMA STATE Cowboys



Pat Jones' initial campaign as Oklahoma State head coach produced a 10-2 record, including a Gator Bowl victory over South Carolina, and the Cowboys could be in line for even greater success this year. Key to a Big Eight championship could be OSU's ability to take advantage of a schedule that has both Oklahoma and Nebraska visiting Stillwater this season.

On the playing field, Jones again will rely on his defense. Seven starters return from the Gator Bowl unit, headed by All-America tackle Leslie O'Neal, the Associated Press Big Eight Defensive Player-of-the-Year. O'Neal tackle partner, John Washington, runs a 4.8 forty despite great size (6-5, 275), and there's Marvin Hawkins at noseguard and Warren Thompson and Harry Roberts at end. All are seniors, as are linebackers James Ham and Ricky Adams, providing State with lots of experience up front. The secondary is younger, but All-Big Eight safety Mark Moore and nickel Mike Hudson should be the cornerstones of a good group.

Only one starter is missing from the offensive front five with tackle Paul Blair, an All-Big Eight pick a year ago, likely to spearhead the contingent. Rusty Hilger has graduated at quarterback, but understudy Ronnie Williams appears to be ready. Williams saw extensive action in only one game last year--against Kansas--when he was nine-of-12 passing for 113 yards while running for a TD. The Cowboys would like to exercise the option play more in 1985, but Thurman Thomas' stats make it tempting to stick with the I-formation. He's already being favorably compared with former OSU All-Americas Terry Miller and Ernest Anderson. The receiving crew will be somewhat new, but Jones feels all that Terry Wemer and Bobby Riley lack is playing time. Incumbent punter Cary Cooper returns but the Pokes have a big hole to fill in the placement department with the graduation of Larry Roach. A trio of walkons will battle for the vacancy.

If Williams can do the job at quarterback--and there's little reason to think he can't--the Cowbovs' season should come down to the Conference wars with Oklahoma and Nebraska.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7	at.	Washington
	14		N. Texas St.
	28		Miami, O.
0.	5		Tulsa
	12		Nebraska
	26	at	Kansas
N.	2	at	Colorado
	9		Kansas St.
	16	at	Missouri
	23	at	Iowa St.
	630		Oklahoma

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	18-12-3	19-14
Combined Spread Record	9-13	18-8-1
Favorite Spread Record	6-7	7-2-1
Underdog Spread Record	3-6	11-6

Austin's Edges

- Oklahoma State is 3-7 as home favorite vs nonconference teams the last 12 years.
- Cowboys are 9-3 vs the spread against Kansas State, since 1973.
- OSU is 3-9 vs the points against Oklahoma.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Arizona St.	(+41/2)	W	45-3
	15	Bowl. Green St.	(NL)		31-14
	22	San Die. St.	(NL)		19-16
	29 at	Tulsa	(-7)	W	31-7
0.	6 at	Nebraska	(+9)	W	3-17
	20	Kansas	$(-24\frac{1}{2})$	W	47-10
	27	Colorado	$(-29\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-14
N.	3 at	Kansas St.	(-23)	W	34-6
	10	Missouri	(-91/2)	W	31-13
	17	Iowa St.	(-26)	L	16-10
	24 at	Oklahoma	(+5)	L	14-24

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-2	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	N. Texas St.	(NL)		20-13
	17 a	t Cincinnati	(NL)		27-17
	24 8	t Texas A&M	(+31/2)	W	34-15
0.	1	Tulsa	(NL)		9-0
	8	Nebraska	(+251/2)	W	10-14
	15	Oklahoma	(+7)	W	20-21
	22 8	t Kansas	(-3)	W	27-10
	29 a	t Colorado	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	40-14
N.	5	Kansas St.	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-21
	12 a	t Missouri	(+7)	W	10-16
	19 a	t Iowa St.	(-11)	W	30-7
	1	983 POINTSI	PREAD RE	COR	D
		1929 (8.1522) 513	He	ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	5-1
Combined Spread Record	2-1	5-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	2-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	N. Texas St.	(NL)		27-6
100	18 at	Tulsa	(NL)		15-25
	25 at	Louisville	(NL)		22-28
0.	9	Kansas	(-31/2)	L	24-24
1	16	Colorado	(-10)	L	25-25
	23 at	Oklahoma	(+211/2)	W	9-27
	30	Missouri	(+21/2)	W	30-20
N.	6 at	Nebraska	(+28)	L	10-48
120	13 at	Kansas St.	(+6)	W	24-16
	20	Iowa St.	(-4)	W	49-13
	27 at	San Die. St.	(NL)		6-35
	10	DOINTS	DEAD DE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-0-2	1-5
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-1

1981 RESULTS

00				23-21
26	San Diego St.	(NL)		16-23
3 at	N. Texas St.	(NL)		9-0
10 at	Kansas	(+4)	W	20-7
17 at	Colorado	(-7)	L	10-11
24	Louisville	(NL)		19-11
31 at	Missouri	(+12)	W	16-12
7	Nebraska	(+13)	L	7-54
14	Kansas St.	(-91/2)	W	31-10
21 at	Iowa St.	(+3)	W	27-7
28	Oklahoma	(+9)	L	3-27
	10 at 17 at 24 31 at 7 14 21 at 28	10 at Kansas 17 at Colorado 24 Louisville 31 at Missouri 7 Nebraska 14 Kansas St. 21 at Iowa St.	10 at Kansas (+4) 17 at Colorado (-7) 24 Louisville (NL) 31 at Missouri (+12) 7 Nebraska (+13) 14 Kansas St. (-9½) 21 at Iowa St. (+3) 28 Oklahoma (+9)	10 at Kansas (+4) W 17 at Colorado (-7) L 24 Louisville (NL) 31 at Missouri (+12) W 7 Nebraska (+13) L 14 Kansas St. (-9½) W 21 at Iowa St. (+3) W 28 Oklahoma (+9) L

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	4-1
Combined Spread Record	1-2	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	3-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	W. Texas St.	(NL)		19-20
	20 at	Arkansas	(+14)	W	20-33
0.	4	Washington	(+1)	L	18-24
	11	Missouri	(+7)	L	7-20
	18 at	Nebraska	(+26)	L	7-48
	25	Kansas	(-3)	L	14-14
N.	1 at	San Diego St.	(NL)		15-6
	8	Colorado	(-12)	W	42-7
	15 at	Kansas St.	(-7)	W	10-0
	22	Iowa St.	(-6)	L	21-23
	29 at	Oklahoma	(+25)	L	14-63

1980 POINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	1-4	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	1-2

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	N. Texas St.	(NL)		25-7
	15	Wichita St.	(NL)		16-6
	22 at	Arkansas	(+11)	L	7-27
0.	6 at	South Carolina	(+71/2)	W	16-23
	13 at	Missouri	(+131/2)	W	14-13
	20	Nebraska	(+14)	L	0-36
15	27 at	Kansas	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	30-17
N.	3	Oklahoma	(+20)	L	7-38
	10 at	Colorado	(-1)	P	21-20
	17	Kansas St.	$(-6^{1/2})$	W	42-15
	24 at	Iowa St.	(-4)	L	13-10

ome A	way
3-2	4-2
-2 3	3-2-1
-0 1	-1-1
)-2 2	2-1-0
)-	2 2

OREGON Ducks



With seven starters returning from one of the more productive attacks in recent Oregon history, the Ducks should be able to score some points this season, but Head Coach Rich Brooks, entering his ninth campaign in Eugene, is concerned about his defense.

"Our biggest problem is proably lack of overall team speed," said Brooks. "We'll be a young defensive team. If there is a question mark on our team, it would be on the defensive side. We have more holes to fill than on offense."

The offensive holes are indeed few. Oh, the Ducks could use a tight end and a couple of linemen, but there are more pluses than minuses. There's first-team All-Pac-10 flanker Lew Barnes, who caught 37 balls a year ago, seven of them for TDs. On the other end of the connection is junior Chris Miller, a 50 percent passer who tossed for 10 TDs and 1,712 yards last year. Scott Holman (19 receptions, 16.0 average) is another top flight receiver and Alex Mack usually gets the tough inside vardage at fullback. The Ducks lose starter Kevin

McCall at tailback, but Tony Cherry had more yardage (569 to 561) and a better average, too (6.5 to 3.9).

The Duck defense has some starts in All-Conference safety Doug Judge and second-team Freshman All-America end Rob Marshall, but Oregon must strengthen its midsection, particularly at the tackle posts and middle linebacker. John Byrne, who spent much of last year at end. will move to one tackle post and Rollin Putzier will get a crack at the other. Ron Zemp is a possibility at MLB, as is Jerry Mikels, the team's leading tackler in '83 who might make the switch from OLB. Dave Maley is an excellent prospect up front--if he's fully recovered from corrective knee surgery. The Oregon kicking game, with Matt MacLeod and punter Mike Preacher. is in good shape, and the Ducks have an added weapon in RB Cherry who won first-team All-Pac-10 honors as a kick returner last fall.

Oregon's schedule, with Nebraska replacing Long Beach State, is a bit tougher than it was a year ago, but the Ducks still have a chance to achieve another winning season.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14	at	Colorado	
100	21		Stanford	
	28	at	Nebraska	
0.	5		Washington	
	19		California	
	26	at	San Diego St.	
N.	2		San Jose St.	
5.14	. 9	at	Washington St.	
	#16	at	Arizona	
.5	23		Oregon St.	
D	1		HSC (Tolera)	

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	14-17-4	12-19
Combined Spread Record	11-15	14-14
Favorite Spread Record	5-9	2-4
Underdog Spread Record	6-6	12-10

Feist's Factors

- The favorite is 8-4 in the Oregon-California series.
- The Ducks are 3-9 vs the points against Washington State since 1973.
- Oregon is only 3-8 vs the points in last spread decision before playing rival Oregon State.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Long Bea. St.	(NL)		28-17
	15	Colorado	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-20
	22 at	California	(+5)	W	21-14
	29	Pacific	(NL)		30-14
0.	6 at	Arizona	(+8)	L	14-28
	13	USC	(+5)	L	9-19
	20 at	Washington	(+18)	W	10-17
	27	Wash. St.	(PK)	L	41-50
N.	3 at	UCLA	(+111/2)	W	20-18
	10	Arizona St.	$(+4\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-44
	17 at	Oregon St.	(-41/2)	W	31-6
	19	984 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

TOOL LOUITIDE HEAVE	D ILL COX	
The second secon	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	3-2
Combined Spread Record	1-3	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	3-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Pacific	(NL)		15-21
	10 at	Ohio St.	$(+23\frac{1}{2})$	L.	6-31
	24	Houston	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	15-14
0.	1 at	San Jose St.	(NL)		34-44
	8	California	(+61/2)	W	24-17
	15 at	Arizona	(+22)	W	19-10
	22	Washington	(+10)	L	3-32
	29 at	Washington St.	(+7)	L	7-24
N.	5	UCLA	(+11)	P	13-24
	12 at	Stanford	(+21/2)	W	16-7
	19	Oregon St.	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	0-0

Home	Away
2-3-1	2-3
3-2	2-2
0-1	0-0
3-1	2-2
	2-3-1 3-2 0-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	5	Arizona St.	$(+10^{1/2})$	L	3-34
	11	San Jose St.	(NL)		13-19
	18	Fresno St.	(NL)		4-10
	25 a	t Washington	(+22)	W	21-37
0.	2 a	t USC	(+27)	L	7-38
	16 a	t California	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	7-10
	23	Notre Dame	(+14)	W	13-13
	30 a	t UCLA	(+231/2)	L	12-40
N.	6	Wash. St.	(-3)	L	3-10
	20	Arizona	(+12)	W	13-7
	27 B	t Oregon St.	(-51/2)	L	7-6

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	2-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 a	t Fresno St.	(NL)		16-23
	12 a	t Kansas	(PK)	L	10-19
	19	Pacfic	(NL)		34-0
	26	Washington	(+1)	L	3-17
0.	10 a	t Arizona St.	(+10)	L	0-24
	17	Arizona	(-1)	L	14-18
	24	Air Force	(-17)	L	10-20
	31	UCLA	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	11-28
N.	7 a	t Wash. St.	(+121/2)	L	7-39
	14 8	t Stanford	(+14)	L	3-42
	21	Oregon St.	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	47-17

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	0-5
Combined Spread Record	1-4	0-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	0-3

1980 RESULTS

6	Stanford	(+91/2)	- L	25-35
13	Kansas	(-8)	L	7-7
20	Michigan St.	(-6)	W	35-7
27 at	Washington	(+7)	W	34-10
		(-21/2)	L	6-31
18	USC	(+12)	W	7-7
25	Nev. Las Vegas	(NL)		32-9
1	Wash. St.	(-11)	L	20-10
8 at	UCLA	(+13)	W	20-14
		(-19)	P	40-21
		(-1)	L	37-42
	13 20 27 at 11 at 18 25 1 8 at 15 at	13 Kansas 20 Michigan St. 27 at Washington 11 at California 18 USC 25 Nev. Las Vegas	13 Kansas (-8) 20 Michigan St. (-6) 27 at Washington (+7) 11 at California (-2½) 18 USC (+12) 25 Nev. Las Vegas (NL) 1 Wash. St. (-11) 8 at UCLA (+13) 15 at Oregon St. (-19)	13 Kansas (-8) L 20 Michigan St. (-6) W 27 at Washington (+7) W 11 at California (-2½) L 18 USC (+12) W 25 Nev. Las Vegas (NL) 1 Wash. St. (-11) L 8 at UCLA (+13) W 15 at Oregon St. (-19) P

1980 POINTSPREAD	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-1-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	1-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-0

S.	8a	t Colorado	(+81/2)	W	33-19
	15 a	t Michigan St.	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-41
	22	Washington	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-21
	29 a	t Purdue	(+14)	W	7-13
0.	6	California	(PK)	W	19-14
	13 a	t Arizona	(+5)	L	13-24
	20	Air Force	(-20)	L	17-9
	27 a	t Wash. St.	(-6)	W	37-26
N.	10 a	t Stanford	(+61/2)	W	16-7
	17	UCLA	(-21/2)	L	0-35
	24	Oregon St.	(-14)	W	24-3
		OTO DOINTSE	P. A. P. String, Vol.	CORE	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	3-3
Combined Spread Record	3-2	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	3-2

OREGON STATE Beavers



After a five-year sentence that produced a 6-47-2 mark, Joe Avezzano has been released from Corvallis and Dave Kragthorpe is the new man in charge. Talk about cruel and inhuman punishment! Kragthorpe inherits a team that was held to 10 points or less six times last year while giving up 20 or more points on eight occasions. To make matters even worse, several key Oregon State players have fallen on tough academic times and their gridiron status remains unclear pending their classroom performances. Kragthorpe, who comes via an NCAA 1-AA Championship at Idaho State (1981) will open up the attack and try to get his troops to play aggressively on defense, but the deck is stacked against him. The shining light on offense is wide receiver Reggie Bynum, the Pac 10's leading receiver last season with 51 catches. The All-Conference firstteamer can expect double and sometimes triple coverage this fall-especially since OSU hasn't got much in the way of a ground game. The running game wasn't much to begin with, and now both of last year's starters are gone. So is quarterback Rick-

ey Greene, tossing those reins to Steve Steenwyk, a 46 percent passer who is less mobile than Greene. The offensive line has a pair of returning regulars in guard Darrick Brilz and center Jack Lester.

Defensively, it's much the same story. John Gonzalez, Oregon State's best defender (second-team All-Pac 10), is out pending his ability to handle his classwork as well as he does offensive linemen. That leaves Bob Cline and converted offensive lineman Tom Emmons to hold the line up front with Osia Lewis as the only returning starter at linebacker. The secondary also is pretty bare, Lavance Northington being the only starter returning back there and Michael Lopez being the only other letter winner. Jim Nielsen and Marty Breen who shared the placekicking chores last year, are back, along with punters Chip Stempeck and Glenn Pena.

Oregon State starts out with three straight home games but will spend six of the next eight Saturdays on the road. You can't blame Kragthorpe if he decides not to return from one of those trips.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Idaho
	14		California
	21		Fresno St.
	28	at	Grambling
0.	5	at	USC
100	12		Washington St.
	19	at	Washington
N.	2		Arizona
	9	at	Stanford
	16	at	UCLA
	23	at	Oregon

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 6-23	Away 1-34-2
Combined Spread Record	8-13	8-21
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	8-13	8-21

Austin's Edges

- Oregon State is 15-22 as a home underdog in the conference, since 1973.
- Beavers are 8-14 as an away conference dog when the line is +10 to
- OSU is 3-1 as a home favorite within the Pac 10 (since '73).

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Ohio St.	(+26)	W	14-22
	15	Arizona	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	L	8-27
	22	Wyoming	(NL)		41-14
	29 at	Idaho	(NL)		22-41
0.	6	Washington	(+21)	W	7-19
	13	California	(+41/2)	W	9-6
	20 at	Arizona St.	(+17)	L	10-45
	27	Stanford	(+4)	L	21-28
N.	3 at	Wash. St.	(+15)	L	3-20
	10 at	UCLA	(+18)	W	17-26
	17	Oregon	(+41/2)	L	6-31
	19	984 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 2-4 0-5 Combined Spread Record 2-3 2-2 0-0 Favorite Spread Record 0-0 Underdog Spread Record

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 at Arizona	(+24)	L	6-50
D.	10 at Portland St.	(NL)		51-14
	17 USC	(+24)	W	10-33
	24 at Colorado	(+12)	L	14-38
0.	1 Nev. Las Veg.	(NL)		21-35
	8 at Washington	(NL)		7-34
	15 at California	(+22)	L	14-45
	29 Stanford	(+13)	W	31-18
N.	5 Wash. St.	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	L	9-27
	12 at Arizona St.	(+29)	L	3-38
	19 at Oregon	(+131/2)	W	0-0
	toon DOINTIGE	DEADDE	CODE	

1963 PULNISPREA	DRECOR	U
	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-3	1-5-1
Combined Spread Record	2-1	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	1-3

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 a	t Arizona	(+28)	W	12-38
	18 a	t LSU	(+27)	L	7-45
	25	San Jose St.	(NL)		13-17
0.	2 a	t Stanford	(+30)	L	5-45
	9 8	t Wash. St.	(+17)	W	14-14
	16	Washington	(+311/2)	W	17-34
	23 a	t USC	(+311/2)	L	0-38
	30	California	(+101/2)	L	14-28
N.	6 a	t Arizona St.	(+32)	W	16-30
	20	Montana	(NL)		30-10
	27	Oregon	(+51/2)	W	6-7

1982 POINTSPREAD Home 0-5-1 Combined Spread Record 2-1 3-3 Favorite Spread Record 0-0 0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Fresno St	(NL)		31-28
	19 at	LSU	(+20)	W	24-27
	26 at	Minnesota	(+10)	L	12-42
0.	3	USC	(+24)	L	22-56
	10	Wash. St.	(+8)	L	0-23
	17 at	Washington	(+19)	L	17-56
	24 at	Oklahoma	(NL)		3-42
	31 at	California	(+13)	L	3-45
N.	7	Stanford	(+17)	L	9-63
	14	Arizona	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-40
	21 at	Oregon	(+161/2)	L	17-47

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	0-6
Combined Spread Record	0-4	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-4	1-4

1980 RESULTS

	Wyoming	(NL)		10-30
20 at	Arizona St.	(+21)	L	14-42
27 at	Texas	(NL)		0-35
11	Washington	(+18)	L	6-41
18 at	California	(+20)	L	6-27
25	Long Bea. St.	(NL)		21-31
1 at	Stanford	(+241/2)	L	13-54
8 at	Wash. St	$(+15\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-28
15	Oregon	(+19)	P	21-40
22	Arizona	(+14)	L	7-24
29	UCLA (Tokyo)	(+28)	L	3-34
	27 at 11 18 at 25 1 at 8 at 15 22	18 at California 25 Long Bea. St. 1 at Stanford 8 at Wash. St 15 Oregon 22 Arizona	27 at Texas (NL) 11 Washington (+18) 18 at California (+20) 25 Long Bea. St. (NL) 1 at Stanford (+24½) 8 at Wash. St (+15½) 15 Oregon (+19) 22 Arizona (+14)	27 at Texas (NL) 11 Washington (+18) L 18 at California (+20) L 25 Long Bea. St. (NL) 1 at Stanford (+24½) L 8 at Wash. St (+15½) L 15 Oregon (+19) P 22 Arizona (+14) L

*****	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-4	0-7
Combined Spread Record	0-2	0-5
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	0-5

1979 RESULTS

Underdog Spread Record

S.	8 at New Mexico	(NL)		16-35
	15 USC	(+23)	L	5-42
	22 at Kansas St	(+10)	W	16-22
	29 Arizona St.	(+6)	L	0-45
0.	6 at Washington	(+27)	L	0-41
	13 at California	(+17)	L	0-45
	20 San Jose St.	(NL)		14-24
	27 Stanford	(+21)	W	33-31
N.	3 Wash. St.	(+5)	W	42-45
	17 at Arizona	(+17)	L	18-42
	24 at Oregon	(+14)	L	3-24
	1979 POINTSI		ECORI)

Home 1-4 0-6 2-2 Combined Spread Record 1-4 Favorite Spread Record 0-0 0-0 Underdog Spread Record

PENNSYLVANIA

Quakers



Graduation may have robbed the Quakers of the major components from their three consecutive Ivy League championships, but Head Coach Jerry Berndt isn't about ready

to throw up the white flag.

5We're defending champions," said Berndt, "and the effort will be made to see that no one takes that title away without beating a solid Pennsylvania football team. We'll be competitive.'

Berndt will rely on three straight Quaker freshman teams that have posted 6-0, 5-1 and 6-0 marks in running roughshod over the rest of the lvy League frosh contingents since 1982.

The offense returns only two starters, but they're both headliners. Tailback Rich Comizio (596 yards, 5.3 average, seven TDs), last year's Ivy League Rookie-of-the-Year, and second-team All-Ivy guard Jeff Goyette will lead the way. Comizio's running back partner will be seasoned Mike O'Neill (274 yards, 6.2 average, five TDs), with Jim Crocicchia the guarterback. Crocicchia was injured in '84, but a year earlier had completed 28of-50 passes for 440 yards and five scores. Goyette's line partners will be

1984 RESULTS

(NL)

(-20)

(-4)

(+11)

(-7)

(-61/2)

(-12)1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

 $(+14\frac{1}{2})$

55-24

19-14

35-7

41-14

13-48

34-21

27-17

Away

4-1

4-1

4-0

W

Home

4-0

2-1

2-1

0-0

S. 22 at Dartmouth

13 at Brown

Yale

3 at Princeton

17 at Cornell

Actual Record

Harvard

Combined Spread Record

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

20 at Army

6

Davidson

Columbia

new, as will the receiving crew where those responsible for 93-of-96 passes caught last year have graduated. Steve Buonato and Scott Ernest are among the favorites up front with Scott Scungio and Brian Moyer leading the contingent of pass-receivers.

Like the offense, Penn's defense led the Ivy League statistical rankings in 1984. Five starters return from that effort, including first-team All-lvy tackle Tom Gilmore, who Berndt calls "the League's most dominating defensive player." Steve Pisano, Ken Coobs and Dexter Desir also return up front, providing the Quakers with the nucleus of a rugged front line. Denton Walker returns for a third starting season at linebacker, helped out by Gavin O'Connor and Bob Chizmar. Jeff Fortna will be "in a number of places" according to Berndt. The secondary has lost two gifted athletes in Tim Chambers and Ross Armstrong, but Duane Hewlett, the third starter in Berndt's 4-4-3, returns, Kirk Mover and Jude Driscoll are favored to fill the twin vacancies.

The kicking game also will be new, but Berndt probably has the people for a run at a fourth straight title.

1983 RESULTS

S.	17	Cornell	(-41/2)	W	28-7
	24 at	Delaware	(NL)		7-40
0.	1 at	Columbia	(-91/2)	W	35-10
	8	Brown	(-5)	L	24-24
	15	Lafayette	(NL)		28-20
	22 at	Yale	(-6)	W	17-0
	29	Princeton	(-5)	L	28-27
N.	5	Colgate	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-34
	12 at	Harvard	(+21/2)	L	0-28
	19	Dartmouth	(-21/2)	W	38-14
	15	983 POINTS	PREAD RE	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 4-1-1 2-2 Combined Spread Record 2-3 2-1 Favorite Spread Record 2-2 2-0 Underdog Spread Record

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	21		Cornell
1	28		Army
0.	* 5	at	Columbia
	· 12		Brown
	19	at	Davidson
100	26	at	Yale
N.	2		Princeton
1000	9	at	Colgate
	16	at	Harvard
	23		Dartmouth

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 15-14-1	Away 8-20
Combined Spread Record	14-8	10-13
Favorite Spread Record	6-3	6-3
Underdog Spread Record	8-5	4-10

Feist's Factors

- Penn is a combined 7-17 vs the points against Cornell and Harvard the last 12 years.
- Penn-Columbia series has been covered by the home team 8-of-10 decisions.
- Dog is 6-0 when Penn plays Brown at home.

S. 18 at Dartmouth

1982 RESULTS

(+13)

21-0

	25	Lehigh	(NL)		20-17
0.	2	Columbia	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	51-31
	9 8	t Brown	(+3)	W	24-21
	16 2	t Lafayette	(NL)		20-35
	23	Yale	(+1)	W	27-14
	30 8	at Princeton	(-4)	L	14-17
N.	6	Colgate	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-13
	13	Harvard	(+3)	W	23-21
	20 8	at Cornell	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	0-23
	177	1982 POINTS	PREAD RE	CORI)
		1002 1 0 11 1 10		ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	2-3
Combined Spread Record	4-0	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	3-0	2-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	19	Cornell	(+51/2)	W	29-22
	26 at	Lehigh	(NL)		0-58
0.	3 at	Columbia	$(-2^{1/2})$	L	9-20
	10	Brown	(+7)	W	24-26
	24 at	Yale	(+27)	W	3-24
	31	Princeton	(+3)	L	30-38
N.	7	Delaware	(NL)		6-40
	14 at	Harvard	(+161/2)	L	7-45
	21	Dartmouth	(+18)	L	14-40
	26	Richmond	(NL)		12-18
		or nomma		~~~~	

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	0-4
Combined Spread Record	2-2	1-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	1-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	20 at	Dartmouth	(+14)	L	7-40
	26	Lehigh	(NL)		6-35
0.	4	Columbia	(-1)	W	24-13
	11 at	Brown	(+16)	L	22-42
	18 at	Lafayette	(NL)		0-3
	25	Yale	(+17)	W	0-8
N.	1 at	Princeton	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-28
	8	Villanova	(NL)		3-34
	15	Harvard	(+13)	W	17-28
	22 at	Cornell	(+16)	L	9-31
	19	80 POINTS	PREAD RE	CORD	

1980 POINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	0-5
Combined Spread Record	3-0	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	1.3

S.	22 Cornell	(+4)	L	13-52
	29 at Lehigh	(NL)		7-31
0.	6 at Columbia	(+3)	L	7-12
	13 Brown	(+17)	W	18-24
	19 Lafayette	(NL)		7-9
	27 at Yale	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	L	6-24
N.	3 at Princeton	(+8)	L	10-38
	10 at Harvard	(+13)	L	26-41
	17 Dartmouth	(+12)	L	6-20
	1979 POINTS	PREAD R	ECOR	D
	ance of contract	F	Iome	Away
Ac	tual Record		0-4	0-5
Co	mbined Spread Rea	cord	1-2	0-4
Favorite Spread Record			0-0	0-0
	Inderdog Spread Re		1-9-	0-4

PENN STATE Nittany Lions



Joe Paterno's string of consecutive bowl appearances was halted at 13 last year when the Lions slipped to 6-5, but don't bet that Penn State doesn't begin a new streak this season. One reason is experience. Paterno has 35 players returning from last season's 44-man two-deep, including seven offensive starters and nine more on defense.

The attack will be led by running back D.J. Dozier, when healthy, one of the most exciting backs in the country. Dozier ran for 1,002 yards as a freshman in 1983, but injuries limited him to 691 last year. Steve Smith, who ran for 398 yards from his fullback spot, also returns to provide blocking and inside work for the Nittanies. The receiving corps also is talented, boasting leading pass catcher Herb Bellamy (16 receptions, 19.1 average), as well as tight end Dean DiMidio (11, 16.7). Up front, Penn State returns three requlars in tackle Chris Conlin, plus guards Jeff Woofter and Mitch Frerotte. The problem is at quarterback where starter Doug Strang has left the reins to juniors John Shaffer and Matt Knizner. Shaffer struggled in limited action

while Knizner attempted just 14 throws (completing seven). It's the development of this key position on which the success of the Penn State attack rests.

There are virtually no problems on defense where nine starters return. including the top eight tacklers. The front foursome of ends Don Ginnetti and Bob White, plus tackles Mike Russo and Todd Moules, is intact. Shane Conlin ad Don Graham head the linebacking corps, and even though starter Carmen Masciantonio has graduated, backup Rogers Alexander played often enough to have more tackles. The secondary is solid with Ray Isom, Lance Hamilton and Michael Zordich. Duffy Cobbs will take over for the departed Chris Sydnor at cornerback.

Penn State should be aided by a 1985 schedule that is not nearly as demanding as last year's was. The Lions replace Texas, Iowa and William & Mary with East Carolina, Cincinnati and Temple. Additionally, Alabama, West Virginia, Boston College and Notre Dame all must come to Beaver Stadium.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7	at	Maryland
	14		Temple
	21		East Carolina
	28	at	Rutgers
0.	12		Alabama
	19	at	Syracuse
	■ 26		W. Virginia
N.	. 2		Boston College
	9	at	Cincinnati
	16		Notre Dame
	23	at	Pittsburgh

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record *	Home 29-9	Away 19-9-1
Combined Spread Record	15-17	14-14
Favorite Spread Record	11-14	9-9
Underdog Spread Record	4-3	5-5

Austin's Edges

- PSU is 15-10 as an away favorite of less than 10.
- Penn State is 10-2 vs the points against West Virginia the last 12 seasons.
- Nittany Lions are 9-3 vs the spread in first bettable game after West Virginia since 1973.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Rutgers	(-18)	L	15-12
	15 8	t Iowa	(+7)	W	20-17
	22	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		56-18
	29 ±	Texas	(+2)	L	3-28
0.	6	Maryland	(-7)	L	25-24
	13 a	t Alabama	(-1)	L	0-6
	20	Syracuse	(-6)	W	21-3
	27 ε	t W. Virginia	(+2)	L	14-17
N.	3	Boston Coll.	(PK)	W	37-30
	17 a	t Notre Dame	(-1)	L	7-44
	24	Pittsburgh	(-7)	L	11-31
	1	1984 POINTSE	READ	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Ac	tual	Record		5-1	1-4
Co	mbir	ed Spread Reco	ord	2-3	1-4
F	avor	ite Spread Reco	rd	2-3	0-2
U	nder	dog Spread Red	cord	0-0	1-2
		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE			

1983 RESULTS

A.	29 ‡ Nebraska	(+61/2)	L	6-44
S.	10 Cincinnati	(NL)		3-14
	17 Iowa	(+21/2)	L	34-42
	24 at Temple	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	23-18
0.	1 at Rutgers	$(-12^{1/2})$	L	36-25
	8 Alabama	(+8)	W	34-28
	15 at Syracuse	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-6
	22 West Virginia	(+41/2)	W	41-23
	29 at Boston Coll.	$(-2^{1/2})$	L	17-27
N.	5 Brown	(NL)		38-21
	12 Notre Dame	(+1)	W	34-30
	19 at Pittsburgh	(+6)	W	24-24

Actual Record	4-2	3-2-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	1-5
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-4
Underdog Spread Record	3-1	1-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4 Temple	(NL)		31-14
	11 ' Maryland	(-15)	L	39-31
	18 Rugters	$(-25\frac{1}{2})$	W	49-14
	25 Nebraska	(+51/2)	W	27-24
0.	9 at Alabama	(+4)	L	21-42
	16 Syracuse	(-27)	L	28-7
	23 at W. Virginia	$(-4\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-0
	30 at Boston Col.	(-8)	W	52-17
N.	6 N. Carol. St.	(-21)	W	54-0
PV-	13 at Notre Dame	(-6)	W	24-14
	26 Pittsburgh	(-6)	W	19-10
	1982 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 7-0 3-1 Combined Spread Record 3-1 Favorite Spread Record 3-3 3-0 Underdog Spread Record

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Cincinnati	(NL)		52-0
	26 a	t Nebraska	(+4)	W	30-24
0.	3	Temple	(NL)		30-0
1	10	Boston College	(-25)	W	38-7
	17 a	t Syracuse	(-17)	W	41-16
	24	W. Virginia	(-17)	W	30-7
	31 at	t Miami, Fla.	(-6)	L	14-17
N.	7 at	t N. Carol. St.	(-14)	L	22-15
119	14	Alabama	(-4)	L	16-31
	21	Notre Dame	(-4)	L	24-21
×	28 at	Pittsburgh	(+7)	W	48-14
	1	981 POINTSPI	READ R	ECORD	

Home Away Actual Record 5-1 4-1 Combined Spread Record 2-2 3-2 Favorite Spread Record 2-2 1-2 Underdog Spread Record 0.0

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Colgate	(-27)	W	54-10
	20 at	Texas A&M	(-21/2)	W	25-9
	27	Nebraska	(+3)	L	7-21
0.	4 at	Missouri	(+61/2)	W	29-21
	11 at	Maryland	(-61/2)	W	24-10
	18	Syracuse	(-17)	P	24-7
	25 at	W. Virginia	$(-11\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-15
N.	1	Miami	(-11)	W	27-12
	8	N. Carol. St.	(-18)	L	21-13
	15 at	Temple	(NL)		50-7
	28	Pittsburgh	$(+4\frac{1}{2})$	L	9-14

Home 4-2

Away Actual Record 5-0 Combined Spread Record 2-3 3-1 Favorite Spread Record 2-1 2.1 Underdog Spread Record 0-2

1979 RESULTS

ers	$(-19\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-10
s A&M	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-27
aska	(+4)	L	17-42
vland	(-2)	W	27-7
v	(-24)	L	24-3
. (E. Ruth.)	(-9)	W	35-7
irginia	(-22)	W	31-6
ni, Fla.	(-19)	L	10-26
arol. St.	(-1)	W	9-7
ple	(NL)		22-7
burgh	(-2)	L	14-29
			irgh (-2) L INTSPREAD RECORD

Home Away 4-3 Actual Record 3-1 Combined Spread Record 3-1 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record 2-4 3-0

PITTSBURGH Panthers



Coming off an incredibly disappointing 3-7-1 season, Head Coach Foge Fazio knows the Panthers have a lot of work to do if they are to answer the critics who had Pittsburgh placed among the nation's top 10 teams last preseason.

"We want to develop a tough, aggressive attitude," said Fazio, "and we need to have consistent improvement in all areas of our football team."

Much of Pittsburgh's problems last year stemmed from an unsettled quarterback situation where John Congemi was never at 100 percent. Seemingly healthy again, Congemi may be ready to resume the form that led him to an outstanding campaign in '83 and fostered much of the optimism for last year. Congemi will miss the big play potential of flanker Bill Wallace (one of six graduated starters from the offensive platoon), but Chuck Scales (22 receptions, 19.6 average) and Matt Stennett could soften the blow. The running game loses top man Craig Heyward (disciplinary action) and fullbacks Marlon McIntyre and Marc Bailey (graduation), but at least Charles Gladman (525 yards) returns,

along with fullback candidate Darnell Stone (4.9-yard average). Randy Dixon looks like the latest in a long line of All-America offensive linemen which included Mark May, Russ Grimm, Jimbo Covert, Bill Fralic, and Clint Wilson has great potential at tight end.

Defensively, the Panthers should be strong up front, especially in the interior where Dennis Atiyeh, Bob Buczkowski and Walter Johnson all return to starting roles. Tony Woods is an excellent end but there's concern at linebacker where commencement grabbed two-year starters Troy Benson and Caesar Aldisert, the team's one and two tacklers the last two seasons. Bill Callahan is the mainstay in the secondary, but his supporting cast is unclear. Pass defense was a problem for the Panthers last year. Mark Brasco returns for the placement work, hoping to add some accuracy to a strong leg, while punter (and sometimes QB) Chris Jelic also is back.

No one is predicting a top 10 finish for the Panthers this year, and Fazio may have to scratch just to reach .500 this season.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Purdue
	14	at	Ohio St.
	21		Boston College
	28	at	W. Virginia
0.	5		South Carolina
199	12		N. Carol. St.
	19	at	
	26	at	Navy
N.	2		Syracuse
1	9	at	Temple
	23		Penn St

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 26-5-1	Away 24-9
Combined Spread Record	10-14	16-14
Favorite Spread Record	10-14	11-10
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	5-4

Feist's Factors

- Panthers are 9-4 as away dog of +10 or less, since 1973.
- Pitt is 26-17 as an away favorite, the past 12 years.
- Pittsburgh is 11-6 vs the spread as an away favorite when they held the previous weeks opponent to less than 10 points.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	BYU	(-10)	L	14-20
	15	Oklahoma	(-1)	L	10-42
	22 8	at Temple	(-8)	L	12-13
	29	W. Virginia	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-28
0.	6	E. Carolina	(-15)	L	17-10
	13 8	at S. Carolina	(+6)	L	21-45
	20 a	at Miami	(+15)	·L	7-27
	27	Navy	$(-6\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-28
N.	3 8	at Syracuse	(+31/2)	L	7-13
	10	Tulane	(-5)	W	21-10
	24 1	at Penn St.	(+7)	W	31-11
		1984 POINTS	PREAD RI	COR	D
			H	ome	Away
Ac	tual	Record	2	-3-1	1-4

1	Home 2-3-1	Away
Actual Record	2-3-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-5	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-5	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-3

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 at	Tennessee	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-3
	10	Temple	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-0
	24 at	Maryland	(-4)	L	7-13
0.	1 at	W. Virginia	(+5)	W	21-24
	8	Florida St.	(-1)	P	17-16
	15 a	Louisville	(NL)		55-10
	22 at	Navy	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-14
	29	Syracuse	$(-18\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-10
N.	5 at	Notre Dame	(+61/2)	W	21-16
	12	Army	(NL)		38-7
	19	Penn St.	(-6)	L	24-24
	1	983 POINTSE	PREAD RE	CORD).

1909 LOHATST PEN	DIFFICULT	L.
	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-0-1	4-2
Combined Spread Record	1-3	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	9	N. Carolina	(-61/2)	L	7-6
150	18	Florida St.	(-8)	W	37-17
	25 a	t Illinois	(-7)	W	20-3
0.	2	W. Virginia	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	16-13
1000	16	Temple	(NL)		38-17
	23 a	t Syracuse	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-0
120	30	Louisville	(NL)		63-14
N.	6	Notre Dame	(-10)	L	16-31
le.	13 a	t Army	(-33)	L	24-6
	20	Rutgers	(-27)	W	52-6
	26 a	t Penn St.	(+6)	L	10-19
	1	1982 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORD	

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	Illinois	(-17)	W	26-6
	19	Cincinnati	(NL)		38-7
0.	3 at	South Carolina	(-91/2)	W	42-28
100	10 at	W. Virginia	(-7)	W	17-0
	17	Florida St.	(-7)	W	42-14
	24	Syracuse	(-23)	L	23-10
	31 at	Boston Coll.	(-19)	L	29-24
N.	7 at	Rutgers	(-201/2)	W	47-3
100	14	Army	(NL)		48-0
	21 at	Temple	(NL)		35-0
	28	Penn St.	(-7)	L	14-48
	1	981 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	5-0
Combined Spread Record	2-2	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	3-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 Boston Coll.	(-24)	L	14-6
	20 at Kansas	(-16)	L	18-3
	27 Temple	(NL)		36-2
0.	4 Maryland	(-11)	W	38-9
	11 at Florida St.	(PK)	L	22-36
	18 W. Virginia	(-14)	W	42-14
	25 at Tennessee	(-4)	W	30-6
N.	1 at Syracuse	(-12)	W	43-6
	8 Louisville	(NL)		41-23
	15 at Army	$(-27\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-7
	28 at Penn St.	(-41/2)	W	14-9
	1980 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORD	

1000 LOIMINLERING	DIEFOOI	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	5-1
Combined Spread Record	2-1	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	4-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

		(-19)	W	24-0
22 at	North Carolina	(-3)	L	7-17
29 at	Temple	(NL)		10-9
6	Boston Coll.	(-17)	W	28-7
13	Cincinnati	(NL)		35-0
20 at	Washington	(+51/2)	W	26-14
27	Navy	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-7
3	Syracuse	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-21
10 at	W. Virginia	(-11)	L	24-17
17 at	Army	(-24)	W	40-0
24 at	Penn St.	(+2)	W	29-14
֡	29 at 6 13 20 at 27 3 10 at 17 at 24 at	29 at Temple 6 Boston Coll. 13 Cincinnati 20 at Washington 27 Navy	29 at Temple (NL) 6 Boston Coll. (-17) 13 Cincinnati (NL) 20 at Washington (+5½) 27 Navy (-12½) 3 Syracuse (-13½) 10 at W. Virginia (-11) 17 at Army (-24) 24 at Penn St. (+2)	29 at Temple (NL) 6 Boston Coll. (-17) W 13 Cincinnati (NL) 20 at Washington (+5½) W 27 Navy (-12½) W 3 Syracuse (-13¾) L 10 at W. Virginia (-11) L 17 at Army (-24) W 24 at Penn St. (+2) W

D ISTOCIA	
Home	Away
5-0	5-1
3-1	3-2
3-1	1-2
0-0	2-0
	5-0 3-1 3-1

PRINCETON **Tigers**



Ronald Rogerson, the new head coach at Princeton, will change the Tigers' stripes this year. An assistant for 10 seasons under Harold "Tubby" Raymond at Delaware, Rogerson will employ the Wing-T offense for which Raymond's teams are so well-known.

"The prospect of bringing the Wing-T offense into the Ivy League is exciting," said Rogerson. "The Wing-T is the most versatile offense in football and will allow us to develop a strong running game to go along with a comprehensive passing attack."

Rogerson may have the folks to get the job done. His return in quarterback, Doug Butler, is a two-time second-team All-Ivy League selection. Additionally, last year's starting running backs, tailback Chris Ratliff and fullback Butch Climmons, both return. Rogerson's major offensive concerns are replacing three time All-Ivy receiver Derek Graham, and four-offive starters along the offensive interior. The former spot might go to Tom Urquhart with a host of newcomers joining tackle Eric Dreiband up front. Ted Fire is the favorite at flanker with Scott Koski ahead of the pack at tight end.

The defense also has some good players but a lot of holes, too. Linebacker Anthony DiTommaso is a first-team All-Ivy choice and mate Lorne Keller is another returning starter. Jim Petrucci is a second-team All-Conference pick on the defensive line, but his partners will be new. It's the same story in the secondary where safety Jim Anderson is the lone returnee. Petrucci's help should come from a cast that includes Rick Blosser, Chuck Wootten, Chris Bormann, John Bniewicz, Ned Elton and David Rose. Tom Polte, Dave McEachern, Chip Nuzzo and Kevin Armstrong will compete in the secondary.

The Tigers will have to find a replacement for placekicker Mike Miskovsky, who set a Princeton record for field goal efficiency. Rob Goodwin, up from the freshman squad, is the leading candidate. Rob DiGiacomo, who averaged 35.6 yards per punt last year, returns to perform his specialty.

Rogerson has some impressive performers on both sides of the line of scrimmage, and if he can fill in some spots, his first season in Princeton might be a memorable one.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	21	at	Dartmouth
	28		Lehigh
0.	5	at	Brown
130	12		Columbia
	19		Colgate
	≥26	at	Harvard
N.	2	at	Penn
	9		Wm. & Mary
	016		Yale

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Cornell

	Home	Away
Actual Record	17-16	10-14-1
Combined Spread Record	11-15	13-11
Favorite Spread Record	5-8	5-2
Underdog Spread Record	6-7	8-9

Austin's Edges

- Tigers are 7-15 as an Ivy League home underdog.
- Princeton's 9-3 vs points against Harvard, but 2-10 vs the number Yale.
- The Tigers are 13-4 as away underdogs against the teams they play on the road this season.

1984 RESULTS

S.	22 at	Cornell	(-2)	W	17-9
	29	Bucknell	(NL)		20-14
0.	6	Brown	(-7)	L	30-32
	13 at	Columbia	(-12)	W	38-8
	20 at	Navy	(+17)	L	3-41
	27	Harvard	(+1)	L	15-17
N.	3	Penn	(+7)	L	17-27
-	10 at	Yale	(-1)	L	24-27
	17	Dartmouth	(-3)	W	21-17
	11	984 POINTS	PREAD R	ECORI	D
	-	DOLL CALLE		lome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	2-2
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	0-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	17 at	Dartmouth	(+71/2)	L	3-21
	24	Bucknell	(NL)		46-28
0.	1 at	Brown	(+41/2)	W	27-16
	8	Columbia	(-14)	L	35-26
	15	Navy	$(+16\frac{1}{2})$	W	29-37
	22 at	Harvard	(+3)	W	26-28
	29 at	Pennsylvania	(+5)	W	27-28
N.	5	Lafayette	(NL)		41-33
	12	Yale	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-28
	19	Cornell	(-41/2)	L	30-32

1985 PUINTSPREAL	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	1-3
Combined Spread Record	1-3	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	3-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	18 at	Cornell	(-41/2)	W	41-36
	25 at	Delaware	(NL)		17-35
0.	2	Brown	(+3)	W	28-23
	9 at	Columbia	(-81/2)	L	14-35
	16	Army	(+5)	L	14-20
	23	Harvard	(+2)	L	15-27
	30	Penn	(+41/2)	W	17-14
N.	6	Lafayette	(NL)		34-47
	13 at	Yale	(+6)	L	19-37
	20	Dartmouth	(+2)	L	20-43
	1	982 POINTS	PREAD RE	CORD	

Home Actual Record 2-4 Combined Spread Record 2.3 1-2 0-1 Favorite Spread Record 0-0 Underdog Spread Record

1981 RESULTS

S.	19 at	Dartmouth	(+6)	L	13-32
	26	Delaware	(NL)		8-61
0.	3 at	Brown	(+91/2)	W	20-17
	10	Columbia	(-11)	L	21-14
	17 at	Army	(+14)	L	0-34
	24 at	Harvard	(+9)	W	17-17
	31 at	Penn	(-3)	W	38-30
N.	7	Maine	(NL)		44-56
	14	Yale	$(+16\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-31
	21	Cornell	(-71/2)	W	37-14

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	2-2-1
Combined Spread Record	2-1	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-2

1980 RESULTS

S.	20 at	Cornell	(+6)	L	7-17
	27 at	Rutgers	(+22)	L	13-44
0.	4	Brown	$(-1^{1/2})$	L	11-28
	11 at	Columbia	(-9)	W	31-19
	18	Colgate	(+5)	W	14-10
	25	Harvard	(-3)	W	7-3
N.	1	Penn	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-21
	8	Maine	(NL)		24-7
	15 at	Yale	(+9)	L	13-25
	22	Dartmouth	(-1)	W	27-24
	10	non DOINTE	DDE AD DE	COBB	

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	1-3
Combined Spread Record	3-2	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-3

S.	22 at	Dartmouth	(+7)	W	16-9
	29	Rutgers	(+11)	L	14-38
0.	6 at	Brown	(+71/2)	L	12-31
	13	Columbia	(-8)	W	35-0
	20	Colgate	(-4)	L	6-17
	27 at	Harvard	(+1)	W	9-7
N.		Penn	(-8)	W	38-10
***	10	Yale	(+6)	L	10-35
	17	Cornell	(+4)	W	26-14
	15	979 POINTS	PREAD RI	ECORI)
				ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-1

PURDUE Boilermakers



An unknown factor last year (but generally regarded as a potential also-ran), Purdue will be among the preseason Big Ten Conference favorites this season, and rightfully so. Conference Coach-of-the-Year Leon Burtnett returns 15 starters from last year's 7-5 team that went to the Peach Bowl, including quarterback Jim Everett, the Big Ten's total offense kingpin (fifth nationally). Everett is the first quarterback in school history to throw for over 3,000 yards in a regular season, quite an accomplishment at QB-rich Purdue. Everett completed 57.8 percent of his passes with 18 touchdowns. On the other end are Steve Griffin--a second team All-Big Ten selection--who caught 64, Marty Scott, who grabbed 46, and Rick Brunner, who snared 30. Last year's top two rushers also return in tailbacks Ray Wallace and Rodney Carter. Wallace, who gained 587 yards and notched six scores, will move to fullback this fall, permitting both he and Carter (449 yards, plus 33 receptions) to play at the same time. If there is a problem on offense it is up front where only guard Mark Drenth is a returning

starter. Nevertheless, Burtnett has plenty of candidates for the four vacancies, including juco transfer Jim Richmond.

"Athletic ability wise, the offensive line could be more talented than last year's group," analyzed Burtnett.

The defense shouldn't be too bad, either. Nine starters return, led by All-Big Ten free safety Rod Woodson. He'll be joined in a secondary which also features Kennedy Wilson and Cris Dishman. The linebacking corps has Jason Houston, the team's No. 1 tackler from 1984, plus Kevin Sumlin, another returning starter. Up front is freshman All-America end Tony Visco, second-team All-Big Ten noseguard Brad Horner, as well as starters Kevin Holley and Don Baldwin. Mike Rendina (32-33 PAT, 14-24 FG) returns to handle the placement duties, and may also do the punting now that Brian Thornson has used up his eligi-

Purdue won't be sneaking up on anyone this fall, but the Boilermakers won't have to. Burtnett's club is solid enough to meet the Conference's best head-on and hold its own.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7	at	Pittsburgh
130	21		Ball St.
	28		Notre Dame
0.	5	at	Minnesota
	12		Illinois
	19	at	Ohio St.
	26		Michigan St.
N.	2		Northwestern
	9	at	Michigan
	16		Iowa
	23	at	Indiana

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	21-13-1	13-18
Combined Spread Record	14-18	14-17
Favorite Spread Record	6-11	7-7
Underdog Spread Record	8-7	7-10

Feist's Factors

- Boilermakers are 20-30 vs the points against the Big 10 teams on the road.
- Purdue is 4-10 as a favorite when the line is -30 to -61/2.
- Makers are 6-14 as a dog vs the "Big Two" the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Not. Dame (Ind	.) (+20)	W	23-21
	15	Miami, Fla.	(+121/2)	W	17-28
	22	Minnesota	(-14)	W	34-10
	29 at	Mich. St	(+11/2)	W	13-10
0.	6	Ohio St.	(+8)	W	28-23
	13	Iowa	(+4)	L	3-40
	20 at	Illinois	(+9)	L	20-34
	27 at	Northwestern	(-9)	W	49-7
N.	3	Michigan	(+1)	W	31-29
	10 at	Wisconsin	(+3)	L	13-30
	17	Indiana	(-17)	L	31-24

Home Actual Record 4-2 3-2 4-2 3-2 Combined Spread Record Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record 1-0 1-1 3-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Notre Dame	(+9)	L	6-52
	17 at	Miami	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	0-35
	24 at	Minnesota	(-41/2)	W	32-20
0.	1	Michigan St.	(-5)	L	29-29
	8 at	Ohio St.	(+26)	W	22-33
	15 at	Iowa	(+18)	W	14-31
	22	Illinois	(+10)	L	21-35
	29	Northwestern	(-19)	W	48-17
N.	5 at	Michigan	(+18)	L	10-42
	12	Wisconsin	(+41/2)	W	38-42
	19 at	Indiana	(-61/2)	L	31-30

1983 PUINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-3-1	2-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Stanford	(+1)	L	14-35
	18	Minnesota	(+1)	L	10-36
	25 at	Notre Dame	$(+16\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-28
0.	2	Wisconsin	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	L	31-35
	9 at	Illinois	(+13)	W	34-38
	16	Northwestern	(-22)	L	34-21
	23 at	Michigan St.	$(+4\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-21
	30 at	Ohio St.	(+13)	L	6-38
N.	6	Iowa	(+4)	W	16-7
	13 at	Michigan	(+21)	L	21-52
	20	Indiana	(-4)	L	7-13

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-5	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	3-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Stanford	(+1)	W	27-19
	19 at	Minnesota	(-5)	L	13-16
	26	Notre Dame	(+71/2)	W	15-14
0.	3 at	Wisconsin .	(+1)	L	14-20
	10	Illinois	(-61/2)	L	14-20
	17 at	Northwestern	(-26)	W	35-0
	24	Michigan St.	(-12)	L	27-26
	31	Ohio St.	(+1)	L	33-45
N.	7 at	Iowa	(+3)	L	7-33
	14	Michigan	(+11)	L	10-28
	21 at	Indiana	(-9)	L	17-20

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Home	Away
3-3	1-4
2-4	1-4
0-2	1-2
2-2	0-2
	3-3 2-4 0-2

1980 RESULTS

6 at	Notre Dame	(+2) I	10-31
13 at	Wisconsin	(-10) I	12-6
20	UCLA	(-5) I	13-24
4	Miami, Ohio	(-15) V	V 28-3
11	Minnesota	(-12) V	V 21-7
18 at	Illinois	(-8) V	V 45-20
25	Michigan St.	(-13) I	36-25
1 at	Northwestern	(-27) I	52-31
8	Iowa	(-15) V	V 58-13
15 at	Michigan	(+5½) I	0-26
22	Indiana	(-10) I	24-23
	13 at 20 4 11 18 at 25 1 at 8 15 at	4 Miami, Ohio 11 Minnesota 18 at Illinois 25 Michigan St. 1 at Northwestern 8 Iowa 15 at Michigan	13 at Wisconsin (-10) 1 20 UCLA (-5) 1 4 Miami, Ohio (-15) 1 11 Minnesota (-12) 1 18 at Illinois (-8) 1 25 Michigan St. (-13) 1 1 at Northwestern (-27) 1 8 Iowa (-15) 1 15 at Michigan (+5½) 1

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away		
Actual Record	5-1	3-2		
Combined Spread Record	2-2	1-4		
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-2		
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-2		

S.	8	Wisconsin	(-20)	W	41-20
	15 at	UCLA	(-61/2)	L	21-31
	22	Notre Dame	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-22
	29	Oregon	(-14)	L	13-7
0.	6 at	Minnesota	(-8)	L	14-31
	13	Illinois	(-18)	L	28-14
	20 at	Michigan St.	(-2)	W	14-7
	27	Northwestern	(NL)		20-16
N.	3 at	Iowa	(-51/2)	W	20-14
	10	Michigan	(+61/2)	W	24-21
	17 at	Indiana	(-3)	W	37-21
	19	979 POINTSP	READ RI	CORL)
	-	-10/2 (500)	H	ome	Away

Actual Record	6-0	3-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	3-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

RICE Owls



The Owls showed improvement last year--not in terms of wins and losses but in offensive production--and now second-year Head Coach Watson Brown is out to resurrect the defense, as well.

We went out this spring to accomplish one major thing, and that was to get better on defense," said the Owls' coach. "I think we can get better. Even though we're still young, we're going to be even more experienced and deeper."

Eight starters return from last season's defensive platoon, but the Owls surrendered nearly 35 points per contest so tightening up is needed, all around. A pleasant discovery in the spring was the improvement of the secondary. A quartet of Ernest Evans, Donnell Jones, Steve Kidd and Alvin Rettig could be one of the better foursomes in the Southwest Conference. The Owls also have a good linebacker in Danny Burgess, the team leader in tackles, and a tough defensive trenchman in noseguard Dwain Turner.

The offense scored better than 19 points per game last year and finished the season on a positive note with 40 points against Baylor and 26

8 at Minnesota

Texas 13 at TCU

27 at Texas A&M

3 at Arkansas

SMU

1 at Houston

Combined Spread Record

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

17 Baylor

Texas Tech

22 Lamar

29 at Miami

(+31/2)

(NL)

(+30)(+31)

(+20)

(+71/2)

(+291/2)

 $(+23\frac{1}{2})$

(+15)

(+19)1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Home

3-1

0-0

24-31

36-19

3-38

13-38

24-45

10-30

14-38

17-31

40-46 26-38

Away 0-6

2-4 0-0

6-28

vs SWC champion Houston. Kerry Overton, who turned in the fifth-best total offense season in Rice history. provided much of that offense last year, but he was edged out in spring drills by Mark Comalander who set an SWC freshman passing mark with his 357 yard day against Houston. Comalander will be looking for receivers like Marc Scott, Derrick Wells, Keith Lewis and Ken Major, the latter a highly sought-after tight end from the junior college ranks. But while the aerial game has promise, the running game is a mystery. Rice averaged only 101 yards rushing per game last year (the opposition averaged 257) and there's not much hope for a turnabout this season unless the Owls get better blocking up front from three returning starters, tackle Paul Power, center Jim Simpson and guard Russell Moses. Todd Jones and Antonio Brinkley are expected to be the major ball-toters. James Hamrick, who nailed seven-of-10 FGs from 40 yards or more, is back, along with punter Steve Kidd.

Brown's offense should be better, especially if Rice can run some.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	• 14		Miami
100	# 21	at	Air Force
	28		Lamar
0.	. 5	at	Texas
1000	12		TCU
	19	at	Texas Tech
	26		Texas A&M
N.	2		Arkansas
1300	9	at	SMU
	16	at	Baylor
	30		Houston

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 6-26	Away 6-28
Combined Spread Record	11-17	17-15
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	11-16	17-15

Austin's Edges

- Owls are 2-7 vs the points against the Longhorns of Texas, since '73.
- Rice is 9-3 as an underdog of +28 or more in the SWC.
- Owls have lost 11-of-last-12 ATS vs non-conference teams.

1983 RESULTS

S.	1	Houston	(+14)	L	14-45
	10	Minnesota	(+3)	L	17-21
	17	LSU	(+221/2)	W	10-24
	24	SW Louisiana	(NL)		22-21
0.	1a	t Texas	(NL)		6-42
	8	TCU	(+7)	L	3-34
	15 a	t Texas Tech	(+18)	W	3-14
	22	Texas A&M	(+16)	L	10-29
	29 a	t Arkansas	(+27)	L	0-35
N.	5 a	tSMU	(NL)		6-20
	12 a	t Baylor	(+22)	L	14-48
	1	983 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

1900 PUNISPREAL	RECUR	D
	Home	A
Actual Pagord	1.5	. (

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	0-5
Combined Spread Record	1-4	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-4	2-2

1982 RESULTS

1					
S.	11	SW La.	(NL)		14-21
1000	18 8	t Tulane	(+7)	L	6-30
	25 €	t La. St.	(+18)	L	13-52
0.	2	Texas	(+21)	L	7-34
2	9 8	t TCU	(+81/2)	W	16-24
	16	Texas Tech	(+61/2)	W	21-23
	23 ε	t Texas A&M	(+13)	L	7-49
1 1	30 a	t Arkansas	(+30)	W	6-24
N.	6	SMU	(+241/2)	L	14-41
1	13	Baylor	(+10)	L	13-35
	27 €	t Houston	(+20)	W	21-28
		OOO DOTATECT	DEAD DE	CODE	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-5	0-6
Combined Spread Record	1-3	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	3-3

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at	Texas	$(+21\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-31
	19 at	Missouri	(+16)	L	10-42
	26 at	LSU	(+15)	W	14-28
0.	3	Tulane	(+31/2)	W	20-16
	10	TCU	(+3)	W	41-28
	17 at	Texas Tech	(+31/2)	W	30-23
	24	Texas A&M	(+4)	L	26-51
	31	Arkansas	(+11)	L	7-41
N.	7 at	SMU	(+24)	W	12-33
	14 at	Baylor	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-14
	28	Houston	(+13)	L	3-40

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	2-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-3	4-2

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at Clemson	(+17)	W	3-19
	20 at Tulane	(+15)	L	14-35
	27 LSU	(+11)	W	17-7
0.	4 Texas	(+20)	W	28-41
	11 at TCU	(+31/2)	W	28-24
	18 Texas Te	eh (+4)	L	3-10
	25 at Texas Ad	kM (+10½)	W	10-6
N.	1 at Arkansa	s (+15)	W	17-16
	8 SMU	(+7)	L	14-34
	15 Baylor	(+14)	W	6-16
	29 at Houston	(+19)	W	35-57
	1980 POII	VISPREAD RE	CORD	

1980 PULNISPILEA	DRECOR	U
	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	4-2
Combined Spread Record	3-2	5-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	3-2	5-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	8 at	SMU -	(+28)	W	17-35
	15	Tulane	(+11)	W	21-17
	22 at	LSU	(-18)	L	3-47
	29	Oklahoma	(NL)		21-63
0.	6 at	Texas	(NL)		9-26
	13	TCU	(-4)	L	7-17
	20 at	Texas Tech	(+21)	L	7-30
	27	Texas A&M	(+20)	L	15-41
N.	3	Arkansas	(+21)	L	7-34
	17 at	Baylor	(+24)	L	14-45
D.	1	Houston	(+27)	L	0-63
	1	979 POINTSI	PREAD I	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
100	40.00	1		1.5	0 5

1-3 Combined Spread Record 0-0 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record

RUTGERS Scarlet Knights



With 14 starters returning from the team that surprised a lot of folks last year you'd think all would be peachy in Piscataway. But the Scarlet Knights face one of the roughest schedules in the country and Dick Anderson's second Rutgers edition, though better than the first, may not achieve as much in terms of wins and losses. The Knights open on the road with Florida and Army before Giants Stadium tussles with Penn State and Boston College. Later, there are trips to Tennessee and West Virginia.

But while the Scarlet schedule is tough, so are the Scarlet. The attack, which operates out of multiple formations, should be ground oriented with a veteran offensive line opening holes for returning rushing leaders Albert Smith (869 yards, nine TDs), Vernon Williams (398) and Curtis Stephens (269). A sore spot for Anderson, however, is a receiving corps that loses all three starters. Scott Drake (13 receptions) seems ticketed for tight end with Brian Cobb, the only other returning letter winner at receiver, headed for a wideout assignment. Rutgers' defense had its moments last year--a shutout of Syracuse, 7 points to Army, 15 to Penn State and 19 to West Virginia--and again should be impressive. Seven starters return, led by the linebacking duo of Roy Oake and Tyronne Stowe. The twosome combined for 243 tackles last year, Oake leading the club with 124. George Pickel heads an extremely deep interior line crew that also features Tony Sagnella and Harry Swayne while the ends are patrolled by Jim Pickel and Tom Duffy. If there is a problem, it's in the secondary where graduation has claimed a pair of starters, leaving Jacque LaPrarie and Steve Twamley as the most experienced hands. Dan McHarris and Roger Pollard are likely to round out the new quartet. Tom Angstadt, who ranked ninth in the nation with 77 points last year (19-28 FG, 20-20 PAT) returns for the kicking duties but punter Gary Liska will have to be replaced.

Rutgers has the personnel for another good season, but the Scarlet will have to demonstrate that against a very tough schedule. That may not be so easy to do.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

Ш	S.	14	at	Florida
ш		21	at	Army
ш		28		Penn St.
ш	0.	5		Boston College
ч		12	at	Temple
		*19		Pittsburgh
		26		Richmond
	N.	2	at	Tennessee
		. 9	at	W. Virginia
		16		Colgate
		23		Syracuse

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	19-13	16-17
Combined Spread Record	12-11-1	12-9
Favorite Spread Record	7-8-1	5-2
Underdog Spread Record	5-3	7-7

Feist's Factors

- Scarlet Knights are 1-5 ATS vs Pitt & West Virginia combination.
- Rutgers is 7-2 as an away favorite vs independents.
- Knights are 12-4 as a favorite between 10-19½ points.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Penn St.	(+181/2)	W	. 12-15
	15	Temple	(-2)	L	10-9
	22 at	Syracuse	(+7)	W	19-0
	29	Cincinnati	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	43-15
0.	6 at	Kentucky	(+61/2)	L	14-27
	13	Army (Mdl.)	(-71/2)	L	14-7
	20	Louisville	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	38-21
	27 at	Boston Coll.	(+14)	W	23-35
N.	10	West Va. (Mea.)	(+41/2)	W	23-19
	17	Colgate	$(-20\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-7
	24 at	Miami			
				CORD	

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	2-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	3-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 Connecticut	(NL)		22-5
	17 ‡ Boston Coll.	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	22-42
	24 at Syracuse	(+6)	W	13-17
0.	1 ‡ Penn St.	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	25-36
	8 at Army	(NL)		12-20
	15 Colgate	(-2)	W	29-26
	22 at Wm. & Mary	(NL)		35-28
	29 ‡ Tenn.	(+17)	W	0-7
N.	5 at Cincinnati	(NL)		7-18
	12 at West Virginia	(+22)	L	7-35
	19 Temple	(+2)	W	23-24

Actual Record 2-4 1-4
Combined Spread Record 1-0 0-1
Favorite Spread Record 1-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	3	Syracuse (Mdw)	(PK)	L	8-31
	18 at	Penn St.	$(+25\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-49
	25 at	Temple	(NL)		10-7
O.	2 at	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		27-17
	9	Army (Mdw.)	(-7)	W	24-3
	16 at	Boston Coll.	(+16)	W	13-14
	23	Colgate	(-61/2)	W	34-17
	30 at	Richmond	(NL)		20-14
N.	6 at	Auburn	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-30
	11	W. Va.(Mdw.)	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-44
	20 at	Pittsburgh	(+27)	L	6-52
	19	82 POINTSPE	EAD RE	CORD	

Actual Record 3-2 2-4
Combined Spread Record 2-2 1-3
Favorite Spread Record 2-1 0-0
Underdog Spread Record 0-1 1-3

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 at Syracuse	(+7)	W	29-27
200	12 Colgate	(-15)	L	13-5
	18 Virginia (ER)	(-4)	L	3-0
	26 at Cincinnati	(NL)		0-10
0.	3 Cornell	(-20)	L	31-17
-	10 at Army	(-7)	W	17-0
	17 Temple	(NL)		12-24
	24 at Alabama	(NL)		7-31
N.	7 Pittsburgh	(+20)	L	3-47
-11	14 at W. Virginia	(NL)		3-20
	21 at Boston Coll.	(NL)		22-27
	1001 POINTSE	READR	ECORE)

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	2-4
Combined Spread Record	0-4	2-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-3	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-0

1980 RESULTS

Underdog Spread Record

S.	13 at	Temple	(NL)		21-3
	21	Cincinnati	(NL)		24-7
	27	Princeton	(-22)	W	44-13
0.	4 at	Cornell	(-17)	W	44-3
	11	Alabama (ER)	(+19)	W	13-17
	18	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		18-21
	25 at	Syracuse	(+1)	L	9-17
N.		Army	(-12)	W	37-21
	8 at	Virginia	(-1)	W	19-17
	15	W. Virginia	(-61/2)	L	15-24
	22	Colgate	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-13

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	4-1
Combined Spread Record	3-1	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	Holy Cross	(-8)	W	28-0
	15 at	Penn St.	$(+19\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-45
	22	Bucknell	(NL)		16-14
	29 at	Princeton	(-11)	W	38-14
0.	6	Temple	(NL)		20-41
	13 at	Connecticut	(NL)		16-14
	20 at	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		24-0
N.	3 at	Tennessee	(+18)	W	13-7
	10	Army (E. Rutfe	d.)(-20)	P	20-0
	17	Villanova	(NL)		17-32
	25 at	Louisville	(NL)		31-7
	1	979 POINTSF		CORE	

SOUTH CAROLINA Gamecocks



Despite the fact that only eight starters are back from the 1984 South Carolina team that won 10 games last year and made an appearance in the Gator Bowl, Head Coach Joe Morrison feels the taste of victory gained last season will carry over into the 1985 campaign.

'Our young men learned the price they must pay for success," said Morrison. "They enjoyed this past season and are anxious to repeat that success."

On offense, the Gamecocks have aces and spaces. The aces are the quarterback tandem of Mike Hold and Allen Mitchell, plus the running back combo of Thomas Dendy and Kent Hagood, along with wideout Eric Poole. The spaces are the holes created everywhere else by graduation. Mitchell usually starts at QB for the Gamecocks and Hold comes in to finish things off. That system worked well enough to produce 2,165 yards and 15 TDs in '84. Dendy and Hagood also excelled teaming up for 1,185 yards and a 6.4-yard average. All Poole did was average a gaudy 32.1 yards for each of his 10 receptions. Morrison

will have to find replacements for those spaces, the largest of which was created by the departure of All-America Del Wilkes.

On defense, South Carolina will stick with its "Fire Ant" approach, where the emphasis is on converging and swarming to the ball. The line will be small, but swift. Tony Guyton (210), Fitzgerald Davis (250), Tommy Chaikin (225) and Willie McIntee (225), are the likely starters. Carl Hill has the most experience at linebacker and he'll get help from Sam Taylor and Danny Miller. The secondary, with three returning starters--Chris Major, Joe Brooks and Otis Morris--should form the heart of the defense. Scott Hagler returns as the Gamecocks placekicker after connecting on eightof-13 field goal attempts last year.

South Carolina will play seven games at home in 1985--as it did in 84--and should benefit from that home cookin'. The Gamecocks have a lot of holes to fill, but Morrison has the skill people on offense for a good attack and the defense should be adequate enough to make SC a top 20 team again.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

A.	31		The Citadel
S.	7		Appalachian St.
	21		Michigan
	28	at	Georgia
0.	5	at	Pittsburgh
	12		Duke
	26	at	East Carolina
N.	. 2		N. Carol. St.
	9	at	Florida St.
	16		Navy
	23		Clemson

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	33-13	9-13
Combined Spread Record	16-15-1	12-9-1
Favorite Spread Record	12-8-1	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	4-7	10-7-1

Austin's Edges

- Favorite is 9-3 in the USC-N.C. State rivalry since
- Gamecocks are 23-12 vs the points against ACC teams, at home, the last 12 years.
- S.C. is 11-5 as home chalk vs teams they play at home this season.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Citadel	(NL)		3-24
	22	Duke	(-8)	W	21-0
	29	Georgia	(+5)	W	17-10
0.	6	Kansas St.	(-14)	W	49-17
	13	Pittsburgh	(-6)	W	45-21
	20 a	t Notre Dame	(-3)	W	36-32
	27	E. Carolina	(-19)	W	42-20
N.	3 8	t N. Carol. St.	(-91/2)	L	35-28
	10	Florida St.	$(+2\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-26
	17 8	t Navy	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-38
	24 €	t Clemson	(+2)	W	22-21
	-	1984 POINTSE	READ RE	COR	D
			U.	ma	Amner

Home	Away
7-0	3-1
6-0	2-2
4-0	1-2
2-0	1-0
	7-0 6-0 4-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	North Carolina	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	8-24
	10	Miami, Ohio	(NL)		24-3
	17 at	Duke	(+4)	W	31-24
	24 at	Georgia	(+141/2)	L	13-31
0.	1	USC	(+9)	W	38-14
	8	Notre Dame	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	6-30
	22 at	LSU	(+71/2)	L	6-20
	29	N. Carol. St.	(-6)	W	31-17
N.	5 at	Florida St.	(+14)	L	30-45
	12	Navy	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-7
	19	Clemson	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-22

1983 POINTSPREAD	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	1-3
Combined Spread Record	3-3	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	0.0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	1-3

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Pacific, Cal.	(NL)		41-6
	11	Richmond	(NL)		30-10
	18	Duke	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-30
	25	Georgia	(+9)	L	18-34
0.	2	Cincinnati	(NL)		37-10
	16	Furman	(NL)		23-28
	23 a	t La. St.	(+17)	W	6-14
	30 a	t N. Carol. St.	(+31/2)	L	3-33
N.	6	Florida St.	(+111/2)	L	26-56
	13	Navy	(-3)	P	17-14
	20 a	t Clemson	(+21)	W	6-24

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-4	0-3
Combined Spread Record	0-3-1	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	2-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 at	Wake Forest	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	23-6
	12	Mississippi	(-6)	L	13-20
	19	Duke	(-11)	W	17-3
	26 at	Georgia	(+9)	L	0-24
0.	3	Pittsburgh	(+91/2)	L	28-42
	10 at	Kentucky	(+3)	W	28-14
	17	Virginia	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-3
	24 at	North Carolina	(+141/2)	W	31-13
	31	N. Carol. St.	(-7)	W	20-12
N.	7	Pacific	(NL)		21-23
	21	Clemson	(+8)	L	13-29
D.	5	Hawaii	(NL)		10-33

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-5	3-1
Combined Spread Record	3-3	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	2-1
B		

1980 RESULTS

13	Wichita St.	10 Vm 1		
4 4 4		(NL)		73-0
20 at	USC	(+11)	W	13-23
27 at	Michigan	(+61/2)	W	17-14
4	N. Carol. St.	(-11)	W	30-10
11	Duke	(-21)	L	20-7
18	Cincinnati	(NL)		49-7
1 at	Georgia	(+3)	P	10-13
8	Citadel	(NL)		45-24
15	Wake Forest	(-14)	L	39-38
22	Clemson	(-7)	L	6-27
	27 at 4 11 18 1 at 8	27 at Michigan 4 N. Carol. St. 11 Duke 18 Cincinnati 1 at Georgia 8 Citadel 15 Wake Forest	27 at Michigan (+6½) 4 N. Carol. St. (-11) 11 Duke (-21) 18 Cincinnati (NL) 1 at Georgia (+3) 8 Citadel (NL) 15 Wake Forest (-14)	27 at Michigan (+6½) W 4 N. Carol. St. (-11) W 11 Duke (-21) L 18 Cincinnati (NL) 1 at Georgia (+3) P 8 Citadel (NL) 15 Wake Forest (-14) L

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	7-1	1-2
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-0-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-0-1

S.	8 at	North Carolina	(+21/2)	L	0-28
	15	W. Michigan	(NL)		24-7
	22	Duke	(-6)	W	35-0
	29 at	Georgia	(+1)	W	27-20
0.	6	Oklahoma St.	(-71/2)	L	23-16
FY	20	Mississippi	(-12)	L	21-14
	27 at	Notre Dame	(+11)	W	17-18
N.	3	N. Carol. St.	(-5)	L	30-28
	10 at	Florida St.	(+7)	L	7-27
	17	Wake Forest	(-7)	W	35-14
	24	Clemson	(+1)	W	13-9

	Home	Away
Actual Record	7-0	1-3
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-2

SMU Mustangs



SMU has come up with four consecutive 10-or-more win seasons and No. 5 is a distinct possibility for the Mustangs, this year.

"We should be a pretty good football team," admits Head Coach Bobby Collins, who is beginning his fourth campaign on the Hilltop.

Those "experienced people" include 16 starters from last year's 10-2 team, eight each on offense and defense, plus both kicking specialists. The attack again will feature the Pony Express duo of Reggie Dupard and Jeff Atkins, the second coming of Eric Dickerson and Craig James. Dupard rushed for 1,160 yards and scored 16 touchdowns while Atkins checked in with 717 markers and half a dozen scores. Gary Hashaway returns at fullback, and in Don King, SMU has the passing and total offense leader in the SWC and the nation's 12th rated signal-caller, overall. While the Mustang attack is ground-oriented, fleet and sure-handed receivers abound. Ron Morris led the club with 27 receptions last year (20.5-yard average), and in Marguis Pleasant (29.8 vards per reception), the Mustangs have a true game-breaker. Jeffrey Jacobs is another talented pass-catcher. Graduation claimed three members of the offensive interior, but tackles Roy Dunn and Billy Klemstein return and Mike Eidson has plenty of experience,

The defense also looks sturdy. Up front is noseguard Jerry Ball, the consensus All-SWC pick, as well as tackles John Eixman and Joe Phillips. Both starters are back at inside linebacker in Kit Case and T.D. Briggs with All-SWC outside backer Anthony Beverly also back for another season. Either Monte Goen or Ben Hummel will be at the other spot. The secondary returns three starters in Roderick Jones, Keith Brooks and Tim Green. Dodge Carter (43.0-yard average) will get the Mustangs out of trouble when the offense stalls and also returning is Brandy Brownlee who converted sixof-seven field goal attempts.

The schedule, with trips to Oklahoma, Arizona and Arkansas, is ambitious, but the Mustangs look like true thoroughbreds again this season and should be one of the country's best

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		UTEP
1	14	at	Oklahoma
	28		TCU
0.	• 5	at	Arizona
-	12		Baylor
	19	at	Houston
	26		Texas
N.	2	at	Texas A&M
1	9		Rice
	16		Texas Tech
1	23	at	Arkansas

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 27-6-1	Away 27-7
Combined Spread Record	11-12	15-11-1
Favorite Spread Record	9-11	13-8
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	2-3-1

Feist's Factors

- Mustangs are 10-1 vs the points against nonconference foes.
- SMU is 15-24 vs the spread at home against SWC teams, since '73.
- Ponies are 15-10 as an away conference favorite, the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	15 at Louisville	$(-24\frac{1}{2})$	W	41-7
	22 N. Texas St.	(NL)		24-6
	29 TCU	(-10)	L	26-17
0.	13 at Baylor	(-8)	L	24-20
	20 Houston	(-13)	L	20-29
	27 at Texas	(+7)	W	7-13
N.	3 Texas A&M	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	28-20
1	10 at Rice	$(-23\frac{1}{2})$	L	31-17
	17 at Texas Tech	(-61/2)	W	31-0
	24 Arkansas	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-28
D.	1 at Nev. Las. Veg.	(NL)		38-21
	1984 POINTSP	READ RE	COR	D
		He	ome	Away
Ac	tual Record	4	-1	5-1

4-1	5-1
1-3	3-2
1-3	2-2
0-0	1-0
	1-3 1-3

1982 Results

S.	3	Louisville	(NL)		24-6
	10 at	Grambling	(NL)		20-13
	24 at	TCU	(-13)	L	21-17
0.	1	UT Arlington	(NL)		34-0
	8	Baylor	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	42-26
	22	Texas	(+71/2)	W	12-15
	29 at	Texas A&M	(-8)	W	10-7
N.	5.	Rice	(NL)		20-6
	12	Texas Tech	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	33-7
	19 at	Arkansas	(-7)	W	17-0
	26‡	Houston	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-12
	19	983 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Aws
Actual Record	5-1	5-0
Combined Spread Record	3-0	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 Tulane	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	W	51-7
	18 at Tex. El Paso	(NL)		31-10
	25 TCU	(-22)	L	16-13
0.	2 N. Texas St.	(NL)		38-10
100	9 at Baylor	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	22-19
	16 Houston	(-7)	L	20-14
	23 at Texas	(PK)	W	30-17
	30 Texas A&M	(-91/2)	W	47-9
N.	6 at Rice	$(-24\frac{1}{2})$	W	41-14
1	13 at Texas Tech	(-14)	L	34-27
18	20 Arkansas	(-1)	L	17-17
	1982 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORD)

Charles and the second	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0-1	5-0
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	TexArlington	(NL)		48-0
	12	N. Texas St.	(NL)		34-7
	19 at	Grambling	(NL)		59-27
	26 at	TCU	(-10)	W	20-9
0.	10	Baylor	(-8)	W	37-20
	17 at	Houston	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-22
	24	Texas	(PK)	L	7-9
	31 at	Texas A&M	(-4)	W	27-7
N.	7	Rice	(-24)	L	33-12
	14	Texas Tech	(-21)	W	30-6
	21 at	Arkansas	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	32-18
	19	981 POINTSP	READ RE	COR	D

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	5-0
Combined Spread Record	2-2	4-0
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	4-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	N. Texas St.	(NL)		28-9
	20	TCU	(-16)	L	17-14
	27	UT-Arlington	(NL)		52-16
0.	4 at	Tulane	(PK)	W	31-21
	11 at	Baylor	(+4)	P	28-32
	18	Houston	(-61/2)	L	11-13
	25 at	Texas	(+13)	W	20-6
N.	1	Texas A&M	(-8)	W	27-0
	8 at	Rice	(-7)	W	34-14
	15 at	Texas Tech	(-7)	L	0-14
	22	Arkansas	(-61/2)	W	31-7

Home Away

Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-1	3-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0-1

S.	8 Rice	(-28)	L	35-17
	15 at TCU	(-19)	W	27-7
	22 N. Texas St.	(NL)		20-9
	29 at Tulane	(-3)	L	17-24
0.	13 Baylor	(PK)	L	21-24
	20 at Houston	(+15)	L	10-37
	27 Texas	(+18)	L	6-30
N.	3 at Texas A&M	(+17)	L	14-47
	10 at Wichita St.	(NL)		34-0
	17 Texas Tech	(+1)	W	35-10
	24 at Arkansas	(+14)	L	7-31
	1979 POINTSI)
		TI	1200	America

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	2-4
Combined Spread Record	1-3	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	0-3
Settlement of the contraction of		

SOUTHERN **MISSISSIPF** Golden Eagles



There was nothing golden about the Eagles last year as they dipped to a 4-7 record after consecutive 7-4 seasons, and Head Coach Jim Carmody thinks he knows why.

"Offensively we were hurt by lack of productivity at fullback, primarily due to injuries to our first two fullbacks" he said. "It is imperative we reach our full potential at fullback and that we develop some depth at tailback. Defensively, our biggest concern is to find two defensive ends who are capable of playing winning football."

The fullback post has been turned over to junior Randolph Brown. Brown ran for 148 yards last year on 30 carries (4.9 average) and scored one TD. His backup will be little-used (for carries) Carl Jones. The Eagles have a good one at tailback in Vincent Alexander--his 572 yards paced the club last year--but Carmody is concerned about finding able backups. Quarterback Robert Ducksworth is back, but the senior signal-caller must improve his accuracy (44 percent completions, 10 interceptions, only two TDs), while maintaining his

ground production (428 yards rushing). There's a veteran cast of receivers, led by wideouts Lyneal Alston (18 receptions), Andrew Mott (17), Chris McGee (11) and Edward Wilson (nine), all of whom have starter's experience. Robert Stallings (eight catches) is a returning incumbent at tight end. Three-of-five starters return up front in Chris Haag, Benny Draughn and Ken Bentley with Rick Slater and Tim Hallman favored to fill the two vacancies.

Greg Dampeer, the leader among linemen in tackles last year, is a good one at one terminal post, and Carmody is hopeful that Chal Pace can fill the void at the other end position. Tracy Oakley is a fine noseguard and Kip Smith is a solid tackle. Onesimus Henry and Eric Redd, last year's backups, take over at linebacker while James Cooper, Tim Smith and Bobo Harris head a veteran secondary. Billy Knighten is back to punt (40.1 average) and Rex Banks again will be in charge of placements (16-23 FG).

The Eagles can show some improvement over 1984--especially if Ducksworth has a good year.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		La. Tech	
	14	at	Auburn	
	21	at	Mississippi St.	
	28		NW Louisiana	
0.	5		SW Louisiana	
	12	at	Louisville	
	19	at	Memphis St.	
N.	2		East Carolina	
	9	at	Colorado St.	
	16	at	Alabama	
	23		Tulane	

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	21-8	20-15-2
Combined Spread Record	0-4	10-6
Favorite Spread Record	0-4	4-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	6-4

Austin's Edges

- Eagles are 6-4 as an away underdog, lifetime.
- Southern Mississippi is 1-4 as a home favorite.
- Golden Eagles are 2-1 ATS vs SEC power Alabama.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Georgia	(+9)	W	19-26
	15	La. Tech	(NL)		34-0
	22 at	Auburn	(+10)	L	12-35
	29	Memphis St.	(-31/2)	L	13-23
0.	6 at	Miss. St.	(PK)	L	18-27
	13 at	Tulane	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-35
	20 at	Mississippi	(+9)	W	13-10
	27 at	S.W. La.	(NL)		6-13
N.	3	N.W. La. St.	(NL)		0-22
	10 at	E. Carolina	(-2)	W	31-27
	17	Louisville	(-16)	L	34-25
	19	984 POINTSE	PREAD RI	CORL)
				ome	Away

Home	Away
2-2	2-5
0-2	3-3
0-2	1-1
0-0	2-2
	2-2 0-2 0-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Richmond	(NL)		32-3
	10 at	Auburn	(+91/2)	L	3-23
	17	La. Tech	(NL)		28-10
0.	1 at	Mississippi	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-7
	8 at	Miss. St. (Jck)	(-2)	W	31-6
	15 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		27-20
	22	Tulane	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-14
	29	Southwest La.	(NL)		31-3
N.	5 at	Louisville	(NL)		27-3
	12 at	Alabama	(+11)	L	16-28
	19	East Carolina	(NL)		6-10

1983 POINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	4-2
Combined Spread Record	0-1	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	NE Louisiana	(NL)		45-27
	11 a	t Mississippi	(-61/2)	L	19-28
	18 a	t Auburn	(+61/2)	W	19-21
	25	Florida St.	(-41/2)	L	17-24
0.	2	Memphis St.	(NL)		34-14
	9 a	t Miss. St.	(+6)	W	20-14
	16 a	t Tulane	(-61/2)	W	22-10
	23	Louisville	(NL)		48-0
	30	SW Louisiana	(NL)		30-6
N.	13 a	t Alabama	(+11)	W	38-29
	20	La. Tech	(NL)		6-13
	0.00	1982 POINTSP		CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	0-1	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	3-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	SW La.	(NL)		33-7
	19 at	Tulane	(NL)		21-3
	26 at	Richmond	(NL)		17-10
0.	3	TexArling.	(NL)		52-9
	10 at	Alabama	(+18)	W	13-13
	17 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		10-0
	31 at	N. Texas St.	(NL)		22-0
N.	7 at	Miss St.	(NL)		7-5
	14 at	Florida St.	(NL)		58-14
	21 at	Louisville	(NL)		10-13
	28	Lamar	(NL)		45-14

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-0	6-1-1
Combined Spread Record	0-0	1-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Tulane	(NL)	17-14
	20	La. Tech	(NL)	38-11
	27 at	East Carolina	(NL)	35-7
0.	4 at	Mississippi	(NL)	28-22
	11	Miss. St.	(NL)	42-14
	18	Arkansas St.	(NL)	35-0
	25 at	Alabama	(NL)	7-42
N.	1	Lamar	(NL)	36-10
	8 at	Auburn	(NL)	0-31
	15	Richmond	(NL)	33-12
	22	Louisville	(NL)	3-6
D.	19 at	McNeese St.	(NL)	16-14

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	8 at Florida St.	(NL)	14-17
	15 Cincinnati	(NL)	24-6
	22 at Auburn	(NL)	9-31
	29 at Mississipi	(NL)	38-8
0.	6 N. Texas St.	(NL)	30-10
	13 Tulane	(NL)	19-20
	20 Memphis St.	(NL)	22-0
	27 at Miss. St.	(NL)	21-7
N.	3 at Louisville	(NL)	10-10
	10 at Bowling Green	(NL)	27-31
	17 Arkansas St.	(NL)	14-6
	1979 POINTSPI	READ RECO	RD
		Home	Away

Away 2-3-1 Actual Record 0-0 Combined Spread Record 0-0 0-0 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record

STANFORD Cardinals



S.

0.

N.

14

21

28

5 12

19

26

2

9

16

Although the Cardinals were just 5-6 last year, Jack Elway may have found the path that leads to victory in the years to come. Ironically, it may be based on a running game that is an equal partner to a passing attack that has been a Stanford (and Elway) trademark for many campaigns. Last year, the Cards passed for 1.788 yards and ran for 1,696. The year before Stanford gained only 825 yards on the round and had just three TDs by infantry men. Ground forces scored 16 times in '84. The man who will help continue that balance most is Brad Muster. Muster did not play in Stanford's first two games and carried just twice in the third, but by season's end he'd mustered 823 yards and a 4.5 average. And, to prove he wasn't adverse to a pass or two, Muster also led the team in receptions with 31. Kevin Scott, last year's regular fullback, also returns, as does quarterback John Pave, who missed half the season with injuries. Pave has a pair of good pass catchers in regular tight end Greg Baty and starting flanker Jeff James, but Elway must shore up the offensive line to really assure that the Cardinal offense will be productive.

Up front he's got only one returning starter, tackle John Barnes. Tony De-Tomaso is the clear favorite to take over the other tackle spot with Tom Nye and Kevin Payne pencilled in at the guard positions. Kurt Josephson will be the pivotman.

Defensively, it's much the same story with Elway needing help up front. Graduation claimed all three down linemen, including tackle Garin Veris. The new lineup will feature Eric Volta lining up between Joe Lortie and Fred Stahl. There's strength at linebacker with David Wyman and Matt Soderlund, the top two tacklers, respectively, returning, along with regular Tom Prukop. Mike Noble will fill the other spot. The secondary also is wellstocked in veterans with Toi Cook and Walt Harris on the corners and Joe Cain and Mark Hashimoto at safety. All have starting experience.

The Cards will miss recordsetting placekicker Mark Harmon and although punter Doug Robinson is back, his average (39.5) could be

Elway has a lot of throughbreds. If he comes up with some plow horses. Stanford could prove troublesome.

California SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	16-20	9-20-1
Combined Spread Record	12-16-2	14-16
Favorite Spread Record	7-6	6-8
Underdog Spread Record	5-10-2	8-8

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

at Oregon

at USC

Texas

UCLA

Arizona

at Washington

at Arizona St.

Oregon St.

at San Diego St.

San Jose St.

Feist's Factors

- Cardinals are 24-37 as favorites, the last 12 years.
- Stanford is 1-7 as a road favorite of -10 or more (since '73).
- Cards are a wretched 6-16 vs the points against independents.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 a	t Oklahoma	(+16)	W	7-19
	15	Illinois	(+6)	W	34-19
	22	San Jose St	(NL)		28-27
	29	Arizona St.	(+2)	L	10-28
0.	6 a	t UCLA	(+10)	W	23-21
	13	Washington	(+9)	L	15-37
	20	Wash. St.	(-3)	L	42-49
	27 a	t Oregon St.	(-4)	W	28-21
N.	3	USC	(+7)	L	11-20
	10 a	t Arizona	(+8)	L	14-28
	17 a	t California	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-10
	1	984 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORD	

Home Actual Record 3-2 2-4 Combined Spread Record 1-4 4-1 2-0 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Oklahoma	$(+11\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-27
	17 at	Illinois	(+5)	L	7-17
	24	San Jose St.	(NL)		10-23
0.	1 at	Arizona St.	$(+15\frac{1}{2})$	L	11-29
	8	UCLA	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-39
	15 at	Washington	(+171/2)	W	15-32
	22	Arizona	(-12)	W	31-22
	29 at	Oregon St.	(-13)	L	18-31
N.	5 at	USC	(+12)	L	7-30
	12	Oregon	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-16
	19	California	(+3)	L	18-27
	G			aann	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	0-5
Combined Spread Record	1-4	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	1-4

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 8	t Purdue	(-1)	W	35-14
	18	San Jose St.	(NL)		31-35
10	25 a	t Ohio St.	(+61/2)	W	23-20
0.	2	Oregon St.	(-30)	W	45-5
130		t Arizona St.	(+61/2)	W	17-21
	16	USC	(+5)	L	21-41
	23 a	t Wash. St.	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	31-26
	30	Washington	(+5)	W	43-31
N.	6	Arizona	(-3)	L	27-41
	13 a	t UCLA	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-38
	20 a	t California	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-25
	1	1982 POINTSE	PREAD RE	CORD)

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	3-3
Combined Spread Record	2-2	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	4-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at	Purdue	(-1)	L	19-27
	19	San Jose St.	(NL)		6-28
	26	Ohio St.	(+5)	P	19-24
0.	3 at	Arizona	(PK)	L	13-17
	10	UCLA	(+6)	W	26-23
	17 at	USC	(+14)	W	17-25
	24	Arizona St.	(+5)	L	36-62
	31 at	Washington	(+7)	L	31-42
N.	7 at	Oregon St.	(-18)	W	63-9
	14	Oregon	(-14)	W	42-3
	21	California	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	42-21
	19	981 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	1-4
Combined Spread Record	3-1-1	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-1-1	1-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Oregon	$(-9\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-25
	13	Tulane	(-10)	L	19-14
	20 at	Boston Coll.	(-61/2)	L	13-30
	27 at	Oklahoma	(+15)	W	31-14
0.	4	San Jose St.	(NL)		35-21
	11 at	UCLA	(+7)	L	21-35
	18	Washingtn	(PK)	L	24-27
	25 at	Wash. St.	(-61/2)	W	48-34
N.	1	Oregon St.	$(-24\frac{1}{2})$	W	54-13
	8	USC	(+7)	L	9-34
	22 at	California	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	L	23-28

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	3-3
Combined Spread Record	1-3	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Tulane	(-1)	L	10-33
	15	San Jose St.	(NL)		45-29
	22	Army	(-17)	L	13-17
	29	Boston Coll.	(-7)	W	33-14
0.	6	UCLA	(+31/2)	W	27-24
	13 at	USC	(+22)	W	21-21
	20 at	Arizona	$(+5\frac{1}{2})$	W	30-10
	27 at	Oregon St.	(-21)	L	31-33
N.	3	Arizona St.	(-4)	W	28-21
	10	Oregon	$(-6^{1/2})$	L	7-16
	17	California	(+2)	L	14-21
	3.	ogo DODAWOI	DEADDI	CODE	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	1-2-1
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-0

SYRACUSE Orangemen



With six starters gone from Syracuse's nationally ranked defense, the Orangemen's quest for a third straight winning season might be a difficult one. Syracuse ranked third in passing defense, sixth in total defense and ninth in scoring defense in 1984, holding seven-of-11 opponents to one touchdown or less. But Head Coach Dick MacPherson has some big holes to fill before the Orange can hope to match those numbers. Graduation has claimed three-of-four defensive backs and both outside linebackers Nevertheless, Syracuse can begin reconstruction with an All-America tackle, Tim Green, and a pair of tough inside linebackers in Rudy Reed and Tim Pidgeon. Ted Gregory will help out up front while returnee David Lee will be joined by a quartet of redshirt freshmen in the secondary.

Syracuse's fine defensive eforts often were wasted by an offense that was unable to score more than two touchdowns in nine-of-11 games, and was shut out twice. With seven starters returning, however, things should be better. Todd Noley, Mike Kmetz and Don MacPherson all held the

starting QB job at one time last year but Syracuse would benefit from one of the taking charge this season. Norley, a strong-armed senior, is the most likely candidate to do that. The receiving corps should be excellent. Scott Schwedes came within four receptions of Art Monk's season hig 41 and Mike Siano pitched in with 27. Tight end Jim Tait grabbed 16 balls. Jaime Covington, the leading rusher for three years, has departed, but this could open up new horizons for Harold Gayden (478 yards) and Notre Dame transfer Byron Abraham. They'll operate behind an offensive line anchored by tackles Bob Brotzki and Doug Marrone. The kicking game is solid. Don McAulay has never missed a PAT (33 straight), bringing Syracuse's string to 123, while converting 30-of-40 career FG attempts. Jim Fox carries a 41.9yard punting average, up nearly seven yards from his 1983 average.

The Syracuse schedule again has all the eastern powers, but "softies" like Nebraska and Maryland--the Orange beat both of them last year-are missing. It'll be a tough fight for .500.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14	at	Mississippi St.
	21		Kent St.
	28	at	Va. Tech
0.	5		Louisville
20	12		W. Virginia
4+	19		Penn St.
. 1	26		Temple
N.	* 2	at	Pittsburgh
	9	at	Navy

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Rutgers

Boston College

	Home	Away
Actual Record	21-14	8-22-1
Combined Spread Record	18-13	15-10-3
Favorite Spread Record	12-8	3-1
Underdog Spread Record	6-5	12-9-3

Austin's Edges

- Orangemen are 10-2 vs points against Pitt., since
- Syracuse is 5-1 as a road underdog of +20 or more, the past 12 seasons.
- The Orange are a perfect 12-0 ATS in first spread decision after Penn State since 1973.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Maryland	(+7)	W	23-7
		Northwestern	(-14)	L	13-12
	22	Rutgers	(-7)	L	0-19
	29	Nebraska	(+24)	W	17-9
0.	6 at	Florida	(+12)	L	0-16
M	13 at	W. Virginia	(+71/2)	L	10-20
	20 at	Penn St.	(+6)	L	3-21
	27	Army	(-7)	W	27-16
N.	3	Pittsburgh	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-7
	10	Navy	(-71/2)	W	29-0
	17 at	Boston Coll.	(+8)	P	16-24
	11	984 POINTSP	READ RI	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 4-1 4-1 2-4 Combined Spread Record 1-4-1 3-1 0-1 Favorite Spread Record

1-0

1-3-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	2 at	Temple	(+3)	L	6-17
1	10	Kent St.	(NL)		22-10
	17	Northwestern	(-7)	W	35-0
	24	Rutgers	(-6)	L	17-13
0.	1 at	Nebraska	(NL)		7-63
	8 at	Maryland	(+211/2)	W	13-34
	15	Penn St.	(+121/2)	W	6-17
	29 at	Pittsburgh	(+181/2)	W	10-13
N.	5 at	Navy	(PK)	W	14-7
	12	Boston Coll.	(+11)	W	21-10
	19	West Virginia	(+9)	W	27-16
	100				

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	4-1	2-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	3-0	2-1-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	3 at	Rutgers	(NL)		31-8
	11	Temple	(NL)		18-23
	18	Illinois	(+61/2)	L	10-47
	25 at	Indiana	(-31/2)	L	10-17
0.	2	Maryland	$(+9\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-26
	16 at	Penn St.	(+27)	W	7-28
	23	Pittsburgh	$(+21\frac{1}{2})$	W	0-14
	30	Colgate	(-61/2)	W	49-15
N.	6	Navy	(-6)	L	18-20
	13 at	Boston Col.	(+111/2)	W	13-20
	20 at	W. Virginia	$(+15\frac{1}{2})$	L	0-26
	11	DOLLATE	DEADDE	COPD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	3-2
	1-2	3-2

1981 RESULTS

Underdog Spread Record

8.	5	Rutgers	(-7)	L	27-29
	12 at	Temple	(NL)		19-31
	19 at	Illinois	$(+5\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-17
	26	Indiana	(-4)	W	21-7
0.	3 at	Maryland	(+7)	W	17-17
	17	Penn St.	(+17)	L	16-41
	24 at	Pittsburgh	(+23)	W	10-23
	31	Colgate	(-11)	W	47-24
N.	7 at	Navy	(+3)	L	23-35
	14	Boston Coll.	(-21/2)	W	27-17
	21	W. Virginia	(+3)	W	27-24

Home Away Actual Record 0-4-1 4-2 Combined Spread Record 4-2 3-1 3-1 0-0 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at	Ohio St.	(+26)	W	21-31
	20	Miami, Ohio	(NL)		36-24
	27	Northwestern	(-18)	W	42-21
0.	4	Kansas	(-7)	L	8-23
	11	Temple	(NL)		31-7
	18 at	Penn St.	(+17)	P	7-24
	25	Rutgers	(-1)	W	17-9
N.	1	Pittsburgh	(+12)	L	6-43
	8	Navy	(-2)	L	3-6
	15 at	Boston Coll.	(+61/2)	L	16-27
	22 at	W. Virginia	(+61/2)	W	20-7

1980 PUINTSPREA	DRECOR	U
	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	1-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-1-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	8 at Ohio St.	(+131/2)	L	8-31
	15 W. Va. (E.R.)	(-14)	L	24-14
	22 at Northwestern	(-14)	W	54-21
	29 Wash. St.(OP)	(-7)	W	52-25
0.	6 at Kansas	(-61/2)	W	45-27
	13 at Temple	(NL)		17-49
	20 at Penn St. (ER)	(+9)	L	7-35
	27 Mia. (FL)(OP)	(-4)	W	25-15
N.	3 at Pittsburgh	(+131/2)	W	21-28
	10 at Navy	(-2)	W	30-14
	17 Bos. Col. (Ith)	(-14)	L	10-27
	1979 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

Home Away

Actual Record	3-2	3-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	1-1

TEMPLE **Owls**



The Owls could have plenty to hoot about this season if it weren't for a suicidal schedule that has Temple on the road seven-of-11 weekends. Still, third-year Head Coach Bruce Arians is optimistic.

"Our football team will be better and I am excited about this squad," said Arians. "Offensively, we have the entire backfield back. The offensive line could be the biggest and strongest in Temple history. I feel very good about our defense. We ended up 21st nationally in team defense last year and we hope to build on that ranking."

Key offensive performer will be tailback Paul Palmer, an All-East selection in 1984 when he led the Owls in rushing (885 yards) and receiving (29 receptions). Another key figure is quarterback Lee Saltz, a year more experienced, and, presumably, a year more adept. Saltz threw for 1,337 yards in '84, but had 12 picked off against just six touchdowns. His most lethal receiver will be Willie Marshall, whose 22 receptions produced 503 yards in '84, a 22.9 average. Temple's offensive line is anchored by All-America guard John Rienstra with

8 at E. Carolina

29 at Florida St.

6 at Wm. & Mar

13 at Boston Coll

Delaware

Va. Tech

Cincinnati

W. Virginia

Toledo (Atl 1984 POINT

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

Pittsburgh

15 at Rutgers

22

20

27

3

30

Actual Record Combined Spread Record

help from a couple of three-year starters. Eric Coss and Trent Conelias. If there's a concern on offense, it's at tight end where graduation took all three regulars.

Defensively, the Owls have a tough act to follow, having allowed 14 points or less in eight games a year ago, including the Boston College contests against Doug Flutie (Temple lost, 14-10). All-America linebacker Todd Bowles is the mainstay. The line has a sack specialist in end Jeff Ward and a solid player in tackle Doug Davis, but the secondary will miss All-America safety Anthony Young. Jim Cooper, who connected on 11-of-17 field goal tries last year, provides the Owls with a good placekicker and Kip Shenefelt averaged 39.3 yards per punt in '84, despite having two blocked.

Temple's offense should be greatly improved over a year ago, its defense perhaps not quite as formidable, but the schedule is the real problem. The Owls could wind up with a team that is, overall, better than it was a year ago, but, because of the schedule, the record might not reflect that.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

ı	S.	7	at	Boston College
ı		14	at	Penn St.
ŀ		21		BYU
ı		28	at	East Carolina
ı	0.	5	at	Cincinnati
		12		Rutgers
		19		Wm. & Mary
		26	at	Syracuse
	N.	2	at	Delaware
	720	9		Pittsburgh

16

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

at W. Virginia

	Home	Away
Actual Record	16-13	15-19
Combined Spread Record	10-3	8-6-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	8-2	6-4-1

Feist's Factors

- Temple is 18-13 ATS vs teams they play this year, since 1973.
- The Owls are 14-4 ATS the past four seasons.
- Temple is 10-5 as home dog the past 12 seasons.

1983 RESULTS 1984 RESULTS

9	(+7)	W	17-0	S.	2	Syracuse	(-3)	W	17-6
	(+2)	W	9-10	1	10 at	Pittsburgh	(+151/2) L	0-35
	(+8)	W	13-12		24	Penn St.	(+101/2) W	18-23
	(+21)	W	27-44	0.	1	Boston College	(+11)	W	15-18
ry	(NL)		28-14		8 at	Cincinnati	(NL)		16-31
II.	(+15)	W	10-24		15	East Carolina	(NL)		11-24
	(NL)		19-34		22 at	Delaware	(NL)		23-16
	(+3)	W	7-9		29 at	Georgia	(+25)	W	14-31
	(-16)	W	42-10	N.	5 at	W. Virginia	(+18)	P	3-21
4	(+31/2		19-17	1000	12	Louisville	(NL)		24-7
I. Ci	y.)(NL)		35-6		19 at	Rutgers	(-2)	L	24-23
TSE	READ	RECOR	D		1	983 POINTSPI	READ	RECOR	D
-		Home	Away	1				Home	Away
		2.9	9.9	Ac	tuel I	Record		2.3	2-4

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	2-4
Combined Spread Record	3-0	1-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	1-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4a	t Penn St.	(+24)	W	14-31
	11 a	t Syracuse	(+41/2)	L	18-23
1	18	Delaware	(NL)		22-0
	25	Rutgers	(NL)		7-10
0.	2 a	t Boston Coll.	(NL)		7-17
1	9 a	t Louisville	(NL)		55-14
X	16 a	t Pittsburgh	(+21)	P	17-38
	30	Cincinnati	(NL)		41-7
N.	6	W. Virginia	(+5)	W	17-20
	13 a	t Colgate	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-24
	20	East Carolina	- (NL)		10-23
1	1	982 POINTSP	READ RI	COR	D .
10				ome	Away
1	and the s		7.7	0 0	1000

2660 2 7000 2 2000 2000	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	1-5
Combined Spread Record	1-0	1-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-1-1

1981 RESULTS

4-0

1-0

3-0

0.0

S.	5	William & Mary	(NL)		42-0
	12	Syracuse	(+4)	W	31-19
	19 at	Delaware	(NL)		7-13
0.	3 at	Penn St.	(NL)		0-30
	10	Colgate	(NL)		31-0
	17 at	Rutgers	(NL)		24-12
	24 at	Cincinnnati	(NL)		24-13
	31 at	Georgia	(NL)		3-49
N.	7 at	W. Virginia	(NL)		19-24
	21 at	Pittsburgh	(NL)		0-35
	19	81 POINTSPE	READ R	ECORD	

Home	Away
3-0	2-5
1-0	0-0
0-0	0-0
1-0	0-0
	3-0 1-0 0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Rutgers	(-3)	L	3-21
	20	Delaware	(NL)		7-28
	27 8	f Pittsburgh	(+24)	L	2-36
0.	4	Boston U.	(NL)		53-6
	11 a	t Syracuse	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-31
	18	Akron	(NL)		16-7
	25 a	t Cincinnat	(NL)		23-7
N.	1 a	t Louisville	(NL)		17-12
	8	W. Viginia	(+11/2)	L	28-41
	15 a	t Villanova	(NL)		7-23
	1	1980 POINTS	PREAD RI	CORD	

Home Away Actual Record 2-4 2-3 Combined Spread Record 0-3 0-2 Favorite Spread Record 0.1 0-0

Underdog Spread Record

0-2

0-2

1979 RESULTS

S.	8 at	W. Virginia	(-6)	W	38-16
	15	Drake	(NL)		43-21
	22 at	Delaware	(NL)		31-14
	29	Pittsburgh	(+8)	W	9-10
0.	6 at	Rutgers	(-4)	W	41-20
	13	Syracuse	(NL)		49-17
	20	Cincinnati	(NL)		35-14
N.	3 at	Hawaii	(NL)		34-31
	10	Akron	(NL)		42-6
	17 at	Penn St.	(NL)		7-22
	24 at	Villanova	(NL)		28-10

Home	Away
4-1	5-1
1-0	2-0
0-0	2-0
1-0	0-0
	4-1 1-0 0-0

TENNESSEE Volunteers



The return of Tony Robinson, who entered the 1984 season as a question mark and emerged from it as the All-SEC quarterback, is enough to have Head Coach Johnny Majors eagerly awaiting the '85 campaign. All Robinson did last year was break the completion percentage record that was set in 1956 by a Vol quarterback named Johnny Majors. Not only was Robinson's 61.7 percent tops in Tennessee history, but he also set a new mark for total offense with 2,089 yards. Robinson will be looking to hook up with Tim McGee, who Majors calls "the best receiver I've coached at Tennessee." That's high praise since Willie Gault and Anthony Hancock also wore the Orange and White for Majors. McGee, who caught 54 balls last year, will team with tight end Jeff Smith (26 receptions in '84) and split end Eric Swanson (20) to give the Vols an outstanding receiving threesome. Johnnie Jones, the All-America, has graduated, but Majors feels he still has some capable ball-toters in Sam Henderson--back from a redshirt year--William Howard, and Charles Wilson. With tackles Bruce Wilkerson and

David Douglas returning up front, along with guard Todd Upton, the Vols should be able to move the football with great regularity.

Less certain are the defensive capabilities of the Vols. Leading tackler Carl Zander is gone, and while Tennessee has a pair of good linebackers in Dale Jones and Joe Cofer, Majors would love to return the latter to free safety where he excelled in 1983. That move will be determined by the progress--or lack of it--by a trio of ILB hopefuls, Kelly Ziegler, Lavoisier Fisher and Jesse Messimer. The secondary should be strong whether Cofer stays at linebacker or returns to safety because the Vols have Terry Brown, Tommy Sims and Charles Davis back in the starting rotation. Richard Cooper, Steve Douglas and Robby Scott will be the mainstays up front. Carlos Reveiz takes over for brother Fuad as the team's placekicker.

Early key games with Auburn, Florida and Alabama will be crucial for Tennessee, but the Vols should be bowl bound no matter what happens in those contests.

1985 SCHEDI

#30

	19	00	SCHEDUL
S.	14		UCLA
	28		Auburn
0.	5		Wake Forest
	12	at	Florida
	19	at	Alabama
	26		Georgia Tech
NT	9		Dutana

2		Rutgers
9	at	Memphis St.
16		Mississippi
23	at	Kentucky

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Vanderbilt

	Home	Away
Actual Record	27-13-1	13-11-1
Combined Spread Record	13-19-2	11-13
Favorite Spread Record	8-14-2	4-7
Underdog Spread Record	5-5	7-6

Austin's Edges

- Vols are an 11-7 SEC home favorite of under 10 points the past 12 years.
- Tennessee is only 1-5 ATS vs Vandy at home.
- Volunteers have a 4-8 spread record at home vs major independents since 1973.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	Wash. St.	(-31/2)	W	34-27
	15	Utah	(NL)		27-21
	22	Army	$(-19\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-24
	29 at	Auburn	(+11)	L	10-29
0.	13	Florida	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	30-40
	20	Alabama	(+2)	W	28-27
	27 at	Ga. Tech	(+4)	W	24-21
N.	10	Memphis St.	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	41-9
	17 at	Mississippi	(-1)	W	41-17
	24	Kentucky	(-8)	L	12-17
D.	1 at	Vanderbilt	(-2)	W	29-13
4	1	984 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Pittsburgh	(+31/2)	L	3-13
	17	New Mexico	(NL)		31-6
	24	Auburn	(+11/2)	L	14-37
0.	1	Wichita St.	(NL)		45-6
	8	LSU	(+3)	W	20-6
	15 at	Alabama	(+12)	W	41-34
	22	Georgia Tech	(-11)	W	37-3
	29 at	Rutgers	(-17)	L	7-0
N.	12	Mississippi	(-13)	L	10-13
	19 at	Kentucky	(-5)	W	10-0
	26	Vanderbilt	(-12)	L	34-24

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away

Actual Record	5-3	3-0
Combined Spread Record	2-4	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	1-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Duke	(-3)	L	24-25
1	11	Iowa St.	(-31/2)	L	23-21
12.	25 a	t Auburn	(+7)	L	14-24
0.	2	Wash. St.	(-7)	P	10-3
120	9 a	t LSU	(+14)	W	24-24
	16	Alabama	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-28
	23 a	t Ga. Tech	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-31
N.	6	Memphis St.	(NL)		29-3
	13 a	t Mississippi	$(+2^{1/2})$	W	30-17
	20		(-17)	W	28-7
	27 s	t Vanderbilt	(+3)	L	21-28
	The same	nee DOTHER	THE ARE THE	CODE	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away Actual Record 5-1 1-3-1 Combined Spread Record 2-2-1 2-3 Favorite Spread Record 1-2-1 0-1

1-0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 at	Georgia	(+61/2)	L	0-44
	12 at	USC	(+18)	L	7-43
	19	Colorado St.	(NL)		42-0
	26	Auburn	(-3)	P	10-7
0.	10	Georgia Tech	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-7
	17 at	Alabama	(+17)	L	19-38
	24 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		28-9
N.	7	Wichita St.	(NL)		24-21
	14	Mississippi	$(-6^{1/2})$	W	28-20
	21 at	Kentucky	(-31/2)	L	10-21
	28	Vanderbilt	(-8)	L	38-34

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Actual Record	Home 6-0	Away
Combined Spread Record	1-2-1	0-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-2-1	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-3

1980 RESULTS

6	Georgia	(-3)	L	15-16
13	USC	(+7)	W	17-20
20	Wash. St.	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	35-23
27 at	Auburn	(+21/2)	W	42-0
11 at	Georgia Tech	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	23-10
18	Alabama	(+71/2)	L	0-27
25	Pittsburgh	(+4)	L	6-30
1	Virginia	(-12)	L	13-16
15 at	Mississippi	$(-6\frac{1}{2})$	L	9-20
22	Kentucky	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-14
29 at	Vanderbilt	(-14)	W	51-13
	13 20 27 at 11 at 18 25 1 15 at 22	13 USC 20 Wash. St. 27 at Auburn 11 at Georgia Tech 18 Alabama 25 Pittsburgh 1 Virginia 15 at Mississippi	13 USC (+7) 20 Wash. St. (-17\(\frac{1}{2}\)) 27 at Auburn (+2\(\frac{1}{2}\)) 11 at Georgia Tech (-13\(\frac{1}{2}\)) 18 Alabama (+7\(\frac{1}{2}\)) 25 Pittsburgh (+4) 1 Virginia (-12) 15 at Mississippi (-6\(\frac{1}{2}\)) 22 Kentucky (-3\(\frac{1}{2}\))	13 USC (+7) W 20 Wash. St. (-17½) L 27 at Auburn (+2½) W 11 at Georgia Tech (-13½) L 18 Alabama (+7½) L 25 Pittsburgh (+4) L 1 Virginia (-12) L 15 at Mississippi (-6½) L 22 Kentucky (-3½) W

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-5	3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-5	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	1-0

1979 RESULTS

Underdog Spread Record

		20.0	_~ _	-	
S.	15 at	Boston Coll.	(-13)	L	28-16
100	22	Utah	(NL)		51-18
100	29	Auburn	(-4)	W	35-17
0.	6	Miss. St.	(-7)	L	9-28
1000	13	Georgia Tech	(-7)	W	31-0
100	20 at	Alabama	(+20)	W	17-27
N.	3	Rutgers	(-18)	L	7-13
2	10	Notre Dame	(+31/2)	W	40-18
	17 at	Mississippi	(-8)	L	20-44
	24 at	Kentucky	(+2)	W	20-17
D.	1	Vanderbilt	(-25)	L	31-10

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	2-2
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	2-0

TEXAS Longhorns



Fred Akers will open up his offense a bit more this fall, a departure from recent Texas teams that have relied on ball control and defense. The Longhorns will look to Todd Dodge to provide the aerial accuracy and senior leadership on the club. Dodge threw for 11 TDs last year, but he also had 19 balls intercepted. On the other end of his lobs will be William Harris and Bill Boy Bryant. Harris burst on the scene last year as a sophomore, catching 34 aerials, a UT record for a tight end. Bryant, on the other hand, has been a fixture for three seasons, last year snaring 23 balls. The running game loses top toter Terry Orr and Akers will fill his spot with Ronnie Robinson. Charles Hunter and Anthony Byerly will take turns at tailback. What should be a great help to both the passing and running game is an experienced offensive line led by center Gene Chilton. Flanking Chilton will be returning guards Paul Jetton and Bryan Chester. John Stuart returns at one tackle with Billy Ray Todd taking over for All-Southwest Conference stud Greg Wright at the other. After piling up some impressive numbers in the early going, Texas' offense

ground to a hault near the end of last season, failing to hit the 20-point plateau in three consecutive losses. Akers hopes for more production this

Defense, long a Texas trademark, is somewhat iffy. The Longhorns must replace two-time All-America safety Jerry Gray, Lombardi Trophy winning tackle Tony Degrate, and three other starters before the platoon can be pronounced set. In their absence, look for linebacker Ty Allert and defensive end James McKinney to be the new leaders. A major concern, however, is at tackle where Degrate, as well as fellow starters (they alternated) Ralph Darnell and Bill Heathcock are all missing. Chalmer Adams and Steve Llewellyn have been pencilled in as replacements.

Jeff Ward, who nailed 12-of-19 field goal attempts last year, is a solid kicker, and the Longhorns have a superior punter in John Teltschik, who averaged 43.8 yards per boot in 1984.

Akers has some holes to fill, but the Longhorns ususally have those linemen ready to step in and Texas figures to be a rugged outfit again in 1985.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	* 21		Missouri
	28	at	Stanford
0.	. 5		Rice
	12		Okla. (Dallas)
	19	at	Arkansas
	26	at	SMU
N.	2		Texas Tech
	9	at	Houston
	* 16		TCU
	# 23		Baylor
	28	at	Texas A&M

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	28-5	24-7-2
Combined Spread Record	11-14-1	19-14
Favorite Spread Record	10-14-1	15-13
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	4-1

Feist's Factors

- The home team has covered 10-of-12 times in the Texas-Baylor rivalry.
- Texas has covered the last five opening betting games.
- Texas has a 7-2 pointspread mark vs Rice, 7-1 against TCU.

1984 RESULTS

S.	15	Auburn	(+1)	W	35-27
	29 ‡	Penn St.	(-2)	W	28-3
O.	6 at	Rice	(-31)	L	38-13
	13	Okla. (Dal.)	(-21/2)	L	15-15
	20	Arkansas	$(-10^{1/2})$	L	24-18
	27	SMU	(-7)	L	13-7
N.	3 at	Texas Tech	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-10
	10	Houston	- (-131/2)	L	15-29
	17 at	TCU	(-3)	W	44-23
	24 at	Baylor	(-81/2)	L	10-24
D.	1	Texas A&M	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	12-37
	1	984 POINTS	PREAD RE	COR	D
		120000000000000000000000000000000000000	Section 2 to the Control of the Land	ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	4-1-1
Combined Spread Record	1-4	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-4	3-3
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

1983 RESULTS

The state of the s			20-7
N. Texas St	(NL)		26-6
Rice	(NL)		42-6
Okla.	(-4)	W	28-16
t Arkansas	(-10)	W	31-3
t SMU	$(-7^{1/2})$	L	15-12
Texas Tech	(-28)	L	20-3
t Houston	(-19)	L	9-3
TCU	(NL)		20-14
Baylor	(-13)	L	24-21
t Texas A&M	(-8)	W	45-13
	Baylor Texas A&M	Baylor (-13)	Baylor (-13) L Texas A&M (-8) W

Home Away Actual Record Combined Spread Record 4-2 0-3 Favorite Spread Record 0-3 3-2 Underdog Spread Record 0-0

1982 RESULTS

Utah	(NL)		21-12
Missouri	(-6)	W	21-0
at Rice	(-21)	W	34-7
Okla. (Dal.)	(-21/2)	L	22-28
SMU	(PK)	L	17-30
t Texas Tech	(-8)	W	27-0
Houston	(-8)	W	50-0
at TCU	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-21
at Baylor	(-81/2)	L	31-23
Texas A&M	(-14)	W	53-16
Arkansas	(-21/2)	W	33-7
	Arkansas	Arkansas (-2½)	7 7 7 11

Home Away Actual Record 5-1 Combined Spread Record 4-1 3-2 Favorite Spread Record 4-1 3-2 Underdog Spread Record 0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Rice	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-3
	19	N. Texas St.	(NL)		23-10
	26	Miami	(-7)	P	14-7
0.	10	Okla. (Dallas)	(+3)	W	34-14
	17 at	Arkansas	(-6)	L	11-42
	24 at	SMU	(PK)	W	9-7
	31	Texas Tech	(-20)	L	26-9
N.	7 at	Houston	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-14
	14	TCU	(-21)	L	31-15
	21	Baylor	(-12)	W	34-12
	26 at	Texas A&M	(-6)	W	21-13

Home 3-1-1 Actual Record 6-0 Combined Spread Record 2-2-1 3-2 Favorite Spread Record 2-2-1 2-2 Underdog Spread Record

1980 RESULTS

S.	1	Arkansas	(-1)	W	23-17
	20	Utah St.	(NL)		35-17
	27	Oregon St.	(NL)		35-0
0.	4 at	Rice	(-20)	L	41-28
	11	Oklahoma(D)	(-2).	W	20-13
	25	SMU	(-13)	L	6-20
N.	1 at	Texas Tech	(-10)	L	20-24
	8	Houston	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	15-13
	15 at	TCU	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	W	51-26
	22 at	Baylor	(+21/2)	L	0-16
	29	Texas A&M	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-24

1980 POINTSPREA	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	22	Iowa St.	(-17)	L	17-9
	29 at	Missouri	(-1)	W	21-0
0.	6	Rice	(NL)		26-9
	13	Okla. (Dallas)	(+1)	W	16-7
	20 at	Arkansas	(-5)	L	14-17
	27 at	SMU	(-18)	W	30-6
N.	3	Texas Tech	(-14)	L	14-6
	10 at	Houston	(+2)	W	21-13
	17	TCU	(-21)	W	35-10
	24	Baylor	(-11)	W	13-0
D.	1 at	Texas A&M	$(-6\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-13
				naann	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	4-2
Combined Spread Record	2-2	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-0

TEXAS A&M Aggies



The Aggies pulled off upsets of both TCU and Texas in the final two weeks of the season to salvage a 6-5 mark and now Head Coach Jackie Sherrill is hoping that "1984's ending was 1985's beginning."

Texas A&M will start out with 14 starters from the '84 platoon, including nine on defense and five on offense. The stop troops will miss All-America end Ray Childress and linebacker Ken Ford (second on the team in tackles), but the remainder of the lineup is pretty well set. Sammy O'Brient and Rod Saddler return up front, with Jay Muller likely to join that duo. Leading tackler Johnny Holland paces the troops at linebacker, along with returning starters Steve Bullitt and Todd Howard. The secondary is wellexperienced with seniors Wayne Asbury, Lance Jackson and Darrell Austin boasting eight letters amongst them. Junior James Flowers (two letters) will complete the quartet.

Texas A&M's attack ranked eighth in the Southwest Conference last year so there's plenty of room for improvement. It'll be up to quarter-back Craig Stump to put Sherrill's plans in action. Stump shared with sig-

1984 RESULTS

UTEP

13 at Houston 20 Baylor

Rice

17 at Arkansas

Combined Spread Record

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

3 at SMU

24 TCU

Actual Record

1 at Texas

Iowa St.

Arkansas St.

Texas Tech

S. 1

22

29

6

(NL)

(NL)

(-9)

(+31/2)

(+1)

(-17)

(+9)

(+6)

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

 $(+12\frac{1}{2})$

 $(+10\frac{1}{2})$

 $(-15\frac{1}{2})$

20-17

38-17

12-30

16-20

38-14

20-28

0-28

35-21

37-12

Away

1-3 3-1

0-0

7-9

W

W

W

Home

5-2

3-2

2-1

nal-calling duties with Kevin Murray last year but should be in control by himself this season. Rod Bernstine has been converted from running back to tight end to help the passing game, and the Aggies still maintain a starter at flanker in Jeff Nelson, whose 20 grabs were second best on the club last year. Jimmy Teal's split end post will go to either Shea Walker, Jeff Holley or Lawrence Mitchell. Anthony Toney returns at fullback after rushing for 393 yards and six TDs last year. and his running back mate should be Roger Vick (322 yards, three TDs), who has been shifted over from fullback to fill the void left by the graduation of Thomas Sanders, the Aggies' top rusher (738 yards) last year. Seniors Doug Williams and Randy Dausin head an interior five that will likely start sophs Louis Cheek and Matt Wilson, plus freshman Trace McGuire in the three vacancies created by graduation. Eric Franklin, who nailed all five of his field goal attempts last year, including a 50-yarder, will take care of the placement chores with Todd Tschantz (41.3-yard average) in charge of punting.

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	California	(-6)	L	17-19
	17	Arkansas St.	(NL)		38-0
	24	Oklahoma St.	(-31/2)	L	15-34
0.	1 at	Texas Tech	(+2)	L	0-3
	8	Houston	(PK)	W	30-7
	15 at	Baylor	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-13
	22 at	Rice	(-16)	W	29-10
	29	SMU	(+8)	W	7-10
N.	12	Arkansas	(PK)	W	36-23
	19 at	TCU	(-71/2)	W	20-10
	24	Texas	(+8)	L	13-45
	15	983 POINTSP	READ RI	CORD)

D RECOR	D
Home	Away
3-4	2-1-1
3-3	3-1
2-2	2-0
1-1	1-1
	3-4 3-3 2-2

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	14	at	Alabama
	21		NE Louisiana
	28		Tulsa
0.	5	at	Texas Tech
	12		Houston
	19	at	Baylor
14	26	at	Rice
N.	. 2		SMU
1000	#16		Arkansas
	23	at	TCU

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Texas

	Home	Away
Actual Record	15-18	13-16-1
Combined Spread Record	13-16	16-13
Favorite Spread Record	9-7	7-4
Underdog Spread Record	4-9	9-9

Austin's Edges

- Texas A&M is 12-21 as an SWC underdog since 1973.
- The favorite has won 8-of-11 in A&M-SMU series.
- Texas A&M is just 2-8 vs Arkansas and the points.

S.	5 at	California	(-41/2)	L	29-28
	19 at	Boston Coll.	(-5)	L	12-13
	26	La. Tech	(NL)		43-7
0.	3 at	Texas Tech	(-31/2)	L	24-23
	10	Houston	(+31/2)	W	7-6
	17 at	Baylor	(+21/2)	W	17-19
	24 at	Rice	(-4)	W	51-26
	31	SMU	(+4)	L	7-27
N.	14	Arkansas	$(+2^{1/2})$	L	7-10
	21 at	TCU	(-8)	W	37-7
	26	Texas	(+6)	L	13-21

1981 RESULTS

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away		
Actual Record	2-3	4-2		
Combined Spread Record	1-3	3-3		
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	2-3		
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	1-0		

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at Mississippi	(-2)	W	23-20
	13 at Georgia	(+2)	L	0-42
	20 Penn St.	(+21/2)	L	9-25
0.	4 Texas Tech	(-5)	W	41-21
	11 at Houston	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-17
	18 Baylor	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-46
	25 Rice	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	6-10
N.	1 at SMU	(+8)	L	0-27
	15 at Arkansas	(+61/2)	W	24-27
	22 TCU	(-12)	L	13-10
	29 at Texas	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-14

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	2-4
Combined Spread Record	1-4	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	2-3

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Boston Col.	(-15)	L	16-38
4.	18	UT. Arl.	(NL)		61-22
	25	La. Tech	(NL)		38-27
0.	2	Texas Tech	(-13)	L	15-24
20	9 8	t Houston	(+61/2)	W	20-24
	16	Baylor	(-21/2)	W	28-23
	23	Rice	(-13)	W	49-7
	30 a	t SMU	(+91/2)	L	9-47
N.	13 a	t Arkansas	(+161/2)	L	0-35
0.11	20	TCU	(-51/2)	W	34-14
	25 a	it Texas	(+14)	L	16-53
			nnan nn	CORE	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	0-4
Combined Spread Record	3-2	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-3

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	BYU	(NL)		17-18
-	15 at	Baylor	(+3)	L	7-17
		Penn St.	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-14
	29 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		17-7
0.	6 at	Texas Tech	(-3)	L.	20-21
	13	Houston	(+1)	L	14-17
	27 at	Rice	(-20)	W	41-15
N.	3	SMU	(-17)	W	47-14
	17	Arkansas	(+1)	L	10-22
	24 at	TCU	(-81/2)	W	30-7
D.	1	Texas	(+61/2)	W	13-17

	Home	Away	
Actual Record	1-4	4-2	
Combined Spread Record	2-2	3-2	
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	2-1	
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	1-1	

TCU **Horned Frogs**



The Horned Frogs' turnabout (they went from 1-8-2 in 1983 to 8-4, including a bowl appearance last year), was nothing short of spectacular, but Head Coach Jim Wackenmay have difficulty coming up with an encore. Numbers tell a portion of the story. The Horned Frogs lose seven starters from the attack that was third in the nation in total offense, fourth in rushing and fifth in scoring last year. However, Wacker does have some strengths on which to call. Start with All-America running back Kenneth Davis (1,611 yards, 7.6 average, 15 TDs), add SWC Necomer-of-the-Year Tony Jeffery (840 yards, 5.1 average, nine TDs), and throw in world class sprinter Roscoe Tatum and there's nothing wrong with TCU's running backs, is there? Scott Ankrom, who showed he could run (146 yards on 21 carries) as well as pass (he had a 99-yard TD heave) last year, will be the quarterback, and the receiving corps, though mostly new, will be quick. Wacker's major offensive concern is along a line that returns only one starter-guard Tommy Shehan.

Defensively, there are nine re-

turning starters, but TCU's troops bent a little more than Wacker would have liked last year and there'll be some changes this fall. The Frogs still will go with an odd-front, but the addition of a stand-up end will make it look more like a 4-3 than a 3-4 and could result in better play against the groundoriented attacks favored in the Southwest Conference. The key man again will be noseguard Kent Tramel and Wacker hopes to further shake things up with several position changes. Kevin Dean will move from end to linebacker and Gary Spann from LB to DE. Billy Jones goes from free safety to a corner while Gerald Littles travels from corner to strong safety. The secondary, which loses second-team All-America Sean Thomas and reqular Byron Linwood, is of most concern to Wacker.

Wacker has some big gaps to stuff on offense--the Frogs were the first school in SWC history to account for over 5,000 yards total offense, last year--but if he can (and who would dare doubt him?) the Frogs just might be princes again this season.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

1	S.	14		Tulane
		21	at	Kansas St.
		*28		SMU
1	0.	5		Arkansas
1		* 12	at	Rice
		19		N. Texas St.
		26	at	Baylor
	N.	2		Houston
		9	at	Texas Tech
		16	at	Texas
		23		Texas A&M

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	12-22-1	15-22-3
Combined Spread Record	12-17	15-14
Favorite Spread Record	4-5	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	8-12	13-12

Feist's Factors

- Frogs are 8-16 vs spread against non-conference teams since 1973.
- TCU-SMU series has been covered by home team 8 of 11.
- Away team is 9-3 vs the points in Rice-TCU matchups.

1984 RESULTS

S.	15 at	Utah St.	(NL)		62-18
	22	Kansas St.	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-10
	29 at	SMU	(+10)	W	17-26
0.	6 at	Arkansas	(+31/2)	W	32-31
	13	Rice	(-20)	W	45-24
	20	N. Tex. St.	(NL)		34-3
	27	Baylor	(-6)	W	38-28
N.	3 at	Houston	(-4)	W	21-14
	10	Texas Tech	(-9)	W	27-16
	17	Texas	(+3)	L	23-44
	24 at	Texas A&M	(-6)	L	21-35
	11	DOLDATED	DEADDE	COPD	

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-1	2-2
Combined Spread Record	4-1	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	4-0	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Kansas	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	L	16-16
	17 at	Kansas St.	(+21/2)	L	3-20
	24	SMU	(+13)	W	17-21
0.	1	Arkansas	(+61/2)	L	21-38
	8 at	Rice	(-7)	W	34-3
	15	Mississippi	$(-4\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-20
	22 at	Baylor	(+8)	L	21-56
	29	Houston	$(+2^{1/2})$	L	21-28
N.	5 at	Texas Tech	(+7)	W	10-10
	12 at	Texas	(NL)		14-20
	19	Texas A&M	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-20

Actual Record	Home 0-5-1	Away 1-3-1
	0-0-1	70.70
Combined Spread Record	1-5	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	2-2

1982 RESULTS

~		774 3 04	CATT		24-9
S.	11	Utah St.	(NL)		
	18 at	Kansas	$(+5\frac{1}{2})$	L	19-30
	25 at	SMU	$(+22\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-16
0.	2 at	Arkansas	(+13)	L	0-35
	9	Rice	(-81/3)	L	24-16
	16 at	Mississippi	(+81/2)	L	9-27
	23	Baylor	(+7)	W	38-14
	30 at	Houston	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-31
N.	6	Texas Tech	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-16
	13	Texas	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-38
	20 at	Texas A&M	(+51/2)	L	14-34
	19	982 POINTSI	PREAD RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	0-6
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-4

1981 RESULTS

S.	5 at	Auburn	(+71/2)	L	16-24
	19	TexArlington	(NL)		38-16
	26	SMU	(+10)	L	9-20
0.	3	Arkansas	(+11)	W	28-24
	10 at	Rice	(-3)	L	28-41
	17	Utah St.	(NL)		13-13
	24 at	Baylor	(+8)	L	21-34
	31	Houston	(+11)	W	16-20
N.	7 at	Texas Tech	(+41/2)	W	39-39
	14 at	Texas	(+21)	W	15-31
	21	Texas A&M	(+8)	L	7-37

Home 2-3-1 2-2 Actual Record 0 - 4 - 1Combined Spread Record 2-3 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record 0-0 0-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Auburn	(+7)	W	7-10
	20 at	SMU	(+16)	W	14-17
	27 at	Georgia	(+14)	L	3-34
0.	4 at	Arkansas	(+141/2)	L	7-44
	11	Rice	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-28
	18	Tulsa	(NL)		17-23
	25	Baylor	(+16)	W	6-21
N.	1 at	Houston	(+16)	L	5-37
	8	Texas Tech	(+8)	W	24-17
	15	Texas	(+141/2)	L	26-51
	22 at	Texas A&M	(+12)	W	10-13

Home Actual Passed

rictual feecold	7.4	0.0
Combined Spread Record	3-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	3-1	2-3

S.	15	SMU	(+19)	L	7-27
	22	Tulane	(+8)	L	19-33
	29	TexArlington	(NL)		14-21
0.	6	Arkansas	(+25)	W	13-16
	13 s	t Rice	(+4)	W	17-7
	20 a	t Tulsa	(NL)		24-14
	27 8	t Baylor	(+18)	W	3-16
N.	3	Houston	(+16)	L	10-21
	10 a	t Texas Tech	(+11)	W	3-3
	17 a	it Texas	(+21)	L	10-35
	24	Texas A&M	(+81/2)	L	7-30
		1979 POINTSP	READ RI	CORI	0
			44.64	ome	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	0-6	2-2-1
Combined Spread Record	1-4	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-4	3-1

TEXAS TECH Red Raiders



You can forget the I-formation and pro-sets which have been the rule of Head Coach Jerry Moore's first four seasons in Lubbock. The Raiders are going to the wishbone.

"We are going to look hard at doing a combination of things offensively," explained Moore. "It will be more of a multiple offense than anything else. There will be parts of the old Texas wishbone, the flexbone that Arkansas runs and the Houston Gamblers' run-and-shoot offense."

Just who'll pull the trigger on the Raiders' not-so-secret new weapon is a point of conjecture, however. Incumbent quarterback Aaron Keesee would seem to be the favorite, but redshirt frosh Billy Joe Tolliver is supposed to have more than just a good name, and there are four other aspiratns, as well. I-back Timmy Smith has been ruled ineligible unless he gets his grades back in order, and the coaches are hoping that's what he'll do this summer. Smith gained 711 yards rushing last year and would be a natural at one of the halfback spots. As with the QB post, however, there are many candidates willing to give it a

shot. The offensive line will have great size, but only two of the six starters will have started the year before.

There's no reason to change the defense and Moore hasn't. Tech has seven regulars back from the unit that ranked 20th nationally a year ago, including the entire secondary which helped the Raiders lead the country in pass defense. Tech also led the SWC in turnovers, forcing 46 last season. Baddest of the bad are linebacker Brad Hastings and cornerback Carl Carter, a pair of first-team All-SWC picks a year ago. Two more who deserve mention are second-team All-Conference choices Calvin Riggs at end and safety Merv Scurlark. The kicking game must be rebuilt, but Tech has Keith Henderson on the other end, returning as the nation's leading kickoff retriever.

Even though Tech was only 4-7 last year, in eight games they led in the last quarter and, in a ninth, they trailed by only a point. Obviously, it'll take only slight improvement for the Red Raiders to turn things around. The defense is excellent. Now, if only the offense begins to click. . .

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		New Mexico
	14	at	Tulsa
	21		N. Texas St.
	28	at	Baylor
0.	5		Texas A&M
1	12		Arkansas
	19		Rice
N.	2	at	Texas
10	9		TCU
	*16	at	SMU

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

at Houston

	Home	Away
Actual Record	14-19-3	7-22-1
Combined Spread Record	10-18-1	13-14-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-7	2-5-1
Underdog Spread Record	8-11-1	11-9

Austin's Edges

- Red Raiders are 3-9 vs the points against Arkansas, since 1973.
- The home team has covered 10-of-12 in Tech-SMU series.
- Texas Tech is only 2-8 vs spread as a home favorite the past six years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	15	Arlington	(NL)		44-7
	22 at	New Mexico	(NL)		24-29
	29	Baylor	(+3)	L	9-18
0.	6 at	Texas A&M	(+9)	W	30-12
	13 at	Arkansas	(+71/2)	L	0-24
	20 at	Rice	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	30-10
	27	Tulsa	(+1)	W	20-17
N.	3	Texas	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	10-13
	10 at	TCU	(+9)	L	16-27
	17	SMU	(+61/2)	L	0-31
	24	Houston	(+4)	L	17-24
	19	984 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	2-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-3	1-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at	Air Force	(+2)	L		13-28
	24 at	Baylor	(+7)	W		26-11
0.	1	Texas A&M	(-2)	W		3-0
	8	New Mexico	(NL)			10-30
	15	Rice	(-18)	L		14-3
	22	Tulsa	(NL)			20-59
	29 at	Texas	(+28)	W		3-20
N.	5	TCU	(-7)	L		10-10
	12 at	SMU	(+161/2)	L		7-33
	19 at	Houston	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W		41-43
	26	Arkansas	(+3)	P	1	13-16

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Av

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-2-1	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0-1	3-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 at	New Mexico	(NL)		0-14
	18	Air Force	(-71/2)	L	31-30
	25	Baylor	(+51/2)	W	23-24
0.	2 at	Texas A&M	(+13)	W	24-15
	9 at	Arkansas	(+16)	L	3-21
	16 at	Rice	(-61/2)	L	23-21
	23 at	Washington	(+20)	W	3-10
	30	Texas	(+8)	L	0-27
N.	6 at	TCU	(+21)	W	16-14
	13	SMU	(+14)	W	27-34
	20	Houston	(+2)	L	7-24
-		COMPANIES AND AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PARTY AND ADMINISTRATI			

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-3	3-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	3-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at	Colorado	(-71/2)	L	27-45
	19	New Mexico	(NL)		28-21
	26 at	Baylor	(+10)	L	15-28
0.	3	Texas A&M	(+31/2)	W	23-24
	10	Arkansas	(+51/2)	L	14-26
	17	Rice	(-31/2)	L	23-30
	24	Washington	(+81/2)	L	7-14
	31 at	Texas	(+20)	W	9-26
N.	7	TCU	$(-4^{1/2})$	L	39-39
	14 at	SMU	(+21)	L	6-30
	21 at	Houston	(+16)	W	7-15

O TELL COTE	
Home	Away
1-4-1	0-5
1-4	2-3
0-2	0-1
1-2	2-2
	Home 1-4-1 1-4 0-2

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	UTEP	(NL)		35-7
	13	North Carolina	(PK)	L	3-9
	20	New Mexico	(NL)		28-17
	27	Baylor	(+2)	L	3-11
0.	4 at	Texas A&M	(+5)	L	21-41
	18 at	Rice	(-4)	W	10-3
N.	1	Texas	(+10)	W	24-20
	8 at	TCU	(-8)	L	17-24
	15	SMU	(+7)	W	14-0
	22	Houston	(+6)	L	7-34
	29 at	Arkansas	(+4)	L	16-22

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	1-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	0-2

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	USC	(+11)	L	7-21
	15	New Mexico	(NL)		17-7
	22 a	Arizona	(PK)	P	14-14
	29 at	Baylor	(-1)	L	17-27
0.	6	Texas A&M	(+3)	W	21-20
	13	Arkansas	(+4)	L	6-20
	20	Rice	(-21)	W	30-7
N.	3 a	Texas	(+14)	W	6-14
	10	TCU	(-11)	L	3-3
	17 a	SMU	(-1)	L	10-35
	23 a	Houston	(+17)	W	10-14

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2-1	0-4-1
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-2-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-0

TULANE Green Wave



After three straight losing seasons, Tulane went looking for a new head coach last winter and came up with William "Mack" Brown. It's amazing the Green Wave brass was able to track the 33-year-old Brown down. In the past 10 years, Brown has held coaching assignments at Oklahoma, Appalachian State, LSU, lowa State, Memphis State, Southern Mississippi and Florida State. But this could be his most challenging task.

Brown inherits a Tulane team that averaged only 16 points per game last year while surrendering 25. Known for his offense--he was offensive coordinator at Oklahoma last vear--Brown will attempt to breathe life into a Green Wave running game that produced only 1,037 yards all of last season. And, he'll have to do it without 1984's top rusher, Mike Jones (573 yards). Joe Caravello probably will be the fullback, but at 242 pounds. he's no breakaway threat. Another decision Brown will have to make is who'll quarterback the club. Both Ken Karcher and David McLaughlin took their turns last year with inconclusive results. Some postions are set. Larry

Route (46 receptions) is a fine tight end and Marc Zeno (18 catches for 349 yards) has the speed to make his presence felt on the outside.

Tulane's defense must be patched up, too, particularly in the secondary where only Kevin Tate has starter's experience. The linebacking appears strong with All-South Independent backer Burnell Dent and mate Mike Landry back for another season. Up front, the main cogs are Fred Stokes, Dalvin Benn and Melvin Cormier, the latter the third ranking tackler on the club behind Dent and Landry. Freshman Daren Libonati gets first crack at the placekicking chores vacated by Tony Wood while Sammy Amarena returns to handle the punting duties. Amarena averaged 39.8-yards per punt last year.

Making Brown's transition even more difficult is a schedule which has the Wave facing five bowl teams-Florida State, Texas Christian, Kentucky, Georgia and LSU, three of them right off the bat. Don't be surprised if Brown changes his zip code again soon.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Florida St.
-	14	at	TCU
1	21	at	Kentucky
	28		Mississippi
0.	5		Vanderbilt
100	12	at	Memphis St.
	19	at	Mississippi St.
N.	- 2	at	Georgia
	9		SW Louisiana

30

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

LSU

at Southern Miss.

	Home	Away
Actual Record	22-13	11-19
Combined Spread Record	17-13-1	13-13
Favorite Spread Record	9-6-1	5-3
Underdog Spread Record	8-7	8-10

Feist's Factors

- Tulane is 9-17 at home vs the spread against independents the past 12 seasons
- Green Wave is 8-4 vs the points as an away favorite under -10, since 1973.
- Greenies are 17-10 vs the pointspread as a dog of +10 or more since '73.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	Miss. St.	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-20
	15 at	Florida	(+21)	L	21-63
	22	Kentucky	(+17)	W	26-30
	29 at	Mississippi	(+12)	W	14-19
0.		Vanderbilt	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-23
	13	So. Miss.	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-7
	20 at	Florida St.	(+17)	L	6-27
N.	3 at	Va. Tech	(+5)	L	6-13
1	10 at	Pittsburgh	(+5)	L	10-21
	17	Memphis St.	(+11/2)	W	14-9
	24 at	LSU	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	15-33
	15	984 POINTSE	PREAD RE	COR	D
				ome	Away
100		and the same of th			10

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-2	1-6
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-5
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-5

1983 RESULTS

S.	3 at	Miss. St.	(+8)	W	9-14
	10	Mississipi	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	W	27-23
	17	Florida St.	(+111/2)	L	21-31
	24 at	Kentucky	(+2)	L	14-26
0.	1	Vanderbilt	(PK)	L	17-30
	8 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		25-28
	15	Southwest La.	(NL)		17-15
	22 at	So. Miss.	(+131/2)	W	14-7
	29 at	Baylor	(+71/2)	W	18-24
N.	5	Va. Tech	(+2)	L	10-26
	24	LSU	(+3)	L	7-20

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	1-4
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	3-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Miss. St.	(+4)	L	21-30
	11 at	SMU	(+151/2)	L	7-51
	18	Rice	(-7)	W	30-6
0.	2 at	Vanderbilt	(+1)	L	21-24
	9	Ga. Tech	(-9)	L	13-19
	16	South. Miss.	(+6)	L	10-22
	23	Memphis St.	(NL)		17-10
	30	Baylor	$(+5\frac{1}{2})$	W	30-15
N.	6 at	Mississippi	(+51/2)	L	14-45
27	20	Florida	(+12)	L	7-21
	27 at	LSU	$(+24\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-28
	19	982 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	

Actual Record 3-4 1-3 Combined Spread Record 2-4 1-3 Favorite Spread Record 1-1 0-0 Underdog Spread Record 1-3 1-3

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	Mississippi	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	W	18-19
	12	Clemson	(+51/2)	L	5-13
	19 at	So. Miss.	(NL)		3-21
0.	3 at	Rice	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	16-20
	10	Vanderbilt	(-8)	L	14-10
	17 at	Air Force	(-6)	W	31-13
	24	Georgia Tech	(-4)	W	27-10
	31 at	Cincinnati	(NL)		13-17
N.	7	Maryland	(+3)	W	14-7
	14 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		24-7
	28	LSU	(-1)	W	48-7

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	2-3
Combined Spread Record	4-2	1-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	S. Mississippi	(NL)		14-17
	13 at	Stanford	(+10)	W	14-19
	20	Rice	(-15)	W	35-14
	27 at	Mississippi	(+31/2)	W	26-24
0.	4	SMU	(PK)	L	21-31
	11 a	Vanderbilt	(-11)	W	43-21
	18	Air Force	(-15)	W	28-7
	25 at	Georgia Tech	(-5)	W	31-14
N.	1	Kentucky	(-81/2)	L	24-22
	15	Memphis St.	(NL)		21-16
	22 a	LSU	(+31/2)	L	7-24

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-2	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	Stanford	(+1)	W	33-10
	15 at	Rice	(-11)	L	17-21
	22 at	TCU	(-8)	W	33-19
	29	SMU	(+3)	W	24-17
0.	6	Vanderbilt	(-23)	W	42-14
	13 at	S. Mississippi	(NL)		20-19
	20 at	W. Virginia	(-7)	L	17-27
	27	Georgia Tech	(-5)	P	12-7
N.	3 at	Boston Coll.	(-5)	W	43-8
	10	Mississippi	(-61/2)	W	49-15
	24	LSU	(+1)	W	24-13

1979 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	3-2
Combined Spread Record	5-0-1	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-0-1	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	3-0	0-0

TULSA Golden Hurricane



New University of Tulsa Head Coach Don Morton inherits a team with both accomplishments and flaws. The Golden Hurricane blew past five Missouri Valley Conference opponents last year but beat just one of six non-league foes for an overall 6-5 mark. Of course, the Conference crown is most important, but Morton, who guided North Dakota State to a 57-15 mark in his six seasons there, also would like to bolster the overall record. He may have the folks who can do it.

The offense returns nine regulars. The running game is in good hands with MVC Newcomer-of-the-Year Gordon Brown returning after rushing for 995 yards and a 6.3-yard averaged in 1984. He's joined by Rodney Young (521 yards) and Robby Booker (400). Both Steve Gage and Richie Stephenson have experience at quarterback, the latter replacing the former last year after Gage suffered a broken jaw. They'll both be looking for speedy flanker Ronnie Kelley, who averaged 25.0 yards for his 27 catches last year. Tight end Kevin Andrews (22 receptions) is another fine passcatcher. The Hurricane loses two-time

All-MVC guard John Kasperski up front, but four other regulars-including All-Conference tackle David Alexander do return.

Defensively, the picture is nearly as bright. A pair of all -MVC picks, Joe Dixon and Kevin Lilly, will keep things in order up front while All-Conference linebacker Xavier Warren, the Hurricane's leading tackler last fall, heads that contingent. The secondary is somewhat questionable with three starters having graduated, but John Brown is a good place to start rebuilding and there are other experienced people on hand like Steve Hill, Tim Gordon and Jesse Morrow. Jimmy Summers is another returning starter at inside linebacker. This will be Tulsa's initial try at the 3-4 after using a four-man line the past few seasons. Jason Staurovsky, who converted 16-of-24 field goal tries last year including a 56-yarder, is back for the placement work with QB Stephenson the punter.

Morton has inherited a team with strong personnel and should have little difficulty matching, if not exceeding, last year's accomplishments, in terms of wins and losses.

1985 SCHEDUL

	10	OU I	CITEDOLL
S.	7		Houston
	14		Texas Tech
	21	at	Arkansas
	28	at	Texas A&M
0.	. 5	at	Oklahoma St.
	12		Long Beach St.
	19	at	Florida St.
	26	16-	W. Texas St.
N.	2	at	Wichita St.
	9		Drake
	16	at	East Carolina

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 27-6	Away 18-16
Combined Spread Record	5-3	10-7
Favorite Spread Record	4-2	3-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	7-6

Austin's Edges

- Home team is 1-4 in Oklahoma St.-Tulsa series.
- The Golden Hurricane is 7-3 ATS vs Big 8 since '78.
- Tulsa is 14-6 when the line is less than 10 (plus or minus) since '76).

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	S. Illinois	(NL)		23-10
-	15 at	BYU	(+16)	L	15-38
	22 at	Arkansas	(+61/2)	L	9-18
	29	Okla. St.	(+7)	L	7-31
0.	6 at	W. Tex. St.	(NL)		35-7
	13	E. Carolina	(-81/2)	W	31-20
	20	Wichita St.	(NL)		55-20
	27 at	Texas Tech	(-1)	L	17-20
N.	3 at	Illinois St.	(NL)		28-7
	10 at	Indiana St.	(NL)		24-17
	17	S.W. Lar	(NL)		17-18

	Home Awa		
Actual Record	3-2	3-3	
Combined Spread Record	1-1	0-3	
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-1	
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	0-2	

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	San Diego St.	(NL)	34-9
	10 at	Arkansas	(NL)	14-17
	17	N.W. La.	(NL)	26-19
	24 at	Oklahoma	(NL)	19-28
0.	1 at	Okla. St.	(NL)	0-9
	8	N. Mex. St.	(NL)	24-10
	15	Illinois St.	(NL)	39-25
	22 at	Texas Tech	(NL)	59-20
	29	Wichita St.	(NL)	30-19
N.	5 at	Drake	(NL)	22-13
	12	W. Tex. St.	(NL)	31-16

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	2-3
Combined Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	4	Air Force	(N)		35-17
170	11 a	t Arkansas	(+15)	L	0-38
	19	Oklahoma St.	(+61/2)	W	25-15
0.	2 a	t Kansas	(+5)	W	20-15
	9 a	t N. Mex. St.	(NL)		31-14
	16	S. Illinois	(NL)		22-3
	23 a	t Drake	(NL)		34-18
	30 a	t Wichita St.	(-7)	W	30-21
N.	6	W. Tex. St.	$(-18\frac{1}{2})$	W	59-21
	13	Indiana St.	(NL)		48-14
100	20 a	t N. Tex. St.	(-16)	W	38-20
	1	982 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	
	0.	11 a 19 O. 2 a 9 a 16 23 a 30 a N. 6 13 20 a	11 at Arkansas 19 Oklahoma St. O. 2 at Kansas 9 at N. Mex. St. 16 S. Illinois 23 at Drake 30 at Wichita St. N. 6 W. Tex. St. 13 Indiana St. 20 at N. Tex. St.	11 at Arkansas (+15) 19 Oklahoma St. (+6½) O. 2 at Kansas (+5) 9 at N. Mex. St. (NL) 16 S. Illinois (NL) 23 at Drake (NL) 30 at Wichita St. (-7) N. 6 W. Tex. St. (-18½) 13 Indiana St. (NL) 20 at N. Tex. St. (-16)	11 at Arkansas (+15) L 19 Oklahoma St. (+8½) W O. 2 at Kansas (+5) W 9 at N. Mex. St. (NL) 16 S. Illinois (NL) 23 at Drake (NL) 30 at Wichita St. (-7) W N. 6 W. Tex. St. (-18½) W 13 Indiana St. (NL)

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	5-1
Combined Spread Record	2-0	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	5	Kansas	(PK)	L	11-15
	12 at	Arkansas	(+13)	W	10-14
	19 at	Okla. St.	(+4)	W	21-23
	26	S. Illinois	(NL)		34-36
0.	3	Kansas St.	. (-8)	W	35-21
	17 at	Indiana St.	(NL)		20-19
	24	Wichita St.	(NL)		52-21
	31	Drake	(NL)		59-6
N.	7	N. Mex. St.	(NL)		31-0
	14 at	W. Tex. St.	(NL)		24-10
	21 at	Arkansas St.	(NL)		7-31

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	2-3
Combined Spread Record	1-1	2-0
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 Cincinnati	(NL)		31-13
	20 at Wichita St.	(NL)		10-23
	21 at Arkansas	(+21)	W	10-13
0.	4 Kansas St.	(-1)	W	3-0
	11 N. Tex. St.	(NL)		28-27
	18 at TCU	(+1)	W	23-17
	25 W. Tex. St.	(NL)		44-24
N.	1 at Florida St.	(+19)	L	2-45
	8 Indiana St.	(NL)		30-7
	15 at S. Illinois	(NL)		41-7
	22 at New Mex. St.	(NL)		21-20
		7-7-7-7		1.5

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	3-3
Combined Spread Record	1-0	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-1

1979 RESULTS

S.	1	McNeese St.	(NL)		3-6
	8 at	Air Force	(-7)	W	24-7
	15	S.W. La.	(NL) .		28-20
	22 at	Oklahoma	(+27)	L	13-49
	29 at	Arkansas	(+23)	L	8-33
0.	6 at	Kansas St.	(+7)	W	9-6
	13 at	Louisville	(NL)		7-24
	20	TCU	(-6)	L	17-24
	27 at	Florida	$(+11\frac{1}{2})$	W	20-10
N.	3	Wichita St.	(NL)		28-26
	10	N. Mex. St.	(NL)		38-16

1979 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away Actual Record 3-2 3-3 Combined Spread Record 0-1 3-2 Favorite Spread Record 0-1 1-0 Underdog Spread Record 0-0 2-2

UCLA Bruins



UCLA is the only team in the nation with three consecutive New Year's Day bowl victories, but Terry Donahue realizes it won't be easy to have his troops still playing come this January 1st.

"This promises to be a tough year," admitted Donahue, "especially from the standpoint of our schedule. We have just four games in the Rose Bowl this season and have to play the national champions (BYU) and the No. 2 team (Washington) on the road during the first four weeks of the season.'

The Bruins begin their quest for another bowl bid with but eight starters off last year's 9-3 team which beat crosstown rival USC for the third straight season, Offensively, the search is on for a quarterback to replace Steve Bono and it looks like junior Matt Stevens may be the man. Stevens saw enough action last year to complete 43-of-82 passes for 583 vards and three TDs. The man he'll be aiming for most often is Mike Sherrard, who has grabbed 91 aerials in the last two years. Both starting backs are gone, but UCLAn coaches expect

second-team Freshman All-America tailback Gaston Green to pick up the slack. Green, one of the most highly prized recruits of 1984, ran for 516 yards a year ago. Blocking for Green will come from guards Mike Hartmeier and Jim McCullough, but the Bruins will miss graduated All-America tackle Duval Love.

Defensively, the men to watch are linebacker Tommy Taylor and free safety James Washington. Taylor is an Honorable Mention All-America and first-team All-Pac-10 player while Washington earned first-team Freshman All-America kudos last fall. Tackle Mark Walen will be a mainstay up front, but all three standouts need help at their areas of the defense. Certain to be a key member of this year's Bruin team is kicker John Lee, a returning first-team All-America. Lee converted 32-of-36 field goal attempts last year and did not miss inside of 50 yards. He has never missed two field goals in a game and is 63-75 lifetime. However, while Lee returns, punter Kevin Buenafe does not. Buenafe averaged 43.2 yards per boot last year and will be difficult to replace.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7	at	BYU
	14	at	Tennessee
	21		San Diego St.
	28	at	Washington
0.	5		Arizona St.
	12	at	Stanford
	19	at	Washington St.
	26		California
N.	9	at	Arizona
1	16		Oregon St.
	23		USC

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	22-9-2	23-10-1
Combined Spread Record	14-17	19-12
Favorite Spread Record	11-16	14-9
Underdog Spread Record	3-1	5-3

Feist's Factors

- Bruins are 13-6 vs the points as an underdog since 1973.
- UCLA is 14-4 as a home favorite of -20 or more the past 12 years.
- The favorite is 6-3 when UCLA plays night games on the road, since '73.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	San Die. St.	(NL)		18-15
	15	Long Bea. St.	(NL)		23-17
	22	Nebraska	(+10)	L	3-42
	29 at	Colorado -	(-9)	W	33-16
0.	6	Stanford	(-10)	L	21-23
	13	Wash, St.	(-9)	L	27-24
	20 at	California	(-5)	L	17-14
	27 at	Arizona St.	(+6!/2)	W	21-13
N.	3	Oregon	$(-11^{1/2})$	L	18-20
	10	Oregon St.	(-18)	L	26-17
	17	USC	(+4)	W	29-10

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	5-0
Combined Spread Record	1-5	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-4	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	1-0

1983 RESULTS

at Georgia		L	8-19
Arizona St	(-4)	L	26-26
at Nebraska	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-42
BYU	(-41/2)	L	35-37
at Stanford	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	39-21
at Washington St.	(-7)	W	24-14
California	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-16
Washington	(+21/2)	W	27-24
at Oregon	(-11)	P	24-13
at Arizona	(-1)	L	24-27
USC (Col.)	(-3)	W	27-17
	t Oregon t Arizona	t Oregon (-11) t Arizona (-1)	t Oregon (-11) P t Arizona (-1) L

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Service Constitution of the Constitution of th	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-1-1	3-3
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	2-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-2

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Long Bea. St.	(NL)		41-10
	18 at	Wisconsin	(-61/2)	W	51-26
	25 at	Michigan	(-2)	W	31-27
0.	2 at	Colorado	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-6
	9	Arizona	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-24
	16	Wash. St.	(-23)	W	42-17
	23 at	California	(-13)	W	47-31
	30	Oregon	$(-23\frac{1}{2})$	W	40-12
N.	6	Washington	(-11/2)	L	7-10
	13	Stanford	(-71/2)	L	38-35
	20	USC	(+1)	W	20-19
		non paramon	DEAD DE	CODE	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1-1	4-0
Combined Spread Record	3-3	4-0
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	4-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at /	Arizona	(-81/2)	W	35-18
	19 at 1	Wisconsin	(-9)	W	31-13
	26 at 1	owa	(-10)	L	7-20
0.	3 (Colorado	(-221/2)	L	27-7
	10 at 8	Stanford	(-6)	L	23-26
	17 at 1	Wash. St.	(-7)	L	17-17
	24 (California	(-15)	W	34-6
	31 at (Oregon	$(-12\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-11
N.	7 1	Washington	(-7)	W	31-0
	14	Arizona St.	(PK)	W	34-24
	21 at 1	USC	(+3)	W	21-22

1001 POINTSPREAD PECOPD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-0	3-3-1
Combined Spread Record	3-1	4-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	3-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Colorado	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	56-14
	20 at	Purdue	(+5)	W	23-14
	27	Wisconsin	(-17)	W	35-0
0.	4 at	Ohio St.	(+10)	W	17-0
	11	Stanford	(-7)	W	35-21
	25 at	California	(-10)	W	32-9
N.	1 at	Arizona	(-16)	L	17-23
	8	Oregon	(-13)	L	14-20
	15 at	Arizona St.	(-2)	W	23-14
	22	USC	(PK)	W	20-17
	29	Ore. St. (Tok.)	(-28)	W	34-3
	10	OP DOINTED	PEAD DE	CORE	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-1	5-1
Combined Spread Record	4-1	5-1
Favorite Spread Record	4-1	3-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-0

S.	8	Houston	(-1)	L	16-24
	15	Purdue	(+61/2)	W	31-21
	22 at	Wisconsin	(-5)	W	37-12
	29	Ohio St.	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-17
0.	6 at	Stanford	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-27
7.3	12 at	Wash, St.	(-16)	L	14-17
	20	California	(-4)	L	28-27
	27	Washington	(PK)	L	14-34
N.	10	Arizona St.	(-2)	W	31-28
0.0	17 at	Oregon	(+21/2)	W	35-0
	24 at	USC	(+15)	L	14-49
	11	70 DOINTE	DEAD DE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	2-3
Combined Spread Record	2-4	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-4	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-1

USC Trojans



USC surprised a lot of people by winning the Pac-10 title and beating Ohio State in the Rose Bowl last year. but if Head Coach Ted Tollner can shore up his defense, it'll come as no shock if the Trojans are back in Pasadena again this January.

"We have some improving and rebuilding to do," admitted Tolner. "We must replace the lost defensive personnel. I think we can."

Among the missing are All-America outside linebackers Jack Del Rio and Duane Bickett, along with thei: inside mates and both cornerbacks. However, the Trojans are far from defenseless. Safeties Jerome Tyler and Tim McDonald may be the best twosome since Ronnie Lott and Dennis Smith tortured receivers at USC a few years back. And the Troians have an All-America (Honorable Mention) in noseguard Tony Colorito. Brent Moore, a starting tackle also returns, and there also is Matt Koart, a starter at tackle until felled by a knee injury last year. Rex Moore, Sam Anno and Keith Davis will fill the holes at ILB while Garrett Breeland and Greg Coauette may replace Del Rio and Bickett.

On offense, there are seven starters returning, not counting quarterback Sean Salisbury, the regular signal-caller until knocked out of the lineup with an injury. Also returning are the top five running backs--led by first-team All-Pac-10 rusher Fred Crutcher (1,155 yards)--and the two leading receivers from a year ago. Four of five starters return along the offensive front line, paced by "all" candidates James FitzPatrick at tackle and quard Jeff Bregel. Punter Troy Richardson returns, but Tollner was thrilled with last year's 39.5-yard average. There's a more serious problem at placekicker where USC must replace reliable Steve Jordan.

USC's schedule, expecially its road slate, is murderous. The Trojans must travel to meet stalwarts like Illinois, Arizona State, Notre Dame and Washington. There's even a Mirage Bowl matchup in Tokyo, Japan with Oregon. Defending last year's Pac-10 Championship will be a difficult, though by no means impossible, task.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7	at	Illinois
S.	21		Baylor
1	28	at	Arizona St.
0.	5		Oregon St.
	12		Oregon
	-19		Stanford
	26	at	Notre Dame
N.	2		Washington S
1	9	at	California
	≥16	at	Washington

23

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

UCLA

	Home	Away
Actual Record	24-7-2	23-9-1
Combined Spread Record	16-15-1	15-15-2
Favorite Spread Record	14-12-1	14-13-2
Underdog Spread Record	2-3	1-2

Austin's Edges

- USC is only 3-8 vs the spread against Washington since '73.
- Visitor is 9-3 vs points in USC-Stanford rivalry.
- Trojans are 5-13 vs the pointspread as a favorite of less than 10 against non-conference foes the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

at Arizona St LSU at Wash. St. at Oregon	(PK) (+1½) (-5) (-5)	W L L	6-3 3-23 29-27
at Wash. St.	(-5)	7.6	29-27
	200	7.6	TEP (EP)
at Oregon	(-5)	777	
		W	19-9
Arizona	(-2)	W	17-14
California	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	31-7
at Stanford	(-7)	W	20-11
Washington	(+31/2)	W	16-7
at UCLA	(-4)	L	10-29
Notre Dame	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-19
	at Stanford Washington at UCLA Notre Dame	3 at Stanford (-7) 3 Washington (+3½) 7 at UCLA (-4)	$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	4-1
Combined Spread Record	3-3	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	3-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	0-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Florida	(-61/2)	L	19-19
	17 at	Oregon St.	(-24)	L	33-10
	24	Kansas	(-21)	L	20-26
0.	1 at	South Carolina	(-9)	L	14-38
	8	Wash. St.	(-91/2)	W	38-17
	15	Arizona St.	(+11/2)	L	14-34
	22 at	Notre Dame	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	L	6-27
	29 at	California	(-1)	W	19-9
N.	5	Stanford	(-12)	W	30-7
	12 at	Washington	(+51/2)	L	0-24
	19	UCLA	(+3)	L	17-27

Actual Record	2-3-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	2-4	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	0-2

1982 RESULTS

	S.	11 a	t Florida	(-21/2)	L	9-17
	100	18	Indiana	$(-20\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-7
		25 a	t Oklahoma	(+2)	W	12-0
	0.	2	Oregon	(-27)	W	38-7
	3	16 a	t Stanford	(-5)	W	41-21
		23	Oregon St.	$(-31\frac{1}{2})$	W	38-0
		30 a	t Arizona St.	(-2)	L	10-17
	N.	6	California	$(-18\frac{1}{2})$	W	42-0
	1	13 a	t Arizona	$(-4^{1/2})$	W	48-41
		20	UCLA	(-1)	L	19-20
9		27	Notre Dame	(-9)	L	17-13
		-	1000 DOINTEL	DEAD DE	COPD	

Home	Away
5-0	3-3
4-1	3-3
4-1	2-3
0-0	1-0
	5-0 4-1 4-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Tennessee	(-18)	W	43-7
	19 at	Indiana	(-18)	W	21-0
	26	Oklahoma	(-4)	P	28-24
0.	3 at	Oregon St.	(-24)	W	56-22
	10	Arizona	$(-21\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-13
	17	Stanford	(-14)	L	25-17
	24 at	Notre Dame	(-4)	W	14-7
	31	Wash. St.	(-13)	W	41-17
N.	7 at	California	(-18)	P	21-3
	14 at	Washington	(-9)	L	3-13
	21	UCLA	(-3)	L	22-21

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	4-1
Combined Spread Record	2-3-1	3-1-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-3-1	3-1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at	Tennessee	(-7)	L	20-17
	20	South Carolina	(-11)	L	23-13
	27 at	Minnesota	(-17)	P	24-7
0.	4	Arizona St.	(-14)	L	23-21
	11 at	Arizona	(-11)	W	27-10
	18 at	Oregon	(-12)	L	7-7
N.	1	California	(-18)	W	60-7
	8 at	Stanford	(-7)	W	34-9
	15	Washington	(-11)	L	10-20
	22 at	UCLA	(PK)	L	17-20
D.	6	Notre Dame	(+21/2)	W	20-3

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away		
Actual Record	4-1	4-1-1		
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-3-1		
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	2-3-1		
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0		

1979 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Texas Tech	(-11)	W	21-7
	15 at	Oregon St.	(-23)	W	42-5
	22	Minnesota	(-23)	W	48-14
	29 at	LSU	(-11)	L	17-12
0.	6	Wash. St.	(NL)		50-21
	13	Stanford	(-22)	L	21-21
	20 at	Notre Dame	(-6)	W	42-23
	27 at	California	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-14
N.	3	Arizona	(-21)	W	34-7
	10 at	Washington	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-17
	17	UCLA	(-15)	W	49-14

1979 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-0-1	6-0
Combined Spread Record	3-1	3-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	3-3
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	0-0

VANDERBILT Commodores



Being loated in Nashville can't save Vanderbilt from having to change it's tune this fall. The Commodores, known for their wide-open attack the past four years, may have to march to the beat of a different drummer now. Among the eight missing starters from last year's 5-6 outfit are All-SEC quarterback Kurt Page, two-time All-Conference wideout Chuck Scott (54 receptions and eight TDs last season), running back Keith Edwards (60 catches), and All-SEC tackle Rob Monaco. Additionally, the defense loses its top four tacklers.

Head Coach George MacIntyre will counter with All-SEC tight end Jim Popp and last season's top two rushers, Carl "Goo Baby" Woods and Everett Crawford, but Page's loss is substantial. Mark Wracher, who completed 43-of-86 passes for 515 yards and four TDs in some limited action last year, will take over for Page. unfortunately, the Monaco-led offensive line returns just one starter, Will "The Tractor" Wolford, and aside from Popp, the receiving crew will lack experience. New offensive coordinator

John Cropp may come a cropper.

The numbers are better on defense--only our regulars have departed--but the Commodores are sure to miss the services of MLB Steve McCoy, safety Manuel Young, and tackle Karl Jordan, the heart of last season's stop troops. This year's crew will be paced by end John windham and tackle Steve "TheTruck" Wade up front with cornerback Kermit Sykes leading the secondary folks. Chris Gaines, Marvin Thomas and Armando Fitz will hold down the linebacker posts in Vandy's 4-3 defensive scheme. Thanh Anderson, Jeff Holt and Mike MacIntyre join Sykes in the backfield with Chuck DeGroot and either John Dwornick or Scott Anderson completing the foursome up front. Another major concern for MacIntyre is replacing All-America punter Ricky Anderson (48.2 average), who also did the placement work last fall (16-19 FGs). Alan Herline will get first crack at the punting chores with Parade Magazine High School All-America Johnny Clark a strong candidate for the field goal and extra point work.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

	10	00,	OULLED C.
S.	7		UT Chatt.
	14	at	Kansas
	21	at	Iowa St.
	28		Alabama
0.	5	at	Tulane
	12		LSU
	19		Georgia
	26	at	Mississippi
N.	• 9		Kentucky
	16		Va. Tech

30

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

at Tennessee

Actual Record	Home 15-21	Away 7-23
Combined Spread Record	15-13	11-13-2
Favorite Spread Record	6-5	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	9-8	10-11-2

Feist's Factors

- Vanderbilt is only 16-24 as an underdog on the road the past 12 years.
- Commodores are only 3-9 vs the points against Kentucky since 1973.
- Vandy is 4-1 as a conference underdog under the lights.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Kansas St.	(-7)	W	26-14
	15 a	Maryland	(+6)	W	23-14
	22	Kansas	(-10)	W	41-6
	29 a	Alabama	(+7)	W	31-20
0.	6	Tulane	$(-14^{1/2})$	L	23-27
	13 a	LSU	(+7)	P	27-34
	20 a	t Georgia	(+6)	L	35-62
	27	Mississippi	$(-2^{1/2})$	W	37-20
N.	10 a	t Kentucky	(-11/2)	L	18-27
	17	Va. Tech	(*1/2)	L	3-23
D.	1	Tennessee	(+2)	L	13-29

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	2-3
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-1-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 Maryland	(+4)	L	14-21
	17 Iowa St.	(-11)	L	29-26
	24 Alabama	(+12)	L	24-44
0.	1 at Tulane	(PK)	W	30-17
	8 at Florida	$(+16\frac{1}{2})$	L	10-29
	15 Georgia	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-20
	22 at Mississippi	(-4)	- L .	14-21
	29 Memphis St.	(NL)		7-24
N.	5 Kentucky	(-3)	L	8-15
	12 at Va. Tech	(+11)	P	10-21
	26 at Tennessee	(+12)	W	24-34
	1983 POINTS	PREAD RI	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-4	2-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-1-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 a	t Memphis St.	(NL)		24-14
	18 a	t N. Carolina	(+20)	L	10-34
	25 a	t Alabama	(+29)	W	21-24
0.	2	Tulane	(-1)	W	24-21
	9	Florida	(+91/2)	W	31-29
	16 a	t Georgia	(+19)	L	13-27
	23	Mississippi	(+11/2)	W	19-10
N.	6 a	t Kentucky	(-61/2)	W	23-10
	13	Va. Tech	$(-4\frac{1}{2})$	W	45-0
	20	UT. Chat.	(NL)		27-16
	27	Tennessee	(-3)	W	28-21

Actual Record 6-0 2-3
Combined Spread Record 5-0 2-2
Favorite Spread Record 3-0 1-0
Underdog Spread Record 2-0 1-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Maryland	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	23-17
	19 at	Miss. St.	(+21)	W	9-29
	26	Alabama	(+24)	W	7-28
0.	3 at	Miami	(+18)	L	16-48
	10 at	Tulane	(+8)	W	10-14
	17	Georgia	$(+20^{1/2})$	L	21-53
	24 at	Mississippi	(+7)	W	27-23
	31	Memphis St.	(NL)		26-0
N.	7	Kentucky	(-3)	L	10-17
	21	UT-Chatt.	(NL)		28-14
	28	Tennessee	(+8)	W	34-38

1981 POINTSPREAL	RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-4	1-3
Combined Spread Record	3-2	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	3-1	3-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 at	Maryland	(+24)	L	6-31
	20	Miss. St.	(+17)	W	14-24
	27 at	Alabama	(NL)		0-41
0.	11	Tulane	(+11)	L	21-43
	18 at	Georgia	(+27)	L	0-41
	25	Mississippi	$(+13^{1/2})$	W	14-27
N.	1 a	Memphis St.	(NL)		14-10
	8 a	Kentucky	(+161/2)	W	17-24
	15	Miami	(+16)	W	17-24
	22	UT-Chatt.	(NL)		31-29
	29	Tennessee	(+14)	L	13-51

1980 POINTSPREAD	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-5	1-4
Combined Spread Record	3-2	0-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	3-2	0-3

1979 RESULTS

S.	15 at India	na	(+12)	L	13-44
	22 Citad	el	(NL)		14-27
	29 Alaba	ama	(NL)		3-66
0.	6 at Tular	1e	(+23)	L	14-42
	13 at Aubu	rn	(NL)		35-52
	20 Georg		(+19)	L	10-31
	27 at Missi	ssippi	(+19)	L	28-63
N.	3 Mem	phis St.	(NL)		13-3
	10 Kenti	ucky	(+14)	L	10-29
	17 at Air F	orce	(+7)	W	29-30
D.	1 at Tenn	essee	(+25)	W	10-31

1979 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	0-6
Combined Spread Record	0-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	2-3

VIRGINIA Cavaliers



Virginia Head Coach George Welsh has a tough act to follow--his own--but hopes his veteran offense can lead the way while his young defense matures.

"We have a lot of inexperience defensively," said the ACC Coach-ofthe-Year. "Hopefully, we can catch up. Offensively, we're pretty solid everywhere. It's just a question of staying healthy.'

The offense will have to stay healthy--and produce--if the Cavaliers are to come close to approaching last year's 8-2-2 record which included a bowl victory over Purdue. Four-of-five regulars return up front, led by twotime All-ACC tackle and second-team All-America Jim Dombrowski. He's joined by center Harold Garren, guard Mike Battle and tackle Pau Sierocinski. Don Majkowski took over at guarterback midway through the season in '84 and wound up tossing for 1,235 yards and eight TDs. He had only one pass picked off over Virginia's final five contests. Majkowski's favorite receivers should be 1984 ACC Newcomer-of-the-Year John Ford, a dangerous pass catcher whose 19

grabs went for 545 yards (28.7 average) and seven TDs last year, along with tight end Geno Zimmerlink, who tied for the team lead with 22 receptions last fall. Tailbacks Howard Petty (811 yards, eight TDs) and Barry Word (603 yards, six TDs) are an excellent one-two punch running the football, while Antonio Rice should do nicely at fullback.

The concerns are on defense where only four starters return. Charles McDaniel, who has led the Cavs in tackles for three straight seasons, will anchor the unit from his linebacker position. He's joined by fellow regular Russ Swan. Sean Scott will start at end and Scott Hillman, an end last year, will move to tackle. Mark Balentzis should fill another end post with Scott Matheson a leading tackle candidate. Kevin Gould will head a secondary that also features Ryan Jackson, Curtis Turner and Kevin Ferguson. The latter also will handle the punting chores.

Welsh's offense will take charge while the defense molds itself and the Cavs should have another good season.

S.	14		VMI
120	21	at	Georgia Tech
	28		Navy
0.	5		Duke
	12	at	Clemson
	19		Va. Tech
	26	at	Wake Forest
N.	2		W. Virginia
	. 9	at	N. Carol. St.
	16		North Carolina
	23	at	Maryland

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	17-17-1	9-21-1
Combined Spread Record	11-15	14-17
Favorite Spread Record	4-3	1-3
Underdog Spread Record	7-12	13-14

Austin's Edges

- The Cavs are 7-0 as an underdog against N.C. State since '73.
- Virginia is 11-21 as an away underdog in the ACC, since 1973.
- Cavaliers are 4-8 as a favorite in the ACC the past 12 seasons.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Clemson	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	0-55
	15	VMI	(NL)		35-7
	22 at	Navv	(+6)	W	21-9
	29 at	Va. Tech	(+8)	W	26-23
0.	6 at	Duke	(-4)	W	38-10
	13	Ga. Tech	(+3)	W	20-20
	20	Wake Forest	$(-4^{1/2})$	W	28-9
N.	3 at	W. Virginia	(+7)	W	27-7
	10	N. Carol. St.	(-71/2)	W	45-0
	17 at	N. Carolina	(-31/2)	L	24-24
	24	Maryland	(+4)	L	34-45

The state of the s	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2-1	4-0-1
Combined Spread Record	3-2	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	3-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Duke	(+61/2)	W	38-30
	10	Navy	$(-2^{1/2})$	W	27-16
	17	James Madison	(NL)		21-14
	24 at	N. Carol. St.	(+6)	W	26-14
0.	1 at	Maryland	(+11)	L	3-23
	8 at	Clemson	(+18)	L	21-42
	15	VMI	(NL)		38-10
	22 at	Wake Forest	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	L	34-38
N.	3 at	Georgia Tech	(-11/2)	L	27-31
	12	North Carolina	(+151/2)	W	17-14
	19	Va. Tech	(+4)	L	0-48

	Home	Awa
Actual Record	5-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	3-1	1-4
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-1	1-3

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 a	t Navy	(+91/2)	W	16-20
	18	J. Madison	(NL)		17-21
	25 a	t Duke	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-51
0.	2	N. Carol. St.	(+7)	W	13-16
	9	Clemson	(+14)	L	0-48
	23	Wake Forest	(+3)	W	34-27
	30	VMI	(NL)		37-6
N.	6 a	t Ga. Tech	(+51/2)	L	32-38
	13 a	t N. Carolina	(+27)	W	14-27
	20	Maryland	$(+16\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-45
	27 a	t Va. Tech	(+91/2)	W	14-21
	1	982 POINTSP	READ RE	COR	D
			He	ome	Away

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	W. Virginia	$(-3\frac{1}{2})$	L	18-32
	18 t I	Rutgers	(+4)	W	0-3
	26	Duke	(-4)	L	24-29
0.	3 at	N. Carol. St.	(+9)	W	24-30
	10 at	Clemson	(+18)	L	0-27
	17 at	South Carolina	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	L	3-21
	24	Wake Forest	(-21/2)	L	21-24
	31	VMI	(NL)		13-10
N.	14	North Carolina	(+18)	W	14-17
	21 at	Maryland	(+7)	L	7-48
	28	Va. Tech	(+7)	L	3-20

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

4 -	
1-5	0-5
1-4	2-3
0-0	0-0
1-4	2-3
	0-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Navy	(-7)	L	6-3
4	20	N. Carol. St.	(-2)	L	13-27
	27 at	Duke	(-7)	L	20-17
0.	4 at	W. Virginia	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-45
	11	Clemson	(+5)	- W	24-27
	18 at	Va. Tech	(+5)	L	0-30
	25 at	Wake Forest	(+7)	W	24-21
N.	1 at	Tenessee	(+12)	W	16-13
	8	Rutgers	(+1)	L	17-19
	15 at	North Carolina	(+16)	L	3-26
	22	Maryland	(+7)	L	0-31
	19	80 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

Actual Record	1-4	3-3
Combined Spread Record	1-4	2-4
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-2	2-3

1979 RESULTS

0-5

2-2

S.	8	Richmond	(NL)		31-0
	15 at	N. Carol. St.	(+13)	W	27-31
	22	VMI	(NL)		19-0
	29	Duke	(-6)	W	30-12
0.	6 at	Clemson	(+6)	L	7-17
	13	J. Madison	(NL)		69-9
	20 at	Navy	(+2)	L	10-17
N.	3 at	Georgia	(+8)	W	31-0
	10	Virginia	(-8)	L	20-18
	17	North Carolina	(+3)	L	7-13
	24 at	Maryland	(+7)		7-17

Actual Record

Combined Spread Record

Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record

1979 POINTSPREAD	DRECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	1-4
Combined Spread Record	1-2	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-3

VIRGINIA TECH Gobblers



The Gobblers did it with defense last year, but it may be a deep and more experienced offense which gobbles up the headlines this season. Head Coach Bill Dooley will have 10 starters returning from last year's fine 8-3 team, six of them on offense. But numbers of starters doesn't tell the whole story. One of Tech's offensive strong suits will be its depth. Five members of "The Stallions" return, including four of them who romped last year. The group is paced by Maurice Williams (574 yards), Eddie Hunter (558) and Desmar Becton (457), but Otis Copeland, who gained 709 in '83 but did not play last year, is the man to really watch. There's depth at quarterback, too. Mark Cox and Todd Greenwood both saw considerable action a year ago, with inconclusive results. Cox completed 52 percent of his passes for 983 yards and one score. Split end Donald Wayne Snell (24 receptions) and wingback Terrence Howell (20) are good deep threats, but the Hokies may miss a possession type receiver like tight end Joe Jones (39 catches). The offensive line, meanwhile, returns two regulars in center

Mark Johnson and guard Tom Mehr, and reserves seem capable of stepping in at the other vacancies.

Tech's defense ranked second nationally a year ago in rushing and total defense, but with All-America and Outland Trophy winning tackle Bruce Smith gone, as well as last year's entire starting secondary, the Hokies may have difficulty matching those figures. This year's group of defenders will be built around returning starters Morgan Roane, at tackle, noseguard Mark Webb, plus linebackers Vince Daniels and Paul Nelson. Daniels topped the club in tackles last year and Nelson was third. The new secondary will consist of last year's backups, led by Billy Myers, and excellent punt returner (9.9 average), as well. Don Wade's graduation leaves a gap at placekicker but Tech still has punter David Cox and his 41.9-yard average to get it out of trouble.

Tech has won 39 games in the last five years under Dooley, and even with significant personnel defections, the Gobblers should have another good club in 1985.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

A.	• 31	at	Cincinnati
S.	7		Richmond
	14		Clemson
	28		Syracuse
0.	• 5	at	W. Virginia
	12		Wm. & Mary
	19	at	Virginia
	26	at	Florida
N.	2		Memphis St.
	9		Louisville
	16	at	Vanderbilt

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	31-10	13-12
Combined Spread Record	9-8-1	12-7
Favorite Spread Record	7-5-1	4-3
Underdog Spread Record	2-3	8-4

Feist's Factors

- Virginia Tech is 9-5 vs the spread and the ACC when favored by less than 10 the past 12 years.
- The favorite is 9-3 in the Va. Tech-W. Va. series, since 1973.
- Gobblers are 1-5 vs points as an away favorite against independents.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8 at	Wake Forest		(-10)		L	21-20
	15	W. Virginia		(+1)		L	7-14
	22	Richmond		(NL)			21-13
	29	Virginia	4	(-8)		L	23-26
0.	6	VMI		(NL)			59-7
	13	Duke		(-14)		W	27-0
	20	Wm. & Mary		(NL)			38-14
	27 at	Temple		(-3)	1	L	9-7
N.	3	Tulane		(-5)		W	13-6
	10 at	Clemson		(+10)		W	10-17
	17 at	Vanderbilt		(+31/2)		W	23-3

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	0-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Wake Forest	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	6-13
	17 at	Memphis St.	(NL)		17-10
	24	VMI	(NL)		28-0
0.	1	Louisville	(NL)		31-0
	8	Duke	(-7)	W	27-14
	15 at	W. Virginia	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	0-13
	22	Richmond	(NL)		38-0
	29	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		59-21
N.	5 at	Tulane	(-2)	W	26-10
	12	Vanderbilt	(-11)	P	21-10
	19 at	Virginia	(-4)	W	48-0

Actual Record 6-1 3-1

1982 RESULTS

4 at Richmond

100	18	Miami	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	W	8-14
	25	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		47-3
0.	2	Wake Forest	(-14)	L	10-13
100	9 at	Duke	(+6)	W	22-21
	16	W. Virginia	(+7)	L	6-16
	23	Appal, St.	(NL)		34-0
	30	Kentucky	(-81/2)	W	29-3
N.	13 at	Vanderbilt	(+41/2)	L	0-45
	20 at	VMI	(NL)		14-3
	27	Virginia	$(-9^{1/2})$	L	21-14
	15	982 POINTSP	READ	RECOR	D
				Home	Away
Ac	tual I	Record		4-3	3-1
Co	mbine	ed Spread Reco	ord	2-3	1-1
F	avorit	e Spread Reco	rd	1-2	0-0
		log Spread Red		1-1	1-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Richmond	(NL)		28-12
	19	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		47-3
	26	Wake Forest	(-8)	W	30-14
0.	3	Memphis St.	(NL)		17-13
	10 at	Duke	(-31/2)	L	7-14
	17 at	W. Virginia	(+6)	L	6-27
	24	Appalach. St.	(NL)		34-12
	31 at	Kentucky	(-1)	W	29-3
N.	14 at	Miami	$(+12\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-21
	21	VMI	(NL)		0-6
	28 at	Virginia	(-7)	W	20-3

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Aw

	nome	Away
Actual Record	5-1	2-3
Combined Spread Record	1-0	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	6 at	Wake Forest	(+6)	W	16-7
	13	E. Tenn. St.	(NL)		35-7
	20	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		7-3
	27	J. Madison	(NL)		38-6
0.	4 at	Clemson	(+81/2)	W	10-13
	11	Rhode Island	(NL)		34-7
	18	Virginia	(-5)	W	30-0
	25 at	Richmond	(NL)		7-18
N.	1	W. Virginia	(-3)	W	34-11
	8 at	Florida St.	(+16)	L	7-31
	15	VMI	(NL)		21-6

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	7-0	1-3
Combined Spread Record	2-0	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	2-1

S.	8 at	Louisvile	(NL)		15-14
	15	Appalach. St.	(NL)		41-32
	22	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		35-14
	29	Florida St.	(+11)	W	10-17
0.	6	Wake Forest	(-4)	L	14-19
×	13	Clemson	(+31/2)	L	0-21
	20	Richmond	(NL)		34-0
	27 at	Alabama	(NL)		7-31
N.	3 at	W. Virginia	(+3)	L	23-24
	10 at	Virginia	(+8)	W	18-20
	17	VMI	(NL)		27-20

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	1-3
Combined Spread Record	1-2	1-1
Favorite Spread Record	0-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	1-1

WAKE FOREST Deacons



The Deacons posted a winning mark in 1984 for only the second time in the last 13 seasons, and Head Coach Al Groh thinks he can use that as a launching pad for the 1985 campaign.

"We enjoyed and feel good about what we accomplished in 1984," said Groh, but most of that is behind us now. What we want to carry forward into 1985 is an attitude of confidence and the experience of winning, which should serve to heighten our ambition to achieve even more in the upcoming season.'

Fourteen starters return from last year's 6-5 team, including eight on offense. The platoon will be led by the running back duo of Michael Ramseur and Topper Clemons, the best onetwo running tandem in the Atlantic Coast Conference (1,693 yards). At quarterback is Foy White, who completed 56.7 percent of his aerials for 1,544 yards, 12 touchdowns and just five interceptions. White's interception percentage was the best in the Conference. Team leader Duane Owens (30 receptions) is the only missing pass-catcher, and there are plenty of talented hands available in James Brim (26 receptions) and David Chambers (18). Paul Kiser and Tim Morrison are the mainstays along the offensive front line.

Wake Forest's defense improved last year for the fourth consecutive season and six starters return to try and make it five straight years. The group is paced by All-ACC end Gary Baldinger, who does, however, have to rebound from offseason knee surgery which kept him out of Spring drills. Other returning regulars include end Bruce Mark, linebackers Tony Garbarczyk and Ken Grantham, plus deep backs Reggie McCummings and Rory Holt. All-ACC cornerback Ronnie Burgess, who equals the league mark for carrier interceptions with 17, will be missed.

Wake Forest won the Big Four championship (beating state rivals North Carolina, North Carolina State and Duke) last year and has a good chance for another winning record in '85. The offense should be productive enough to make up for most defensive lapses.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Wm. & Mary
	14	at	Boston U.
	21		N. Carol. St.
	28		Appalachian St.
0.	5	at	Tennessee
	12	at	North Carolina
	19		Maryland
	+ 26		Virginia
N.	2	at	Clemson
	· 9		Duke
	16	at	Georgia Tech

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	16-17	15-19
Combined Spread Record	8-13	16-14
Favorite Spread Record	2-5	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	6-8	15-12

Austin's Edges

- Dog covered 10-of-11 Wake Forest games following the Maryland game.
- The visitor has won 9-of-12 spread decisions in Wake Forest-Duke rivalry.
- Wake Forest is 8-4 vs the points as a dog from +20 to +28 the past 12 years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	Va. Tech	(+10)	W	20-21
	15	Appal. St.	(NL)		17-13
	22 at	N. Carol. St.	(+31/2)	W	24-15
	29 at	Maryland	(+7)	L	17-38
0.		Richmond	(NL)		29-16
200	13	N. Carolina	(+3)	W	14-3
	20	Virginia	(+41/2)	L	9-28
		Wm. & Mary	(NL)		34-21
N.		Clemson	(+161/2)	L	14-37
7.13	10 at	Duke	$(-14^{1/2})$	L	20-16
	17	Ga. Tech	(+3)	L	7-24
	19	984 POINTSE	READ RE	CORL)

Home 3-2 Actual Record Combined Spread Record 2.2 1-3 Favorite Spread Record 0-0 0-1

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Appalach. St.	(NL)		25-27
	10 at	Va. Tech	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-6
	17	West. Carolina	(NL)		21-0
	24 at	Richmond	(NL)		31-6
0.	1	N. Carol. St.	(PK)	L	15-38
	8 at	North Carolina	(+26)	W	10-30
	15	Maryland	(+17)	W	33-36
	22	Virginia	(-1)	W	38-34
	29 at	Clemson	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-24
N.	5	Duke	(-6)	L	21-31
	12 at	Georgia Tech	(+2)	L	33-49

	Home	Away		
Actual Record	2-4	2-3		
Combined Spread Record	2-2	3-1		
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	0-0		
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	3-1		

1982 RESULTS

4	W. Carolina	(NL)		31-10
11 at	Auburn	(+14)	L	10-28
		(+71/2)	L	0-30
25	Appal. St.	(NL)		31-22
2 at	Va. Tech	(+14)	W	13-10
9	N. Carolina	(+20)	W	7-24
16 at	Maryland	$(+16\frac{1}{2})$	L	31-52
23 at	Virginia	(-3)	L	27-34
		(+81/2)	L	26-46
13	Georgia Tech	(+1)	L	7-45
27	Clemson	(+22)	W	17-21
	18 at 25 2 at 9 16 at 6 at 13	11 at Auburn 18 at N. Carol. St. 25 Appal. St. 2 at Va. Tech 9 N. Carolina 16 at Maryland 23 at Virginia 6 at Duke 13 Georgia Tech	11 at Auburn (+14) 18 at N. Carol. St. (+7½) 25 Appal. St. (NL) 2 at Va. Tech (+14) 9 N. Carolina (+20) 16 at Maryland (+16½) 23 at Virginia (-3) 6 at Duke (+8½) 13 Georgia Tech (+1)	11 at Auburn (+14) L 18 at N. Carol. St. (+7½) L 25 Appal. St. (NL) 2 at Va. Tech (+14) W 9 N. Carolina (+20) W 16 at Maryland (+16½) L 23 at Virginia (-3) L 6 at Duke (+8½) L 13 Georgia Tech (+1) L

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-2	1-6
Combined Spread Record	1-1	2-5
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-4

1981 RESULTS

Underdog Spread Record

S.	5	South Carolina	(+31/2)	L	6-23
	12	N. Carol. St.	(+51/2)	W	23-28
	19 at	Auburn	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-21
	26 at	Va. Tech	(+8)	L	14-30
0.	3	Appalach. St.	(NL)		15-14
	10 at	North Carolina	(+21)	L	10-48
	17	Maryland	(+61/2)	L	33-45
	24 at	Virginia	(+3)	W	24-21
	31 at	Clemson	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	L	24-82
N.	7	Duke	(+51/2)	L	10-31
	14 at	Richmond	(NL)		34-22
	19	981 POINTSPI	READ RE	CORD	

	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-4	3-3
Combined Spread Record	1-3	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	2-3

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Va. Tech	(-6)	L	7-16
	20	Citadel	(NL)		24-7
	27 at	N. Carol. St.	(+8)	W	27-7
0.	4	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		27-7
	11	North Carolina	(+71/2)	L	9-27
	18 at	Maryland	(+61/2)	W	10-11
	25	Virginia	(-7)	L	21-24
N.	1	Clemson	(+1)	L	33-35
	8 at	Duke	(-1)	W	27-24
	15 at	South Carolina	(+14)	W	38-39
	22	Appalach. St.	(NL)		28-16

Home Away 3-2 Actual Record 3-4 Combined Spread Record 0-4 4-0 Favorite Spread Record 0-2 1-0 Underdog Spread Record

1979 RESULTS

S.	8 Appalach. St.	(NL)		30-23
	15 at Georgia	(+18)	W	22-21
	22 East Carolina	(NL)		23-20
	29 at N. Carol. St.	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	14-17
0.	6 at Va. Tech	(+4)	W	19-14
	13 at North Carolina	(+12)	W	24-19
	20 Maryland	(-3)	W	25-17
	27 Auburn	(+3)	W	42-38
N.	3 at Clemson	(+6)	L	0-31
	10 Duke	(-14)	L	17-14
	17 at South Carolina	(+7)	L	14-35

1979 POINTSPREAD RECORD Home Away 5-0 3-3 Actual Record 2-1 4-2 Combined Spread Record Favorite Spread Record 0-0

1-0

Underdog Spread Record

WASHINGTON Huskies



Washington enters the 1985 campaign with some sobering numbers--specifically the loss of 13 starters and 29 lettermen off the 11-1 team that was ranked second in the nation a year ago.

"We've lost key people in every area," admitted Don James, who has led the Huskies to either a first or second place finish in the Pac 10 for eight straight years. "There's no one area where you can look and say, well, this area is solid."

Offensively, the Huskies will miss experienced hands at halfback and receiver. Jacque Robinson (901 yards, 13 TDs) is a major casualty at halfback while receivers must be found to replace Danny Greene, Mark Pattison and Tony Wroten. Pencil in David Toy at halfback, Rick Fenney at fullback and Mo Hill, David Trimble and Rod Jones as the new starting receiving triumverate. Hugh Millen, who is 8-0 as a starter at Washington, will be the quarterback. Millen completed 52 percent of his passes last year. The offensive line again will be rebuilt--this time around Dennis Soldat and Kevin Gogan.

Washington also loses six de-

(+51/2)

(-10)

(NL)

(-21)

(-9)

(-18)

(-7)

(-20) (-3½)

(-5)

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

26-0

20-11

35-7

53-7 19-7

37-15 17-10

28-12

44-14

7-16

38-29

Away

4-1 3-2

2-2

1-0

W

Home

6-0

3-1-1

3-1-1

0-0

1984 RESULTS

8 Northwestern

Miami, Ohio

15 at Michigan

22 Houston

6 at Oregon St.

Arizona

Combined Spread Record

Favorite Spread Record

Underdog Spread Record

California

13 at Stanford

17 at Wash. St.

20 Oregon

10 at USC

Actual Record

fensive starters from the platoon that led the nation in takeaways (51) and average turnover margin (+2.5 per game) last year. The Huskies also were a top 10 fixture in total defense (227.3 yards per game) and scoring defense (11.6 points per game) in '84. If this year's bunch is to match those figures, they'll have to do it without first-team All-America tackle Ron Holmes and second-team All-America linebacker Tim Meamber. But the cupboard isn't completely bare. The Huskies have a pretty good linebacker in Joe Kelly, the team leader in tackles, and the secondary has experience with Vestee Jackson and Tim Peoples. The front line will be built around Steve Alvord and Reggie Ro-

Washington's kicking game is set with Thane Cleland doing the punting (39.6 yard average) and Jeff Jaeger (42-of-54 career attempts) in charge of placements.

The Huskies won't have to wait long to find out how tough they are. facing a quartet of bowl teams to open the season. Given James' ability to fill cavities like a dentist, Washington just might again get the last laugh.

1983 RESULTS

S.	10 at	Northwestern	$(-16\frac{1}{2})$	W	34-0
	17	Michigan	(-4)	L	25-24
	24 at	LSU	(+2)	L	14-40
0.	1	Navy	(-19)	L	27-10
	8	Oregon St.	(NL)		34-7
	15	Stanford	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	32-15
	22 at	Oregon	(-10)	W	32-3
	29 at	UCLA	(-21/2)	L	24-27
N.	5 at	Arizona	(+1)	W	23-22
TS	12	USC	(-51/2)	W	24-0
	19	Wash. St.	(-12)	L	6-17
	15	983 POINTSP	READ RE	CORE	Y /
			LL	1 man 22	Assesse

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	1-4	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-4	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S	. 7		Oklahoma St.
	14	at	BYU
Ш	21	at	Houston
	28		UCLA
0	. 5	. at	Oregon
10	12	at	California
	19		Oregon St.
N	. 2		Stanford
	9	at	Arizona St.
	16		USC
	23		Washington St.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Actual Record	Home 32-6	Away 21-7
Combined Spread Record	15-13-1	14-13-1
Favorite Spread Record	13-13-1	10-12-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	4-1

Feist's Factors

- Huskies are 8-3 on the road when the line is pick - 21/2 (plus or minus).
- Washington is 21-13 as a dog vs teams they play this year.
- Washington is 1-3 as a home conference favorite of -20 to -28.

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Tex. El Paso	(NL)		55-0
	18 at	Arizona	(-9)	W	23-13
	25	Oregon	(-22)	L	37-21
0.	2	San Die. St.	(NL)		46-25
	9	California	(-13)	W	50-7
	16 at	Oregon St.	$(-31\frac{1}{2})$	L	34-17
	23	Texas Tech	(-20)	L	10-3
	30 at	Stanford	(-5)	L	31-43
N.	. 6	UCLA	(+11/2)	W	10-7
	13 at	Arizona St.	(+4)	W	17-13
	20 at	Wash. St.	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	20-24
	4.	an nonmor	mean ne	conn	

1982 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Home	Away
6-0	3-2
2-2	2-3
2-2	1-3
0-0	1-0
	6-0 2-2 2-2

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Pacific	(NL)		34-14
	19	Kansas St.	$(-17^{1/2})$	L	20-3
	26 at	Oregon	(-1)	W	17-3
0.	3	Arizona St.	(-3)	L	7-26
	10 at	California	(-4)	L	27-26
	17	Oregon St.	(-19)	W	56-17
	24 at	Texas Tech	(-81/2)	L	14-7
	31	Stanford	(-7)	W	42-31
N.	7 at	UCLA	(-7)	L	0-31
	14	USC	(+)	W	13-3
	21	Wash. St.	(-3)	W	23-10
	10	191 DOINTS	DREAD RE	CORD	

Home Actual Record 6-1 Combined Spread Record 4-2 1-3 Favorite Spread Record 3-2 1-3 0.0 Underdog Spread Record 1-0

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Air Force	(-25)	W	50-7
	20	Northwestern	(NL)		45-7
	27	Oregon	(-7)	L	10-34
0.	4 at	Oklahoma St.	(-1)	W	24-18
	11 at	Oregon St.	(-18)	W	41-6
	18 at	Stanford	(PK)	W	27-24
	25	Navy	$(-10^{1/2})$	L	10-24
N.	1	Arizona St.	(-5)	W	25-0
	8	Arizona	(-13)	W	45-22
	15 at	USC	(+11)	W	20-10
	22 at	Wash. St.	(-8)	L	30-23

1980 POINTSPREAD	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	5-0
Combined Spread Record	3-2	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	3-2	3-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-0

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	Wyoming	(NL)		38-2
	15	Utah	(NL)		41-7
	22 at	Oregon	$(-10\frac{1}{2})$	L	21-17
	29	Fresno	(NL)		49-14
0.	6	Oregon St.	(-27)	W	41-0
	13 at	Arizona St.	(-51/2)	L	7-12
	20	Pittsburgh	(-51/2)	L	14-26
	27 at	UCLA	(PK)	W	34-14
N.	3 at	California	(-4)	P	28-24
	10	USC	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	17-24
	17	Wash. St.	(-201/2)	L	17-7

1070 DOINTEDDEAD DECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	3-1
Combined Spread Record	2-2	1-2-1
Favorite Spread Record	1-2	1-2-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

WASHINGTON STATE Cougars



Start with the backfield, because there's probably never been anything guite like this in Pac 10 history. Not only does Washington State have three backs who have been firstteam All-Conference, but the two running backs have led the league in rushing and each gained over 1,000 yards rushing in a single season. The star of starts in Head Coach Jim Walden's galaxy is Rueben Mayes, the first-team All-America pick who was second nationally in rushing last year with 1,637 yards, just 18 behind Ohio State's Keith Byars. Along the way, Mayes rocked Oregon for 357 yards, an NCAA single game record. The Huskies will also want to give the ball to Kerry Porter a few times. Porter led the Pac 10 in rushing in 1983 but missed much of '84 with a bad shoulder. And, there's also Mark Rypien, the first-team All-Pac 10 quarterback of 1984. Rypien, who threw for 1,927 yards and 14 touchdowns last year, may be doing a lot more handing off this season. When Rypien does throw it'll be to flanker Michael James and split end Rick Chase. Mike Dreyer and Curt Ladines will anchor an offensive

line that needs some rebuilding.

The defense loses its top three tacklers, but the offense may be able to monopolize the ball to such an extent that this year's group could always be fresh. The top players will be on the flanks in outside backers James Krakoski and Jeff Loomis, plus cornerbacks Ricky Reynolds and Cedrick Brown. Erik Howard is the lone returnee to the front three and Rob Cleveland almost certainly will fill one of those vacancies. Letter winners Ron Collins and Bob Gregory will take over at safety with Titus Jackson and Tom Cushing grabbing the inside linebacker posts. John Traut, who connected on 14-of-16 field goal attempts, including all 12 tries from inside the forty, is back, along with Glenn Harper who punted for an average of 41.9 yards last year.

Washington State has some rugged road tests--Ohio State, USC, Washington and Arizona--but the presence of Utah and Montana State on the non-league slate might be a help. All told, the Cougars have a pretty good club and decent enough sked to challenge for the Pac 10 title this year.

DATA

			1.202.100	
ŀ	S.	7		California
B	100	*14	at	Arizona
į		21	at	Utah
ľ		28	at	Ohio St.
ı	0.	12	at	Oregon St.
	100	19		UCLA
		*26		Arizona St.
	N.	2	at	USC
		9		Oregon
		16		Montana St.
		23	at	Washington

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	17-15-2	14-18
Combined Spread Record	13-9-1	20-11
Favorite Spread Record	7-2	4-2
Underdog Spread Record	6-7-1	16-9

Austin's Edges

- Washington State is 32-14 in games where the spread is 10 or more (plus or minus).
- Home team is 11-1 when Washington St. plays an Arizona team.
- WSU is 31-15 vs the spread the last five years.

1984 RESULTS

S.	1 at	Tennessee	(+31/2)	L	27-34
	8	Utah	(NL)		42-40
	15 at	Ohio St.	(+8)	L	0-44
	22	Ball St.	(NL)		16-14
0.	6	USC	(+5)	W	27-29
	13 at	UCLA	(+9)	W	24-27
	20 at	Stanford	(+3)	W	49-42
	27 at	Oregon	(PK)	W	50-41
N.	3	Oregon St.	(-15)	W	20-3
	10 at	California	(-51/2)	W	33-7
	17	Washington	(+5)	L	29-38

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-2	3-3
Combined Spread Record	2-1	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	2-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	2-2

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Montana St.	(NL)		27-7
	10 at	Michigan	(+15)	W	17-20
	17	Arizona	$(+7\frac{1}{2})$	L	6-45
	24	Nev. L.V.	(NL)		41-28
0.	8 at	USC	(+91/2)	L	17-38
	15	UCLA	(+7)	L	14-24
	22 at	Arizona St.	(+21)	W	31-21
	29	Oregon	(-7)	W	24-7
N.	5 at	Oregon St.	$(-14^{1/2})$	W	27-9
	12	California	(-4)	W	16-6
	19 at	Washington	(+12)	W	17-6

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-2	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-2	4-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-2	3-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11	Idaho	(NL)		34-14
	18	Colorado	(-13)	L	0-12
	25 at	Minnesota	(+12)	L	11-41
0.	2	Tennessee	(+7)	P	3-10
	9	Oregon St.	(-17)	L	14-14
	16 at	UCLA	(+23)	L	17-42
	23	Stanford	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	26-31
	30	Arizona	(+61/2)	L	17-34
N.	6 at	Oregon	(+3)	W	10-3
	13 at	California	(+4)	L.	14-34
	20	Washington	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	W	24-20

	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4-1	1-3
Combined Spread Record	2-2-1	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	0-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-0-1	1-3

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Montana St.	(NL)		33-21
1	19 at	Colorado	(+4)	W	14-10
	25	Arizona St.	(+71/2)	W	24-21
0.	3	Pacific	(NL)		31-0
	10 at	Oregon St.	(-8)	L	23-0
	17	UCLA	(+7)	W	17-17
	24 at	Arizona	(+4)	W	34-19
	31 at	USC	(+13)	L	17-41
N.	7	Oregon	(-121/2)	W	39-7
	14	California	(-12)	W	19-0
	21 at	Washington	(+3)	L	10-23

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

Actual Record	5-0-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	4-0	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-0	2-2

1980 RESULTS

S.	13 San Jose St.	(NL)		26-31
	20 at Tennessee	$(+17\frac{1}{2})$	W	23-35
	27 Army	(-61/2)	W	31-18
0.	4 Pacific	(NL)		22-24
	11 at Arizona St.	(+14)	W	21-27
	18 at Arizona	(+6)	W	38-14
	25 Stanford	(+61/2)	L	34-48
N.	1 at Oregon	(+11)	W	10-20
	8 Oregon St.	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-7
	15 at California	(-31/2)	W	31-17
	22 Washington	(+8)	W	23-30
	1980 POINTSI	DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN THE PERSON NAMED IN T	CORE	

Home Actual Record 2-4 Combined Spread Record 3-1 5-0 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record 2-0 1-0

S.	8	Arizona	(+71/2)	L	7-22
	15	Montana	(NL)		34-14
	22 at	Ohio St.	(+23)	W	29-45
	29 at	Syracuse (OP)	(+7)	L	25-52
0.	6 at	USC	(NL)		21-50
	13 at	UCLA	(+16)	W	17-14
	20 at	Arizona St.	(+19)	W	17-28
	27	Oregon	(+6)	L	26-37
N.	3 at	Oregon St.	(-5)	L	45-42
	10	California	(+7)	L	13-45
	17 at	Washington	$(+20\frac{1}{2})$	W	7-17

1979 PUINTSPREA	Home	Away
Actual Record	1-3	2-5
Combined Spread Record	0-3	4-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	0-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-3	4-1

WEST VIRGINIA Mountaineers



West Virginia could again be a top 20 team (that's where the Mountaineers have been ranked the last four years), but to accomplish that, Don Nehlen's squad will have to rely on its defense.

"Last year it was our defense that had us concerned because we lost so many talented players," explained Nehlen. "This year, our defense will be sound but our offense is going to need a major overhaul. Still, I would rather enter the season with an experienced defense rather than experienced offense."

West Virginia's stop troops will be a seasoned crew as 10 starters return from a year ago. The lineup is laden with all-stars, including a pair of freshman All-Americas in middle guard David Grant and defensive back Stacy Smith along with secondteam All-America linebacker Fred Smalls and second-team All-East deep back Travis Curtis. Linebacker Matt Smith also merits mention and there's good size up front in Jeff Lucas (6-7, 275) and Brad Hunt (6-3, 282).

The Mountaineers' offense, however, will have a new look, eight

starters having to be replaced. The key quarterback post probably will be filled by Tony Reda, a backup a year ago. A pair of sophs and a juco transfer also are on hand. John Gay, who rushed for 416 yards and four TDs a year ago, likely will move to fullback this fall, leaving the tailback duties to Pat Randolph (416 yards) and John Holliefield (311 yards). The receiving crew will rebuild around tight end Todd Fisher (21 receptions) and redshirt Robert White. All-America Brian Jozwiak returns at one tackle spot with Chuck Jolliff at the other, although Jolliff may move to guard, especially if Tom Hamilton or Scott Saylor can fill the bill at tackle. The loss of recordsetting placekicker Paul Woodside is a concern, but Nehlen hopes that either punter Steve Superick (42.1yard average) or highly touted recruit Charlie Baumann can fill the void.

Nehlen has led the Mounties to four bowl games (winning three) and a 35-13 record over the past four seasons and there's every expectation that West Virginia should be able to keep pace with those accomplishments this year.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	7		Louisville
S.	14		Duke
	· 21	at	Maryland
	28		Pittsburgh
O.	# 5		Va. Tech
	12	at	Syracuse
	19	at	Boston College
	26	at	Penn St.
N.	2	at	Virginia
	9		Rutgers
	16		Temple

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	27-10	16-14
Combined Spread Record	13-11-1	14-11
Favorite Spread Record	8-7-1	5-5
Underdog Spread Record	5-4	9-6

Feist's Factors

- The visitor is 8-0 vs the spread in the West Virginia-Maryland rivalry.
- The favorite is 9-3 in the West Virginia-Virginia Tech series.
- The Mountaineers are 4-10 as a home favorite between -4 and -91/2 since

1984 RESULTS

S.	1	Ohio U.	(NL)		38-0
	8	Louisville	(-25)	L	30-6
	15 at	Va. Tech	(-1)	W	14-7
	22	Maryland	(-10)	L	17-20
	29 at	Pittsburgh	(+31/2)	W	28-10
0.	13	Syracuse	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	W	20-10
	20	Boston Coll.	(+4)	W	21-20
	27	Penn St.	(-2)	W	17-14
N.	3	Virginia	(-7)	L	7-27
	10 at	Rutgers	(-41/2)	L	19-23
	17 at	Temple	(-31/2)	L	17-19

1984 POINTSPREAD RECORD

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	2-2
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-3	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	1-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	3	Ohio U.	(NL)		55-3
	10	Pacific	(NL)		48-7
	17 at	Maryland	(+2)	W	31-21
	24 at	Boston Coll.	(-31/2)	W	27-17
0.	1	Pittsburgh	(-5)	L	24-21
	15	Va. Tech	$(-14\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-0
	22 at	Penn St.	(-41/2)	L	23-41
	29 at	Miami	(+7)	L	3-20
N.	5	Temple	(-18)	P	27-9
	12	Rutgers	(-22)	W	35-7
	19 at	Syracuse	(-9)	L	16-27

1983 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	2-3
Combined Spread Record	1-2-1	2-3
Favorite Spread Record	1-2-1	1-2
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 a	Oklahoma	(+15)	W	41-27
	18	Maryland	$(-7\frac{1}{2})$	L	19-18
	25	Richmond	(NL)		43-10
0.	2 a	t Pittsburgh	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	13-16
	9	Boston Col.	(-3)	W	20-13
	16 a	t Va. Tech	$(-5\frac{1}{2})$	W	16-6
	23	Penn St.	(+41/2)	L	0-24
	30	E. Carolina	(NL)		30-3
N.	6 a	t Temple	(NL)		20-17
	13 a	Rutgers	$(+10^{1/2})$	W	44-17
	20	Syracuse	$(-15\frac{1}{2})$	W	26-0
	1	982 POINTSE	READ RE	COR	0
	-		Target of the Control of the Control	ma	Amon

Home	Away
5-1	4-1
2-2	4-0
2-1	2-0
0-1	2-0
	5-1 2-2 2-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12 at	Virginia	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	W	32-18
	19 at	Maryland	(+5)	W	17-13
	26	Colorado St.	(NL)		49-3
0.	3 at	Boston Coll.	(-3)	W	38-10
	10	Pittsburgh	(+7)	L	0-17
	17	Va. Tech	(-6)	W	27-6
	24 at	Penn St.	(+17)	L	7-30
	31	East Carolina	(NL)		20-3
N.	7	Temple	(NL)		24-19
	14	Rutgers	(NL)		20-3
	21 at	Syracuse	(-3)	L	24-27

	Trome	TEVY CLY
Actual Record	5-1	3-2
Combined Spread Record	1-1	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-0	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	2-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	6	Cincinnati	(NL)		41-27
	13 at	Colorado St.	(NL)		52-24
	20	Maryland	(+2)	L	11-14
	27	Richmond	(NL)		31-28
0.	4	Virginia	$(-3^{1/2})$	W	45-21
	11 at	Hawaii	(NL)		13-16
	18 at	Pittsburgh	(+14)	L	14-42
	25	Penn St.	(+111/2)	W	15-20
N.	1 at	Va. Tech	(+3)	L	11-34
	8 at	Temple	(NL)		41-28
	15 at	Rutgers	(+61/2)	W	24-15
	22	Syracuse	(-61/2)	L	7-20

1980 POINTSPREA	D RECOR	D
	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	3-3
Combined Spread Record	2-2	1-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-1	1-2

1979 RESULTS

S.	8	Temple	(NL)		16-38
	15 at	Syr. (E. Rth.)	(+14)	W	14-24
	22	N. Carol. St.	(+14)	L	14-38
	29 at	Richmond	(NL)		20-18
0.	6	Kentucky	(+7)	W	10-6
	13 at	Boston Coll.	(+61/2)	W	20-18
	20	Tulane	(+7)	W	27-17
	27 at	Penn St.	(+22)	L	6-31
N.	3	Va. Tech	(-3)	W	34-23
	10	Pittsburgh	(+11)	W	17-24
	17 at	Arizona St.	(+10)	L	7-42
	15	979 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	

Home 2-3 Actual Record 3-3 Combined Spread Record 4-1 1-0 0-0 Favorite Spread Record Underdog Spread Record

WISCONSIN **Badgers**



The Badgers have lost a wealth of experience and talent from last year's Hall of Fame Bowl outfit, but thanks to a favorable non-league schedule, plus the return of some key personnel. Dave McClain has a shot at his fifth consecutive seven-win season. Fifteen starters are gone from last year's 7-4-1 team, but a schedule that starts with Northern Illinois, Nevada-Las Vegas and Wyoming should provide time to break in some new folks. One area where there's little concern is linebacker. There the Badgers have a quartet of juniors who earned Honorable Mention All-Big-Ten status in 1984--Rick Graf, Tim Jordan, Michael Reid and Craig Raddatz--plus a fifth player, Russ Fields, who was a starter in '83 but missed 10 games in '84 with a broken shoulder.

The Badgers also have some potential all-stars on offense. Larry Emery ran for 675 yards last year with a sparkling 6.2 average. Also returning is quarterback Mike Howard who threw for 2,127 yards as a sophomore last season. Joe Armentrout, who gained 430 yards last year rushing, and Brian Bonner, who added 178.

also return.

But, while linebacking, quarterbacking and the running back corps appear solid, there are problems elsewhere. Graduation has claimed the entire defensive secondary--the Badgers were second in the Big-Ten in pass defense last year-as well as fourof-five starters along the offensive front line. Also missing is last year's starting trio of pass-receivers, led by All-America Al Toon, who caught 54 balls worth 750 yards and five TDs in '84. As it now stands, six offensive and three defensive positions may be manned by people who have not earned letters at Wisconsin. At least if Wisconsin has to kick itself out of trouble it can. Todd Gregoire (20-of-26 field goals, 24-of-24 extra points) is solid with the placements and Scott Cepicky is adequate (39.6 average) as the punter.

Howard's heroics, Emery's emergence and the overall play of the deep and talented linebacking contingent, plus that soft non-league schedule may be enough to get McClain seven victories again. But it probably won't be easy.

DATA

	10	UG ,	OCIAL CAL
S.	14		Northern Ill.
	21		NevLas Vegas
	28	at	Wyoming
0.	5	at	Michigan
1	12		Iowa '
	19		Northwestern
	26	at	Illinois
N.	2		Indiana
200	• 9	at	Minnesota
	16	at	Ohio St.

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

Michigan St.

	Home	Away
Actual Record	22-16	14-13-1
Combined Spread Record	16-16	13-13
Favorite Spread Record	9-4	5-3
Underdog Spread Record	7-12	8-10

Austin's Edges

- Wisconsin is 10-2 vs the points against Minnesota, the last 12 years.
- Favorite is 2-10 in the Wisconsin-Purdue rivalry.
- Badgers are 10-6 as a home dog against the "Little Seven" (Big 10 minus Ohio State and Michigan).

1984 RESULTS

S.	8	No. Illinois	(NL)		27-14
	15 at	Missouri	(+71/2)	W	35-34
	22 at	Michigan	(+71/2)	W	14-20
	29	Northwestern	$(-20^{1/2})$	L	31-16
0.	6 at	Illinois	(+4)	L	6-22
	13	Minnesota	$(-17\frac{1}{2})$	L	14-17
	20 at	Indiana	(-9)	L	20-16
	27	Ohio St.	(+81/2)	W	16-14
N.	3 at	Iowa -	(+13)	W	10-10
	10	Purdue	(-3)	W	30-13
	17 at	Michigan St.	(-31/2)	W	20-10
	19	984 POINTSP	READ RE	CORD	1

Home 3-2-1 Actual Record Combined Spread Record 2-2 3-3 Favorite Spread Record 1-2 1-1 Underdog Spread Record 1-0

1983 RESULTS

S.	10	Northern III.	(NL)		37-9
	17	Missouri	(+2)	W	21-20
	24	Michigan	(+71/2)	L	21-38
0.	1 at	Northwestern	(-13)	W	49-0
	8	Illinois	(+3)	L	15-27
	15 at	Minnesota	(-15)	W	56-14
	22	Indiana	(-14)	W	45-14
	29 at	Ohio St.	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	L	27-45
N.	5	Iowa	(+6)	L	14-34
	12 at	Purdue	$(-4^{1/2})$	L	42-38
	19	Michigan St.	(-9)	W	32-0

	Home	Awa
Actual Record	4-3	3-1
Combined Spread Record	3-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	0-1

1982 RESULTS

S.	11 at	Michigan	$(+13\frac{1}{2})$	W	9-20
100	18	UCLA	(+61/2)	L	26-51
	25	Toledo	(NL)		36-27
0.	2 at	Purdue	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	W	35-31
	9 at	Ohio St.	(+71/2)	W	6-0
	16	Mich. St.	(-31/2)	L	24-23
	23	Illinois	(+3)	W	28-29
-	30	Northwestern	(-20)	W	54-20
N.	6	Indiana	(-7)	L	17-20
1.4	13 at	Iowa	(+21/2)	L	14-28
	20	Minnesota	(-11)	W	24-0
	15	982 POINTSP	READ R	ECOR	D
		Acres (Arrest Charles	- H	lome	Away
Ac	tual F	Record		4-3	2-2

1982 POINTSPREA	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-3	2-2
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-2	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	0-1	3-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	12	Michigan	(+19)	W	21-14
	19	UCLA	(+9)	L	13-31
	26	W. Michigan	(NL)		21-10
0.	3	Purdue	(-1)	W	20-14
	10	Ohio St.	(+6)	W	24-21
	17 at	Michigan St.	(-6)	L	14-33
	24 at	Illinois	(+1)	L	21-23
	31	Northwestern	(-27)	W	52-0
N.	7 at	Indiana	(-7)	W	28-7
	14	Iowa	$(+1\frac{1}{2})$	L	7-17
	21 at	Minnesota	(+1)	W	26-21

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-2	2-2
Combined Spread Record	4-2	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	1-1
Underdog Spread Record	2-2	1-1

1980 RESULTS

S.	13	Purdue	(+10)	W	6-12
	20	BYU	(+4)	L	3-28
	27 at	UCLA	(+17)	L	0-35
0.	4	San Diego St.	(NL)		35-12
	11 at	Indiana	(NL)		0-24
	18 at	Michigan St.	(+61/2)	W	17-7
	25	Ohio St.	(+20)	L	0-21
N.	1 at	Iowa	$(+3\frac{1}{2})$	L	13-22
	8	Michigan	(+19)	L	0-24
	15 at	Northwestern	(-15)	W	39-19
	22	Minnesota	(+3)	W	25-7

1980 POINTSPREAD RECORD

2200.0000000000000000000000000000000000	Home	Away
Actual Record	2-4	3-2
Combined Spread Record	2-3	2-2
Favorite Spread Record	0-0	1-0
Underdog Spread Record	2-3	1-2

S.	8 at	Purdue	(+20)	L	20-41
	15	Air Force	(-19)	W	38-0
	22	UCLA	(+5)	L	12-37
	29 at	San Diego St.	(NL)		17-24
0.	6	Indiana	(+11/2)	L	0-3
20	13	Michigan St.	(+71/2)	W	38-29
	20 at	Ohio St.	(+20)	L	0-59
	27	Iowa	(+2)	L	13-24
N.	3 at	Michigan	(+25)	L	0-54
940	10	Northwestern	(+20)	W	28-3
	17 at	Minnesota	(+10)	W	42-37
	19	979 POINTSP		ECORI	Away

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	1-4
Combined Spread Record	3-3	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	2-0	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-3	1-3

YALE Bulldogs



The Bulldogs rebounded from a 1-9 campaign in 1983 to finish a surprising 6-3 last year, and Head Coach Carm Cozza likes what he sees for this season, too.

"If we can say healthy at the key positions, we should be near the top, if not at the top, by the end of the season," said Cozza, the dean of Ivy League coaches. "I like what we have coming back. But I've been around long enough to know it will be a battle right down to the wire."

Offensively, the Bulldogs have some folks with good credentials. Ted Macaulay returns as the leading rusher (454 yards, six TDs), and he should get lots of help from Rick Koze (376 yards), Mike Stewart (254) and Dave Kline (232, five TDs). Yale also has a seasoned quarterback in Mike Curtin, who last year threw for 718 yards (49.6 percent completions) and three TDs. Curtin will be looking for wideout Kevin Moriarity, who caught 14 balls last year after leading the club with 27 in 1983. Tom Jurewicz will take over at wingback but the tight end position is up for grabs. Charlie Dull, a iunior, will battle Tim Gatzulis, up from the 6-0 freshman team, to start. Gatzulis caught 12 passes for the Bullpups and had a 21.2-yard average. Tackle Steve Skwara and guard George Matthews are the two returning starters in the trenches.

Yale led the lvy League in defense against the run and part of the reason was the play of linebackers Carmen Ilacqua and Ardel McKenna. . The former was a second-team All-Ivy selection while the latter earned Honorable Mention accolades. They'll anchor a Bulldog defense which could be outstanding. Up front are three starters, including Dean Yacobucci, who earned Honorable Mention All-Ivy status despite shuffling between end and middle guard last year. Tackles Pat Maloney and Yves Labissiere also return, and the secondary has a pair of regulars in safety Mike Tjarksen, the League's top interceptor (five), and Steve Penders, a two-year starter at cornerback who probably will move to monster back this fall. Both kickers, including All-Ivy punter Hank Eaton, must be replaced.

The Bulldogs will be barking up the Ivy League Championship tree this year.

DATA

1985 SCHEDULE

S.	21		Brown
	28		Connecticut
0.	5	at	Army
1000	12		Holy Cross
	19	at	Columbia
100	26		Penn
N.	2	at	Dartmouth
1	9		Cornell
	*16	at	Princeton
	23		Harvard
	*16	at	Princeton

SIX-YEAR POINTSPREAD REVIEW

	Home	Away
Actual Record	23-12	13-10
Combined Spread Record	14-13-1	10-11
Favorite Spread Record	9-10-1	6-8
Underdog Spread Record	5-3	4-3

Feist's Factors

- Elis are 8-4 as a home dog the last 12 years.
- Yale is 6-3 vs the points at home against independents, since '73.
- Bulldogs are 10-2 vs Princeton and the points.

1984 RESULTS

S.	22 at	Brown	(+4)	L	14-27
	29	Connecticut	(NL)		0-20
0.	6	Morgan St.	(NL)		41-0
	13	Dartmouth	(-3)	W	28-18
	20	Columbia	(-17)	L	28-21
	27 at	Penn	$(+14\frac{1}{2})$	W	21-34
N.	3	Cornell	(-5)	W	21-14
	10	Princeton	(+1)	W	27-24
	17 at	Harvard	(+7)	W	30-27

1004 1 0111101 111111	D Terroote	
	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-0	1-3
Combined Spread Record	3-1	2-1
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	0-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	9.1

1983 RESULTS

S.	17	Brown	(-7)	L	24-26
	24	Connecticut	(NL)		12-38
0.	1 at	Wm. & Mary	(NL)		14-26
	8	Boston Coll.	(+281/2)	L	7-42
	15 at	Columbia	(-91/2)	L	18-21
	22	Pennsylvania	(+6)	L	0-17
	29	Dartmouth	(+91/2)	W	21-24
N.	5 at	Cornell	(-1)	L	7-41
	12 at	Princeton	$(+10\frac{1}{2})$	W	28-21
	19	Harvard	(+71/2)	L	7-16

Home Actual Record 0-7 1-1 Combined Spread Record 1-5 Favorite Spread Record 0-1 Underdog Spread Record 1-0

1982 RESULTS

S.	18 at	Brown	(-4)	L	21-28
	25	Connecticut	(NL)		7-17
0.	2	Holy Cross	(+7)	W	6-10
	9	Boston U.	(NL)		27-24
	16	Columbia	(-12)	W	36-10
	23 at	Penn	(-1)	L	14-27
	30 at	Dartmouth	(-31/2)	L	22-21
N.	6	Cornell	(-13)	L	20-26
	13	Princeton	(-6)	W	37-19
	20 at	Harvard	(+5)	L	7-45

	Home	Away
Actual Record	3-3	1-3
Combined Spread Record	3-1	0-4
Favorite Spread Record	2-1	0-3
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-1

1981 RESULTS

S.	19	Brown	(-91/2)	W	26-7
	26	Connecticut	(NL)		27-18
0.	3	Navy	$(+10^{1/2})$	W	23-19
	10 at	Holy Cross	$(-1\frac{1}{2})$	L	29-28
	17 at	Columbia	(-22)	W	48-17
	24	Penn	(-27)		24-3
	31	Dartmouth	$(-14^{1/2})$	W	24-3
N.	7 at	Cornell	(-18)	L	23-17
	14 at	Princeton	$(-16^{1/2})$	L	31-35
	21	Harvard	(-8)	W	28-0

1981 POINTSPREAD RECORD

	Home	Away
Actual Record	6-0	3-1
Combined Spread Record	4-1	1-3
Favorite Spread Record	3-1	1-3
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

1980 RESULTS

Connecticut			45-17
	(NL)		20-10
Air Force	$(-2\frac{1}{2})$	L	17-16
Boston Coll.	(+13)	L	9-27
Columbia	(-20)	P	30-10
Penn	(-17)	L	8-0
Dartmouth	(-3)	W	35-7
Cornell	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	L	6-24
Princeton	(-9)	W	25-13
Harvard	(-2)	W	14-0
H	arvard	arvard (-2)	100000000000000000000000000000000000000

	Home	Away
Actual Record	4-1	4-1
Combined Spread Record	1-2-1	3-2
Favorite Spread Record	1-2-1	2-1
Underdog Spread Record	0-0	1-1

S.	22	Brown	(+3)	W	13-12
	29	Connecticut	(NL)		24-17
0.	6	Colgate	(-7)	W	27-0
	13	Dartmouth	(-8)	L	3-0
	20 at	Columbia	$(-13\frac{1}{2})$	W	37-7
	27	Penn	(-21)	L	24-6
N.	3 at	Cornell	(-1)	W	23-20
	10 at	Princeton	(-6)	W	35-10
	17	Harvard	$(-13^{1/2})$	L	7-22
	1:	979 POINTSE	READ RE	CORD	1

	Home	Away
Actual Record	5-1	3-0
Combined Spread Record	2-3	3-0
Favorite Spread Record	1-3	3-0
Underdog Spread Record	1-0	0-0

FINAL 1984 NCAA STATISTICS

Division I-A individual leaders

marvidua	11	1	Ca	lu	C.	15	
Keith Byars, Ohio State Rueben Mayes, Washington State Rueben Mayes, Washington State Renneth Davis, Texas Christian Curtis Adams, Central Michigan Johnnie Jones, Tennessee George Swarn, Miami (Ohio) Dalton Hilliard, Louisiana State Ethan Horton, North Carolina, Robert Lavette, Georgia Tech Greg Allen, Florida State Darryl Clack, Arizona State Reggie Dupard, Southern Methodist Doug Black, Army Steve Morgan, Toledo Ronnie Harmon, Iowa Allen Pinkett, Notre Dame George Adams, Kentucky Fred Crutcher, Southern California Thomas Rooks, Illinois Doug Dubos*, Nebraska Bernard White, Bowling Green Jeff Smith, Nebraska Reggie Taylor, Cincinnati	CL STATE STA	11 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	313 258 211 222 229 269 254 238 260 133 208 197 264 305	YDS 1655 1637 1611 1290 1282 1268 971 1189 971 1052 1154 1148 1052 1083 1056 1040 935 1021	AV3.33.67.54.68.65.26.75.59.34.75.48.84.87.25.26.35.26.35.26.36.36.36.36.36.36.36.36.36.36.36.36.36	22 11 15 13 10 5 13 6 14 8 5 16 11 10	/DSPG 150.5 148.6 120.4 117.3 116.5 115.3 116.5 115.3 108.1 107.9 104.2 104.4 103.4 100.5 98.5 96.0 98.5 96.5 94.2 93.5 94.2 93.5 92.8
Keith Byars, Ohio State Allen Pinkett, Notre Dame John Lee, UCLA Bobby Raymond, Florida Chris White, Illinois Rick Badanjek, Maryland Kenneth Davis, Texas Christian Reggle Dupard, Southern Methodist Jeff Jaeger, Washington Derek Schmidt, Florida State Kevin Butter, Georgia Bernard White, Bowling Green Mike Prindle, Western Michigan Fuad Reveiz, Tennessee Donald Igwebulke, Clemson Jess Atkinson, Maryland Ronnie Harmon, Iowa Max Zendejas, Arizona Curtis Adams, Central Michigan Richard Spelman, Hawaii Tom Angstadt, Rutgers	いっていることにはいることにいることにいることにいることにいることにいることにいることにいることに	G 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 1	TD 24 18 0 0 0 16 17 16 0 0 0 15 0 0 0 12 0 13 0 0	XP 0 0 17 34 31 6 0 0 30 42 23 0 18 29 41 37 0 23 0 22 20	FG 0 0 0 29 23 24 0 0 0 0 22 17 23 0 24 20 0 16 17 0 21 19	PTS 144 108 104 103 102 102 96 99 99 89 89 88 87 2 86 77	
INTERCONTRACTOR OF TOUR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE			CL G Sr 11 Sr 11 Sr 11 Sr 11 Sr 11 Sr 11 Sr 11 Sr 11	NO 12888877776666666666	YDS 99 131 29 25 155 91 140 112 108 108 66 55 41	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	.73 .73 .73 .67 .64 .64 .65 .55
John Lee, UCLA Chris White, Illinois Mike Prindle, Western Michigan Bobby Raymond, Florida Kevin Butler, Georgia Jeff Jaeger, Washington Max Zendejas, Arizona Richard Spelman, Hawaii Tom Angsladt, Rutgers Fuad Reveiz, Tennessee Mike Clendenen, Houston Mike Coler, North Carolina State Kenny Miller, North Carolina John Carney, Notre Dame Jess Atkinson, Maryland Derek Schmidt, Florida State Ricky Gann, Texas Tech) GO	VALS	GL G Jr 11 Jr 11 Jr 11 Sr 11 Sr 11 Jr 11 Jr 11 Jr 11 Jr 11 Jr 11 Sr 11 Jr 11 Sr 11 Jr 11 Sr 11 S	FGA 33 28 30 26 28 27 29 28 23 22 23 18 19 20 24 26	19	PCT 879 857 885 885 821 778 724 679 870 818 889 895 850 708 654	FGPG 2.64 2.18 2.18 2.09 2.09 2.09 1.91 1.92 1.64 1.64 1.55 1.55 1.55

Keith Byars, Ohio State Ronnie Harmon, Jowa Kenneth Davis, Texas Christian Rueben Mayes, Washington Stat George Adams, Kentucky George Swarn, Miami (Ohio) Dalton Hilliard, Louisiana State Darryl Clack, Arizona State Curlis Adams, Central Michigan Ethan Horton, North Carolina Kevin Lowe, Wyoming Bernard White, Bowling Green Steve Morgan, Toledo Jeff Smith, Nebraska Tony Cherry, Oregon Johnnie Jones, Tennessee Allen Pinkett, Notre Dame Mike Waters, San Diego State Robert Lavette, Georgia Tech Willie Drewrey, West Virginia, Reggie Taylor, Cincinnati Brad Muster, Stanford Reggie Dupard, Southern Methol	le dist		URPOSE RUN CL G Jr 11 Sr 10 Sr 10 Sr 11	RUSH 1655 907 1611 1637 1086 1286 1286 1296 1244 857 1037 1137 938 556 565 565 1290 1100 700 1189 (102 102 102 102 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103 103	5 453 7 1200 7 113 330 1 187 2 187 2 187 2 187 4 104 2 187 4 104 5 2 187 7 254 7 104 8 81 8 10 8 1	PR 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	KOR 176 262 0 18 274 147 143 18 168 0 642 0 0 36 751 0 0 39 9 0 546 94 15 34	YDS 2284 1487 1768 1689 1616 1615 1425 1427 1384 1376 1337 1335 1331 1066 1302	YDSPG 2076 165.2 164.6 160.7 153.5 146.9 145.5 142.7 130.5 127.7 127.7 127.7 127.8 121.5 121.4 121.0 119.8 118.4 118.4
David Williams, Illinois Charles Lockett, Long Beach Sta Larry Willis, Fresno State Gerard Phelan, Boston College Tracy Henderson, Iowa State Willie Smith, Miami (Florida) Steve Griffin, Purdue Keith Edwards, Vanderbilt Mark Templeton, Long Beach St Bernard White, Bowling Green David Mills, Brigham Young Mark Dowdell, Bowling Green Alan Andrews, Rutgers Eddie Brown, Miami (Florida) Chuck Scott, Vanderbilt Tim McGee, Tennessee Kevin Bowman, San Jose State Stan Hunter, Bowling Green James Shibest, Arkansas Greg Hilf, Maryland Reggie Bynum, Oregon State Jon Embree, Colorado Glen Kozlowski, Brigham Young	ate		RECEIVING	C15055555555555555555555555555555555555	G 111 112 111 111 111 111 111 111 112 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 112 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 112 111 11	CT 1011 755 7644 664 660 600 556 600 554 554 554 551 551 551 555	YDS 1278 1112 1251 971 941 852 991 576 451 1023 596 451 1114 975 809 652 744 707 820 711 680 879	TD 8 4 8 3 6 5 4 2 4 0 7 7 4 2 9 8 6 6 4 8 7 4 1 3 1 1	CTPG28688855554 6588555554 555554 555554 49994 4994 466646
(Min. 15 att. per game)	CL G Sr 11 Jr 12 So 121 Sr 12 Sr 12 Sr 12 Jr 11 Sr 11 Jr 11 Jr 11 Jr 11 Jr 11 Jr 12 Jr 12 Jr 11 Jr 12 Jr 11 Jr 12 Jr 11 Jr 12 Jr 12	ATT 386 458 416 184 332	CMP PCT 233 60.36 62.38 61.79 262 62.98 98 53.26 208 62.85 108 63.91 187 66.08 126 61.46 98 56.65 61.66 61.66 61.65 61.6	INT 111 16 7 9 5 13 10 4 17 9 6 10 9 4 3 3 8 13 5 13 11	INT PCT YE 285 344 23.0 38: 336 36: 380 16: 2.71 26: 2.71	554 8.95 548 8.46 545 8.76 546 8.76 546 8.76 546 9.00	TD 27 25 16 25 16 26 27 16 12 16 16 14 18 18 18 18 11 15 7 7 20 20	TD PCT 6.99 7.21 6.091 5.33 5.65 7.23 4.76 9.44 1.56 8.70 6.06 7.435 2.475 5.17 4.57	RATING POINTS 152.9 151.8 148.7 148.0 147.4 147.1 145.1 144.2 138.0 136.5 136.3 136.1 135.7 135.0 132.5 128.7 128.4 126.8 125.7
Robbie Bosco, Brigham Young Doug Flutie, Boston Col. Doug Gaynor, Long Beach St. Bernie Kosar, Miami (Fla.) Jim Everett, Purdue Kevin Sweeney, Fresno State Brian McClure, Bowling Green Bob Frasco, San Jose St. Jack Trudeau, Illinois Steve Bradley, Indiana Raphel Cherry, Hawaii Damon Allen, Cal Fullerton Randall Cunningham, Nev-L V Ed Rubbert, Louisville Kurt Page, Vanderbilt Tony Robinson, Tennessee Paul Berner, Pacific Mark Rypien, Washington St. John Dewberry, Georgia Tech Alfred Jenkins, Arizona Mark Stevens, Utah Jeff Wickersham, LSU Troy Bodine, Cincinnati Chuck Long, Iowa Kent Austin, Mississippi Touchdowns-responsible-for are	CAR 85 93 52 50 95 52 77 168 101 25 41 78 37	SHING GAIN 1 291 328 320 40 134 210 96 333 166 7687 427 373 18 95 294 75 415 454 230	234 57 48 33 37 179 149 38 307 13 38 270 230 41 86 52 38 355 145 42 29 32 27 207 220 363 10 33 130 -112 36 126 27 220 122 260 30 31 31 30 -112 36 126 27 220 220 220 320 363 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	G 58 387; 58 345; 58 323; 59 300; 51 325; 57 2688; 68 272; 69 245; 60 246; 60 246; 60 246; 61 192; 61 192; 61 192; 62 222; 63 196; 64 22 222; 65 184; 66 240; 67 26 240; 67 26 240; 68 272; 69 240; 60 240; 60 240; 61 192; 61 193; 61 193; 61 193; 61 194; 61 194;	5 5 434 448 478 2 489 5 16 6 485 6 485 6 485 6 485 6 8 436 7 346 8 387 3 391 3 393 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	TOTAL YDS 3932 3603 3243 3412 2852 2951 3114 2852 22866 2797 2689 2353 2341 2479 2689 2036 2175 2172 2202 2175 2172 2081 2076 2076 2076 2076 2076 2076 2076 2076	OFFEN: YDPL 70PL 70PL 86837-6601 65.3342-660 65.342-660 66.0399-2442-90-650 65.055-650 65.055-650	DR* 35 30 29 16 23 24 8 12 9 25 26 8 17 17 4 20 14 15 20 8	YDSPG 327.7 327.7 327.7 324.3 268.3 259.5 259.5 255.1 254.3 225.4 224.1 219.8 213.9 203.6 200.2 197.7 197.5 194.1 189.2 188.7 186.7 186.7

PUNT RET	UR	NS				
(Min. 1.2 per game) Ricky Nattiel, Florida Jeff Smith, Nebraska Shane Swanson, Neb. Scott Thomas, Air Force Ron Milus, Washington Bob Morse, Mich. St. Harold Young, Rutgers Erroll Tucker, Utah Bobby Edmonds, Ark. Willie Drewrey, W. Vir Chris Sigler, Indiana	ことのいいのいいのいいのいいのいいのいいのいいのいいのいいのいいのいいのいいのいい	NO 22 15 19 24 17 17 21 22 25 30 13	142	01101010	12.0 11.9 11.8 11.4 10.9	
Shawn Bonner, Ohio Gary Couch, Minnesota	So	25 15	270 162	1	10.8	

KICKOFF RI Ricky Calhoun, Fullerton. K. Henderson, Tex. Tech. Joe Rowley, N. Mex. St. Willie Drewrey, W. Vir. Curt Duncan, N'western Mark Logan, Kentucky, Larry Jackson, Mich. St. Tony Cherry, Oregon Vai Sikahema, B. Young Scott Thomas, Air Force S. Gaines, Long Beach	CLSOFFFSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSSS	NO 13 13 15 20 17 11 20 29 15 13 24	YDS 396 376 411 546 464 289 522 751 376 323 575	1 27.4 1 27.3 1 27.3 0 26.3 1 26.1 0 25.9 0 25.1 0 24.8 1 24.0
				1 24.0

Division I-A team leaders

		PAS	SSING	OFFEN	ISE				YDS/		
Brigham Young Miami (Florida) Boston College Long Beach State Illinois Fresno State Purdue Bowling Green Vanderbilt Louisville San Jose State Colorado State Utah Statu Arizona State Maryland Indiana Colorado Rice Nevada-Las Vegas Arizona		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	398 -416 437 428 429 394 470 355 306 406 396 417 334 337	CMP 305 279 236 271 276 233 229 265 246 211 234 215 224 199 189 211 191 208 85	INT 13 17 11 20 10 13 14 13 16 39 16 17 23 20 16 19 9	PCT 61.5 62.0 62.9 65.2 53.9 57.5 63.7 56.3 49.3 54.5 54.6 52.0 49.9 45.8 49.9	YDS 4154 3826 3473 3130 3380 33019 2960 2920 2823 2816 2770 2711 2710 2602 2571 2571 2550 2628 2399	8.59 7.48 8.59 7.48 7.66 6.66 7.08 7.57 6.65 6.65 7.91	TD 34 25 27 16 22 23 15 21 20 19 21 16 12 23 14 10 14 12 44 12	YDSPG 346.2 318.8 315.7 311.2 284.5 281.7 274.5 269.1 265.5 256.0 251.8 246.4 236.5 246.4 236.7 233.7 233.7 233.8 219.0 218.1
Texas Tech Wichita State Syracuse. Memphis State Nebraska Southwestern Louisia lowa State Colorado Arizona State California Alabama Notre Dame Kansas State Tulane Bowling Green Washington Houston Texas Kent State	ana				CMP 89 109 108 95 115 116 102 114 131 105 138 134 127 145 140 120 115 147	INT 12 9 10 111 166 8 8 3 12 7 12 13 10 16 20 27 20 111 13	PCT 45.4 49.3 49.1 41.9 44.9 44.9 57.6 57.6 50.5 55.1 54.5 48.7 50.3 42.3 38.2 54.6	YDS 1263 1278 1312 1349 1456 1497 1550 1556 1573 1587 1609 1655 1656 1684 1695 1701	YDS/ ATT 6.48 5.60 5.53 5.77 5.76 6.57 5.66 6.17 5.66 6.17 5.66 6.17 5.66 6.17	TD 9 9 4 10 7 10 166 13 10 16 10 9 8 11 8 6 8 8 7	YDSPG 114.8 116.2 119.2 122.6 124.5 132.4 136.1 138.1 141.5 143.9 144.3 146.3 150.5 150.5 153.1 154.6
Washington. Cal State Fullerton Rutgers Louisiana State Fresno State Boston College UCLA Hawaii	11111111111111111111111111111111111111		TURNOV FUM 24 19 14 18 18 12 14	ERS GA INT 27 27 16 26 21 23 18	TOTA	51 16 30 14 39 35 32 28	FUM 12 15 7 15 11 11 8 10	INT 11 4 7 14 13 11 11 5	LOST TOTAL 23 19 14 29 24 27 15 FENSE		MARGIN GAME 2.545 2.250 1.600 1.364 1.250 1.182 1.182 1.182
Ohio State Nev - Las Vegas Clemson Mississippi Georgia Texas Vanderbilt Baylor Arkansas Virginia Tech	PUNTS AVG 41 47.0 59 47.5 56 44.0 66 44.0 67 43.8 59 47.6 73 44.4 51 43.8	NO YDS RET RET 18 122 26 212 16 72 22 139 36 238 27 171 35 403 34 268 28 181 20 117	NET AVG 44.0 43.9 42.7 41.9 41.4 41.3 40.8 40.7 40.3 39.9	Vir Wa Tol Ok Ok Arl Cer Sv	ishingt ledo lahoma lahoma kansas ntral M racuse	ech on a St. a			G 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	PTS 105 127 128 134 134 136 138 141 151 166	AVG 9.5 11.5 11.6 12.2 12.2 12.4 12.5 12.8 13.7 13.8
Florida Tennessee Nebraska Rutgers Air Force Minnesota Arkansas Michigan St. Washington Georgia	11 19 11 26 11 27	308 1 364 2	AVG 13.8 12.4 12.3 12.3 12.0 11.8 11.7 11.4 11.4	Ore Air Net LSI Cal Noi We Brid	Force braska	llertor tern inia		FF RET G 11 11 11 11 11 12 11 11 12 11	NO Y 28 7 41 9 30 7 18 4 27 6 30 6 46 10 29 6	DS 05 80 116 120 124 182 141 1648 191 1684	TD AVG 1 25.2 0 23.9 0 23.9 0 23.3 0 23.1 1 22.7 1 22.6 1 22.3 0 21.6
		s	CORING								
Boston Col. Brigham Young Florida St. Ohio State TCU Nebraska South Carolina Maryland Clemson Air Force	11 11 11 11 11	PTS 404 432 389 374 362 359 357 352 346 346	AVG 36.7 36.0 35.4 34.0 32.9 32.6 32.5 32.5 31.5	FI M Ni BW W III U W A A	orida iami (F evLas owling 'ashing inois tah 'ashing uburn rmy lissouri	Fla.) s Vega Green iton ston Si	S	- 1-20	11 12 12 11 11 12 11 11 11 11 11	34 36 35 32 32 32 34 31 33 31	5 30.4 7 29.7 7 29.7 4 29.5 3 29.4 7 28.9 7 28.8 9 28.2 0 28.2

COLLEGE OR PRO GAME OF THE WEEK



CALL TOLL FREE 800-332-1144 In Texas: (214) 690-8639

FOR SCORES, ODDS, LINES, HANDICAPPING INFO, ETC. CALL OUR FREE SCORELINE.

(214) 690-8631

MAILING ADDRESS: 109 Spanish Village #395 Dallas, Texas 78248

WIRE ADDRESS: Western Union Richardson, Texas

THIS FREE OFFER IS AVAILABLE TO ANY SERIOUS CALLER WHO IS A POTENTIAL SUBSCRIBER TO OUR WINNING PHONE SERVICE.

MC/VISA/AMX ACCEPTED

FOR THE RECORD

SPECIAL AWARDS HEISMAN MEMORIAL TROPHY

Year	Player, College, Pos.
1935	Jay Berwanger, Chicago, HB
1936	Larry Kelley, Yale, E
1937	Clint Frank, Yale, HB
	Davey O'Brien, TCU, QB
1938	
1939	Nile Kinnick, Iowa, HB
1940	Tom Harmon, Michigan, HB
1941	Bruce Smith, Minnesota, HB
1942	Frank Sinkwich, Georgia, HB
1.943	Angelo Bertelli, Notre Dame, QB
1944	Les Horvath, Ohio State, QB
1945	*Doc Blanchard, Army, FB
1946	Glenn Davis, Army, HB
1947	John Lujack, Notre Dame, QB
1948	*Doak Walker, SMU, HB
1949	Leon Hart, Notre Dame, E
1950	*Vic Janowicz, Ohio State, HB
1951	Dick Kazmaier, Princeton, HB
1952	Billy Vessels, Oklahoma, HB
1953	John Lattner, Notre Dame, HB
1954	Alan Ameche, Wisconsin, FB
1955	Howard Cassady, Ohio State, HB
1956	Paul Hornung, Notre Dame, QB
1957	John-Crow, Texas A&M, HB
1958	Pete Dawkins, Army, HB
1959	Billy Cannon, LSU, HB
1960	Joe Bellino, Navy, HB
1961	Ernie Davis, Syracuse, HB
1962	Terry Baker, Oregon St., QB
1963	*Roger Staubach, Navy, QB
1964	John Huarte, Notre Dame, QB
1965	Mike Garrett, Southern Cal. HB
1966	Steve Spurrier, Florida, QB
1967	Gary Beban, UCLA, QB
1968	O. J. Simpson, Southern Cal, HB
1969	Steve Owens, Oklahoma, HB
1970	Jim Plunkett, Stanford, QB
1971	Pat Sullivan, Auburn, QB
1972	Johnny Rodgers, Nebraska, FL
1973	John Cappelletti, Penn State, HB
1974	*Archie Griffin, Ohio State, HB
1975	Archie Griffin, Ohio State, HB
1976	Tony Dorsett, Pittsburgh, HB
1977	Earl Campbell, Texas, HB
1978	*Billy Sims, Oklahoma, HB
1979	Charles White, Southern Cal, HB
1980	George Rogers, So. Carolina, HB
1981	Marcus Allen, Southern Cal, HB
1982	Herschel Walker, Georgia, HB
1983	Mike Rozier, Nebraska, HB
1984	Mike Rozier, Nebraska, HB Doug Flutie, Boston College, QB

OUTLAND TROPHY

Year	Player, College, Pos.
1946	George Connor, Notre Dame, T
1947	Joe Steffy, Army, G
1948	Bill Fischer, Notre Dame, G
1949	Ed Bagdon, Michigan St., G
1950	Bob Gain, Kentucky, T
1951	Jim Weatherall, Oklahoma, T
1952	Dick Modzelewski, Maryland, T
1953	J. D. Roberts, Oklahoma, G
1954	Bill Brooks, Arkansas, G
1955	Calvin Jones, Iowa, G
1956	Jim Parker, Ohio State, G
1957	Alex Karras, Iowa, T
1958	Zeke Smith, Auburn, G
1959	Mike McGee, Duke, T
1960	Tom Brown, Minnesota, G
1961	Merlin Olsen, Utah State, T
1962	Bobby Bell, Minnesota, T
1963	Scott Appleton, Texas, T
1964	Steve DeLong, Tennessee, T
1965	Tommy Nobis, Texas, G
1966	Loyd Phillips, Arkansas, T
1967 1968	Ron Yary, Southern Cal, T Bill Stanfill, Georgia, T
1969	Mike Reid, Penn State, DT
1970	Jim Stillwagon, Ohio State, MG
1971	Larry Jacobson, Nebraska, DT
1972	Rich Glover, Nebraska, MG
1973	John Hicks. Ohio State, OT
1974	Randy White, Maryland, DE
1975	Leroy Selmon, Oklahoma, DT

Year	Player, College, Pos.
1976	*Ross Browner, Notre Dame, DE Brad Shearer, Texas, DT
1978	Greg Roberts, Oklahoma, G
1979	Jim Ritcher, N.C. State, C
1980	Mark May, Pittsburgh, OT Dave Rimington, Nebraska, C
1982	Dave Rimington, Nebraska, C
1983	Dave Rimington, Nebraska, C Dean Steinkuhler, Nebraska, G Bruce Smith, Virginia Tech, DT
300	iors (all others seniors)
	VINCE LOMBARDI/
	ROTARY AWARD
Year	Player, College, Pos.
1970	Jim Stillwagon, Ohio State, MG
1971	Walt Patulski, Notre Dame, DE Rich Glover, Nebraska, MG
1973	John Hicks, Ohio State, OT
1974	Randy White, Maryland, DT
1975	Leroy Selmon, Oklahoma, DT Wilson Whitley, Houston, DT
1977	Ross Browner, Notre Dame, DE
1978	Bruce Clark, Penn State, DT
1979	Brad Budde, Southern Cal, G Hugh Green, Pittsburgh, DE
1981	Kenneth Sims, Texas, DT
1982	Dave Rimington, Nebraska, C Dean Steinkuhler, Nebraska, G
1984	Tony Degrate, Texas, DT
	Results of
N	Major Bowl Game
H	ROSE BOWL (PASADEN

es

NA)

1-1-02-Michigan 49, Stanford 0
1-1-16—Washington St. 14, Brown 0
1-1-17—Oregon 14, Pennsylvania 0
1-1-18—Mare Island 19, Camp Lewis 7
1 1 10 Creat Lakes 17 Mars Island 0
1-1-19-Great Lakes 17, Mare Island 0
1-1-20-Harvard 7, Oregon 6
1-1-21-California 28, Ohio State 0
1-2-22-Wash. & Jeff. O, California O
1-1-23-Southern Cal 14, Penn State 3
1-1-24-Navy 14, Washington 14
1-1-25-Notre Dame 27, Stanford 10
1-1-26-Alabama 20, Washington 19
1-1-27-Alabama 7, Stanford 7
1-2-28-Stanford 7, Pittsburgh 6
1-1-29-Georgia Tech 8, California 7
1-1-30-Southern Cal 47, Pittsburgh 14
1-1-31-Alabama 24, Washington St. 0
1-1-32-Southern Cal 21, Tulane 12
1-2-33-Southern Cal 35, Pittsburgh 0
1-1-34-Columbia 7, Stanford 0
1-1-35-Alabama 29, Stanford 13
1-1-36-Stanford 7, SMU 0
1-1-37-Pittsburgh 21, Washington 0
1-1-38-California 13, Alabama 0
1-2-39-Southern Cal 7, Duke 3
1-1-40—Southern Cal 14, Tennessee 0
1.1.41—Stanford 21 Nehraska 13
1-1-41—Stanford 21, Nebraska 13 1-1-42—Oregon St. 20, Duke 16 (at Durham,
1-1-43_Georgia 9 IICLA 0
1-1-43—Georgia 9. UCLA 0 1-1-44—Southern Cal 25, Washington 0
1.1.45 Southern Cal 25 Tennessee 0
1-1-45—Southern Cal 25, Tennessee 0 1-1-46—Alabama 34, Southern Cal 14
1.1.47 Illingis 45 UCLA 14
1-1-47-Illinois 45, UCLA 14 1-1-48-Michigan 49, Southern Cal 0
1-1-49—Northwestern 20, California 14
1-2-50—Ohio State 17, California 14
1 1 51 Michigan 14 California C
1-1-51—Michigan 14, California 6 1-1-52—Illinois 40, Stanford 7
1 1 53 Couthorn Col 7 Wissers Co.
1-1-53-Southern Cal 7, Wisconsin 0
1-1-54-Michigan St. 28, UCLA 20
1-1-55-Ohio State 20, Southern Cal 7
1-2-56-Michigan St. 17, UCLA 14
1-1-57—lowa 35, Oregon St. 19 1-1-58—Ohio State 10, Oregon 7
1-1-58Unio State 10, Oregon 7
1-1-59—lowa 38, California 12
1-1-60-Washington 44, Wisconsin 8
1-2-61—Washington 17, Minnesota 7 1-1-62—Minnesota 21, UCLA 3
1-1-62-Minnesota 21, UCLA 3
1-1-63-Southern Cal 42, Wisconsin 37

1-1-64-Illinois 17, Washington 7

1-1-65-Michigan 34, Oregon St. 7

1 1 00 0001 11, mongan oc 12
1-2-67-Purdue 14, Southern Cal 13
1-1-68-Southern Cal 14, Indiana 3
1-1-69-Ohio State 27, Southern Cal 16
1-1-70-Southern Cal 10, Michigan 3
1-1-71-Stanford 27, Ohio State 17
1-1-72-Stanford 13, Michigan 12
1-1-73-Southern Cal 42, Ohio State 17
1-1-74-Ohio State 42, Southern Cal 21
1-1-75-Southern Cal 18, Ohio State 17
1-1-76-UCLA 23, Ohio State 10
1-1-77-Southern Cal 14, Michigan 6
1-2-78-Washington 27, Michigan 20
1-1-79-Southern Cal 17, Michigan 10
1-1-80-Southern Cal 17, Ohio State 16
1-1-81-Michigan 23, Washington 6
1-1-82-Washington 28, lowa 0
1-1-83-UCLA 24, Michigan 14
1-2-84-UCLA 45, Illinois 9
1-1-85—USC 20, Ohio St. 17
ODANOT DOWN

1-1-66-UCLA 14, Michigan St. 12

ORANGE BOWL (MIAMI)

```
1-1-35-Bucknell 26, Miami (Fla.) 0
1-1-36-Catholic U. 20, Mississippi 19
1-1-37-Duquesne 13, Mississippi St. 12
1-1-38-Auburn 6, Michigan St. 0
1-2-39—Tennessee 17, Oklahoma 0
1-1-40—Georgia Tech 21, Missouri 7
1-1-41—Mississippi St. 14, Georgetown 7
1-1-42—Georgia 40, TCU 26
1-1-43—Alabama 37, Boston Col. 21
1-1-44—LSU 19, Texas A&M 14
1-1-45—Tulsa 26, Georgia Tech 12
1-1-46—Miami (Fla.) 13, Holy Cross 6
1-1-47—Rice 8, Tennessee 0
 1-1-48-Georgia Tech 20, Kansas 14
1-1-49-Texas 41, Georgia 28
1-2-50-Santa Clara 21, Kentucky 13
 1-1-51-Clemson 15, Miami (Fla.) 14
 1-1-52—Georgia Tech 17, Baylor 14
1-1-53—Alabama 61, Syracuse 6
1-1-54—Oklahoma 7, Maryland 0
 1-1-55-Duke 34, Nebraska 7
1-1-55—Duke 34, Nebraska 7
-2-56—Oklahoma 20, Maryland 6
1-1-57—Colorado 27, Clemson 21
1-1-58—Oklahoma 48, Duke 21
1-1-59—Oklahoma 21, Syracuse 6
1-1-60—Georgia 14, Missouri 0
1-2-61—Missouri 21, Navy 14
1-1-62—LSU 25, Colorado 7
1-1-63—Alabama 17, Oklahoma 0
1-1-64—Nebraska 13, Auburn 7
1-1-65—Texas 21 Alabama 17
1-1-65—Texas 21, Alabama 17
1-1-66—Alabama 39, Nebraska 28
1-2-67—Florida 27, Georgia Tech 12
1-1-68—Oklahoma 26, Tennessee 24
1-1-69—Penn State 15, Kansas 14
1-1-70—Penn State 10, Missouri 3
 1-1-71-Nebraska 17, LSU 12
 1-1-72-Nebraska 38, Alabama 6
1-1-73-Nebraska 40, Notre Dame 6
 1-1-74-Penn State 16. LSU 9
 1-1-75-Notre Dame 13, Alabama 11
1-1-76-Oklahoma 14, Michigan 6
 1-1-77-Ohio State 27. Colorado 10
 1-2-78-Arkansas 31. Oklahoma 6
1-1-79-Oklahoma 31. Nebraska 24
1-1-80-Oklahoma 24. Florida St. 7
 1-1-81-Oklahoma 18. Florida St. 17
1-1-82-Clemson 22. Nebraska 15
1-1-83-Nebraska 21, LSU 20
1-2-84-Miami 31, Nebraska 30
1-1-85-Washington 28, Oklahoma 17
```

COTTON BOWL (DALLAS)

```
1-37-TCU 16. Marquette 6
1-38-Rice 28. Colorado 14
2-39-St. Marys 20. Texas Tech 13
1-40-Clemson 6. Boston Col 3
1-41-Texas A&M 13. Fordham 12
1-42-Alabama 29. Texas A&M 21
         1-43—Texas 14, Georgia Tech 7
1-44—Texas 7, Randolph Field 7
1-45—Oklahoma St. 34, TCU 0
           1-46-Texas 40, Missouri 27
1 - 1-40 - Texas 40, Missouri 27

1 - 1-47 - Arkansas 0, LSU 0

1 - 1-48 - SMU 13, Penn State 13

1 - 2-50 - Rice 27, North Carolina 13

1 - 2-50 - Rice 27, Orth Carolina 13

1 - 151 - Tennessee 20, Texas 14
        1-52-Kentucky 20, TCU 7
```

1-53-Texas 16, Tennessee 0 1-54-Rice 28, Alabama 6 1-55-Georgia Tech 14, Arkansas 6 2-56-Mississippi 14, TCU 13 1-57-TCU 28, Syracuse 27 1-58-Navy 20, Rice 7 1-59-TCU 0, Air Force 0 1-60—Syracuse 23. Texas 14 2-61—Duke 7. Arkansas 6 1-62—Texas 12. Mississippi 7 1-63-LSU 13. Texas 0 1-64-Texas 28, Navy 6 1-65-Arkansas 10, Nebraska 7 1- 1-65-Arkansas 10. Nebraska 7 1-1-66-LSU 14. Arkansas 7 12-31-66-Georgia 24. SMU 9 1- 1-68-Texas A&M 20. Alabama 16 1- 1-69-Texas 36. Tennessee 13 1- 1-70-Texas 21. Notre Dame 17 1- 1-71-Notre Dame 24. Texas 11 1- 1-72-Penn State 30. Texas 11 1-73—Texas 17 Alabama 13 1-74—Nebraska 19. Texas 3 1-75—Penn State 41. Baylor 20 1-76—Arkansas 31. Georgia 10 1-77—Houston 30. Maryland 21 2-78—Notre Dame 38. Texas 10 1-79-Notre Dame 35, Houston 34 1- 1-80-Houston 17. Nebraska 14 1- 1-81-Alabama 30. Baylor 2 1- 1-83—Alabama 30. baylor 2 1- 1-82—Texas 14. Alabama 12 1-1-83—SMU 7, Pittsburgh 3 1-2-84—Georgia 10, Texas 9 1-1-85—Boston College 45, Houston 28

SUGAP BOWL (NEW ORLEANS) 1-35—Tulane 20, Temple 14 1-36—TCU 3, LSU 2 1-37—Santa Clara 21, LSU 14

1-38-Santa Clara 6, LSU 0 2-39-TCU 15, Carnegie Tech 7 1-40-Texas A&M 14, Tulane 13 1-41-Boston Col. 19, Tennessee 13 1-42-Fordham 2, Missouri 0 1-43-Tennessee 14, Tulsa 7 1-44-Georgia Tech 20, Tulsa 18 1-45—Duke 29, Alabama 26 1-46—Oklahoma St. 33, St. Mary's 13 1-47—Georgia 20, North Carolina 10 1-48—Texas 27, Alabama 7 1-49—Oklahoma 14, North Carolina 6 2-50—Oklahoma 35, LSU 0 2-50 - Uklahoma 35, LSU 0 1-51 - Kentucky 13, Oklahoma 7 1-52 - Maryland 28, Tennessee 13 1-53 - Georgia Tech 24, Mississippi 7 1-54 - Georgia Tech 42, West Virginia 19 1-55—Navy 21, Mississippi 0 2-56—Georgia Tech 7, Pittsburgh 0 1-57—Baylor 13, Tennessee 7

1-57-Baylor 13, Tennessee 7 1-58-Mississippi 39, Texas 7 1-59-LSU 7, Clemson 0 1-60-Mississippi 21, LSU 0 2-61-Mississippi 14, Rice 6 1-62-Alabama 10, Arkansas 3 1-63-Mississippi 17, Arkansas 13 1-64-LSU 13, Syracuse 10 1-65-LSU 13, Syracuse 10 1-66-Missouri 20, Florida 18 2-67-Alabama 34, Nebraska 7 168-LSU 20, Wominio 13 1- 207-Aliadaida 34, Neuhaska 7 1- 1.68-LSU 20, Wyoming 13 1- 1.69-Arkansas 16, Georgia 2 1- 1.70-Mississippi 27, Arkansas 22 1- 1.71-Tennessee 34, Air Force 13 1- 1.72-Oklahoma 40, Auburn 22 12-31-72-Oklahoma 14, Penn State 0

12-31-73-Notre Dame 24, Alabama 23 12-31-74-Nebraska 13, Florida 10 12-31-75-Alabama 13, Penn State 6

1- 1-77-Pittsburgh 27, Georgia 3 1- 2-78-Alabama 35, Ohio State 6 1- 1-79-Alabama 14, Penn State 7

1-1-79-Alabama 14, Pelli State / 1-1-80-Alabama 24, Arkansas 9 1-1-81-Georgia 17, Notre Dame 10 1-1-82-Pittsburgh 24, Georgia 20 1-1-83-Penn St. 27, Georgia 23 1-2-84-Auburn 9, Michigan 7 1-1-85-Nebraska 28, LSU 10

BLUEBONNET BOWL (HOUSTON)*

12-19-59-Clemson 23, TCU 7 12-17-60-Texas 3, Alabama 3 12-16-61-Kansas 33, Rice 7 12-22-62-Missouri 14. Georgia Tech 10 12-21-63-Baylor 14, LSU 7 12-19-64-Tulsa 14, Mississippi 7 12-18-65-Tennessee 27, Tulsa 6 12-17-66—Texas 19, Mississippi 0 12-23-67—Colorado 31, Miami (Fla.) 21 12-31-68—SMU 28, Oklahoma 27 12-31-69-Houston 36, Auburn 7 12-31-70-Alabama 24, Oklahoma 24 12-31-70—Rabama 24, Okianoma 24 12-31-71—Colorado 29, Houston 17 12-30-72—Tennessee 24, LSU 17 12-29-73—Houston 47, Tulane 7 12-23-74—N.C. State 31, Houston 31 12-27-75-Texas 38. Colorado 21

12-31-76-Nebraska 27, Texas Tech 24 12-31-77-Southern Cal 47, Texas A&M 28 12-31-78-Stanford 25, Georgia 22 12-31-79—Purdue 27, Tennessee 22 12-31-80—North Carolina 16, Texas 7 12-31-81—Michigan 33, UCLA 14 12-31-82—Arkansas 28, Florida 24 12-31-82—Oklahoma St. 24, Baylor 14 12-31-84—West Virginia 31, TCU 14 Held at Rice Stadium, 1959-67; at

GATOR BOWL (JACKSONVILLE)

Astrodome from 1968. 1-46-Wake Forest 26. South Carolina 14 1-47-Oklahoma 34, N.C. State 13 1-48-Maryland 20, Georgia 20 1-49-Clemson 24, Missouri 23 2-50-Maryland 20, Missouri 7 1-51—Wyoming 20, Wash. & Lee 7 1-52—Miami (Fla.) 14, Clemson 0 1-53—Florida 14, Tulsa 13 1- 1-53—Florida 14, Tulsa 13
1- 1-54—Texas Tech 35, Auburn 13
12-31-54—Auburn 33, Baylor 13
12-31-55—Vanderbilt 25, Auburn 13
12-29-56—Georgia Tech 21, Pittsburgh 14
12-28-57—Tennessee 3, Texas A&M 0
12-27-58—Mississippi 7, Florida 3
1- 2-60—Arkansas 14, Georgia Tech 7
12-31-60—Florida 13, Baylor 12
12-30-61—Penn State 30, Georgia Tech 15
12-29-62—Florida 17, Penn State 7
12-28-63—North Carpling 35, Air Force 0 12-28-63-North Carolina 35, Air Force 0 1- 2-65-Florida St. 36, Oklahoma 19 12-31-65-Georgia Tech 31, Texas Tech 21 12-31-66-Tennessee 18, Syracuse 12 12-30-67-Penn State 17, Florida St. 17 12-30-67—Penn State 17. Florida St. 17
12-28-68—Missouri 35, Alabama 10
12-27-69—Florida 14, Tennessee 13
1-2-71—Auburn 35, Mississippi 28
12-31-71—Georgia 7, North Carolina 3
12-30-72—Auburn 24, Colorado 3
12-30-72—Auburn 27. Texas 3
12-29-73—Texas Tech 28, Tennessee 19
12-30-74—Auburn 27. Texas 3
12-29-75—Maryland 13, Florida 0
12-27-76—Notre Dame 20, Penn State 9
12-30-77—Pittsburgh 34, Clemson 3
12-29-78—Clemson 17, Ohio State 15
12-28-79—North Carolina 17, Michigan 15
12-28-80—Pittsburgh 37, South Carolina 9
12-28-81—North Carolina 31, Arkansas 27

12-28-81—North Carolina 31. Arkansas 27 12-30-82—Florida St. 31, West Virginia 12 12-30-83—Florida 14, Iowa 6 12-28-84—Oklahoma St. 21, South Carolina 14

1-30—Hardin-Simmons 14, N.M. State 14 1-37—Hardin-Simmons 34, Texas-El Paso 6 1-38—West Virginia 7, Texas Tech 6 2-39—Utah 26, New Mexico 0 1-40—Catholic U. O, Arizona St. 0 1-41--Western Reserve 26, Arizona St. 13 1-42—Tulsa 6, Texas Tech 0 1-43—2nd Air Force 13, Hardin-Simmons 7 1-44—Southwestern, Tex. 7, New Mexico 0 1-45—Southwestern, Tex. 35, U. Mexico 0 1-46—New Mexico 34, Denver 24 1-47-Cincinnati 18, Virginia Tech 6 1-48-Miami (0.) 13, Texas Tech 12 1-49-West Virginia 21, Texas-El Paso 12 2-50—Texas-Ei Paso 33, Georgetown 20 1-51—West Texas St. 14, Cincinnati 13 1-52—Texas Tech 25, Pacific 14 1-53—Pacific 26, Southern Miss. 7 1-54—Texas-El Paso 37, Southern Miss. 14 1-55—Texas-El Paso 47, Florida St. 20 1- 1-55—Texas-El Paso 47, Florida St. 20 1- 2-56—Wyoming 21, Texas Tech 14 1- 1-57—Geo. Washington 13, Texas-El Paso 0 1- 1-58—Louisville 34, Drake 20 12-31-58—Wyoming 14, Hardin-Simmons 6 12-31-59—New Mex. St. 28, North Texas St. 8 12-31-60—New Mex. St. 20, Utah State 13 12-30-61—Villanova 17, Wichita St. 9 12-31-62—West Texas St. 15, Ohio U. 14 12-31-63—Oregon 21, SMII 14 12-31-63—Oregon 21, SMU 14 12-26-64—Georgia 7, Texas Tech 0 12-31-65—Texas-El Paso 13, TCU 12 12-24-66-Wyoming 28, Florida St. 20 12-30-67-Texas-El Paso 14, Mississippi 7 12-28-68-Auburn 34, Arizona 10 12-20-69 Nebraska 45, Georgia 6 12-19-70 Georgia Tech 17, Texas Tech 9 12-18-71 LSU 33, Iowa State 15 12-30-72-North Carolina 32, Texas Tech 28 12-39-72-Missouri 34, Auburn 17
12-28-73-Missouri 34, Auburn 17
12-28-74-Mississippi St. 26, North Carolina 24
12-26-75-Pittsburgh 33, Kansas 19
1-2-77-Texas A&M 37, Florida 14
12-31-77-Stanford 24, LSU 14 12-23-78-Texas 42, Maryland 0 12-22-79—Washington 14, Texas 7 12-27-80—Nebraska 31, Miss. State 17 12-26-81—Oklahoma 40, Houston 14 12-25-82-North Carolina 26, Texas 10 12-24-83—Alabama 28, SMU 7 12-22-84—Maryland 28, Tennessee 27

SUN BOWL (EL PASO)

1-36-Hardin-Simmons 14, N.M. State 14

U.S. SPORTS BANK \$495 SEASON \$200 - Monthly \$ 65 - Weekly

END YOUR SEARCH FOR A SPORTS INFORMATION SERVICE!!!



U.S SPORTS BANK where we are not #1.....

YOU ARE !!!

For Your 1st DAY OF FREE SERVICE to show you what U.S. SPORTS BANK is like call 518 - 587-6048.

*Including:

All Locked Games Weekly Statistical Updates and Weekly Specials!!!

CALL TODAY 518 — 587-6048

U.S. Sports Bank

P.O. Box 42, Saratoga Springs, N.Y. 12866



You've got only one life to live. Live it with a build that won't quit!

It's easier than you think.

Read on...then send for your FREE PACKET now!

YOURS FREE!

Rush your name and address back today and get ready for a surprise in your mailbox. In just a few days you'll find a packet of exciting news - plus page after page of photographs of guys with builds that won't quit.

You'll see how you too can enjoy rippling muscles and a super build -- the kind that makes women look twice!

Let's face it. There's not a guy alive who doesn't want a great build. Trouble is, it's easy to get busy with a job or school and think, 'I'll go to the gym next week.' But it's trouble to take time out. You've got to set aside X amount of time -- and you're busy.

BUILD YOUR BODY AT HOME THIS EASY WAY!

That's right - in the privacy of your home.

The answer is Universal Bodybuilding's easy "3 Muscle-Pumping Workouts A Week" way. Simple as 1 - 2 - 3. And the great news is, you can use weights or not. Whichever you prefer. Yet you get the same great results.

You'll find but how the minute your FREE PACKET arrives in the mail. It's jam-packed with photographs, tips, techniques, exciting news. And remember, it's YOURS FREE!

WATCH HOW SUDDENLY YOU SEE A "NEW YOU" IN YOUR MIRROR!

"But bodybuilding takes too long" some guys say -- before they find out about this exciting easy way. This is why we want you to send for our brand-new FREE PACKET. Just watch your mirror! Soon you'll see powerful arms, rock-hard leg muscles, a rippling stomach, a back that looks like sculpture, pecs that will make

you proud. (You'll want to wear a T-shirt wherever you go -- that's how great you'll look!)

How long does it take? Get ready for a surprise. In just days, our methods begin to work on you! Not months—but days! And remember, you do it in the privacy of your bedroom. Nobody but nobody at work or school will know what's happened.

But they'll soon start asking, "Say, you look great. Are you going to a gym?" You just grin and say, "Glad you like what you see!"

Then dash home and get your time-in. It's the greatest feeling in the world to watch your body suddenly become the type you've always admired on other guys. You'll feel more confident, get more respect, feel more alive, ready to tackle the world. That's what a good build does for you.

"BUT I'M NOT SURE MY BODY IS RIGHT FOR MUSCLES," YOU SAY.

Every man's body is right for muscles. Sure, some bodies can be built up more than others. But every body - including yours - has the potential. All you've got to do is follow the easy directions that we want to send you.

Overweight? Get ready to grin. This fast "3 Muscle-Pumping Workouts A Week" system will trim you down fast. Skinny? Watch how fast you put on pounds of pure muscle. This method has proven itself time and time again. In the FREE PACKET you'll find what many guys, nationwide, have to say! You'll see actual photographs of what top bodybuilding techniques can do!

YOU AND YOU ALONE DECIDE ON THE BUILD YOU WANT!

Maybe you want more strength. Or to look better in your clothes. Or to make a

hit with women every time you head for the swimming pool. No matter your goal, you can reach your fullest potential!

RIGHT THIS MINUTE GRAB A PENCIL. SEND FOR YOUR FREE FULLY ILLUSTRATED PACKET.

Simply fill out the coupon below. Then rush it to the address given. You'll be astounded when your FREE PACKET arrives. No matter how out of shape you think you are . . . here's the way to have that body you've always wanted. Send for your FREE PACKET today! No obligation whatsoever!



162 Jim Feist and Gary Austin's College Football Preview

CALL GARY AUSTIN RIGHT NOW!

HE'S SO SURE OF
HIS COLLEGE
FOOTBALL
MAJOR MOVES...



HE'LL GIVE YOU YOUR FIRST COLLEGE MAJOR MOVE ABSOLUTELY FREE!

CALL HIM ANY FRIDAY NITE OR SATURDAY MORNING DURING THE COLLEGE SEASON...AND TELL HIM WHERE YOU SAW THIS AD!

Let the Austin Edge work for You!

TOLL 1-800-634-3472

or 1-702-733-0421

